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Most diseases that cause catastrophic loss of vision do so as a result of abnormal angiogenesis and wound healing, often in response to tissue ischemia or inflammation. Disruption of the highly ordered tissue architecture in the eye caused by vascular leakage, hemorrhage, and concomitant fibrosis can lead to mechanical disruption of the visual axis and/or biological malfunctioning. An increased understanding of inflammation, wound healing, and angiogenesis has led to the development of drugs effective in modulating these biological processes and, in certain circumstances, the preservation of vision. Unfortunately, such pharmacological interventions often are too little, too late, and progression of vision loss frequently occurs. The recent development of progenitor and/or stem cell technologies holds promise for the treatment of currently incurable ocular diseases.

Introduction

To see well, we must maintain a clear visual axis and normally functioning cellular phototransduction. Light entering the eye passes through the cornea (the major refractive surface), the lens, the vitreous (gel in the posterior chamber of the eye), the inner retina, and, finally, into the photoreceptors of the outer retina (Figure 1). These photoreceptors are the site at which photons of light are converted into electrical signals that are transmitted to the visual cortex of the brain by a complex series of synaptic transmissions (Figure 1). To maintain a visual axis through which light can pass undisturbed, a highly ordered tissue structure is required. Any disturbance in normal cell-cell relationships can lead to biological malfunctioning and/or diffraction, absorbance, or reflection of photons, resulting in disturbed or diminished vision.

Homeostasis of the eye, as in tissues elsewhere in the body, depends on the presence of normal vasculature, ECM, and various cell types. If homeostasis is disturbed by infection, inflammation, or metabolic disease, visual function becomes impaired. The end result of these conditions is often fibrosis. In the CNS, of which the retina is a part, such wound-healing responses and associated fibrosis are mediated by glial cells, which perform functions in the CNS similar to those performed by fibroblasts in the rest of the body. Therefore, glial is frequently used to describe the glial cell-mediated wound-healing response observed in the CNS, much as fibrosis (which is fibroblast mediated) is used to describe similar processes in non-CNS tissues. In the skin, fibrosis can lead to a cosmetic blemish in the form of a scar; in the eye this can have disastrous consequences for vision — mechanically disrupting the visual axis or sufficiently disturbing the tissue microenvironment such that proper cellular functioning is no longer possible. For example, fibrosis of the cornea can occur after a viral infection, leading to corneal opacification and thereby loss of vision. In the posterior segment of the eye (Figure 1), uncontrolled retinal vascular proliferation, as a result of diabetes-associated retinal hypoxia, can lead to fibrosis and traction retinal detachment, a dreaded complication of advanced diabetic retinopathy (DR). Under the retina, similar fibrosis can occur subsequent to subretinal hemorrhage associated with neovascular age-related macular degeneration (ARMD).

Collectively, these conditions of fibrosis in the eye lead to vision loss in millions of individuals worldwide. In this Review, I discuss the cellular pathophysiology associated with fibrosis in the anterior and posterior segments of the eye (Figure 1), with a focus on the latter. Therapeutic approaches for treating these disorders, based on advances in our understanding of the biological mechanisms underlying these conditions, are reviewed and then discussed in the context of recent novel advances in the area of cell-based therapies.

Fibrosis in the eye: general considerations

Fibrosis commonly refers to the response of a tissue to injury. The injury can occur as a result of a mechanical wound or various metabolic malfunctions, including responses to inflammation, ischemia, and degenerative disease. The local response to such injuries includes infiltration by inflammatory cells, neovascularization, altered vascular permeability, proliferation of fibroblasts and fibroblast-like cells, modification of the ECM, and, ultimately, some sort of resolution of the damaged tissue. The CNS is highly specialized in many ways, including the types of inflammatory and wound-healing cells present. Since the retina is part of the CNS, its response to injury utilizes mechanisms very similar to those observed in the rest of the brain; this is true not only for the wound-healing response but also for utilization of migratory cues functional during development of the neuronal and vascular components of this highly organized tissue (1, 2). As discussed below, the response of the anterior segment of the eye to wound healing more closely resembles the response of non-CNS tissues than do such events in the posterior segment or the eye. Therefore, I refer to such wound-healing events in the anterior segment as fibrosis, whereas comparable events in the retina are referred to as gliosis. Although such distinction is somewhat artificial, it does serve to differentiate between the fibroblasts and glial cells that effect the wound-healing and scar-formation events.

Anterior segment fibrotic diseases of the eye

Two major diseases of the anterior segment of the eye leading to visual loss are corneal opacification and glaucoma. In glaucoma, there is progressive loss of ganglion cells of the nerve fiber layer; this results in degeneration of the neuronal tracts through which efferent signals travel from the retina to the visual cortex (3). Typically associated with increased intraocular pressure, this disease can lead to progressive constriction of the visual fields and, even-
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eventually, complete loss of vision. Although increased intraocular pressure can occur from either increased production of intraocular fluid or increased resistance to outflow, it is more commonly believed that progressive fibrosis of the tracts through which the intraocular fluid leaves the eye (called the trabecular meshwork) accounts for most of the damage that causes glaucoma. Increased understanding of the molecular basis for malfunctioning of the trabecular meshwork (4) (in particular, the aberrant production of ECM components) and of the fibrosis associated with increased resistance to outflow, holds promise for developing therapeutics for this relentlessly progressive disease (5).

Although there are those who consider the cornea simply a “dust-cover for the retina,” it in fact is a highly organized tissue through which light must pass before entering the rest of the eye. The cornea is covered externally by a stratified nonkeratinizing epithelium and internally by a single layer of transporting endothelium with multiple orthogonal arrays of collagen in between. It is normally avascular due to the high concentration of soluble VEGFR-1 (6) and is surrounded by a transitional margin, the corneal limbus, within which resides nascent endothelium and corneal epithelial stem cells (7), which have high potential for therapeutic value (8). Diseases of the cornea can be genetic (e.g., inherited dystrophies) or acquired secondary to infection (e.g., herpetic keratitis) or inflammation (e.g., pterygia). Elastoid degeneration of the conjunctiva, resulting in pingueculae and pterygia (fibrovascular growths on the surface of the cornea), can lead to visual loss secondary to
induced astigmatism and/or obstruction of the visual axis and would be amenable to topically applied inhibitors of fibrosis and/or angiogenesis (9). The final common events in all of these diseases are often inflammatory changes associated with neovascularization, tissue edema, and, ultimately, fibrosis of the corneal stroma, which leads to opacification and decreased vision (10). Nearly 20 years ago, penetrating keratoplasty (or corneal transplants) changed the uniformly dismal prognosis for patients with opacified or failed corneas; in a substantial percentage of patients undergoing this procedure, if there are no other associated abnormalities, the visual axis is cleared and vision is restored. Despite advances in the use of antiinflammatory drugs, antibiotics, and hypertonic solutions to reduce corneal edema associated with the immune response to the transplant, there is a substantial failure rate, typically due to recurrent opacification. Recent advances in corneal limbal stem cell biology hold the promise of reducing the failure rate for this procedure (11).

Posterior segment fibrotic diseases of the eye

General comments. The posterior segment of the eye consists of structures behind the lens; the interior of the back of the eye is filled with vitreous, a viscoelastic material consisting largely of water, collagen, and hyaluronic acid (12). The vitreous serves as a shock absorber, among other things, for the retina, the most posterior tissue in the eye. In addition, the vitreous can provide scaffolding over which glial and endothelial cells migrate from their normal intraretinal position anteriorly over the retinal surface and/or into the vitreous in certain disease states (e.g., diabetes, proliferative vitreoretinopathy, retinopathy of prematurity [ROP]). The retina consists of multiple layers of neurons, blood vessels, ECM, and various resident and transient cells such as glial cells and monocytes. The vascular supply of the retina consists of the retinal blood vessels (found in three layers on the innermost portion of the retina) and the choriocapillaris (a rich vascular plexus found in the outermost portion of the retina). The photoreceptors are in the outermost portion of the neurosensory retina and rest on a monolayer of cells, the retinal pigmented epithelium (RPE), discussed further below. The RPE rests on a collagenous basement membrane (Bruch membrane), and directly beneath this structure flows the choriocapillaris, providing blood supply for the outer third of the retina. Although there is a blood-retina barrier and relative immune privilege in this part of the eye, normal inflammatory responses to irritation and hypoxia can be quite robust and can lead to much of the pathology observed in diseases that decrease vision (Figure 1).

Most diseases that lead to vision loss in industrialized nations do so as a result of abnormalities in the retinal or choroidal vasculature. These diseases, characterized by macula edema, retinal and vitreous hemorrhage, and fibrovascular scarring, include ARMD, DR, ROP, and neovascular glaucoma. The final common pathophysiological denominator in all of these diseases is the retinal response to injury, with chronic wound healing leading to fibrosis. Although the underlying principles of wound healing in other tissues apply to this process in the eye, it is the uniqueness of the cellular composition and anatomical structure of the retina that makes this normal biological process so potentially devastating to vision. The photoreceptors are located in the outermost portion of the neurosensory retina, just anterior to the RPE and choriocapillaris. Overlying these cells are the vitreous, nerve fiber layer, inner nuclear layer, numerous capillary plexuses, and Mueller-glial cells and their processes (Figure 1). For light to hit the photoreceptors in an undisturbed manner such that visual images can be formed, it is important that the highly organized architecture of the retina is preserved. When abnormal blood vessels form in response to inflammatory or hypoxic stimuli, they can leak fluid, causing retinal thickening and edema, and/or bleed, leading to fibrovascular proliferation and tractional retinal detachment. The following discussion focuses on the unique aspects of wound healing, fibrosis, and scar formation as it occurs in the posterior segment of the eye.

Fibrovascular scarring and gliosis in the retina. In simplest terms, fibrovascular scarring is a consequence of the underlying inflammatory or hypoxia-driven neovascularization and its associated fibrosis. Therefore, prevention of the primary vascular abnormality is the most appropriate therapeutic target to preserve retinal structure and function. To understand fibrosis and its consequences in the back of the eye, understanding the unique aspects of retinal fibrosis is necessary. Glial cells are the CNS counterparts of peripheral fibroblasts, with several key distinctions, and are therefore the primary participants in the formation of fibrotic scars in response to retinal injury and disease. In addition to their fibrotic tendencies, glial cells also perform a myriad of supportive functions for the neurons with which they are intimately associated. In the retina, this trophic relationship to neurons is extended to the vascular endothelium, with which certain glia are intimately associated in both developing and mature tissue. For example, activated astrocytes form the template over which retinal vascular endothelial cells migrate during formation of the superficial vascular plexus (1, 13); disturbances in the number or distribution of these cells disrupts the normal development of the retinal vasculature (14). Glial cells of the retina include the resident immune cells, microglial cells, and two types of macroglial cell, the astrocyte and the retina-specific Mueller-glial cell (15). Two broad categories of disease account for most of the conditions that lead to fibrovascular scarring in the retina and its associated vision loss—inflammatory diseases (e.g., ARMD) and ischemic diseases (e.g., DR).

Subretinal fibrosis: ARMD. The leading cause of vision loss in Americans over the age of 65 is ARMD: 12–15 million Americans over the age of 65 have this disease and 10%–15% of them will lose central vision as a direct effect of choroidal (subretinal) neovascularization and fibrosis. Clinically, most of these individuals develop atrophic changes in the RPE, which performs a myriad of functions associated with normal photoreceptor functioning (16) and is the cellular interface between the underlying choriocapillaris and the outermost portion of the neurosensory retina, the photoreceptors. As the RPE ages or becomes diseased, it can function improperly, and a build-up of subretinal deposits, called drusen, accumulate. These drusen contain, among other things, angiogenic lipids and damaged proteins (17). RPE dysfunction and the accumulation of drusen can lead to thickening of Bruch membrane, and the accumulation of angiogenic drusen associated with this fibrosis can lead to decreased diffusion of oxygen from the choriocapillaris to the photoreceptors, further exacerbating conditions that can lead to choroidal neovascularization. Once these new abnormal blood vessels begin to grow in the subretinal space, they often hemorrhage, leading to further wound-healing responses and, ultimately, to subretinal fibrosis (Figure 1, D and E). Needless to say, local destruction of photoreceptors, the RPE, and choroidal blood vessels leads to permanent reduction in macular function and vision. Efforts to develop animal models to study this process have been
Table 1
Molecules with angiogenic activity in the eye

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>General angiogenic activity</th>
<th>Angiogenic activity in the eye</th>
<th>Clinical use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angiogenin</td>
<td>Increases EC proliferation Promotes tubular organization in vitro</td>
<td>Increased in vitreous of patients with PDR and PVR</td>
<td>Possible tumor prognostic marker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiopoietin-1</td>
<td>Stabilizes neovessels Matures neovessels</td>
<td>Important role during development Important role in pathological NV</td>
<td>Might prevent vessel permeability in the eye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiopoietin-2</td>
<td>Can be angiogenic or angiostatic (depends on cofactors)</td>
<td>Can increase ischemia-induced NV Increased in patients with PDR</td>
<td>Under evaluation for potential clinical use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGFs</td>
<td>Increases angiogenesis in vitro and in vivo</td>
<td>Associated with choroidal and retinal NV</td>
<td>Under evaluation for potential clinical use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF-1</td>
<td>Expression correlates with angiogenesis Expression correlates with tumor metastasis</td>
<td>Mediates VEGF-induced NV in ischemic retinopathies</td>
<td>Somatostatin analogs in clinical trials to treat diabetic retinopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrins</td>
<td>Some (e.g., α5β3, and α6β3) are critical for vessel growth and survival</td>
<td>αvβ3 mediates basic FGF-increased angiogenesis αvβ5 mediates VEGF-increased angiogenesis</td>
<td>Integrin antagonists are being tested as potent angiostatics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-8</td>
<td>Increases EC proliferation Increases angiogenesis</td>
<td>Associated with ischemic retinal NV Associated with inflammation</td>
<td>Under evaluation for potential clinical use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIGF</td>
<td>Specific modulator of EC response to VEGF during angiogenesis</td>
<td>Increased in human CMV Inhibition increased NV in mouse</td>
<td>Under evaluation for potential clinical use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDGF-BB</td>
<td>Induces VEGF expression Increases angiogenesis</td>
<td>Might mediate pericyte recruitment Might mediate vascular stabilization</td>
<td>Under evaluation for potential clinical use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGF-β</td>
<td>Low doses increase angiogenesis High doses decrease angiogenesis Inflammatory</td>
<td>Increases vascular permeability in the retina by increased MMP9</td>
<td>Under evaluation for potential clinical use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>Increases EC proliferation Increases growth factor effects High doses decrease angiogenesis</td>
<td>Associated with various ocular diseases with related NV</td>
<td>Infliximab (TNF-α-specific antibody)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VE-cadherin</td>
<td>Critical for EC intracellular adhesion Modulates VEGF activity</td>
<td>Retinal vascular development</td>
<td>Inhibitory antibodies and T2-TrpRS are antiangiogenic for tumors or ocular diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>Critical proangiogenic growth factor</td>
<td>Vascular development, pathological NV</td>
<td>Multiple anti-VEGF treatments in the clinic or clinical trials</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NV, neovascularization; PIGF, placental growth factor; PDR proliferative DR; PVR, proliferative vitreoretinopathy, VE-cadherin, vascular endothelial cadherin.

The leading cause of visual loss for Americans under the age of 65 is diabetes; 6%–8% of the American population is diabetic, and 40,000 patients each year suffer visual loss from complications of the disease, often as a result of retinal edema or neovascularization (21). Virtually every diabetic has some form of DR after 20 years of the disease (21). Ischemia occurs as a result of the diabetic microvasculopathy that includes pericyte cell death, microaneurysms, intraretinal microvascular abnormalities, altered vascular permeability, and macular edema (22).

Advances in therapeutic options available to treat neovascular ARMD have provided some benefit to small subsets of patients with this disease (19, 20). Most drugs currently in clinical trials or approved for treating ARMD-associated choroidal neovascularizations are directed at inhibiting promoters of angiogenesis, such as VEGF. There is extensive literature covering these approaches, and I refer the reader to several excellent recent reviews (refs. 16, 19).

Unfortunately, inhibiting angiogenic cytokines does not address the underlying pathophysiology — ischemia and inflammatory stimuli. Efforts to minimize sub- and epiretinal fibrosis have met with limited success and, in any event, would represent a therapeutic intervention occurring too late to rescue vision, since such scarring would have already led to photoreceptor death.

Epirretinal fibrosis: DR. The leading cause of visual loss for Americans under the age of 65 is diabetes; 6%–8% of the American population is diabetic, and 40,000 patients each year suffer visual loss from complications of the disease, often as a result of retinal edema or neovascularization (21). Virtually every diabetic has some form of DR after 20 years of the disease (21). Ischemia occurs as a result of the diabetic microvasculopathy that includes pericyte cell death, microaneurysms, intraretinal microvascular abnormalities, altered vascular permeability, and macular edema (22). As the hypoxia increases, neovascularization can occur, leading to intraretinal, subhyaloid (between the retinal surface and posterior vitreous base) and vitreous hemorrhage (Figure 1B). These proliferating blood vessels are accompanied by fibrosis that occurs as a consequence of glial cell activation and proliferation (gliosis) (Figure 1C). As abnormal vessels continue to proliferate on the retinal surface, they can extend into the vitreous and contract, causing traction on the retinal surface and leading to retinal detachment, a dreaded complication of proliferative DR. Retinal neovascularization and associated gliosis and fibrosis are also observed in ROP (23) and as a complication of surgery to treat retinal detachment (24, 25). Surgical intervention and laser obliteration of the peripheral retina (to decrease the metabolic demand and thereby match up supply and demand) are the current treatments and are of limited benefit. Although animal models of ischemic retinopathy have been very useful in helping to develop a better understanding of factors that control retinal vascular proliferation (24, 26), the rodent does not develop the associated preretinal fibrosis, limiting its utility in studying the gliosis observed in the human condition. Given that abnormal vascular proliferation serves as the stimulus for pathological fibrotic responses in these diseases, the following

hampered by the fact that rodents do not seem to faithfully mimic the human disease, although transgenic mice have provided some use in this regard (18).
discussion focuses on known basic molecular and/or biological pathways of angiogenesis and rational approaches to therapeutic interventions based on this knowledge.

**Neovascularization of the retina leads to gliosis and fibrous scarring**

*General considerations.* The ocular response to hypoxia and inflammatory insults typically leads to retinal or choroidal neovascularization. During development, this process is highly regulated and leads to the establishment of a well-organized, mature vasculature (1). In the adult eye, this is often not the case, and associated glial cells (e.g., astrocytes, microglia, and Mueller-glial cells) proliferate with the endothelial cells, leading to fibrosis and scar formation. To understand this gliotic response, it is important to understand angiogenesis.

In the normal adult, angiogenesis (defined as the growth of new blood vessels from preexisting ones) is tightly regulated and limited to wound healing, pregnancy, and uterine cycling. Our understanding of the molecular events involved in the angiogenic process has advanced substantially since the purification of the first angiogenic molecules nearly two decades ago (27). This process, under physiologic conditions, can be activated by specific angiogenic molecules (Table 1), such as basic and acidic FGF (28), VEGF (29), angiogenin (30), TGF-β (31), IFN-β (32), TNF-α (33), and PDGF (34). Angiogenesis can also be suppressed by inhibitory molecules (Table 2), such as IFN-α (35), thalidomide (36), thrombospondin-1 (37), angiotatin (38), endostatin (39), a naturally occurring form of the carboxyterminal, noncatalytic domain of MMP-2 (PEX) (40), transfer RNA (tRNA) synthetases (41, 42), and pigment epithelium–derived factor (43), MMP antagonists (78), and somatostatin analogs (79–81) have demonstrated potent antiangiogenic activity in various animal models of ocular neovascularization. Laser photoocoagulation has been effective in preventing severe visual loss in subgroups of high-risk diabetic patients but, with few exceptions, has not been effective at preventing visual loss in patients with choroidal neovascularization due to ARMD or inflammatory eye diseases such as ocular histoplasmosis. Photodynamic therapy using nonthermal lasers to activate photoactivatable dyes reduces severe vision loss in a small subset of ARMD patients (82). Very recent substantial advances in the use of antiangiogenic monotherapy, principally VEGF antagonists, have led to the reduction of severe vision loss in a subset of patients with ARMD (83). Although slowing, or even minimally improving, vision loss in some patients, this approach does not offer relief for the underlying condition or the ischemia driving the neovascularization. Thus, a substantial challenge in developing effective treatments for these diseases remains the relief of retinal ischemia. Combination therapy holds great promise in this area (84) but remains relatively unexplored.

Retinal neovascularization leads to gliosis. Retinal glial cells, and astrocytes in particular, have an important role in establishing and maintaining the highly ordered retinal vasculature (1, 14). Retinal injury due to hypoxia or inflammatory changes, similar to injuries in other tissues, is typically associated with neovascularization and fibrosis (85). Reactive gliosis can be both neuro- and vasculoprotective but can also directly contribute to scar formation and tractional lesions that lead to vision loss. Therefore, if we are to better control the retinal response to injury, a better understanding of the regulation of glial cell proliferation is necessary. A number of studies have examined the control of Mueller-glial cell proliferation and activation after retinal injury, and a role for p27Kip1 has been demonstrated in the regulation of Mueller-glial cell proliferation during injury-associated gliosis (86). These cells not only participate in glial scar formation after injury but can also upregulate the production of trophic factors that facilitate neuronal survival
following injury through the production of neurotrophic factors such as ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF) (87).

**RPE can modulate the retinal response to injury.** The cells of the RPE are highly specialized stationary macrophages, of sorts, that perform many functions relating to maintenance of healthy photoreceptors (16) and often participate in injury response that involves the outer retina and choriocapillaris (e.g., choroidal and intraretinal neovascularization) (88). The cells of the RPE not only phagocytose debris but are known to upregulate the production of a number of cytokines that serve to modulate the inflammatory and neovascular response to injury and stress (89). Although substantial progress has been made in identifying many of the factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>General antiangiogenic activity</th>
<th>Antiangiogenic activity in the eye</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ECM-derived molecules</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endostatin</td>
<td>Efficiently blocks angiogenesis in multiple in vitro and in vivo models of angiogenesis</td>
<td>Inhibits angiogenesis and vascular leakage in models of retinal NV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibulin</td>
<td>Antagonizes VEGF signaling</td>
<td>Mutations might be associated with decreased incidence of ARMD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSP-1</td>
<td>Might possess different pro- and antiangiogenic activity based on cleavage fragments produced</td>
<td>Associated with ischemic retinopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deficiency results in decreased angiogenesis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Might block VEGF and basic FGF activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSP-2</td>
<td>Can inhibit tumor growth, particularly in combination with TSP-1</td>
<td>Might help maintain angiostasis in transparent regions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deficiency results in hypervascularization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Growth factors and cytokines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFNs</td>
<td>Inhibit tumor angiogenesis in mouse xenograft models</td>
<td>Minimally effective as a treatment for ARMD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decrease expression of IL-8 and other proangiogenic factors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukins (with ELR motif)</td>
<td>IL-4 can block basic FGF-induced angiogenesis</td>
<td>IL-12 decreases ocular NV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IL-12 substantially reduces tumor growth</td>
<td>IL-18 deficiency results in abnormal retinal vascular development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEDF</td>
<td>Inhibits vascular growth in several in vivo and in vitro models</td>
<td>Natural expression maintains ocular vascular quiescence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Counteracts VEGF angiogenic activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other antiangiogenic molecules</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-methoxyestradiol</td>
<td>Blocks nuclear accumulation and activity of HIF-1α</td>
<td>Reduces CNV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Substantially decreases VEGF expression</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inhibits tumor growth and angiogenesis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiostatin</td>
<td>Has potent antiangiogenic activity in multiple in vitro and in vivo models</td>
<td>Decreases pathological retinal and choroidal NV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decreases pathological angiogenesis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antithrombin</td>
<td>Cleavage of the carboxyterminal loop induces a conformational change with antiangiogenic activity</td>
<td>Antithrombin III can protect retina from ischemia/reperfusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decreases perlecan and reduces FGF-mediated angiogenesis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEX</td>
<td>Blocks binding of MMP2 to integrins at sites of neovascularization</td>
<td>Developmental expression correlates with decreased angiogenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inhibits MMP activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasminogen activator inhibitor</td>
<td>Low doses might decrease angiogenesis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High doses can decrease angiogenesis</td>
<td>Inhibits NV in rat model of OIR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regulates EC migration in vitro and angiogenesis and tumor growth in vivo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inhibits VEGF signaling in ECs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolactin fragment</td>
<td>Latent prolactin is angiogenic, but the cleaved fragment is antiangiogenic</td>
<td>Inhibits pathological NV in OIR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inhibits angiogenic activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2-TrpRS</td>
<td>Inhibits NV in the CAM and mouse matrigel models</td>
<td>Blocks retinal vascular development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Might block VE-cadherin adhesion and signaling</td>
<td>Inhibits pathological NV in OIR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMPs</td>
<td>Suppress MMP activity and ECM turnover</td>
<td>Overexpression of TIMP3 in RPE inhibits CNV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inhibits EC angiogenesis independent of MMP inhibition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other pluripotent effects on cell growth and apoptosis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inhibits EC attachment to laminin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasostatin</td>
<td>Decreases basic FGF–induced EC proliferation and angiogenesis</td>
<td>Inhibits CNV and corneal angiogenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inhibits vascular leakage in models of retinal NV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CAM, chorioallantoic membrane; CNV, choroidal NV; ELR motif, Glu-Leu-Arg amino acid motif; HIF-1α, hypoxia-inducible factor 1α; OIR, oxygen-induced retinopathy; PEDF, pigment epithelium–derived factor; TSP, thrombospondin.
that promote and inhibit angiogenesis and gliosis, the complexity of cellular interactions and the number of factors involved have made meaningful therapeutic intervention very difficult.

**Cell-based therapies for the treatment of diseases of retinal fibrosis/gliosis**

Current therapies for ARMD and DR aim to inhibit cytokines that mediate the vasoproliferative response or to destroy the tissue that is creating the increased metabolic demand. A more rational therapeutic approach would be to relieve the hypoxia or to replace or normalize the cells that underlie the fibrotic response to injury. Our increased understanding of the angiogenic process has led to the identification of effector molecules, their cellular receptors, intracellular signaling cascades, and post-transcriptional regulators; this knowledge has translated into the use of compounds that can inhibit the pathological angiogenesis associated with tumors and neovascular eye diseases (90). Understanding of cell-cell and cell-ECM interactions that affect angiogenesis at the tissue level has also improved, and more recently a role for circulating cells in the regulation of vascular homeostasis in the adult organism has been identified in a number of organs, including the eye (91). In addition to the endothelial cells and their progenitors, it is critical to identify and modulate cells that contribute to the fibrotic response; key cells to target in this regard would be Mueller-glial cells or the cells of the RPE.

More recent work suggests that cell-based therapy, using adult bone marrow–derived progenitor cells, could have substantial clinical utility in the treatment of ischemic retinopathies such as ROP and DR. One advantage of such an approach, in contrast to inhibiting angiogenesis with small molecules or recombinant proteins, lies in the ability of the cell to adapt and respond to a changing environment. Current therapeutic approaches to the treatment of neovascular eye diseases generally involve the application of a single angiostatic or antiproliferative agent to the eye. Cell therapy probably involves numerous factors produced by the cell that can be appropriately modulated in response to changing conditions. This shift, from antiangiogenics and destructive procedures that inhibit or ablate hypoxia-driven neovascularization to cell-based therapies that facilitate repair and stabilization, could be of benefit in the treatment of certain ocular disorders.

**Could RPE cell-based therapies modulate the injury response observed in ARMD?** The RPE and photoreceptors enjoy an intimate relationship both anatomically and functionally. This interdependency has historically contributed to the difficulty in determining whether the principal underlying defect in many inherited retinal degenerations lies in the photoreceptor or the cells of the RPE. With the advent of molecular genetics this confusion has decreased, but the interdependency between these two cell types remains, and concomitant degeneration of both cell types is often observed in various inherited and acquired degenerative diseases of the retina. In this regard, RPE cell transplantation has been evaluated for its potential to serve as a cell-based drug-delivery vehicle, to replace diseased RPE, and to provide a source of cells whose phenotypic differentiation might be manipulated by various cytokines and trophic substances. In a number of macula and retinal degenerative disorders, there is atrophy of the RPE and associated malfunctioning in the phototransducing cellular machinery. Damaged RPE cells and associated atrophy are hallmarks of ARMD, and heroic surgical approaches involving retinal transplantation and the insertion of RPE sheets have been considered to provide photoreceptors in individuals with healthier, RPE-rich regions of the retina (92). Although retinal translocation has enjoyed a limited clinical effort (93), the insertion of RPE sheets is still in the laboratory stages of development (94, 95). Recent clinical studies of autofluorescence in patients with ARMD have shown a surprisingly high level of functional RPE (96), even in the face of choroidal neovascularization and early subretinal fibrosis, suggesting that interventions aimed at sustaining RPE might be productive means to maintaining photoreceptor function in the face of choroidal neovascularization.

Immortalized human cell lines derived from the RPE have been created to facilitate both the transplantation of cells of the RPE and their use in cell-based therapeutic approaches (97, 98). When transformed RPE-derived cell lines are transfected with a plasmid encoding one therapeutic factor, CNTF, and transplanted directly into the vitreous of dogs with retinal degeneration, photoreceptor degeneration is reduced (97, 98). Encapsulated cell-based delivery devices (99) might be useful in the treatment of various retinal degenerative diseases and, in fact, have recently been used in a human clinical trial evaluating the efficacy of CNTF in the treatment of retinal degeneration. Cells with many of the molecular and functional characteristics of the cells of the RPE have recently been isolated from spontaneously differentiating human embryonic stem cell lines and have been touted as a potential source of transplantable RPE for subretinal transplantation into human retinas (100). If these cell lines can be maintained in cell banks and altered so as to facilitate immune acceptance, they might represent a source of transplantable tissue. Embryonic stem cells might also be a source of transplantable cells of the RPE that retain RPE characteristics or can differentiate into photoreceptor cells (101). Finally, cells of the RPE modified to suppress normal wound-healing/fibrotic responses to subretinal injuries such as choroidal neovascularization might be useful in the treatment of neovascular ARMD.

**Adult bone marrow–derived stem cells**. Adult bone marrow is known to contain a population of HSCs that can differentiate into various cell types including myeloid and endothelial lineage cells. Endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) mobilize from the bone marrow in response to various signaling molecules (102) and can target sites of angiogenesis in ischemic peripheral vasculature, myocardium, and induced ocular injury. The EPC-containing fraction of the HSCs, when injected directly into the eye, can localize to activated astrocytes, a hallmark of many ocular vascular and degenerative diseases, and participate in normal developmental angiogenesis in neonatal mice and injury-induced neovascularization in the adult (103). These intravitreally injected adult bone marrow–derived progenitor cells can completely prevent the retinal vascular degeneration observed in the rd11 and rd10 mouse models of retinal degeneration, and this vascular rescue correlates with neuronal rescue (104). Large-scale genomic analysis of rescued eyes revealed substantial upregulation of antiapoptotic genes, and this effect is also observed when human bone marrow–derived progenitor cells are used to treat SCID mice with retinal degeneration. In addition, this subset of HSCs, if previously transfected with a plasmid encoding a secreted antiangiogenic peptide, profoundly inhibits retinal angiogenesis (103), suggesting that cell-based therapy might be useful in the inhibition of proliferative retinopathies.

The specific identity of the potentially therapeutic, pluripotent EPC-containing fraction of HSCs remains an enigma. HSCs have been reported to differentiate into various cell types other than hematopoietic cells, including neurons, glial cells, and muscle
cells, depending on their environment (105), although this is not without controversy (106). The observation that HSCs contain a pool of EPCs able to incorporate into retinal vasculature has been demonstrated, but there is continuing controversy as to the precise identity of these cells (107). Similarly, systemically administered HSCs can function as hemangioblasts during hypoxia-stimulated retinal neovascularization (108) and contribute to wound healing and angiogenesis in a mouse model of laser-stimulated choroidal neovascularization (109–111). Recent studies have demonstrated a role for R-cadherin (112) and the integrin \( \alpha_4 \beta_1 \) (102) in the targeting of HSCs to the retinal and tumor vasculature, respectively. Therefore, inhibiting the targeting of the circulating HSCs that contribute to abnormal ocular neovascularization might, in fact, reduce the incidence of new blood vessel growth. Unfortunately, inhibition of neovascularization under ischemic conditions can serve to promote ongoing ischemia. Would it be better to coax the newly forming vessels to develop in a functional manner that could alleviate hypoxia, thereby avoiding neovascularization and associated complications, including fibrosis?

Retinal microglial cells for use in cell-based therapy of retinal fibrotic disease. Retinal microglial cells have historically been viewed as immunocompetent cells that respond to inflammation and infection, phagocytosing debris created during normal developmental remodeling or degenerative disease. A role for microglial cells in promoting retinal vasculization has not been clearly described. We have recently demonstrated that adult bone marrow–derived cells expressing surface markers of myeloid progenitors differentiate into microglia and facilitate the enhanced recovery of vasculature after hypoxic injury (113). This process is dependent on hypoxia-inducible factor 1α (HIF-1α) and describes a novel role for myeloid progenitors in modulating angiogenesis. In addition, endogenous microglial cells have an important role in promoting and maintaining retinal vasculization during normal development.

Figure 2
Cell-based therapy for the treatment of ischemic retinopathies. Bone marrow–derived progenitor cells are injected into the posterior segment of the eye, where the injected cells localize to activated astrocytes. Both endothelial and myeloid progenitor cells localize to forming vasculature, where they can differentiate into endothelial cells or microglial cells, each participating in vasculotrophic rescue of ischemic blood vessels. Top far right, control-treated eye; bottom far right, progenitor cell–treated eye. Images reproduced from *Nature Medicine* (103) and *Journal of Clinical Investigation* (113).
there by stromal cell–derived factor-1 (SDF-1) promoting vessel growth in these organs in a paracrine fashion. In addition to this paracrine function, myoid progenitors have recently been shown to differentiate into endothelial cells, further underscoring their role in promoting normal vessel growth and facilitating angiogenesis in the adult (120). Before such concepts can be applied to using these cells to restore normal vasculature in ischemic retinas, it is necessary to better understand the relationships among microenvironmental cues (mediated either through ECM and/or cell-produced signaling molecules), the endothelium, and vascular-associated cells. The signals that determine whether normal or abnormal angiogenesis, with or without associated fibrosis, occurs remain to be clearly defined. Better defining these parameters is the greatest challenge to implementing cell-based therapies for treatment of fibrovascular diseases of the retina.

A cautionary note needs to be added. Although selective targeting of progenitor cells to sites of neovascularization and/or gliosis/fibrosis might be beneficial under certain circumstances, there is data suggesting that these cells can, under appropriate circumstances, contribute to the disease process. Much of these data have come from studies of experimental models in which sublethal irradiation is followed by bone marrow transplantation with fluorescently-labeled cells that are then observed to home to sites of pathological neovascularization following mechanical or laser-induced injury (109–111). Whether an intact blood-retinal barrier (compromised by laser in most of these studies), endogenous glial cells, or the RPE ordinarily modulates the wound-healing response to exclude circulating cells is not clear. Another example of a potentially negative effect of enhancing microglial cells and/or macrophages during an ocular wound-healing response comes from a recent study of fibrovascular proliferation following experimentally induced retinal detachment (121). In this study, local Mueller-glial cells were observed to upregulate expression of monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (MCP-1), leading to increased accumulation of microglial cells and macrophages in the detached retina. These cells in turn contributed to local oxidative stress on photoreceptors, leading to photoreceptor apoptosis. Clearly, modulation of heterogeneous, complex cell-cell interactions in diseased tissue requires a detailed understanding of the cell types and cellular interactions that comprise target tissues.

**Concluding remarks**

The unique anatomy and complex intercellular interactions in the retina contribute to the visually devastating complications of the fibrovascular response of the tissue to injury. Angiogenesis is a critical component of wound healing, and in the retina this is observed under conditions of traumatic injury, hypoxia, and inflammation. Unfortunately, neovascularization under these conditions is associated with altered vascular permeability, retinal edema, hemorrhage, and, in extreme cases, fibrovascular traction and fibrosis. Gliosis is a substantial component of the angiogenic response, and although there are neuroprotective functions associated with glial cells, the end result in the retina is often tractional retinal detachment or intra- or subretinal scarring associated with vision loss. Modulation of glial cell reactivity might provide therapeutic approaches to treat these disorders, and increased understanding of glial cell proliferation and migration should provide therapeutic targets. The complexity of cell-cell interactions in modulating the injury response decreases the likelihood of developing successful molecular monotherapies for these conditions. Cell-based therapies, in which cellular responses to microenvironmental cues regulate the injury response, might provide novel and useful approaches to the treatment of these diseases. With the recent demonstration that adult bone marrow–derived progenitor cells selectively localize to activated glial cells (103), it might be possible to use such cells to modulate the pathological responses in the eye or brain by using rescue activities of the cells themselves (Figure 2) or by delivering transgenes expressing static or trophic molecules useful in the treatment of fibroproliferative disorders.
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