Despite substantial fluctuations in daily food intake, animals maintain a remarkably stable body weight, because overall caloric ingestion and expenditure are exquisitely matched over long periods of time, through the process of energy homeostasis. The brain receives hormonal, neural, and metabolic signals pertaining to body-energy status and, in response to these inputs, coordinates adaptive alterations of energy intake and expenditure. To regulate food consumption, the brain must modulate appetite, and the core of appetite regulation lies in the gut-brain axis. This Review summarizes current knowledge regarding the neuroendocrine regulation of food intake by the gastrointestinal system, focusing on gastric distention, intestinal and pancreatic satiation peptides, and the orexigenic gastric hormone ghrelin. We highlight mechanisms governing nutrient sensing and peptide secretion by enteroendocrine cells, including novel taste-like pathways. The increasingly nuanced understanding of the mechanisms mediating gut-peptide regulation and action provides promising targets for new strategies to combat obesity and diabetes.
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Despite substantial fluctuations in daily food intake, animals maintain a remarkably stable body weight, because overall caloric ingestion and expenditure are exquisitely matched over long periods of time, through the process of energy homeostasis. The brain receives hormonal, neural, and metabolic signals pertaining to body-energy status and, in response to these inputs, coordinates adaptive alterations of energy intake and expenditure. To regulate food consumption, the brain must modulate appetite, and the core of appetite regulation lies in the gut-brain axis. This Review summarizes current knowledge regarding the neuroendocrine regulation of food intake by the gastrointestinal system, focusing on gastric distention, intestinal and pancreatic satiation peptides, and the orexigenic gastric hormone ghrelin. We highlight mechanisms governing nutrient sensing and peptide secretion by enteroendocrine cells, including novel taste-like pathways. The increasingly nuanced understanding of the mechanisms mediating gut-peptide regulation and action provides promising targets for new strategies to combat obesity and diabetes.

Principles of satiation

“Satiation” refers to processes that promote meal termination, thereby limiting meal size (1, 2). “Satiety” refers to postprandial events that affect the interval to the next meal, thereby regulating meal frequency, which is also influenced by learned habits (3). Satiation results from a coordinated series of neural and humoral signals that emanate from the gut in response to mechanical and chemical properties of ingested food. Although the relevant signals are commonly dubbed “satiety signals,” this term is usually a misnomer, because most of them promote termination of ongoing meals and do not delay subsequent meal initiation or affect intake if delivered between meals (4).

A primary function of the gut is to achieve efficient nutrient digestion and absorption; many satiation signals optimize these processes by influencing gastrointestinal (GI) motility and secretion. Their additional capacity to limit meal size enhances this control by restricting the rate at which nutrients reach the gut (5). Meals are typically stopped long before gastric capacity is reached, and when food is diluted with noncaloric bulking agents, the volume ingested increases to maintain constant caloric intake (6). Therefore, animals can consume much larger meals than they typically do. A major function of satiation is to prevent overconsumption during individual meals, thereby averting deleterious consequences from incomplete digestion as well as excessive disturbances in circulating levels of glucose and other nutrients (7).

Satiation signals arise from multiple sites in the GI system, including the stomach, proximal small intestine, distal small intestine, colon, and pancreas, each of which is discussed below (Figure 1 and Table 1). Ingested food evokes satiation by two primary effects on the GI tract — gastric distention and release of peptides from enteroendocrine cells. The hindbrain is the principal central site receiving input from short-acting satiation signals, which are transmitted both neurally (for example, by vagal afferents projecting to the nucleus of the solitary tract) and hormonally (for example, by gut peptides acting directly on the area postrema [AP], which lies outside the blood-brain barrier). Although the perception of fullness clearly involves higher forebrain centers, conscious awareness of GI feedback signals is not required for satiation. Even animals whose hindbrain is surgically disconnected from the forebrain exhibit satiation and respond to GI satiation peptides (8, 9). Therefore, gut-hindbrain communication is sufficient for satiation, although this normally interacts with higher cognitive centers to regulate feeding.

Pathways relaying short-acting satiation signals from the gut to the hindbrain also interact at several levels with long-acting adiposity hormones involved in body-weight regulation, such as leptin and insulin. Through multifaceted mechanisms, adiposity hormones function as gain-setters to modulate the sensitivity of vagal and hindbrain responses to GI satiation signals. Adiposity hormones thereby regulate short-term food intake to achieve long-term energy balance (10, 11).

Here we provide an overview of the regulation of feeding by gastric, intestinal, and pancreatic signals. We discuss interactions among these signals and between short-acting GI factors and long-acting adiposity hormones. We also highlight new insights regarding mechanisms by which enteroendocrine cells sense and respond to nutrients. The increasingly sophisticated understanding of these topics should help guide development of novel antiobesity therapeutics.

Gastric satiation signals

Densely innervated by sensory vagal and splanchnic nerves (12), the stomach is optimized to monitor ingestion. Long-standing evidence demonstrates that animals overeat with voluminous meals if food is drained from their stomach as they eat (13). This observation, however, does not specifically implicate the stomach as a source of satiation signals, because the exodus of ingesta through a gastric cannula also precludes meal-related signals that would normally arise from postgastric sites.

Evidence that the stomach itself contributes to satiation derives from experiments involving cuffs that can reversibly close the pylorus (the exit from the stomach) and prevent passage of food downstream. Studies using this model demonstrate that major gastric distention alone is sufficient to terminate ingestion, but the amount of food required for this exceeds that eaten in a typical meal (2, 14).
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However, normal postprandial gastric distention does contribute to satiation when acting in concert with pregastric and postgastric stimuli (2, 14). Oral and gastric stimuli happen concurrently during eating, and up to 40% of a meal empties into the intestine before meal termination (15). Therefore, pregastric, gastric, and intestinal eating, and up to 40% of a meal empties into the intestine before stimulation (2, 14). Oral and gastric stimuli happen concurrently during eating to humans and other animals (2). Because it is not clear, however, whether these peptides are regulated by ingested nutrients, they are not discussed in this review of meal-related GI signals.

Intestinal satiation

The generally accepted assertion that "gastric satiation is volumetric, intestinal satiation is nutritive" (16) reflects the importance of nutrients in mediating intestinal satiation, with a limited role for distention. Intestinal nutrient infusions reduce food intake in many species, including humans (14) — an effect that commences within seconds of nutrient infusion, indicating that at least some of the associated satiation signals emanate from the gut, rather than from postabsorptive sources (22). These, and other, findings demonstrate that the intestines play a dominant role in satiation. Many intestinal satiation signals inhibit gastric emptying, and this probably helps limit ingestion by enhancing gastric mechanoreceptor stimulation. However, sham feeding experiments show that a delay of gastric emptying is not required for intestinal signals to elicit satiation (14).

Mediators of intestinal satiation include a cadre of gut peptides that are secreted from enteroendocrine cells in response to ingested food. These messengers diffuse through interstitial fluids to activate nearby nerve fibers and/or enter the bloodstream to function as hormones (Figure 2). In conjunction with gastric distention, satiation peptides elude the perception of GI fullness, promoting meal termination. Standards for physiologically satiating peptides were articulated in the publication describing the first such agent, cholecystokinin (CCK) (2, 4). According to these criteria, a satiation factor should be released during food ingestion, and exogenous administration of it should decrease meal size in a dose-dependent manner — rapidly, transiently, and at physiologic concentrations, without causing illness.

Upper-intestinal satiation: CCK

CCK is the archetypal intestinal satiation peptide, first described as such three decades ago (4). It is produced by I cells in the duodenal and jejunal mucosa, as well as in the brain and enteric nervous system. Intestinal CCK is secreted in response to luminal nutrients, especially lipids and proteins. The CCK prepropeptide is processed by endoproteolytic cleavage into at least six peptides, ranging from 8 to 83 amino acids in length (23). The multiple bioactive forms pertinent to feeding share a common carboxy-terminal octapeptide with an O-sulfated tyrosine. The major circulating moieties are CCK8, CCK22, CCK33, and CCK58, although recent evidence suggests that CCK58 might be the only relevant endocrine form in some species (24). CCK peptides interact with two receptors expressed in the gut and brain. CCK receptor 1 (CCKR1, formerly known as CCK-A, for “alimentary”) predominates in the GI system, whereas CCK2R (formerly known as CCK-B, for “brain”) predominates in the brain. Through endocrine and/ or neural mechanisms, CCK regulates many GI functions, including satiation.
gastric mechanoreceptor stimulation. Some vagal-afferent fibers respond synergistically to gastric distention and CCK (36), and subthreshold doses of CCK reduce food intake in monkeys if combined with gastric saline preloads (37). Similarly, gastric distention augments the anorectic effects of CCK8 in humans (38). However, other studies show no differences in the satiating capacity of CCK8 between rats eating normally and those either sham fed or fitted with closed pyloric cuffs (33, 39). These and other observations indicate that CCK causes satiation through mechanisms additional to enhancing gastric distention signals.

When peripherally injected immediately before a meal, CCK decreases meal size in a dose-dependent manner without affecting water intake or causing illness (4). Exogenous CCK also triggers a stereotyped sequence of behaviors that rats normally display upon meal completion, suggesting that it evokes the perception of satiation without internal food stimuli (25). Typifying a short-acting satiation signal, the anorectic effects of CCK are very short-lived and undetectable if the peptide is injected more than 30 minutes before meals.

Satiating effects of CCK have been confirmed in numerous species, including humans, in whom the carboxy-terminal octapeptide reduces meal size and duration (26). Pharmacologic and genetic experiments indicate that CCK1R mediates CCK-induced satiation (27, 28). This receptor is expressed on vagal afferents, and peripheral CCK administration increases vagal-afferent firing, as well as neuronal activity in the hindbrain region receiving visceral vagal input (29, 30). Furthermore, both subdiaphragmatic vagotomy and selective vagal CCK administration increases vagal-afferent firing, as well as neuronal activity in the hindbrain region receiving visceral vagal input (29, 30). These findings identify a critical vagal pathway for CCK-induced satiation. However, CCK1R is also expressed in the hindbrain and hypothalamus. Lesions of the hindbrain AP attenuate CCK-induced satiation (34), and CCK microinjections into several hypothalamic nuclei decrease food intake (35). These observations suggest that CCK might relay satiation signals to the brain both directly and indirectly, and/or that central CCK contributes to satiation.

As is mentioned above, CCK-induced satiation could result in part from inhibition of gastric emptying, thereby augmenting gastric mechanoreceptor stimulation. Some vagal-afferent fibers respond synergistically to gastric distention and CCK (36), and subthreshold doses of CCK reduce food intake in monkeys if combined with gastric saline preloads (37). Similarly, gastric distention augments the anorectic effects of CCK8 in humans (38). However, other studies show no differences in the satiating capacity of CCK8 between rats eating normally and those either sham fed or fitted with closed pyloric cuffs (33, 39). These and other observations indicate that CCK causes satiation through mechanisms additional to enhancing gastric distention signals.

The impact of eliminating CCK1R signaling supports a physiologic role for this receptor in satiation. Rats lacking CCK1R show increased meal size and gradually become obese (27), a phenotype possibly driven by overexpression of neuropeptide Y (NPY) in the dorsomedial hypothalamus (40). The obesity is fairly mild, however, and is not present in CCK1R-deficient mice (28); this is consistent with the proposed function of CCK as a short-acting satiation signal. CCK1R antagonists also increase meal size and food intake in experimental animals (41, 42), and they increase hunger, meal size, and caloric intake in humans (43).

Despite the role of CCK in terminating individual meals, its importance in long-term body-weight regulation and its potential as an antiobesity target are questionable. Chronic CCK administration in animals, with up to 20 peripheral injections per day, reduces meal size, but this is offset by increased meal frequency, leaving body weight unaffected (44). CCK administration decreases food intake acutely in humans by shortening meals (45), but anorectic effects dissipate after only 24 hours of continuous infusion (46). Not surprisingly, trials of CCK1R agonists as antiobesity therapeutics have been unsuccessful to date. The most important role for CCK in body-weight regulation might be its synergistic interaction with long-term adiposity signals, such as leptin (see below) (10, 11).

**Lower-intestinal satiation: glucagon-like peptide-1**

The ileal brake is a feedback phenomenon whereby ingested food activates distal-intestinal signals that inhibit proximal GI motility and gastric emptying (47). It is mediated by neural mechanisms and several peptides that are also implicated in satiation. These engage a behavioral brake on eating to complement the ileal brake, restraining the rate of nutrient entry into the bloodstream (5). One such peptide is glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP1). It is cleaved from proglucagon, which is expressed in the gut, pancreas, and brain (48). Other proglucagon products include glucagon (a counter-regulatory hormone), GLP2 (an intestinal growth factor), glicentin (a gastric acid inhibitor), and oxyntomodulin. Although several of these peptides are implicated in satiation, evidence is strongest for GLP1 and oxyntomodulin.

---

**Table 1**

Selected GI and pancreatic peptides that regulate food intake

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peptide</th>
<th>Main site of synthesis</th>
<th>Receptors mediating feeding effects</th>
<th>Sites of action of peripheral peptides germane to feeding</th>
<th>Effect on food intakea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCK</td>
<td>Proximal intestinal I cells</td>
<td>CCK1R</td>
<td>Hypothalamus, Hindbrain, Vagus nerve</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLP1</td>
<td>Distal-intestinal L cells</td>
<td>GLP1R and other</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxyntomodulin</td>
<td>Distal-intestinal L cells</td>
<td>Y2R</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PYY-36</td>
<td>Distal-intestinal L cells</td>
<td>F1-ATPase β subunit</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterostatin</td>
<td>Exocrine pancreas</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APO AIV</td>
<td>Intestinal epithelial cells</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>Pancreatic F cells</td>
<td>Y4R, Y5R</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amylin</td>
<td>Pancreatic β cells</td>
<td>CTRs, RAMPs</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRP and NMB</td>
<td>Gastric myenteric neurons</td>
<td>GRPR</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastric leptin</td>
<td>Gastric chief and P cells</td>
<td>Leptin receptor</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghrelin</td>
<td>Gastric X/A-like cells</td>
<td>Ghrelin receptor</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CTR, calcitonin receptors; RAMP, receptor activity-modifying proteins; GRP, gastrin-releasing peptide; NMB, neuromedin B; GRPR, GRP receptor. X? indicates that it is unclear whether physiologically relevant quantities of GLP1 from the gut evade DPP4-mediated degradation in blood to activate GLP1 receptors in the brain, although these receptors might interact with CNS GLP1 to regulate food intake. ? indicates that it seems very unlikely that gastric leptin interacts in a physiologically meaningful way with leptin receptors in the hypothalamus or hindbrain, which are important targets of leptin secreted from adipocytes. aEffect of peripheral peptides on food intake. In some cases, central administration yields opposite results.
GLP1 decreases food intake in several species (51, 52), including humans (53). Peripheral injections elicit satiety among normal-weight (54), obese (55), and diabetic (56) persons. Importantly, patients with diabetes treated with either GLP1 or the GLP1 receptor (GLP1R) agonist exenatide lose weight progressively in trials lasting up to two years (57, 58). This is especially remarkable because improved glycemic control achieved with other agents typically promotes weight gain.

The mechanisms underlying GLP1-induced anorexia are not fully known but involve vagal and possibly direct central pathways. Anorectic effects are mediated specifically by GLP1R, as they are absent in GLP1R-deficient mice and are reversed with selective GLP1R antagonists (59). GLP1R is expressed by the gut, pancreas, brainstem, hypothalamus, and vagal-afferent nerves (48). The vagus is required for peripheral GLP1-induced anorexia, which is abolished by vagal transection or deafferentation (60, 61). Whether peripheral GLP1 also functions through central receptors is questionable. The peptide can cross the blood-brain barrier, but it seems unlikely that physiologically relevant quantities of endogenous peripheral GLP1 evade peripheral DPP4 degradation and penetrate the brain. However, GLP1 is produced by brainstem neurons that project to hindbrain and hypothalamic areas germane to energy homeostasis, possibly regulating appetite. Activation of hypothalamic GLP1R decreases food intake without causing illness, whereas GLP1R activation in the amygdala elicits malaise (62). Although pharmacologic use of exenatide can stimulate the illness pathway, nausea is not the only mechanism reducing food intake. There is little correlation between the severity of nausea and the amount of weight lost, and doses of exenatide too low to cause nausea do promote weight loss.

Although GLP1 administration can reduce food intake, the physiologic importance of GLP1 in feeding was challenged by the observation that GLP1R-deficient mice have normal food intake and body weight (63). Regardless of its physiologic significance in energy homeostasis, GLP1R overstimulation offers an attractive pharmacologic antiobesity strategy, because it reduces body weight while independently ameliorating diabetes.

**Lower-intestinal satiation: oxyntomodulin**

Like GLP1, oxyntomodulin is a proglucagon-derived peptide secreted from distal-intestinal L cells in proportion to ingested calories. In rodents, exogenous administration decreases food intake while increasing energy expenditure, and chronic injections reduce body-weight gain (64, 65). In humans, i.v. infusion acutely lessens hunger and single-meal food intake (66), and repeated injections decreased body weight by 0.5 kg/wk more than placebo in a 4-week trial (67). In this study, oxyntomodulin reduced buffer-meal intake (without decreasing palatability) by 25% at the beginning of the trial and by 38% at the end, indicating no tachyphylaxis. Repeating animal results, the regimen also increased activity-related energy expenditure (68).

Although the mechanisms mediating these effects are enigmatic, GLP1R is probably involved, since oxyntomodulin does not alter feeding in GLP1R-deficient mice (59), and the GLP1R antagonist exendin9-39 blocks oxyntomodulin-induced anorexia (64). Additional pathways are implicated, however, as oxyntomodulin binds GLP1R 100 times less avidly than GLP1 does, yet they elicit anorexia at equimolar doses (64). The peptides also have different CNS targets — oxyntomodulin activates neurons in the hypothalamus (65), whereas GLP1 does so in the hindbrain and other autonomic targets.
control areas (69). Moreover, intrahypothalamic exendin9-39 inhibits anorectic effects of oxyntomodulin but not GLP1 (65), and studies with GLP1R-deficient mice indicate that the two peptides differentially regulate feeding and energy expenditure (59).

The crystal structure of oxyntomodulin has been solved, and this advance should facilitate the rational design of oxyntomodulin peptidomimetics to be tested as oral antiobesity pharmaceuticals.

**Lower-intestinal satiation: PYY**

The pancreatic polypeptide–fold (PP-fold) family includes PYY, NPY, and PP. All are 36–amino acid peptides that require carboxy-terminal amidation for bioactivity and share the PP-fold structural motif. They interact with a family of receptors (Y1R, Y2R, Y4R, Y5R, and Y6R) that couple to inhibitory G proteins. NPY is an orexigenic hypothalamic neuropeptide; PP is discussed below.

PYY is produced mainly by distal-intestinal L cells, most of which coexpress GLP1. It is secreted postprandially in proportion to caloric load, with a macronutrient potency of lipids being greater than that of carbohydrates, which is greater than that of proteins (70). As with GLP1, postprandial secretion is biphasic, initially stimulated by atropine-sensitive neural projections from the foregut, followed by direct nutrient stimulation in the hindgut (71). PYY3-36 is rapidly proteolyzed by DPP4; unlike GLP1, however, the cleaved product, PYY3-36, is bioactive. Like GLP1, PYY delays gastric emptying, contributing to the ileal brake (47).

A role for PYY3-36 in satiation was asserted in a recent set of studies heralding this peptide as a promising antiobesity therapeutic (72, 73). It was reported that peripheral PYY3-36 administration, at doses generating physiologic postprandial blood excursions, reduced food intake and body weight in rats. In humans, i.v. infusion replicating postprandial PYY1-36 concentrations lessened hunger and decreased buffet-meal intake by 36%, without causing nausea, affecting food palatability, or altering fluid intake. The reduced food intake was not followed by compensatory hyperphagia. Interestingly, PYY3-36 levels were reported to be lower in obese than in lean persons, consistent with a role in obesity pathogenesis. Moreover, anorexia induced by PYY3-36 was fully intact in obese individuals, in contrast to obesity-associated resistance to the anorectic adiposity hormones leptin and insulin. These findings suggested tantalizing therapeutic potential for PYY3-36 and related peptidomimetics.

However, reports that PYY3-36 causes anorexia surprised some investigators, because central administration of either PYY1-36 or PYY3-36 potently increases food intake (74). To explain this paradox, a mechanistic model was formulated, based on Y receptor subtype selectivity and accessibility (72). PYY1-36 activates all Y receptors, and orexigenic effects are predicted from its interactions with Y1R and Y5R, which are expressed in the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus and are thought to mediate NPY-induced feeding. Accordingly, the feeding effects of central PYY are attenuated in both Y1R-deficient and Y5R-deficient mice (75). PYY3-36 selectively activates Y2R and Y5R, and icv administration of this peptide might increase food intake through Y5R. Circulating PYY3-36, however, was hypothesized to gain access selectively to Y2R in the hypothalamic arcuate nucleus, an area believed by some to be accessible to blood. In the hypothalamus, Y2R is a presynaptic autoinhibitory receptor on orexigenic neurons that express both NPY and agouti-related protein (AGRP), known as NPY/AGRP neurons. Therefore, the model proposes that circulating PYY3-36 reduces food intake by inhibiting NPY/AGRP neurons through Y2R, thereby derepressing adjacent anorectic melanocortin-producing cells, which are inhibited by NPY/AGRP neurons (72). Consistent with this model, the feeding effects of PYY3-36 are abolished by pharmacologic or genetic blockade of Y2R (61, 72, 76). Furthermore, PYY3-36 administration decreases hypothalamic NPY expression in vivo, and it decreases NPY while increasing α-melanocyte-stimulating hormone release from hypothalamic explants. Finally, intracerebroventricular injections of PYY3-36 inhibit food intake, whereas diffuse icv injections do the opposite (72).

Despite these findings supporting a hypothalamic mechanism of action of peripherally administered PYY3-36, Y2R is also expressed by vagal-afferent terminals (77), and some investigators hypothesize vagal mediation. Supporting this assertion, anorectic effects and arcuate neuronal activation elicited by peripheral PYY3-36 were eliminated by either subdiaphragmatic vagotomy or transection of hindbrain–hypothalamic pathways (60, 77).

Several laboratories reported difficulties in replicating anorectic effects of peripheral PYY3-36 administration, despite using numerous rodent models, experimental protocols, and chemically validated PYY3-36 preparations (78). However, several other groups have confirmed anorectic and weight-reducing properties of this peptide in rodents (61, 76, 79–83) and nonhuman primates (84). Because stress reduces food intake, potentially masking additional anorectic effects, differences in the habituation of animals to experimental procedures could explain some of these discrepancies (79), although this does not settle the entire debate. The timing of injections is also important, efficacy being lost at certain times of day. The original mechanistic model based on hypothalamic-Y2R-mediated NPY inhibition predicts that anorectic effects of PYY3-36 would be maximal at times when arcuate NPY is elevated. Indeed, the initial findings were reported from rodents that were fasting or in the early dark cycle — times when NPY is naturally induced (72).

In summary, the anorectic effects of peripheral PYY3-36 administration in rodents are subtle and vulnerable to vicissitudes of animal handling, as well as the dose, route, and timing of injections. Although this might call into question the pragmatism of PYY-based antiobesity therapeutics, anorectic effects of the peptide seem to be more robust in primates than in rodents, and the findings in humans have been corroborated (70). Nevertheless, some pharmaceutical-industry support for clinical development of intranasal PYY3-36 has abated because of insufficient efficacy.

**Fat-specific satiation peptides: enterostatin and apolipoprotein A-IV**

Some GI peptides are specifically stimulated by fat ingestion and subsequently regulate intake and/or metabolism of lipids. Enterostatin is a pentapeptide cleaved from procolipase, which is secreted from the exocrine pancreas in response to ingested fats to facilitate their digestion. Procolipase is also produced in the gut and several brain areas pertinent to energy homeostasis (85). Both peripheral and central enterostatin administration decreases dietary fat intake in animals, and enterostatin-receptor antagonists do the opposite (86). The mechanisms underlying these effects seem complex but involve the F1-ATPase β subunit as the putative enterostatin receptor (87), with downstream mediators including melanocortins and the 5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin) receptor 1B (88). Unfortunately, enterostatin administration to humans has thus far shown no effects on food intake, appetite, energy expenditure, or body weight (89).

Apolipoprotein A-IV (APO AIV) is a glycoprotein secreted from the intestine in response to fat absorption and chylomicron forma-
It is used to package digested lipids for transit through lymphatics to blood. It is also produced in the hypothalamic arcuate nucleus. Exogenous administration of APO AIV decreases meal size, food intake, and weight gain in rats, whereas APO AIV–specific antibodies do the opposite (91). APO AIV is hypothesized to represent a link between short- and long-term regulation of lipid-related energy balance (90).

Pancreatic satiety peptides: PP and amylin

PP is produced in specialized islet cells under vagal control, and its secretion is stimulated postprandially in proportion to caloric load (92). Acting primarily on peripheral and central Y4R and Y5R, it influences biliary and exocrine pancreatic function, gastric acid secretion, and GI motility. Whether PP has an important role in energy homeostasis is controversial, in part because peripheral administration decreases feeding, whereas central administration increases it. Reminiscent of PYY, this disparity might result from differential access to Y receptors — circulating PP decreasing food intake through Y4R in the AP and central PP increasing it through Y5R deeper in the brain. Peripheral PP injections reduce food intake and weight gain in wild-type and genetically obese ob/ob mice (93), and administration to humans decreases appetite and food intake, independently of gastric emptying (94).

Amylin, a peptide cosecreted with insulin postprandially by pancreatic β cells, inhibits gastric emptying, gastric acid, and glucagon secretion. It can also decrease meal size and food intake after

Figure 3

Similarities in nutrient-sensing mechanisms used by taste-receptor cells of the tongue and enteroendocrine cells of the intestine (exemplified by an L cell). Several types of enteroendocrine cell throughout the gut express components of nutrient-sensing and signal-transduction systems that were previously thought to be selective to taste-bud cells. These include apical G protein–coupled receptors for sweet and bitter chemicals; the unusual G protein isoforms Gα12/13, Gβ3, and Gγ13; phospholipase Cβ2; and the TRPM5 Ca2+-activated Na+/K+ channel. Additional contributions from plasma membrane delayed-rectifying K+ channels and voltage-gated Ca2+ channels that are important for taste sensation in the tongue have not yet been confirmed in enteroendocrine cells. In both cell types, the final common pathway for activation includes an increase in intracellular calcium concentration. This triggers basolateral exocytosis of neurotransmitters from lingual taste-receptor cells into synapses with nerve fibers that relay information to the hindbrain. In enteroendocrine cells, surges in intracellular calcium concentration trigger release from the basolateral membrane of signaling molecules, including satiation peptides, which diffuse across extracellular fluids to enter the circulation or to interact with nearby afferent nerve terminals from vagal, spinal, and myenteric neurons. IP3, inositol trisphosphate.
Peripheral or central administration (95, 96). In contrast to the peripheral neural mechanisms engaged by many gut peptides, amylin is a hormone that functions primarily on the AP (97). The synthetic amylin analogue pramlintide is marketed for diabetes treatment but also causes mild progressive weight loss for at least 26 weeks in humans (98).

**Ghrelin: a unique orexigenic hormone**

Ghrelin, an acylated peptide produced primarily by the stomach and proximal small intestine, functions and is regulated oppositely to satiation peptides (99). It powerfully increases food intake in diverse species (100), including humans (101), the only known hormone to do so. Contrary to satiation peptides, ghrelin increases GI motility and decreases insulin secretion. Also in contrast to satiation peptides, circulating levels surge shortly before meals and are suppressed by ingested nutrients (with carbohydrates being more effective than proteins, which are more effective than lipids). Postprandial suppression does not require luminal nutrient exposure in either the stomach or the duodenum, where 80%–90% of ghrelin production occurs, but results instead from neurally transmitted (nonvagal) intestinal signals, augmented by insulin (99).

Ghrelin is implicated in mealtime hunger and meal initiation because of its marked pre-meal surges (102). Moreover, ghrelin enhances food intake by increasing the number of meals initiated, without altering their size, and it elicits numerous appetitive feeding behaviors. Preprandial ghrelin secretion seems to be a cephalic response, possibly stimulated by the sympathetic nervous system (103). Pre-meal ghrelin surges can be entrained to regularly scheduled meals, and they might participate in the anticipatory processes that enable animals to prepare for food intake and nutrient disposition (104).

Beyond its proposed role in short-term feeding control, ghrelin also fulfills established criteria for a hormone contributing to long-term body-weight regulation (99). First, circulating levels respond in a compensatory manner to bidirectional body-weight changes achieved by diverse means, increasing with weight loss and vice versa. Second, ghrelin influences neuronal activity through its receptor in several areas of the brain governing long-term energy homeostasis, including the hypothalamus (specifically arcuate NPY/AGRP neurons), caudal brainstem, and mesolimbic reward centers. The ghrelin receptor is also expressed by vagal-afferent nerves, which are inhibited by ghrelin (opposite to satiation factors) (105), although the importance of this for ghrelin-stimulated feeding is controversial. Third, chronic ghrelin administration increases body weight through numerous anabolic effects on food intake, energy expenditure, and fuel utilization. Finally, pharmacologic ghrelin blockade in adult animals decreases food intake and body weight, and mice lacking ghrelin signaling resist diet-induced obesity (106, 107).

Because the obesity-resistant phenotypes of congenital ghrelin-deficient and ghrelin receptor–deficient mice are subtle, the relative importance of ghrelin in energy homeostasis remains unclear, and its efficacy as an antiobesity drug target is as yet unproven in humans. Regardless of how physiologically vital ghrelin is in energy homeostasis, however, it offers exciting potential for pharmacologic treatment of cachexia and GI motility disorders.

**Mechanisms governing nutrient-stimulated peptide secretion from enteroendocrine cells**

The mechanisms by which food triggers release of GI satiation factors are more diverse than originally described and deserve special attention. Various properties of food stimulate enteroendocrine cells to secrete peptides that diffuse across the subepithelial lamina propria to activate vagal-, enteric-, and spinal-afferent nerves and/or to enter the circulation (Figure 2). One mechanism mediating enteroendocrine cell activation, which regulates GLP1 release, involves cellular uptake and intracellular metabolism of glucose. This triggers peptide exocytosis via ATP-sensitive potassium-channel closure, depolarization, and calcium-channel activation — analogous to insulin secretion (108).

However, intestinal satiation and enteroendocrine cell activation can occur without nutrient uptake or intracellular metabolism (14, 109), by mechanisms resembling oral taste sensation (Figure 3). Both taste-receptor cells on the tongue and enteroendocrine cells in the gut are polarized, with apical microvilli bearing receptors that detect chemical properties of food. In response to nutrients, signaling molecules are secreted from the basolateral sides of both cell types, activating adjacent nerve terminals. Several enteroendocrine cell types throughout the gut express TR1R/3/3 sweet taste receptors, T2R-family bitter receptors, and/or the taste-specific G protein Gt<sub>guantxin</sub>, and these cells are activated by tastant molecules (110–114). For example, intestinal L cells, which secrete GLP1, oxyntomodulin, and PYY, express specialized isoforms of molecules constituting a pathway for nutrient sensing and signal transduction previously believed to be limited to taste-bud cells. These components include sweet and bitter taste receptors; the G protein subunits α<sub>guantxin</sub>β<sub>3</sub> and γ<sub>12</sub>δ phospholipase Cβ2 (which increases intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup>) and the TRPM5 Ca<sup>2+</sup>-activated Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> channel (which depolarizes cells) (114). Importantly, taste-like nutrient sensing is necessary for normal GLP1 secretion. The GLP1 response to both glucose and lipid gavage is absent in Gt<sub>guantxin</sub>-deficient mice, which consequently manifest impaired incretin-mediated insulin secretion (115). In addition to stimulating peptide release directly, sweet-taste-receptor activation by extracellular tastants also upregulates glucose transporters in enteroendocrine cells, possibly amplifying release of satiation peptides by enhancing intracelluar glucose uptake and metabolism (110).

Because the details of sweet-taste-receptor activation are understood at the atomic level, one can imagine rational design of noncaloric artificial sweeteners optimized for the combination of palatable taste and potent L cell activation. These might supplement treatment of obesity and diabetes. Indeed, the nonabsorbable sweetener sucralose stimulates GLP1 release by taste receptor–dependent mechanisms (115).

CCK-producing STC-1 cells also express sweet and bitter taste receptors, along with Gt<sub>guantxin</sub> (110), and they respond to bitter tastants with intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> spikes and CCK release (113). This fits with the strong CCK-stimulating ability of proteins, insofar as bitterness in food derives disproportionately from proteins. Proteins also activate enteroendocrine cells through the extracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup>-sensing G protein–coupled receptor (18), which recognizes the aromatic amino acids tryptophan and phenylalanine — residues that elicit intestinal satiation more effectively than other amino acids (116). An interesting, unanswered question is whether the umami receptor, which mediates protein taste sensation in the tongue, contributes to enteroendocrine-cell protein detection. Similarly, we wonder whether the ion channel salty and sour taste-bud receptors, which are directly gated by Na<sup>+</sup> and H<sup>+</sup>, respectively, might contribute to gut sensing of ionic and acidic properties of food.

Lipids effectively stimulate many satiation peptides, including CCK, GLP1, oxyntomodulin, PYY, enterostatin, and APO AIV.
Fatty acids (FAs) must contain at least 12 carbons to activate I cells and stimulate CCK release (117); similarly, only long-chain FAs elicit intestinal satiation (116). Enteroendocrine cells coexpressed with CCK1R by vagal-afferent nerve terminals in the gut peptides and vice versa, whereas ghrelin increases expression of orexigenic cannabinoid-1 and MCH-1 receptors on vagal afferents (125). At least some of these receptors are regulated adaptively by alterations in nutritional state, and they interact with one another in a coordinated, logical manner. For example, receptors for ghrelin and CCK are coexpressed on vagal-afferent neurons (125), and these two ligands exert antagonistic effects on vagal-afferent discharges (105). Similarly, catabolic gut peptides tend to suppress secretion of anabolic gut peptides and vice versa, whereas ghrelin increases expression of orexigenic cannabinoid-1 and MCH-1 receptors on vagal afferents (125). These observations suggest that GI peptides act in a coordinated manner, belying their diffuse anatomical distribution. Moreover, alterations in nutritional state influence gut-brain satiation signaling by recalibrating vagal sensitivity to GI signals.

Hopes for the future
The elegantly interconnected mechanisms by which the GI system regulates food intake are a marvel of biology, but the redundancy of both GI and CNS pathways governing energy homeostasis poses formidable challenges for scientists designing antiobesity pharmaceuticals. Fortunately, our increasingly sophisticated understanding of these regulatory networks should facilitate the rational manipulation of their components to treat obesity, although it may be necessary to influence more than one element of the system jointly to achieve major weight reduction. Mounting evi-
dence indicates that the ability of certain bariatric operations to promote profound weight loss and completely resolve type 2 diabetes results, in part, from their salutary modulation of several gut peptides. These changes include stimulation of GLP1, PYY, and oxyntomodulin, constraint of ghrelin secretion, and probably other salient endocrine alterations. Continued research should increasingly enable us to exploit these natural appetite-regulatory systems pharmacologically to achieve at least some of the impressive effects of bariatric surgery with medications.
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