Elevated plasma levels of VLDL triglycerides (TGs) are characteristic of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and are associated with increased production rates (PRs) of VLDL TGs and apoB. Lipoprotein lipase–mediated (LPL-mediated) lipolysis of VLDL TGs may also be reduced in T2DM if the level of LPL is decreased and/or the level of plasma apoC-III, an inhibitor of LPL-mediated lipolysis, is increased. We studied the effects of pioglitazone (Pio), a PPARγ agonist that improves insulin sensitivity, on lipoprotein metabolism in patients with T2DM. Pio treatment reduced TG levels by increasing the fractional clearance rate (FCR) of VLDL TGs from the circulation, without changing direct removal of VLDL particles. This indicated increased lipolysis of VLDL TGs during Pio treatment, a mechanism supported by our finding of increased plasma LPL mass and decreased levels of plasma apoC-III. Lower apoC-III levels were due to reduced apoC-III PRs. We saw no effects of Pio on the PR of either VLDL TG or VLDL apoB. Thus, Pio, a PPARγ agonist, reduced VLDL TG levels by increasing LPL mass and inhibiting apoC-III PR. These 2 changes were associated with an increased FCR of VLDL TGs, almost certainly due to increased LPL-mediated lipolysis.
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Elevated plasma levels of VLDL triglycerides (TGs) are characteristic of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and are associated with increased production rates (PRs) of VLDL TGs and apoB. Lipoprotein lipase–mediated (LPL-mediated) lipolysis of VLDL TGs may also be reduced in T2DM if the level of LPL is decreased and/or the level of plasma apoC-III, an inhibitor of LPL-mediated lipolysis, is increased. We studied the effects of pioglitazone (Pio), a PPAR\(\gamma\) agonist that improves insulin sensitivity, on lipoprotein metabolism in patients with T2DM. Pio treatment reduced TG levels by increasing the fractional clearance rate (FCR) of VLDL TGs from the circulation, without changing direct removal of VLDL particles. This indicated increased lipolysis of VLDL TGs during Pio treatment, a mechanism supported by our finding of increased plasma LPL mass and decreased levels of plasma apoC-III. Lower apoC-III levels were due to reduced apoC-III PRs. We saw no effects of Pio on the PR of either VLDL TG or VLDL apoB. Thus, Pio, a PPAR\(\gamma\) agonist, reduced VLDL TG levels by increasing LPL mass and inhibiting apoC-III PR. These 2 changes were associated with an increased FCR of VLDL TGs, almost certainly due to increased LPL-mediated lipolysis.

Introduction

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) affects an estimated 17 million Americans, and its incidence is increasing rapidly both in the United States and around the world (1). T2DM is a major cause of morbidity and mortality (2), and atherothrombotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) plays a central role in this serious problem. Among the many risk factors for ASCVD that are prevalent in T2DM (3) is a dyslipidemia characterized by increased plasma levels of VLDL triglycerides (TGs) and apolipoprotein B100 (apoB), low levels of HDL cholesterol and apoA-I, and heterogeneity of LDL, with an increased proportion of small, dense LDL particles (4–6). Importantly, the pathophysiologic abnormalities in lipid and lipoprotein metabolism present in patients with T2DM have been closely linked to the concomitant insulin resistance present in this group (7, 8). Although there are medications that lower VLDL TG levels, raise HDL cholesterol, and reduce the proportion of small, dense LDL particles in people with T2DM, they do not affect the underlying insulin resistance in those individuals.

PPAR\(\gamma\) agonists are new therapeutic agents for the treatment of T2DM (9–11). Because they act to improve insulin sensitivity in muscle, liver, and fat (12–15), they may be active against many of the nonglucose abnormalities present in patients with T2DM (16). The 2 available thiazolidinedione (TZD) PPAR\(\gamma\) agonists, pioglitazone (Pio) and rosiglitazone (Rosi), both demonstrate efficacy in the treatment of some aspects of diabetic dyslipidemia: each elevates HDL cholesterol concentrations and increases LDL particle size. However, they have variable effects on LDL cholesterol concentrations, and while Pio reduces plasma TG concentrations, Rosi treatment either has no effect or is associated with increases in plasma TG levels (17–19). In an initial study to determine the mechanisms whereby a PPAR\(\gamma\) agonist might lower plasma TG concentrations and raise plasma HDL cholesterol levels, we examined the metabolism of VLDL, IDL, and LDL apoB, VLDL TGs, HDL apoA-I, and plasma apoC-III in patients with T2DM before and during treatment with Pio.

Results

The clinical characteristics of patients at the start of the placebo treatment period (after 2 weeks of diet counseling) are presented in Table 1. The patients’ ages ranged from 39 to 71 years. BMI varied from 23.9 to 41.1 kg/m\(^2\), with a mean ± SD of 30.5 ± 6.3 kg/m\(^2\). TG levels at the start of placebo treatment ranged from 114 to 416 mg/dl, with a mean of 216.4 ± 95.6 mg/dl, and HDL cholesterol varied from 25 to 38 mg/dl, with a mean of 30.0 ± 4.7 mg/dl. There were 5 patients with the apoE 3/3, 2 with the apoE 4/3, and 1 with the apoE 4/4 genotype. All 8 patients were taking sulfonylurea in combination with metformin at the start of the placebo period and continued those medications at the same dosage throughout the placebo period. Pio treatment was 30 mg/d for 4 weeks, followed by 45 mg/d for 10–12 weeks, depending on individual patients’ schedules.
Table 1

Patient characteristics at baseline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>TC</th>
<th>TGs</th>
<th>HDLc</th>
<th>LDLc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All data were collected at the start of the placebo period. BMI is expressed as weight (kg)/height (m)^2. Values for all lipids are expressed as mg/dl. HDLC, HDL cholesterol; LDLC, LDL cholesterol.

During the study, home monitoring of blood glucose levels, together with measures of HbA1c at the time of the first lipoprotein turnover studies (hereafter, TS1) and after 6 and 12 weeks of Pio treatment, allowed for titration of the sulfonylurea dose. During Pio treatment, 7 patients discontinued use of the sulfonylurea they had been taking, while the eighth patient reduced the dose. As noted in Methods, the dose of metformin was not changed in any patient. The mean HbA1c level at the end of the placebo period (TS1) was 6.58% ± 0.98% and after the second lipoprotein turnover studies (TS2; after 14–16 weeks of Pio treatment) was 6.09% ± 0.57% (P < 0.05). Overall, glycemic control was excellent during both study periods. Liver function tests were monitored every 3 weeks; there were no elevations of either alanine aminotransferase or aspartate aminotransferase. Weight was recorded at every visit; the mean weight of the 8 patients was 81.2 ± 14.4 kg at the start of the placebo period, 80.8 ± 14.2 kg at TS1, and 80.2 ± 14.6 kg at TS2. Thus, weight was stable throughout the study.

Mean plasma levels (± SD) of glucose, insulin, fatty acids (FAs), and adiponectin during the placebo and Pio treatment periods are presented in Figure 1. The placebo values are single fasting blood samples obtained at the start of placebo treatment (fasting samples were not available at the time of TS1), while the Pio values are the means of 2 fasting blood samples obtained at the last 2 outpatient visits during treatment (either weeks 12 and 13 or weeks 12 and 15). Fasting plasma glucose levels (Figure 1A) fell from 139.9 ± 34.1 mg/dl to 104.6 ± 22.9 mg/dl during treatment with Pio (mean change ± SD, 35.3 ± 38 mg/dl; P < 0.05). This change was consistent with the change in HbA1c levels noted above. Plasma insulin levels (Figure 1B) tended to fall in response to Pio treatment, from 19.7 ± 15.7 μU/ml to 12.7 ± 5.7 μU/ml (6.9 ± 10.8 μU/ml; P = 0.08). Both plasma FA (Figure 1C) and adiponectin levels (Figure 1D) responded to Pio as expected. Mean plasma FA levels fell 28%, from 0.43 ± 0.12 mEq/l at the start of placebo treatment to 0.31 ± 0.10 mEq/l at the end of Pio treatment (0.12 ± 0.15 mEq/l; P < 0.05), and mean adiponectin levels increased almost 3-fold, from 5.0 ± 1.6 mg/ml to 14.6 ± 5.6 mg/ml (9.6 ± 4.3 mg/ml; P < 0.001). Overall, these data support the conclusion that Pio treatment significantly increased insulin sensitivity in these patients.

Figure 2 shows the effects of Pio treatment on plasma lipid levels. The group means are depicted for TS1 and for TS2. Each patient’s individual results were the means of 18 timed samples obtained during the 48-hour turnover protocol. There were significant reductions in levels of both plasma TGs, which fell 89 ± 27 mg/dl (31%; P < 0.01), and VLDL TGs, which fell 58 ± 31 mg/dl (30%; P = 0.01) (Figure 2A). There was an increase in HDL cholesterol level of 3.5 ± 3.4 mg/dl (14%; P = 0.02) (Figure 2B) after TS2 compared with TS1. Total cholesterol (TC) (Figure 2A) and LDL cholesterol (Figure 2B) levels did not change significantly on Pio versus placebo treatment. Although we cannot rule out an effect of reduced doses of sulfonylurea on plasma lipid levels, it appears unlikely that lowering the dose of sulfonylurea, an agent that has minimal effects on lipid levels, would lead to improved TG and HDL concentrations.
VLDL apoB FCR during Pio treatment (3.92 ± 1.29 vs. 4.53 ± 1.59 d⁻¹; mean change ± SD: 0.61 ± 0.73 d⁻¹; P = 0.052). VLDL apoB PR was unchanged by Pio treatment (placebo, 29.8 ± 5.6 vs. Pio, 32.6 ± 6.2 mg/kg/d). IDL and LDL apoB FCRs and PRs were also not significantly affected by Pio therapy. The mean apoB FCRs and PRs for VLDL, IDL, and LDL during placebo and Pio treatment are depicted graphically in Figure 4, B and C.

The data presented above suggest that Pio treatment increased the efficiency of VLDL TG clearance, probably by a lipolytic pathway. To gain further insight into this possibility, we measured the serum or plasma concentrations of lipoprotein lipase (LPL), hepatocyte lipase, and apoC-III, which is synthesized in, and secreted from, adipose tissue and muscle. After secretion, LPL binds to heparan sulfate proteoglycans on the luminal surface of endothelial cells, where it can interact with TG-rich lipoproteins and initiate lipolysis. In nonheparinized (preheparin) serum, low but measurable amounts of LPL are present and not directly assess LDL particle size, we did calculate the ratio of cholesterol to apoB in LDL, which is a surrogate for direct LDL size measurement. The ratios are the mean values of 6 samples of LDL isolated during each turnover study. During TS1, the mean LDL cholesterol/LDL apoB ratio was 1.34 ± 0.10; during TS2, the ratio was 1.42 ± 0.17. There was no statistically significant effect of Pio on this measure of LDL particle size (P = 0.10).

Discussion

Hypertriglyceridemia is a central component of diabetic dyslipidemia (4, 5) and is also an integral pathophysiologic component of the insulin resistance syndrome (7, 22) (often referred to as syn-
Lewis et al. demonstrated that in healthy humans, increasing plasma very low density lipoprotein (VLDL) triglyceride (TG) flux by infusion of a TG emulsion and heparin increased TG secretion from the liver (32). Further, we have shown that hormone-sensitive lipase have reduced plasma levels of fatty acids (FAs) and synthesis and the assembly of VLDL (28–31). Indeed, mice lacking LPL in white adipose tissue of insulin-resistant individuals, “drives” hepatic TG synthesis, increases VLDL secretion (34). Insulin-mediated degradation of nascent apoB appears to be a second important regulator of the assembly and secretion of VLDL from healthy livers (35, 36), and in humans (39, 40). De novo lipogenesis by the liver is clearly important for VLDL secretion in rodents, and there is increasing evidence for a role in humans as well (41–43). Recent studies in rodents suggest that insulin can stimulate lipogenesis by increasing SREBP1c gene expression (44, 45) via an IRS/PI3K-independent pathway (46), although there are now conflicting data regarding this issue (47–49). However, if insulin can stimulate lipogenesis in an otherwise insulin-resistant liver, then insulin resistance–induced hyperinsulinemia could be another driving force for increased VLDL assembly and secretion.

Based on the above, we expected that our patients with T2DM would have elevated rates of secretion of VLDL TGs and VLDL apoB during placebo. Indeed, consistent with published data from studies of normal subjects in several laboratories (50, 51), including our own (20), that is what we found. We also expected that Pio treatment would lower plasma TG levels by decreasing the assembly and secretion of both VLDL TGs and VLDL apoB by its ability to: (a) improve adipose tissue sensitivity to insulin, thereby reducing FA flux from adipose tissue to the liver (15, 52, 53); (b) reduce plasma insulin levels, thereby lowering hepatic lipogenesis (44, 45, 54); and (c) improve hepatic insulin sensitivity, thereby increasing degradation of nascent apoB (35, 36, 55). We found instead that although plasma TG levels fell significantly, there was no change in hepatic secretion of either VLDL TGs or apoB. In fact, increased fractional removal of VLDL TGs accounted for the reduction in plasma TG concentrations observed during Pio therapy.

The increased fractional removal of VLDL TGs we observed could have resulted from increased LPL-mediated VLDL TG hydrolysis or from increased fractional clearance of VLDL particles from plasma. Our finding that Pio treatment was associated with an increased FCR for VLDL TGs, along with the fact that there was no change in direct removal of VLDL apoB compared with placebo treatment, leaves increased lipolysis of VLDL TGs — with concomitant increased conversion of VLDL particles to more dense apoB lipoproteins — as the most likely explanation for the fall in plasma TG levels. This conclusion is supported by the data indicating that VLDL apoB FCRs may have also increased. Although we did not measure either tissue or postheparin plasma LPL activity, we did find that preheparin plasma LPL mass was significantly increased by Pio treatment. LPL is a PPARγ-responsive gene (56), and the PPARγ agonist troglitazone has been demonstrated to increase postheparin plasma LPL activity (57) and both preheparin (58) and postheparin (59) LPL mass in subjects with diabetes and/or insulin resistance. In the latter study, troglitazone significantly lowered plasma TG levels at the same time (59). Preheparin LPL mass had modest but significant correlations with plasma TG levels in 2 other studies (60, 61). Pio treatment increased LPL mRNA in white adipose tissue of brown adipose tissue–deficient mice,

---

**Figure 3**
Mean VLDL TG FCR (A) and PR (B) during placebo (black bars) and Pio (white bars) treatments. The results were derived from injections of [3H]glycerol as a precursor of VLDL TG. Pio treatment was associated with a significant increase in VLDL TG FCR and no effect on VLDL TG PR.
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**Figure 4**
Mean plasma and lipoprotein apoB levels ± SD during placebo (black bars) and Pio (white bars) treatments (A). Each patient’s results were the means of 6 timed samples obtained during the 48-hour turnover protocol. Pio treatment had no effect on apoB concentration in whole plasma or in individual lipoproteins. Mean VLDL, IDL, and LDL apoB FCR (B) and PR (C) during placebo and Pio treatments. These results were derived from injection of D3-leucine and deuterium-labeled phenylalanine as precursors of apoB. Pio treatment did not affect the FCRs or the PRs of the apoB-containing lipoproteins.
and this was accompanied by a reduction in plasma TG levels and increases in postheparin plasma LPL activity (62). A recent study demonstrated increases in adipose tissue LPL mRNA levels in patients with T2DM treated with Pio (63). Together with our kinetic results (including the apoC-III data discussed below), these previously published data offer strong support for increased LPL-mediated lipolysis of VLDL TGs as the key component of the TG-lowering effects of Pio therapy.

ApoC-III, a major component of VLDL, inhibits the activity of LPL in vitro (64) and in vivo (20), and increased expression of apoC-III in a transgenic mouse was associated with hypertriglyceridemia (65). We found that plasma apoC-III levels fell in conjunction with reduced secretion of apoC-III during Pio treatment, and reduced concentrations of apoC-III are associated with more efficient LPL-mediated lipolysis (20, 66). To our knowledge, this is the first demonstration of decreased apoC-III secretion during Pio treatment, and it is of significant interest. The mechanism whereby Pio reduces apoC-III secretion remains to be determined, although several published studies provide important insights. First, it is known that apoC-III gene expression is suppressed by PPARα agonists (67), possibly via direct binding of activated PPARα, in a nonproductive manner, to a hepatocyte nuclear factor-4 site in the apoC-III promoter (68, 69). PPARγ agonists may also suppress apoC-III gene expression indirectly through Rev-erbα antagonism of retinoic acid–related orphan receptor α (RORα), a transcriptional activator of apoC-III (68, 70). Malmendier et al. reported that fenofibrate, a PPARα agonist, lowered apoC-III production in humans (71). Effects of PPARγ agonists on apoC-III gene expression have not been reported previously, although both Pio and Rosi have been shown to bind to and stimulate a PPARγ reporter gene in transactivation assays (72). On the other hand, the recent report by Altomonte et al. (73) indicated that insulin’s ability to inhibit apoC-III gene expression was via inhibition of Foxo1 binding to the putative insulin response element of apoC-III (74, 75) in hepatocytes. This novel finding potentially links defective insulin signaling to increased apoC-III gene expression, increased apoC-III levels in blood, and hypertriglyceridemia. This schema is consistent with recent studies demonstrating a correlation between insulin resistance and apoC-III production in humans (76). More importantly, these data suggest that Pio, by increasing hepatic insulin sensitivity (77), could reduce expression of the apoC-III gene. This would provide a PPARγ-mediated mechanism for our finding of reduced apoC-III production during Pio treatment.

ApoA-V is a recently identified apolipoprotein that appears to play an important role in TG metabolism (21), and several studies have shown that polymorphisms in the apoA-V gene are associated with hypertriglyceridemia (21, 78). We did not find any effect of Pio on apoA-V levels in our patients, although the distribution of apoA-V was shifted to the non-HDL fraction, consistent with hypertriglyceridemia. ApoA-V is regulated by PPARα (79), and the lack of effect of Pio on apoA-V levels argues against any significant PPARα activity of Pio in our patients.

Low levels of HDL cholesterol are characteristic of individuals with insulin resistance with or without T2DM (4). Consistent with published studies of each of the TZDs (17, 80), treatment with Pio raised HDL cholesterol levels 14% in our study. The basis of this increase is unclear at this time; our results do not show an increase in apoA-I PR, or a fall in apoA-I FSR, during Pio therapy. ApoA-I synthesis is regulated by several transcription factors, including PPARγ (67); there is no evidence that PPARγ plays a role in apoA-I synthesis in vivo, although both Pio and Rosi have been reported

---

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>VLDL FCR (d⁻¹)</th>
<th>VLDL PR (mg/kg/d)</th>
<th>IDL FCR (d⁻¹)</th>
<th>IDL PR (mg/kg/d)</th>
<th>LDL FCR (d⁻¹)</th>
<th>LDL PR (mg/kg/d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TS1</td>
<td>TS2</td>
<td>TS1</td>
<td>TS2</td>
<td>TS1</td>
<td>TS2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>2.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>2.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>2.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>3.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>8.23</td>
<td>3.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>4.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FCR: fractional clearance rate in pool per day (d⁻¹); PR into each lipoprotein fraction is expressed as mg/kg/d.
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### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>LPL (ng/ml)</th>
<th>HL (ng/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TS1</td>
<td>TS2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td>121.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>101.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>83.6</td>
<td>100.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>112.3</td>
<td>96.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>89.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>114.0</td>
<td>138.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>70.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>101.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P = 0.013  H = 0.41
to stimulate apoA-I secretion from HepG2 cells (72). It is possible that the modest increase in HDL cholesterol we observed during Pio treatment resulted from reduced cholesterol ester transfer protein (CETP)-mediated exchange of VLDL TGs for HDL cholesterol, concomitant with the Pio-associated fall in VLDL TG levels. If Pio treatment reduced the mass or activity of HL, which also has phospholipase activity, there might be an associated increase in the levels of HDL. There are no published data regarding the effect of PPARγ agonists on HL activity, but we found no change in HL mass in preheparin serum during Pio versus placebo treatment. Finally, it has been suggested that PPARγ signaling may play a role in stimulating expression of the gene encoding ABCA1 (81), which could increase the flux of cholesterol from cells onto nascent apoA-I (82). If PPARγ were involved in regulating ABCA1 gene expression in the liver, additional effects on plasma HDL cholesterol levels might be seen.

The basis for our finding that Pio treatment had no effect on VLDL secretion deserves some further examination. Pio treatment improved insulin sensitivity, as reflected in the parallel falls of plasma insulin and glucose levels, and the dramatic rise in adiponectin levels (83, 84). Pio treatment also lowered plasma FA levels, a good indication that FA flux to the liver fell (85). Both of these effects, as discussed earlier, should have been associated with reduced assembly and secretion of VLDL. What we do not know is whether lipogenesis was affected by Pio. Troglitazone (12), Rosi (53), and Pio (13) have all been shown to have modest effects on the ability of insulin to suppress hepatic glucose production, but effects of T2Ds on hepatic lipid metabolism are less well described. Studies in ob/ob and db/db mice (86), A-ZIP total lipodystrophic mice (87), and brown adipose tissue–deficient (BATless) (88) mice, all models of insulin resistance, have demonstrated increased hepatic expression of PPARγ and increased hepatic lipogenesis. Further, several studies have provided compelling evidence that PPARγ plays a key role in regulating hepatic de novo lipogenesis in both insulin-resistant and normal mice (89–92). We propose, therefore, that Pio treatment, while improving glucose metabolism in adipose tissue, muscle, and even liver, may also stimulate expression of PPARγ, as well as lipogenesis, in the liver. If lipogenesis were increased by Pio treatment, this might abrogate the effects that decreased FA flux and increased insulin-mediated apoB degradation would have on VLDL secretion. We realize that studies demonstrating reductions in hepatic fat (measured by magnetic resonance spectroscopy) in patients with T2DM after treatment with either Rosi (14) or Pio (83) argue against our proposal, but we would note that in both published studies (14, 83), hepatic TG mass after TZD treatment remained significantly above normal.

In summary, we have demonstrated that treatment with Pio for 14–16 weeks was associated with a significant reduction in VLDL TGs in patients with well-controlled T2DM. Reductions in VLDL TG levels were associated with increased efficiency of TG clearance from plasma, almost certainly mediated by increased LPL-associated lipolysis. Our findings of increased LPL mass in preheparin plasma and reduced apoC-III secretion, with concomitant reductions in plasma apoC-III levels, support increased LPL-mediated lipolysis as the basis for the fall in TG levels. Surprisingly, despite improvements in parameters of insulin sensitivity, neither VLDL TG nor VLDL apoB secretion from the liver was reduced. The failure of Pio treatment to reduce hepatic VLDL secretion requires further study.

Methods

Study subjects. Three male and 5 female patients with T2DM and dyslipidemia were enrolled in the study. The participants were patients of 2 of the investigators (D. Donovan and H.N. Ginsberg). T2DM was confirmed by past diagnosis and patients’ present use of an oral hypoglycemic medicine. The glycemic treatment regimen had to be either monotherapy with a sulfonylurea or combination therapy with a sulfonylurea and metformin. Lipid inclusion criteria included plasma TG less than 600 mg/dl, LDL cholesterol less than 140 mg/dl, and HDL cholesterol less than 40 mg/dl for men and less than 50 mg/dl for women at screening in the absence of lipid-altering medications. None of the patients had another secondary cause of dyslipidemia, such as renal, hepatic, or untreated thyroid disease. None had any significant ischemic heart disease, according to prior history or a cardiac stress test performed within the past year. Female patients of childbearing capacity were excluded from the study, as were individuals using medications affecting lipid metabolism.

Study protocol. This was a single-blind, longitudinal, placebo-controlled trial of the effects of Pio on plasma lipid and lipoprotein metabolism in patients with T2DM. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of California at San Francisco.

Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>HDL apoA-I mg/dl</th>
<th>HDL apoA-I FSR d−1</th>
<th>HDL apoA-I PR mg/kg/d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TS1</td>
<td></td>
<td>TS1</td>
<td>TS2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>3.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>5.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>3.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>4.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>5.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>5.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>63.1</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>5.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>5.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>4.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FSR, fractional synthetic rate in pool per day; PR into HDL is expressed as mg/kg/d.
Board of the Columbia University Medical Center (CUMC), and each subject consented to participate in the study prior to the start of the screening visit. After a telephone screening, potentially eligible patients came to the CUMC General Clinical Research Center (GCRC) outpatient unit after an overnight 12–14 hour fast. Blood was obtained for determination of a lipid profile (TC, TGs, HDL cholesterol, estimated LDL cholesterol, plasma glucose and HbA1c levels, liver and kidney function, and complete blood count. A history was taken and a physical examination performed, and potential subjects were questioned about inclusion/exclusion criteria.

One week later, patients who met the eligibility criteria were instructed by the GCRC dietitians to consume an American Heart Association (AHA) Step 1 diet. After an additional week (2 weeks after screening), all patients returned to the GCRC for fasting measurements of blood levels of lipids, lipoproteins, apolipoproteins, FAs, glucose, insulin, adiponectin, and HbA1c. The dietitians provided further instructions regarding the AHA Step 1 diet. The patients were given placebo tablets and instructed to take 1 tablet per day. The patients had been informed, as part of the consent, that they would be receiving either placebo tablets or active Pio at different times during the study. During the study, patients were contacted frequently by the dietitians to ensure compliance with the protocol. They also monitored their glucose levels at home and reported them to the investigators each week in order to maintain stable plasma glucose levels. Daily activity and exercise were assessed several times to maintain stability.

After taking placebo tablets for 3 weeks, the patients were admitted to the GCRC inpatient unit for the first studies of their lipoprotein metabolism (TS1; see “Lipoprotein turnover protocol”). After TS1 was completed, the patients began to take 30 mg of Pio, once daily. After 4 weeks, the dose of Pio was increased to 45 mg daily. This dose was maintained for an additional 10–12 weeks. The patients continued to monitor their blood glucose levels at home, and the investigators reviewed the data weekly. Depending on results of home monitoring of glucose levels as well as those for HbA1c levels obtained at some of the visits to the GCRC, the dose of the sulfonylurea was changed in order to maintain constant glycemic control relative to the placebo period. The dose of metformin was not changed.

The patients visited the GCRC outpatient unit at the end of the third, sixth, ninth, twelfth, and either the thirteenth or fifteenth week of active Pio treatment, depending on their availability for TS2 testing. Each outpatient visit followed an overnight fast of 12–14 hours. Compliance with diet, exercise, and medication regimens was ascertained. Pulse, blood pressure, and weight were also measured, and patients were also examined for the presence of peripheral edema. Blood was also obtained at each visit for routine chemistries and blood counts and for measurement of plasma levels of lipids, lipoproteins, apolipoproteins, FAs, serum glucose, insulin, and adiponectin. HbA1c was measured at the ninth visit and between 12 and 15 weeks of Pio treatment. One week after either the thirteenth- or fifteenth-week visit, the patients were admitted again for TS2. The study ended at the completion of TS2 during week 14 or 16.

**Lipoprotein turnover protocol.** For both TS1 and TS2, patients were admitted to the GCRC inpatient unit in the late afternoon. They underwent a physical examination, and blood samples were obtained for chemistries and measurement of HbA1c levels, followed by a dinner consistent with the AHA Step 1 diet. Beginning at midnight (9 hours prior to administration of tracers — see below), the patients consumed a liquid diet every 3 hours throughout the turnover study. The diet consisted of 75% carbohydrate and 25% protein and provided 60% of total daily calories calculated for each patient. This dietary regimen has been shown in numerous studies, by us and by others, to provide stable plasma levels of lipids, glucose, and hormones (51, 93).

On the morning of the second GCRC day, catheters were placed in bilateral antecubital veins: one was used for bolus injections of deuterium-$_3$-leucine ($D_3$-leucine), and $D_3$-[ring] phenylalanine (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories Inc.), 1 mg/kg each, and 300 μCi [H]$\text{glycerol}$ (PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences Inc.). The same catheter was used to deliver a constant infusion of $D_3$-leucine, 1 mg/kg/h, for the next 15 hours. The other catheter was used for drawing blood samples at 18 time points: 0, 10, 20, and 40 minutes and 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 15, 18, 24, 30, 36, and 48 hours. After the blood sampling at 48 hours, the patients had breakfast and were discharged. These samples were used for measurement of TG and cholesterol levels in plasma, VLDL, IDL, LDL, and HDL. They were also used for measurement of the enrichment of VLDL, IDL, and LDL apoB with $D_3$-leucine and with $D_3$-[ring] phenylalanine, the enrichment of HDL apoA-I with $D_3$-leucine, and the specific radioactivity of VLDL [H]$\text{TG}$. ApoC-III enrichment with $D_3$-leucine was measured in plasma samples. Several timed serum samples were also saved to measure apoB, apoA-I, apoC-III, apoA-V, LPL, and HL concentrations.

**Laboratory procedures.** Plasma and lipoprotein cholesterol (Cholesterol/HLD Reagent; catalog 704036, Roche Diagnostics Corp.), plasma and lipoprotein TG (Triglycerides/GPO Reagent; 1488872, Roche Diagnostics Corp.), and serum glucose (Glucose/HK Reagent; 704035, Roche Diagnostics Corp.) levels were measured by standard enzymatic techniques on a Hitachi 704 automatic analyzer (Hitachi Ltd.). HDL was first isolated by precipitation of apoB-containing lipoproteins (HDL Cholesterol Precipitant; 543004, Roche Diagnostics Corp.). Serum insulin was measured by [125I]insulin radioimmunoassay (Coat-A-Count Insulin Assay; Diagnostic Products Corp.). Plasma FA level was determined by an enzymatic colorimetric method (NEFA C kit; Wako Chemicals USA Inc.) from an unthawed sample that had been frozen and stored at $-80\,^\circ\mathrm{C}$ immediately after centrifugation. HbA1c level was measured at CUMC’s clinical laboratory (VARIANT II Hemoglobin A1c Program; catalog 270-2101; Bio-Rad Laboratories). Plasma adiponectin was measured by radioimmunoassay (Human Adiponectin RIA Kit; catalog HADP-61HH; Linco Research Inc.). Plasma and lipoprotein levels of apoB and apoA-I were assayed by immunonephelometry using apoB and apoA-I reagents (446650 and 446640, respectively; Beckman Coulter) and a Beckman Array System. ApoC-III levels were determined by an immunoturbidimetric method (411-35801; Wako Chemicals USA Inc.). Plasma apoA-V levels were determined by ELISA using an antibody raised against a human apoA-V synthetic peptide (94).

We determined LPL mass by ELISA using monoclonal antibodies against bovine milk LPL that cross-react with human LPL (95). Plates were coated overnight with 1 μg/well of 4F9 mAb in 0.1 M carbonate-bicarbonate buffer, pH 9.6, washed with 0.05% Tween-20 in PBS (wash buffer), blocked
Figure 7
Multicompartmental model for apoB transport in VLDL, IDL, and LDL (A), TG transport in VLDL (B), and either HDL apoA-I transport or plasma apoC-III transport (C). The models were developed as described in Methods. Data for [3H]glycerol in VLDL TG and for deuterated apoB in VLDL, IDL, and LDL were fitted simultaneously. Data for deuterated apoA-I in HDL and apoC-III in plasma were fitted separately.

with 300 μl/well of 1% BSA, 0.05% Tween 20, PBS pH 7.4 for 2 hours at room temperature and washed 3 times as before. Highly purified bovine milk LPL was employed as a standard. The samples were diluted with sample buffer (30% glycerol, 2% BSA, 10 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.0) to a final concentration of 0.24 guanidine hydrochloride and incubated in the wells overnight at 4°C. Plates were washed 6 times with wash buffer and mAbs conjugated to HRP was added for an overnight incubation at 4°C. Plates were then washed 12 times before the HRP substrate was added. The plates were incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes and read at 490 nm. The standard curve extended from 0.1 to 6 ng per well. The standard curve was fitted to a quadratic function and yielded a correlation coefficient of 0.998. The mean ± SD for analyses of a pooled postheparin plasma sample, analyzed 8 times over a 1-month period, was 646 ± 46 ng/ml LPL. HL was determined by a noncompetitive direct sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (96). The assay uses 2 monoclonal antibodies, 1 for the capture (XHL-3-6) and 1 for detection (XHL-1-6).

We isolated VLDL (d < 1.006), IDL (d, 1.006–1.019), LDL (d, 1.019–1.063), and HDL (d, 1.063–1.210) from turnover study plasma samples with a 50.3 Ti rotor ultracentrifuge (Beckman Coulter) using standard methods in our laboratory (97). apoB and apoA-I were isolated by SDS gel electrophoresis and apoC-III by isoelectric focusing (79). Enrichment of each apolipoprotein with D3-leucine and D5-[ring] phenylalanine was measured by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS) after processing. Briefly, apolipoprotein bands were cut out of the gels, hydrolyzed in 12 M HCl at 110°C for 24 hours, and dried under nitrogen. To the dried samples, 250 μl acetyl chloride/propyl alcohol solution was added, and the samples were capped and heated at 110°C for 1 hour and dried under nitrogen gas. Samples were then incubated with heptfluorobutyric anhydride (HFBA, Sigma-Aldrich) at 60°C for 1 hour, the HFBA evaporated, and ethylacetate was added. The samples were centrifuged briefly to remove any precipitate, and the clear supernatants were transferred to clean vials and subjected to GC-MS using a 6890 Hewlett-Packard tabletop gas chromatograph and a 5973 Hewlett-Packard mass spectrometer, equipped with negative chemical ionization capability. The enrichment of plasma-free leucine and phenylalanine was assayed as well.

We measured [3H]glycerol-labeled TG from VLDL (isolated as described above) by extracting VLDL TGs with Zeolite (Sigma-Aldrich) in pure isopropanol alcohol (Mallinkrodt Baker Inc.) and counting radioactivity with biodegradable scintillation liquid (Ecoscint H; National Diagnostics) in a beta-scintillation counter (Tri-Carb 2300TR, Packard Instrument Company Inc.). We calculated TG-specific radioactivity after measuring VLDL TG concentrations in each sample (98).

Kinetic analyses. We analyzed apoB turnover in VLDL, IDL, and LDL and TG turnover in VLDL using the D3-leucine and D5-[ring] phenylalanine enrichment data from the constant infusion and the bolus injection, respectively, along with the VLDL TG–specific radioactivity data generated by the injection of [3H]glycerol (99). A multicompartment model was adapted from our work with radiolabeled VLDL (97) and other published studies with leucine tracers (100) (Figure 7). apoB and TG were required to have the same structure for VLDL and the same rate constants for the VLDL pools, but with different mass distributions. The minimum number of pools needed to fit the 9 sets of data (derived from 2 deuterium tracers, leucine and phenylalanine, in VLDL apoB, IDL apoB, LDL apoB, and plasma leucine and phenylalanine, plus 3H-VLDL-TG) simultaneously was chosen for the final model, shown in Figure 7. The data were fitted by least squares, giving equal weight to all data points (i.e., assuming a constant error variance for all measurements) using a computer program, Poolfit, developed by our group (97, 101), which solves the differential equations in closed form and computes the fits and parameter sensitivities as sums of exponentials. The fits yielded FCRs of apoB in VLDL, IDL, and LDL and TG FCR. The model also estimated rates of conversion of apoB between VLDL, IDL, and LDL. PRs were calculated by multiplying fractional catabolic rates by the measured apoB concentrations of the appropriate lipoprotein fractions.

We estimated apoC-III and HDL apoA-I FSRs by fitting the leucine enrichment in plasma apoC-III and HDL apoA-I, respectively, with single-pool models (Figure 7), with the precursor enrichment set at the same level as found with the apoB model.

Statistical analysis. Paired Student’s t tests (SAS version 8; SAS Institute Inc.) were used to compare variables at the end of the placebo period with those at the end of the active medication period.
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