Celiac disease is characterized by small-intestinal mucosal injury and nutrient malabsorption in genetically susceptible individuals in response to the dietary ingestion of wheat gluten and similar proteins in barley and rye. Disease pathogenesis involves interactions among environmental, genetic, and immunological factors. Although celiac disease is predicted by screening studies to affect approximately 1% of the population of the United States and is seen both in children and in adults, 10%–15% or fewer of these individuals have been diagnosed and treated. This article focuses on the role of adaptive and innate immune mechanisms in the pathogenesis of celiac disease and how current concepts of immunopathogenesis might provide alternative approaches for treating celiac disease.

Celiac disease (CD) is characterized by small-intestinal mucosal injury and nutrient malabsorption. It is activated in genetically susceptible individuals by the dietary ingestion of proline- and glutenamine-rich proteins that are found in wheat, rye, and barley and are widely termed “gluten” (1). Although approximately 1% of the population of the United States is affected by CD, most affected individuals remain undiagnosed. This probably reflects the fact that patients with CD can manifest a spectrum of intestinal and/or extraintestinal symptoms and, in some cases, they can be relatively asymptomatic, with their disease first being detected by antibody screening because they were identified as being at high risk of developing CD (for example, by being a family member of an affected patient) (2). Presumed disease is best detected by serologic screening for the presence of IgA antibodies specific for tissue TGase, and this should be followed by biopsy of the mucosa of the small intestine to establish a definite diagnosis (3). Life-threatening complications, although relatively rare, can include the development of refractory CD and enteropathy-associated T cell lymphomas (EATLs) (4–6).

As is discussed in this article, acquired T cell–mediated immune mechanisms and innate immune mechanisms have an important role in the pathogenesis of CD (1). Although most individuals respond to treatment with a “gluten”-free diet (GFD), which is the only currently accepted therapy, recent advances in our understanding of the immunopathogenesis of CD might lead to alternative treatments for this disease.

**Does disease pathology provide clues to disease pathogenesis?**

In considering the immunopathogenesis of CD, it can be helpful to look at the wide spectrum of pathology seen in this disease. When viewed through a dissecting microscope, the luminal surface of a small-intestinal mucosal biopsy from a healthy subject has abundant villi (Figure 1A) (reminiscent of the shag household carpets of our parents’ generation!). In contrast, the luminal surface of a small-intestinal mucosal biopsy from a patient with severe CD (Figure 1B) manifests a complete loss of villi, with a flat mucosal surface accentuated by ridges and numerous crypt openings. When tissue sections of the mucosa of the small intestine are stained with H&E, to visualize mucosal structure and the individual cells, the mucosa of healthy individuals is characterized by tall vili lined by a single layer of columnar epithelial cells with nuclei located near the basal surface; a smattering of intraepithelial lymphocytes (IELs) (approximately 1 per 6–10 epithelial cells); lymphocytes and plasma cells in the lamina propria in numbers consistent with the “physiologic inflammation” that is normal in the small intestine; and a ratio of villous height to crypt depth of approximately 4:1 to 5:1 (Figure 1C). In contrast, the small-intestinal mucosa of patients with severe CD shows total villous atrophy (Figure 1D). The complete loss of villi is accompanied by the presence of markedly abnormal squamous surface epithelial cells, an increase in the number of IELs, a marked increase in the number of lymphocytes and plasma cells in the lamina propria, and striking crypt hypertrophy with increased crypt mitoses. Pathologic changes in less severe CD are not as marked and can be characterized by increased numbers of IELs and less extensive villous atrophy and crypt hypertrophy, which is termed subtotal villous atrophy. In addition to the high variability in the pathologic changes, clinical presentations also vary markedly. Indeed, only a small number of patients present with the “classical” symptoms of marked weight loss, malnutrition, and steatorrhea. In contrast, many individuals with CD manifest predominantly extraintestinal symptoms and findings (for example, unexplained iron deficiency anemia, premature-onset osteoporosis, irritability, and depression) or are relatively asymptomatic (for example, individuals identified only because they have affected family members). CD has many characteristics of a chronic inflammatory disease. Consistent with this, a substantial mucosal infiltration of neutrophils, the hallmark of an acute inflammatory response, is not seen in biopsies from either mild or more severe disease.

**Immunopathogenesis of CD**

Susceptibility to CD, and its activation and perpetuation, involve a combination of environmental and genetic factors, and immunological mechanisms. As I discuss here, a number of important factors and mechanisms underlying disease pathogenesis are well defined, whereas others are only now coming into focus.
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The role of dietary proteins in disease pathogenesis. CD is activated by proteins in the dietary cereal grains wheat, rye, and barley. Although the disease-activating proteins in these grains are widely termed “gluten” (and for simplicity are referred to here in quotation marks), strictly speaking, gluten only encompasses the disease-activating proteins in wheat. Gluten includes 2 major protein types, the gliadins and glutenins, both of which contain disease-activating peptides (7–9). The closely related proteins in barley and rye that activate CD are the hordeins and secalins, respectively (10–12). Wheat, rye, and barley have a common ancestral origin in the grass family. Oats are thought to activate CD only rarely (13–15), and, consistent with this, oat avenins are more distantly related to the analogous proteins in wheat, rye, and barley and have a substantially lower proline content. The analogous proteins in rice, maize, sorghum, millet, Job’s tears, and tef are still more distantly related and do not activate CD (Figure 2) (10, 11, 16).

Gliadins, glutenins, hordeins, and secalins have a high proline and glutamine content. The high proline content renders these proteins resistant to complete proteolytic digestion by gastric, pancreatic, and brush border enzymes in the human intestine, since those enzymes are deficient in prolyl endopeptidase activity (17, 18). This can result in the accumulation of relatively large peptide fragments (as many as 50 amino acids in length) with a high proline and glutamine content in the small intestine (18, 19). Nonetheless, the relatively poor digestion of these proteins alone is not sufficient to cause CD, and there is no known difference between healthy individuals and those susceptible to developing CD in their ability to digest these proteins. However, failure to digest these and other proteins might be exaggerated in the small intestine of individuals with active disease who manifest epithelial cell brush border injury and accompanying pancreatic dysfunction. Interestingly, prolyl endopeptidases produced by certain bacteria and fungi can digest these proline-rich “gluten” peptides, and treatments based on the use of such enzymes have been suggested as a possible therapeutic adjunct to the standard GFD (17, 18, 20).

Genetic factors: MHC class II HLA-DQ alleles. The pathogenesis of CD is firmly rooted in host genetic factors. This was first evident from clinical observations of multiple cases of CD within families, and the high (approximately 70%–75%) rate of concordance for CD among monozygotic twins (21). It is known that CD is associated with specific MHC class II alleles that map to the HLA-DQ locus (22). Moreover, the presence of specific HLA-DQ alleles is necessary, although not sufficient, for the phenotypic expression of CD in virtually all affected individuals, irrespective of geographic location (23). Indeed, as is illustrated in Figure 3, almost all individuals with biopsy-confirmed CD express
Once CD develops, the clinical course seems generally similar in the general population and in CD. The MHC class II heterodimers HLA-DQ2 and HLA-DQ8 are commonly expressed by the general population of the United States. With few, if any, exceptions, patients with CD carry the HLA-DQ alleles HLA-DQB1*0202 and HLA-DQA1*0501, which encode the HLA-DQ2 heterodimer, or HLA-DQB1*0302 and HLA-DQA1*0301, which encode the HLA-DQ8 heterodimer.

HLA-DQ alleles that encode specific HLA-DQ2 heterodimers or specific HLA-DQ8 heterodimers, and the alleles that encode these heterodimers are relatively common in the white population. The HLA-DQ2 heterodimers that confer susceptibility to CD are formed by a β chain encoded by the allele HLA-DQB1*0202 (either HLA-DQB1*0201 or *0202) and an α chain encoded by the allele HLA-DQA1*0501. This HLA-DQ2 heterodimer is present in at least 90%–95% of patients with CD (22), although a very small number of CD patients have been reported in whom only 1 of these DQ2 alleles is present (that is, HLA-DQB1*0202 or, rarely, HLA-DQA1*0501) (23). The HLA-DQ8 heterodimer found in the remaining 5%–10% of patients with CD is formed by the β chain and α chain encoded by HLA-DQB1*0302 and HLA-DQA1*0301, respectively (24, 25).

The HLA-DQ2 alleles associated with increased susceptibility to CD can be inherited in cis (that is, on 1 parental chromosome) or in trans, with the HLA-DQ alleles forming the HLA-DQ2 heterodimer being encoded on 1 chromosome from each parent (Figure 4) (26, 27). Notably, CD is substantially more prevalent in those in whom 100% or approximately 50% of the HLA-DQ2 heterodimers are HLA-DQ2 than in those in whom only approximately 25% of the HLA-DQ2 heterodimers are HLA-DQ2 (28–30). In this regard, the approximately 2% of the population who are homozygous for the HLA-DQ2 heterodimer account for approximately 25% of all patients with CD. Notably, an increased abundance of HLA-DQ2 heterodimers on APCs has correlated with an increased magnitude of in vitro gluten-specific T cell responses (30), which, if paralleled in vivo, might contribute to the increased risk of developing clinically apparent CD in individuals homozygous for HLA-DQ2. Once CD develops, the clinical course seems generally similar whether or not 100%, 50%, or 25% of the HLA-DQ molecules form the HLA-DQ2 heterodimer, although being homozygous for HLA-DQB1*0201 recently was noted to be associated with more severe disease (31). The CD-associated HLA-DQ2 susceptibility alleles are very underrepresented in Japan, and, consistent with this, CD is extremely rare in Japan (only 2 reported cases) (32).

Since HLA-DQ alleles account for only approximately 40% of the genetic susceptibility to CD, extensive efforts have been made to identify additional genes associated with CD, most recently with the use of genome-wide screening approaches (33–43). Candidate genetic regions that possibly increase CD susceptibility have been noted in some populations on chromosomes 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 (telomeric of the HLA locus), 9, 11, 18, and 19 (33–43). However, the putative risk posed by these regions is substantially lower than that posed by expression of the HLA-DQ2 or HLA-DQ8 CD susceptibility alleles. Furthermore, the genes in these regions and the possible mechanisms by which they might contribute to disease susceptibility currently are not known, although some of these regions also have been associated with other autoimmune or inflammatory diseases. Recently, a variant of the gene encoding myosin IXB was proposed as an important CD risk factor in a Dutch cohort of patients with CD (44), but this has proven controversial, as marked increases in variants of the same gene were not found in either British or Norwegian/Swedish cohorts (45, 46). Although there are likely to be a number of different genes involved in CD susceptibility, it is possible that these vary in their contribution to disease susceptibility among different individuals, making them hard to identify.

Adaptive immunity: activation of HLA-DQ–restricted mucosal T cells and the role of tissue TGase.

How do HLA-DQ2 and HLA-DQ8 contribute to the immunopathogenesis of CD? To answer this question, this article focuses on the HLA-DQ2 heterodimer encoded by HLA-DQB1*0202 and HLA-DQA1*0501, as most CD patients carry this heterodimer and much of the structural biochemistry completed to date has used this heterodimer as a model. Nonetheless, similar considerations, with some variation, probably apply also to the HLA-DQ8 heterodimer.

HLA-DQ2 and HLA-DQ8 heterodimers on APCs can bind and subsequently present “gluten” peptides to populations of CD4+ T cells in the lamina propria of the small intestine (25, 47–49). How HLA-DQ2 and HLA-DQ8 bind such peptides was an enigma for several years, because the peptide-binding groove of HLA-DQ2 and HLA-DQ8 favors the binding of peptides with negatively charged residues at key anchor positions. Such negatively charged amino acids are largely absent from native “gluten” peptides generated in the human intestinal tract. However, this puzzle was solved after the discovery that the target antigen of an autoantibody present in many patients with CD was a calcium-dependent tissue TGase (50).

Tissue TGase, which is released in the intestinal mucosa during tissue injury, has a role in tissue repair and cross-links proteins by forming isopeptide bonds between glutamine and lysine residues. However, tissue TGase also has a high avidity for “gluten” peptides and, under certain conditions (for example, low pH) and in the absence of lysine residues, can deamidate glutamine (51, 52), which converts neutral glutamine to negatively charged glutamic acid (51, 53, 54). Further studies indicated that tissue TGase has specificity for only selected glutamine residues in the glutamine- and proline-rich “gluten” peptides, which depends on the amino acids neighboring the target glutamine residue. Some, but not all, of the deamidated “gluten” peptides, by virtue of having negatively charged glutamic acid residues, manifest an increased binding affinity for the disease-relevant HLA-DQ2 or HLA-DQ8 molecules (51, 52).

Once bound to HLA-DQ2 and HLA-DQ8, the “gluten”-peptide–HLA-DQ complexes can activate T cells in the mucosa of the small intestine that recognize these complexes (51, 55). Importantly, large “gluten” peptides that contain multiple HLA-DQ binding
epitopes (56, 57) have greater T cell–stimulatory activity than small peptides containing a single HLA-DQ2 binding sequence (19, 58). In this regard, a deamidated immunodominant 33–amino acid peptide of an α-gliadin can be recognized by T cells isolated from the small intestine of a number of adult HLA-DQ2–positive patients with CD, and it was suggested initially that T cell responses in HLA-DQ2–positive adult CD patients might be directed to a very limited number of deamidated “gluten” peptides (56, 59, 60). Nonetheless, HLA-DQ2–restricted T cells from children with CD recognize many different “gluten” peptides. That can also include, in some cases, “gluten” peptides that do not contain deamidated glutamine residues; this indicates that glutamine deamidation is not an absolute requirement for T cell activation early in the course of disease in children (61). It is now known that T cells in adults with CD also are reactive to multiple peptides from α- and γ-gliadins. The fact that “gluten”-reactive T cells from the mucosa of the small intestine of patients with CD can recognize a broad repertoire of “gluten” peptides and that these peptides can differ from patient to patient, renders therapeutic approaches designed to remove T cell–stimulatory epitopes from disease-activating dietary grains somewhat challenging.

The production of IFN-γ is a signature of “gluten” peptide–specific HLA-DQ2– and HLA-DQ8–restricted T cells that are isolated from the mucosa of the small intestine of CD patients, and it is considered to have a key role in the downstream initiation of mucosal damage (62). Neutralization of IFN-γ has been shown to prevent “gluten”-induced mucosal damage, at least in biopsies of CD mucosa maintained in organ culture (63). The commitment of “gluten”-reactive CD4+ T cells from the mucosa of patients with CD to produce IFN-γ is consistent with reports that the transcription factor T-bet, which directs Th1 cell–lineage commitment, is upregulated in the mucosa of untreated CD patients and returns to normal levels after gluten is withdrawn from the diet (64). In contrast to diseases that are characterized by a concurrent increase in IFN-γ and IL-12, the mucosa of patients with CD is striking for its lack of increased IL-12 production, and this is consistent with a lack of STAT4 upregulation in the intestinal mucosa of patients with CD (64). Cytokines other than IL-12 (for example, IL-23 and IL-27) might induce expression of T-bet in the mucosa of patients with CD (65). This raises questions regarding the possible role of these cytokines in CD pathogenesis. Notably, there is no clear evidence as to whether or not tissue TGase–specific antibody has an important role in the pathogenesis of tissue injury.

Why is disease limited to those with HLA-DQ2 or HLA-DQ8? Why CD is limited to individuals with HLA-DQ2 or HLA-DQ8 was clarified by studies of HLA-DQ2 and HLA-DQ8 interactions with “gluten” peptides that can activate host CD4+ T cells in the intestinal mucosa, and by x-ray crystallography of an HLA-DQ2 molecule containing a deamidated gluten peptide in its peptide-binding groove (66–70).

Both approaches provided insights into the specialized characteristics of HLA-DQ2 (and HLA-DQ8) that allow them to bind proline-rich “gluten” peptides that contain deamidated glutamine residues. One unique feature of HLA-DQ2 (and HLA-DQ8) molecules is the presence in their peptide-binding groove of several “pockets” that favor binding of negatively charged residues, such as those found in “gluten” peptides in which glutamine has been deamidated to glutamic acid. Furthermore, HLA-DQ2, like other MHC class II molecules, prefers to bind peptides with a left-handed polyproline
II helical configuration, which is a characteristic of these “gluten” peptides (11). Although proline-rich peptides generally disrupt hydrogen bonds important for peptide binding to most MHC class II molecules, HLA-DQ2 is optimally suited for the binding of proline-rich “gluten” peptides that have deamidated glutamines at specific residues in the correct binding register, without disrupting key hydrogen bonds (66). These findings provide an underpinning as to why HLA-DQ2 and HLA-DQ8 have such an important role in the development and amplification of CD4+ T cell responses in CD.

How large is the estimated repertoire of different disease-activating gluten peptides? The number of different peptides that potentially can be generated from the digestion of wheat, rye, and barley “glutens” in the human small intestine is enormous. Nonetheless, based on known amino acid sequences in wheat gluten, barley hordeins, and rye secalins, coupled with assumptions as to which glutamine residues are targets for deamidation by tissue TGase, the spacing of proline residues in those peptides, and the known preferred binding motifs of HLA-DQ2 and HLA-DQ8, algorithms very roughly estimated that there might be as few as 50 peptides in wheat, approximately 60 in rye, and fewer than 35 in barley that can effectively bind HLA-DQ2 or HLA-DQ8. Such peptides represent candidate sequences for activating HLA-DQ2– and HLA-DQ8–restricted CD4+ T cells in the intestinal mucosa of patients with CD (71). Avenins in oats, which have a lower proline content, are predicted to contain very few possible disease-activating sequences. This is compatible with clinical observations that the consumption of oats, at least in moderation, is not a major why HLA-DQ2 and HLA-DQ8 have such an important role in the pathogenesis of CD and in some of the complications of this disease, namely in refractory CD (that is, severe villous atrophy and malabsorption that either does not respond or no longer responds to a GFD) and in the development of EATLs. In particular, an increase in the number of IELs in the mucosa of the small intestine is a characteristic feature of CD, and those cells are likely to be important for the ongoing pathogenesis of CD (76–79). Furthermore, the IEL population has a substantial role in refractory CD and in the development of EATLs (5, 80). Following activation, IELs from patients with CD change from being typical antigen-specific T cells to being NK-like cells able to mediate epithelial cell damage through the recognition of stress-induced molecules on intestinal epithelial cells (79). The cytokine IL-15 takes center stage in this process. Upregulation of IL-15 expression by epithelial cells and DCs in the lamina propria in CD seems to contribute to altered signaling properties of the CD8+ IEL population. IL-15 also induces increased expression on intestinal epithelial cells of epithelial cell surface ligands (for example, MIC) that are targets of the cytotoxic, TCR-independent NK-like cells (78, 81–83). IL-15 produced by DCs in the CD mucosa also might be important in the adaptive T cell response to “gluten.”

Peptides are in the aminoterminal of α-gladiain (p31–49 and p31–43), which are not thought to bind to HLA-DQ2 or HLA-DQ8, has been reported to upregulate IL-15 production by intestinal epithelial cells, to increase IEL infiltration and epithelial cell apoptosis in a human intestinal mucosal organ culture model, and to cause epithelial damage when instilled into the human duodenum (77, 84). These findings raise another question: Why does “gluten” not induce similar responses and epithelial cell damage in everyone’s small intestine? Furthermore, it is not known whether IEL responses, which are putatively activated by “gluten” peptides, precede the in vivo activation of lamina propria “gluten”-specific CD4+ T cell responses or are activated secondarily to that response. In either case, to the extent that IEL and CD4+ T cell responses are largely dependent on encounter with dietary “gluten” peptides, withdrawal of “gluten” from the diet would be predicted to abrogate both, and this is the case.

A model of CD immunopathogenesis

A model that I have proposed to conceptualize the role of the adaptive T cell response in CD pathogenesis (Figure 5) divides pathogenesis into 3 phases: luminal and early mucosal events;
activation of pathogenic CD4+ T cells; and events leading to tissue damage. In the first phase, an individual ingests “gluten.” “Gluten” is digested to peptides, but because of the lack of prolyl endopeptidases among the gastric, pancreatic, and brush border enzymes, residual, relatively large “gluten” peptides that are rich in proline and glutamine remain after initial digestion. For 99% of individuals, including most of those who carry the CD susceptibility alleles that encode HLA-DQ2 and HLA-DQ8, this does not present a problem, at least in terms of developing CD. However, in those HLA-DQ2- or HLA-DQ8-positive individuals with increased susceptibility to CD, because of additional genetic and/or immunological factors and/or an adverse set of environmental events (for example, concurrent infection with an enteric virus), “gluten” peptides set in motion a series of immunological events that culminate in the immunopathology of CD.

Partially digested “gluten” peptides gain access to APCs in the subepithelial region of the small intestine, and the pathway(s) involved here is not yet determined but might include paracellular passage through a damaged epithelial cell layer, transepithelial passage, and/or the uptake of peptides by DC processes that can cross the epithelial cell layer (85). It is probable that the uptake of “gluten” peptides into a microenvironmental milieu in the small-intestinal mucosa suited for disease development is facilitated by a transient infection or other cause of inflammation in the small intestine. Viral infections would seem to be prime culprits to set the stage for a mucosal T cell response to “gluten” peptides. Having set the conditions for developing a Th1 cell response, in the second phase, “gluten” peptides bound to HLA-DQ2 or HLA-DQ8 encounter “gluten”-specific T cells that become committed to Th1 cytokine production. Activation of the “gluten”-specific CD4+ mucosal T cells is likely to be most pronounced in those individuals who are homozygous for HLA-DQ2 or in those who are heterozygous such that they have a double dose of the HLA-DQB1*02 allele. In the third phase, the release of IFN-γ and other cytokines, which perpetuate the ongoing response and alter key mucosal functions including intestinal permeability, can also result in the activation and release of enzymes that can damage the mucosa, such as MMPs (86, 87). This results in a loss of villous structure and crypt hypertrophy. Although substantial advances have been made in understanding the role of adaptive T cell immunity in the pathogenesis of CD, there nonetheless remain key holes in our knowledge and important open questions. For example, why is there an almost absolute skewing of the “gluten”-specific CD4+ T cell response to HLA-DQ-restricted CD4+ T cells in the intestinal mucosa, whereas the CD4+...
T cells that recognize “gluten” peptides in peripheral blood can also be HLA-DR restricted (88–90). Furthermore, what is the relative importance of IFN-γ produced by lamina propria CD4+ “gluten”-specific T cells compared with IFN-γ produced by the IEL population in CD? Importantly, why does one not see the classic CD lesion of crypt hypertrophy and villous atrophy in other mucosal inflammatory states that are also associated with increased IFN-γ production (for example, Crohn disease)? It is important to ask also what role the balance between IFN-α and IFN-γ production has in determining mucosal integrity and the outcome of cellular activation of NKG2 receptors on IEL.

How does one integrate newer findings with respect to the role of innate immunity into this disease model? It has been proposed that at least one α-gliadin peptide that is not known to bind HLA-DQ2 or HLA-DQ8 can activate IL-15 production by intestinal epithelial cells. IL-15 in turn activates and leads to altered signaling that both the adaptive and the innate responses abate on a GFD but it is not known whether such events precede or follow activation of the “gluten”-specific adaptive T cell response. However, the fact that both the adaptive and the innate responses abate on a GFD suggests ways to probe their possible interdependence.

Does knowledge of the immunopathogenesis of CD suggest alternative or adjunctive therapeutic approaches? A GFD currently is the only accepted therapy for CD. It has few risks and in most individuals is highly effective. Nonetheless, mainly for lifestyle and social reasons, many CD patients have a strong desire for alternative therapies. Recent insights into the immunopathogenesis of CD suggest potential alternative therapies to the GFD. However, consideration of alternative therapies must first take into account that, although inconvenient in several respects, the GFD is safe and effective. Therefore, one must carefully weigh the risks, benefits, and costs of alternatives, and carefully define under what conditions and indications such alternative therapies might be warranted.

Based on the central importance of HLA-DQ2 and HLA-DQ8 for the development of CD, methods that attempt to block the binding of disease-activating “gluten” peptides to HLA-DQ2 or HLA-DQ8 seem an obvious approach (91). Nonetheless, potential pitfalls exist in the design of and the means of administering such “blockers.” Furthermore, their possible effect on other host immune responses and their effectiveness in treating this disease are not known. Alternatively, approaches to block tissue TGase activity and the consequent deamidation of glutamine residues, which renders them better HLA-DQ2 or HLA-DQ8 binders, seem self-evident. However, whether inhibition of tissue TGase activity would be therapeutically useful is an open question, and a possible downside to such therapy could be inhibition of the important role that tissue TGase has in healing mucosal wounds. Further approaches to selectively delete specific T cell populations could also be envisioned, but here too risk/benefit and cost considerations could prove paramount.

Another alternative therapeutic approach could be to generate wheat varieties that lack or are markedly deficient in amino acid sequences that bind HLA-DQ2 or HLA-DQ8. At least I attempt to generate bread wheat devoid of disease-activating properties failed in the past (92) because of the high abundance of genes that encode glutin in the hexaploid genome of common bread wheat varieties. Therefore, it is difficult to delete a sufficient number of genes encoding the disease-activating gluten proteins but still retain the desirable baking qualities of wheat. Recent approaches to this problem have entailed searches for naturally occurring wheat varieties that, at the outset, have fewer T cell–stimulatory sequences than common bread wheats (93, 94). However, since T cells from each individual with CD can respond to multiple different gluten peptides, and the array of T cell specificities for gluten peptides differs from person to person, it is not clear whether such approaches will yield therapeutically useful products devoid of disease-activating properties in most CD patients. Marked attention is being focused also on the development of enzymes taken orally that can digest proline- and glutamine-rich “gluten” peptides before they encounter disease-activating APCs in the mucosa of the small intestine (95–99). Finally, recent reports demonstrating the marked upregulation of IL-15 production by epithelial cells and DCs in the intestinal mucosa suggest that approaches to block IL-15 might abrogate disease activity. This could be a useful adjunct therapy, especially in the treatment of refractory CD, where IL-15 seems to have a particularly important role through its activity on the IEL population.

Conclusions
In conclusion, some key aspects of the immunopathogenesis of CD and the genetic factors that govern host susceptibility to this disease are now well understood. Conversely, there are a number of important open questions and “missing links” that impede the full explanation of the pathogenesis of this disease. To explore a number of these important remaining questions, it seems essential to develop genetically manipulated animal models that recapitulate key events in the immunopathogenesis of this disease. Discovery and understanding of these missing links has great potential to lead to new approaches for the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of CD. On a more general note, studies designed to unravel the pathogenesis of CD hold substantial promise for our understanding not only of this important gastrointestinal disease, but also of key mechanisms in other important autoimmune and inflammatory diseases.
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