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Transgenic expression of the abnormal products of acute myeloid leukemia–associated (AML-associated) primary chromosomal translocations in hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells initiates leukemogenesis in mice, yet additional mutations are needed for leukemia development. We report here aberrant expression of PR domain containing 16 (PRDM16) in AML cells with either translocations of 1p36 or normal karyotype. These carried, respectively, relatively high prevalence of mutations in the TP53 tumor suppressor gene and in the nucleophosmin (NPM) gene, which regulates p53. Two protein isoforms are expressed from PRDM16, which differ in the presence or absence of the PR domain. Overexpression of the short isoform, sPRDM16, in mouse bone marrow induced AML with full penetrance, but only in the absence of p53. The mouse leukemias were characterized by multilineage cellular abnormalities and megakaryocyte dysplasia, a common feature of human AMLs with 1p36 translocations or NPM mutations. Overexpression of sPRDM16 increased the pool of HSCs in vivo, and in vitro blocked myeloid differentiation and prolonged progenitor life span. Loss of p53 augmented the effects of sPRDM16 on stem cell number and induced immortalization of progenitors. Thus, overexpression of sPRDM16 induces abnormal growth of stem cells and progenitors and cooperates with disruption of the p53 pathway in the induction of myeloid leukemia.

Introduction

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is initiated and maintained by a population of leukemic stem cells (SCs) that have an innate or acquired ability to self renew and is characterized by the accumulation of abnormally differentiated, immature myeloid cells in the bone marrow and peripheral blood (1, 2). Genetically, AML is a heterogeneous disease: 40% of cases carry primary chromosomal translocations or inversions that encode fusion proteins; another 40% have normal karyotypes, the majority of which carry mutations of NPM; and the remaining carry rare and heterogeneous translocations (3, 4). Translocations and inversions are frequently present as a single chromosomal aberration, and transgenic expression of their abnormal products induces leukemia in mice, indicating a pathogenetic role in the disease (5). However, the clonal nature, low frequency, and long latency of transgenic mouse leukemias suggest that the fusion proteins alone are not sufficient for leukemia development. Instead, a “2-hit” model for myeloid leukemogenesis is favored (5, 6).

Transgenic expression of the abnormal products of acute myeloid leukemia–associated (AML-associated) primary chromosomal translocations in hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells initiates leukemogenesis in mice, yet additional mutations are needed for leukemia development. We report here aberrant expression of PR domain containing 16 (PRDM16) in AML cells with either translocations of 1p36 or normal karyotype. These carried, respectively, relatively high prevalence of mutations in the TP53 tumor suppressor gene and in the nucleophosmin (NPM) gene, which regulates p53. Two protein isoforms are expressed from PRDM16, which differ in the presence or absence of the PR domain. Overexpression of the short isoform, sPRDM16, in mouse bone marrow induced AML with full penetrance, but only in the absence of p53. The mouse leukemias were characterized by multilineage cellular abnormalities and megakaryocyte dysplasia, a common feature of human AMLs with 1p36 translocations or NPM mutations. Overexpression of sPRDM16 increased the pool of HSCs in vivo, and in vitro blocked myeloid differentiation and prolonged progenitor life span. Loss of p53 augmented the effects of sPRDM16 on stem cell number and induced immortalization of progenitors. Thus, overexpression of sPRDM16 induces abnormal growth of stem cells and progenitors and cooperates with disruption of the p53 pathway in the induction of myeloid leukemia.

Although the nature of the cooperating mutations remains largely unknown, candidate genes include FLT3, TP53, or NRAS, which are frequently mutated in AMLs regardless of the presence of other genetic abnormalities (7–9).

PR domain containing 16 (PRDM16; also known as MEL1) is involved in the rare AML-associated translocations t(1;3)(p36;q21) and t(1;21)(p36;q15). In both cases, expression of PRDM16 is altered, either as a consequence of its juxtaposition to the enhancer element of RPN1 at 3q21 (10, 11) or to its fusion with AML1 (AML1/PRDM16) at 21q15 (12–14). AMLs carrying t(1;3)(p36;q21) translocations present with a characteristic disease phenotype of trilineage dysplasia, dysmegakaryocytopoiesis, normal to elevated platelet counts, poor response to chemotherapy, and poor prognosis (15). Relatively high levels of expression of PRDM16 have also been observed in AMLs with intermediate risk karyotype (14, 16). However, the mechanism by which altered expression of PRDM16 contributes to myeloid leukemogenesis is unknown.

The PRDM16 locus encodes 2 proteins: PRDM16 (or MEL1) and the short isoform, sPRDM16 (or MELIS) (17). They differ at their N terminus in the presence or absence of the PR domain, a 134- amino acid region with high homology to the SET domain, the structural hallmark of histone methyltransferases (17). In the PR domain family of proteins, the PR domain–negative isoform has the potential to be oncogenic (18). For the MDS1/EVI1 gene at 3q26, the closest homolog of PRDM16, only the PR domain–negative isoform, EVI1, has leukemogenic properties (19, 20).
Indirect evidence suggests that only the short isoform of PRDM16, sPRDM16, has oncogenic potential. First, selective overexpression of sPRDM16 is observed in AMLs with t(1;3)(p36;q21) translocations due to its juxtaposition to enhancer sequences at 3q21 (11, 17, 21). Second, sPRDM16 is overexpressed in adult T cell leukemias due to hypomethylation of its promoter. Instead, the promoter of full-length PRDM16 is silenced by DNA hypermethylation (22). Third, overexpression of sPRDM16, but not PRDM16, in myeloid L-G3 cells blocks granulocytic differentiation (17). Finally, aberrant expression of sPRDM16, but not PRDM16, by retrowiral insertion promotes immunomortalization of murine bone marrow progenitors (23). However, a direct comparison of the in vivo leukemic potential of the 2 PRDM16 isoforms is still missing. In this study, we investigated the pattern of expression of PRDM16 in AMLs with or without rearrangements of 1p36 and determined the leukemogenic potential of each isoform in vitro and in vivo.

Results

Identification of leukemias with chromosomal rearrangements involving PRDM16. We identified 5 leukemias, of which 4 presented with AML, with 1p36 translocations involving PRDM16 (Table 1 and Supplemental Table 1; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI32390DS1). In 4 cases the translocation was the only cytogenetic abnormality present. Breakpoint regions were refined by FISH mapping using genomic clones located upstream of, or spanning, PRDM16 (Figure 1A and Supplemental Figure 1, A–D). We used 5’-rapid amplification of cDNA ends (5’-RACE) and RT-PCR to characterize PRDM16 transcripts in the patients’ blasts (Figure 1, B–E).

Cases 1 and 2, presenting with AML-M4 and AML-M1, carried a t(1;3)(p36;q21). The 1p36 breakpoint mapped upstream of PRDM16 at 1p36, and the rearrangement resulted in its juxtaposition to the region downstream of RPN1 at 3q21 (Figure 1, A and B). Expression of full-length PRDM16 was confirmed by 5’-RACE (Figure 1B). Case 3, presenting with a B cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma in leukemic phase, carried a novel t(1;6)(p36;q15) that fused the 5’ untranslated region of BACH2 (exons 1–5) to 6q15 to PRDM16 exons 4–17. A BACH2/PRDM16 fusion transcript resulted that led to expression of the PR domain–negative sPRDM16 isoform (Figure 1C). In case 4, presenting with AML with trilineage dysplasia, a t(1;21)(p36;q22) formed a fusion transcript composed of AML1 exons 1–5 and PRDM16 exons 2–17, including the PR domain (Figure 1D). Case 5 carried a novel t(1;6)(p36;q23) translocation that was present in the AML-M2 relapse of this patient but not in the primary AML-M6 leukemia (Table 1). The breakpoints were mapped downstream of AHI1 at 6q23 and upstream of PRDM16, leading to expression of full-length PRDM16 (Figure 1E).

The breakpoint at 6q23 was located near the MYB proto-oncogene, although quantitative RT-PCR (Q-PCR) on case 5 RNA showed no overexpression of MYB compared with normal CD34+ cells or total bone marrow (Supplemental Figure 1, D and E). Relative expression of PRDM16 and sPRDM16 transcripts in AMLs. The relative expression of PRDM16 and sPRDM16 transcripts was analyzed by Q-PCR using primers from the 5’ PR domain to identify PRDM16 only and from the 3’ region to measure both PRDM16 and sPRDM16 (Figure 2A). Expression was normalized to GAPDH and calibrated to the median level in 10 normal CD34+ samples.

In all 5 cases with 1p36 rearrangements, PRDM16 and/or sPRDM16 were greatly overexpressed (19- to 74-fold; P < 0.001) with respect to levels in normal CD34+ cells (Figure 2B). In 4 of 5 cases, combined expression of PRDM16 and sPRDM16 was higher than expression of PRDM16 alone. Notably, only sPRDM16 was expressed in case 3, and no expression of full-length PRDM16 was seen from the nonrearranged allele, suggesting that the short isoform contributes to leukemogenesis.

Expression of PRDM16 was also assessed in 40 AML cases and 22 AML cell lines without rearrangements of 1p36 (Figure 2C). With respect to levels in normal CD34+ cells, expression of PRDM16 was undetectable in cases carrying common translocations, as previously reported (14, 16). In contrast, high levels of PRDM16 (defined as greater than the highest level observed among the CD34+ samples) were observed in a significant subset (9 of 28; 32%) of AMLs with normal karyotype (AML-NK). In AML-NK patients with high PRDM16 expression, 78% (7 of 9; P < 0.001) carried NPM mutations, 56% (5 of 9; P < 0.001) carried FLT3-ITD, and 44% (4 of 9; P < 0.001) were positive for both. Among the AML cell lines, only GDM1, derived from an AML-M4, was found to express PRDM16 (Figure 2C). In all positive cases we found overexpression of both PRDM16 and sPRDM16.

We then investigated whether gene amplification or promoter demethylation could account for the overexpression of PRDM16 in AML-NK patients. No amplification of PRDM16 was observed at the genomic level (Supplemental Figure 2A). Instead, CpG islands in the promoter of PRDM16 were demethylated in patients showing high levels of expression (Supplemental Figure 2B), suggesting that differential promoter methylation may indeed control PRDM16 expression.

Together, these findings suggest that 1p36 rearrangements lead to overexpression of PRDM16, and that PRDM16 overexpression is a frequent event in AML-NK, in particular in cases with mutant NPM. However, with the exception of case 3, in which only the short isoform is expressed, this analysis is not conclusive with respect to which isoform (PRDM16 or sPRDM16) is associated with the leukemia phenotype. Thus, we investigated the leukemogenic potential of each isoform in vitro and in vivo.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Karyotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>AML-M4</td>
<td>46, XX, t(1;3)(p36;q21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AML-M1</td>
<td>46, XX [1]/46, XX, t(1;3)(p36;q21) [14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>NHL in leukemic phase</td>
<td>45, XY, t(1;6)(p36;q15), der(2), –3, –8, t(8;14)(q24;q11), t(9;7)(p24;?), +12, –14, +21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>AML with trilineage dysplasia</td>
<td>45, XY, t(1;21)(p36;q22), –7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>AML-M6</td>
<td>46, XX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AML-M2</td>
<td>46, XX [4]/46, XX, t(1;6)(p36;q23) [56]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Effects of PRDM16 and sPRDM16 on HSCs/progenitors. PRDM16 and sPRDM16 were expressed by retroviral gene transfer in a murine cell population enriched in HSCs and progenitors (lineage-negative [lin−] cells; Figure 3A). Immunofluorescence confirmed expression of the proteins in the nucleoplasm of the transduced lin− cells (Figure 3B). The effects of PRDM16 and sPRDM16 on progenitor life span were assessed by serial replating of transduced lin− cells in methylcellulose, in the presence of cytokines G-CSF and GM-CSF. By the third and fourth plating, lin− cells transduced with empty vector (murine SC virus–internal ribosomal entry site–GFP [MSCV-IRES-GFP]; referred to herein as MSCV) were fully differentiated into either granulocytes or macrophages (Figure 3C) and were unable to grow in methylcellulose, as expected (Figure 3, D and E). In contrast, cells expressing sPRDM16, but not those expressing PRDM16, remained immature and continued to form colonies in methylcellulose upon serial replating (Figure 3, C–E, and Supplemental Figure 3A). Notably, overexpression of sPRDM16 increased both the number and the size of colonies, suggesting that it affects both clonogenicity and proliferation of progenitors (Figure 3, D and E). Similar results were obtained when cells were cultured with erythropoietin (EPO), IL-3, and SC factor (SCF; Figure 4A, Supplemental Figure 3B, and data not shown). Together, these findings suggest that sPRDM16 overexpression blocks differentiation and extends survival of hematopoietic progenitor cells.

To investigate the effect of sPRDM16 on HSCs, we used the long-term culture–initiating cell (LTC-IC) assay that assesses the frequency of primitive HSCs in vitro (24, 25). Transduced lin− cells were seeded on a feeder-layer and cultured for 5 weeks. In this period, committed progenitors terminally differentiate, while HSCs self-renew and their number can be assessed by the CFU assay. After long-term culture, cell morphology showed a higher proportion of immature myeloid cells upon expression of sPRDM16 (Figure 4B and Supplemental Figure 3C). Strikingly, this correlated with a marked (~70-fold) increase in the number of CFUs from the sPRDM16 LTC-IC, compared with control and PRDM16 plates (Figure 4, C and D).
To confirm this effect of sPRDM16 in vivo, we performed competitive BM transplantation experiments of lin− cells expressing, or not, sPRDM16. Transplanted cells were distinguished by expression of different CD45 allelic variants (CD45.1 and CD45.2). CD45.2− lin− cells were transduced with empty vector or sPRDM16, mixed with an equal number of CD45.1− competitor lin− cells, and injected into lethally irradiated CD45.1+ mice (Figure 5A). At 4 and 8 weeks after transplantation, flow cytometry analysis of CD45.1 and CD45.2 expression in the peripheral blood revealed a significantly greater proportion of CD45.2+ cells (~4-fold increase) in the mice reconstituted with sPRDM16-overexpressing lin− cells (Figure 5A). Myeloid, B, and T cell lineages were efficiently reconstituted (Figure 5D). Together, these results demonstrate that sPRDM16 overexpression expands the pool of HSCs both in vitro and in vivo.

Leukemogenic potential of PRDM16 and sPRDM16. We transduced lin− cells with PRDM16, sPRDM16, or empty vector MSCV; sorted them for GFP; and reinoculated them into lethally irradiated WT recipi-
ent mice. None of the 10 MSCV or 6 PRDM16 mice developed leukemia or other hematological abnormalities. In contrast, 2 of 8 mice expressing sPRDM16 developed AML at 137 and 165 days, while of the remaining sPRDM16 mice, 3 were still alive at 11 months and 3 died of nonhematological diseases more than 1 year after transplant (Supplemental Figure 4A). Expression of sPRDM16 was confirmed in the spleen of 1 leukemic mouse (Supplemental Figure 4B). Both leukemic sPRDM16 mice presented with splenomegaly, leukocytosis,
and anemia (wbcs, 26 and >100 × 10^3/μl; hemoglobin [Hb], 3.7 and 2.9 g/dl; for the mice that died at 137 and 165 days, respectively; see Supplemental Figure 5 for differential counts) and approximately 90% infiltration of the bone marrow with myeloid elements showing varying degrees of maturation. Similarly, the splenic red pulp was markedly expanded and the white pulp partially replaced by myeloid cells (Supplemental Figure 4, C–R). Immunohistochemistry analysis performed in 1 mouse showed massive infiltration of the bone marrow, splenic red pulp, and liver with myeloperoxidase-positive blasts (Supplemental Figure 4, C–R). According to the Bethesda proposals for the classification of nonlymphoid hematopoietic neoplasms in mice, a diagnosis of “AML with maturation” was made for both mice (26). Therefore, sPRDM16 overexpression induces AML in a WT background, albeit with low penetrance.

Overexpression of sPRDM16 in p53⁻/⁻ lin⁻ cells induces AMLs with trilineage dysplasia. We then investigated the leukemogenic potential of PRDM16 and sPRDM16 in the presence of a putative cooperating mutation. We chose, as the first candidate, mutation of TP53. Analysis of TP53 mutation status in cases 1–5 with 1p36 rearrangements revealed a heterozygous ACC to GCC mutation in case 2 that led to the amino acid substitution Thr253Ala (Figure 6A). TP53 was also mutated in case 5, where a deletion of TP53 exons 5–7 and retention of the rearranged introns 4–7 in the transcript resulted in premature termination of translation and formation of a truncated p53 protein lacking an intact DNA binding domain (Figure 6B). We infected lin⁻ cells derived from p53⁻/⁻ mice as described above and transplanted them into WT mice so that only cells of the hematopoietic compartment would be p53 null. All the transplanted mice died or were sacrificed for moribund conditions 73–137 days after transplantation (Table 2 and Figure 7A). Expression of exogenous PRDM16 or sPRDM16 in the spleens and thymus of the mice was confirmed by RT-PCR (Figure 7B). While expression of PRDM16 and sPRDM16 had no significant effect on the overall survival of the mice, disease outcome was significantly different. The 12 MSCV mice developed thymic (n = 7) or nonthymic (n = 4) lymphomas and myeloproliferative disease-like myeloid leukemia (n = 1) (Table 2 and Supplemental Figure 6), as expected in a p53-null background (27). Conversely, the PRDM16 mice only developed thymic lymphomas (Table 2 and Supplemental Figure 6), suggesting that PRDM16 exerts tissue-specific effects on tumor development. In contrast, expression of sPRDM16 in the p53⁻/⁻ background induced AML in 13 of 13 mice. Mice presented with splenomegaly, hepatomegaly, elevated wbcs, and decreased Hb (Table 2). The majority (60%–80%) of nucleated cells in the peripheral blood were immature myeloid cells, metamyelocytes, and dysplastic granulocytes/neutrophils (Figure 7C and Supplemental Figure 5). In addition, 9 of 10 sPRDM16 mice had numerous dysplastic megakaryocytes present in the infiltrates of liver, spleen, bone marrow, and pancreatic/mesenteric lymph nodes, which stained positive with vWF (see Figure 7, J and K, for representative staining in the liver). Therefore, the leukemia induced by sPRDM16 in the absence of p53 can be described...
as an “AML without maturation, with multilineage dysplasia,” in accordance with the Bethesda proposals (26). Notably, in humans, the AML caused by abnormal expression of sPRDM16 in t(1;3)(p36;q21) cases is characterized by trilineage dysplasia and dysmegakaryocytopoiesis, strongly suggesting that the combination of sPRDM16 overexpression and p53 mutation recapitulate the corresponding human leukemia.

**Figure 5**
Competitive reconstitution assay. (A) Experimental approach. Lethally irradiated recipient mice (CD45.1) were competitively repopulated with 300,000 test lin− cells (CD45.2 WT or p53−/−, transduced with MSCV or sPRDM16), 300,000 competitor lin− cells (CD45.1 WT, transduced with MSCV) and 500,000 spleen cells (CD45.1 WT). For each group, 4–5 mice were reinoculated, and peripheral blood was analyzed by FACS analysis at 4 and 8 weeks after transplantation. (B and C) Relative contributions of test (CD45.2) versus competitor (CD45.1) populations in peripheral blood of mice at 4 and 8 weeks after transplantation. Flow cytometry of representative mice (B) and mean contributions for each group of 4–5 mice (C) are shown. (D) The proportion of each lineage derived from donor test (CD45.2) cells is shown in the peripheral blood of mice at 4 and 8 weeks after transplantation.

In vitro effects of sPRDM16 overexpression and loss of p53. We then investigated the biological basis of the sPRDM16-p53 cooperation by studying the effects of sPRDM16 in p53−/− HSCs/progenitors. P53−/− lin− cells behaved as WT lin− cells in terms of differentiation (although the granulocytic lineage was slightly favored) and self renewal upon serial replating (Figure 3, C–E) as well as kinetics of HSC growth in LTC-IC (Figure 4, B–D). The differentiation
block induced by sPRDM16 in the presence of G-CSF and GM-CSF was the same in p53
–/– cells (Figure 3C and Supplemental Figure 3A). However, in the presence of EPO, sPRDM16 induced megakaryocyte dysplasia in the p53
–/– background, a phenotype that was not observed upon expression in WT cells (Figure 4A and Supplemental Figure 3B). Notably, a greatly increased number of colonies was seen upon serial replating of sPRDM16-overexpressing p53
–/– lin
– cells (Figure 3, D and E), suggesting that loss of p53 potentiates the effect of sPRDM16 on progenitor life span. In contrast, equal numbers of CFUs were observed in LTC-IC in WT and p53
–/– backgrounds (Figure 4, C and D). Competitive repopulation experiments were performed to compare the effects of sPRDM16 expression on WT and p53
–/– HSCs in vivo. We transduced lin cells of both WT and p53
–/– origin (CD45.2
+) with empty vector or sPRDM16, mixed them with an equal number of WT competitor lin
– cells (CD45.1
+), and injected them into lethally irradiated mice (CD45.1
+). At both 4 and 8 weeks after transplantation, flow cytometry analysis of peripheral blood revealed that the ability of sPRDM16 to enhance reconstitution was increased in the p53
–/– background (from 4- to 8-fold; Figure 5, B and C). Repopulation of myeloid, T, and B cell lineages was more effectively achieved when sPRDM16 was expressed in p53
–/– cells (Figure 5D). Thus, sPRDM16 and p53 loss cooperate in prolonging progenitor life span and expanding the pool of HSCs.

Discussion
We describe here aberrant expression from the PRDM16 gene in 5 leukemia cases with rearrangements of 1p36, of which 1 had
Table 2
Summary of disease in mice transplanted with p53−/− lin− cells transduced with the indicated expression vectors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmid</th>
<th>Latency (d)</th>
<th>wbc (10^3/μl)</th>
<th>Hb (g/dl)</th>
<th>Thymic lymphoma</th>
<th>Nonthymic lymphoma</th>
<th>MPD-like leukemia</th>
<th>AML</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>MK</th>
<th>Total mice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MSCV</td>
<td>129 ± 14</td>
<td>20.1 ± 21.9</td>
<td>11.1 ± 3.9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRDM16</td>
<td>131 ± 17</td>
<td>11.3 ± 15.3</td>
<td>13.8 ± 2.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sPRDM16</td>
<td>108 ± 17</td>
<td>59.8 ± 47.8</td>
<td>6.5 ± 2.5</td>
<td>3†</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13†</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mice were classified as having erythroid (E) or dysplastic megakaryocyte (MK) components when 2%–15% of nucleated cells in the peripheral blood were erythroid precursors or when numerous dysplastic megakaryocytes were present in liver infiltrates, respectively. MPD, myeloproliferative disease. †Three mice presented with both AML and thymic lymphoma.

exclusive expression of sPRDM16, and in the AML-NK subset. Furthermore, we present direct evidence that overexpression of the short isoform, sPRDM16, is leukemogenic. Penetration of leukemias induced by sPRDM16 expression in WT hematopoietic cells was very low, while full penetrance was obtained in p53−/− cells, suggesting that disruption of the p53 pathway cooperates with aberrant sPRDM16 expression in inducing AML. Notably, no leukemias were obtained by overexpressing PRDM16, even in p53−/− cells, suggesting that the long isoform is not oncogenic. Because the 2 isoforms of PRDM16 only differ for the presence of the PR domain, careful scrutiny of its function should help to elucidate the oncogenic potential of PRDM16.

The cooperative effects of sPRDM16 and loss of p53 observed in the murine leukemias may reflect a similar cooperation in human AMLs. In fact, we have shown that TP53 was mutated in AMLs with rearrangements of PRDM16. Indeed, the Thr253Ala point mutation we found in case 2 has been previously described in cases of breast carcinoma, colorectal cancer, and liposarcoma (28–30) and was found to have markedly reduced transactivating activity (31). The disruption of the DNA-binding domain and premature termination of translation in case 5, likely due to an intragenic deletion, would clearly disrupt p53 function. However, a more detailed study of TP53 mutation status in rare cases of AML with PRDM16 rearrangements is warranted to confirm our findings.

Importantly, in vitro overexpression of sPRDM16 in p53−/− lin− cells induced megakaryocytic dysplasia, while the murine AMLs induced by sPRDM16 in a p53−/− background were characterized by erythroid and megakaryocytic components. These features are reminiscent of human AMLs with rearrangements of PRDM16 that are associated with trilineage dysplasia and dysmegakaryocytopenia (15). Therefore, disruption of the p53 pathway cooperates with aberrant sPRDM16 expression in inducing AMLs with trilineage dysplasia.

NPMc− AML, in which NPM is mutated, is also associated with the clinical presentation of multilineage dysplasia, high platelet counts, and dysplastic megakaryocytes (32–34). The association we found of PRDM16 overexpression with AML-NK carrying mutant NPM could account for this association. Mutant NPM is believed to contribute to leukemogenesis by disrupting the ARF-p53 tumor suppressor pathway (35). In fact, it delocalizes ARF to the cytoplasm, rendering it more susceptible to degradation and hampering its ability to initiate a p53 response (35, 36). Because NPM and TP53 mutations are rarely found together in AML (37), we speculate that NPM mutations in AML-NK may play a similar role to TP53 mutations in AMLs with 1p36 rearrangements. Notably, expression of mutant NPM in WT or p53−/− lin− cells was not sufficient to induce AML in mouse transplantation models (our unpublished observations), reinforcing the hypothesis that mutant NPM requires cooperation with an oncogene, such as sPRDM16, to induce leukemias.

We demonstrated that sPRDM16 increased the pool of HSCs in vivo, and in vitro blocked myeloid differentiation and prolonged progenitor life span. All of these effects are likely to be critical for initiation of leukemogenesis and maintenance of the leukemic clone and are also exerted by several AML-associated fusion proteins, such as AML1-ETO, PML/RARα, MLL/ENL, MLL/AP9, and MOZ/TIF2 (38–41). Interestingly, EVII, the homolog of sPRDM16, is predominantly expressed in HSCs and is implicated in the regulation of their self-renewal, as suggested by the findings that HSCs in EVII−/− mouse embryos are markedly decreased in number and are defective in their self-renewal and repopulating capacities (42).

The ability of sPRDM16 to interfere with the myeloid differentiation program in vitro was similar in WT and p53−/− lin− cells. Instead, the effects of sPRDM16 and loss of p53 were cumulative in the serial replating assay, where, most notably, sPRDM16 overexpressing p53−/− lin− cells became virtually immortal. Similarly, in the competitive reconstitution assay, the effect of sPRDM16 on HSCs was more pronounced in the p53−/− background, suggesting that overexpression of sPRDM16 and loss of p53 cooperate in inducing expansion of the pool of HSCs. It appears, therefore, that loss of p53 augments the effects of sPRDM16 on HSC number and progenitor life span.

The cellular basis for the sPRDM16/p53-loss cooperation is not clear. p53 is a potent tumor suppressor protein. Individuals carrying 1 altered TP53 gene in their germline have a high probability of developing a tumor, and most spontaneous human cancers contain either mutations in the TP53 gene or altered expression of other gene products that disrupt p53 function (43). Functionally, p53 exerts 2 main activities: it senses and reacts to DNA damage through the ATM/ATR and Chk1/Chk2 kinases, thus ensuring genome stability and integrity, and limits oncogene-induced hyperproliferation in response to upregulation of the p53-stabilizing protein ARF. Recent genetic evidence in mice indicates that the response of p53 to DNA damage has little impact on cancer protection, while the ARF-dependent activation of p53 is critical for p53-mediated tumor suppression (44). Thus, loss of p53 might potentiate the effects of sPRDM16 on HSC and progenitor growth by abrogating or reducing the ARF-dependent cellular response to hyperproliferation induced by the sPRDM16 oncogene. Because the function of p53 in HSCs and progenitors has not been fully investigated, further investigations are needed to address this issue.
In conclusion, we demonstrate that overexpression of sPRDM16 and disruption of the p53 tumor suppressor pathway cooperate in leukemogenesis, both in human patients with AML and in our murine model of leukemia. We provide further evidence that accumulation of mutations that deregulate self renewal of SCs and growth of progenitors is critical during leukemogenesis. Finally, our data suggest that inhibition of sPRDM16 is a potentially relevant antileukemogenic strategy.

**Methods**

**Mapping of translocation breakpoints.** The use of all patient samples in this study was approved by the European Institute of Oncology’s institutional review board, and all patients gave informed consent according to the Declaration of Helsinki. Clinical presentations of 5 leukemia patients (cases 1-5) with 1p36 rearrangements are shown in Supplemental Table 1. FISH mapping of translocation breakpoints as well as 5’-RACE and RT-PCR to confirm the presence of fusion genes were performed as described previously (45). Details of the bacterial artificial chromosome clones and positions of primers used are shown in Figure 1, and primer sequences are shown in Supplemental Table 2.

**Q-PCR.** RNA was obtained from normal CD34+ cells, AML cell lines, or bone marrow aspirates of de novo AML patients enrolled in the Italian GIMEMA trial. These cases were of various FAB subtypes, had been previously karyotyped and assessed for common fusion transcripts (PML/RARα, AML1/ETO, CBFB/MYH11, and BCR/ABL), MLL status, and FLT3 and NPM mutations. RNA was extracted using the RNeasy kit with RNase-Free DNase treatment (Qiagen) and reverse transcribed to cDNA using SuperScript II RNase H-RT (Invitrogen) with random hexamers. With the exception of cell line OCI-AML2,
none of the AML patients or AML cell lines had rearrangements of 1p36.

Q-PCR was performed to determine the relative expression of the long isoform (PRDM16) or both long and short isoforms (PRDM16 and sPRDM16) with respect to GAPDH (for primer positions and sequences, see Figure 2A and Supplemental Table 2). SYBR Green was used for cases 1–5 and Taqman for the other samples (Applied Biosystems). Cases 3 and 5 were assayed using both methods with similar results. Threshold cycle (Ct) values of PRDM16 were normalized to those of GAPDH and calibrated to the median level of expression in CD34+ samples (defined as 1.0), using the ΔΔCt method. High levels of PRDM16 expression were defined as levels higher than the highest level of combined PRDM16 and sPRDM16 expression observed in CD34+ cells (>1.7-fold that of baseline).

TP53 mutations in cases 1–5 were assessed by PCR amplification of the full-length transcript using HotStar HiFidelity Polymerase (Qiagen) and primers TP53_F and TP53_R (Supplemental Table 2). Direct sequencing of the PCR product was performed using internal primers TP53 F_int and TP53 R_int. For case 5, TP53 cDNA was also amplified using primers mapping to exons 4 and 8 (TP53 F_ex4 and TP53 R_ex8; Supplemental Table 2) and the PCR products were TOPO-TA cloned (Invitrogen) and sequenced. Expression of the MYB transcript was analyzed by Q-PCR (SYBR Green) in case 5 using primers MYB_F and MYB_R (Supplemental Table 2). Expression was normalized to GAPDH and calibrated to the level in CD34+ samples as described above.

Q-PCR (SYBR Green) was performed on genomic DNA (gDNA) from selected patients to investigate the possibility of genomic amplification of the PRDM16 locus. Primers PRDM16_gF and PRDM16_gR, spanning an intron-exon boundary, were used to specifically amplify genomic PRDM16 (Supplemental Table 2). PRDM16 copy number was normalized to that of genomic HOXA9 and calibrated to the level in normal human gDNA derived from peripheral blood lymphocytes (Roche) using the ΔΔCt method.

Bisulfite sequencing method. CpG islands upstream and within the PRDM16 gene were chosen to investigate the methylation status of the promoter of the long isoform (CpG 406) and additional potential transcription start sites upstream of exons 1, 2, 3 and 4. Bisulfite sequencing was performed on gDNA from 3 patients with low levels of PRDM16 expression and 3 patients with high levels of expression, as previously described (46). Positions of the CpG islands and primer sequences are shown in Supplemental Table 3.

Transduction and transplantation of lin− cells. PRDM16 (exons 1–17) and sPRDM16 (exons 4–17) were cloned into the MSCV retroviral vector (Figure 3A). The empty vector was used as a negative control in all experiments.

All mouse work was performed in accordance with national guidelines and was approved by the European Institute of Oncology’s Institutional Review Board. Lin− cells from WT or p53−/− mice (129Sv/C57BL/6J) were infected and sorted for GFP as previously described (5). Sorting and infection efficiencies are shown in Supplemental Table 4. WT, syngeneic recipient mice were lethally irradiated with 9 Gy and reconstituted intravenously with 300,000 WT or p53−/− donor lin− cells, plus 500,000 spleen cells obtained from an untreated WT mouse (5). Animals were checked periodically for clinical signs of disease. Peripheral blood smears were stained with May-Grünwald-Giemsa (MGG), and blood counts were performed on a COULTER AC-T 5 Diff hematology cell counter (Beckman Coulter).

Immunofluorescence. Immunofluorescence was used to check expression of PRDM16 and sPRDM16 in cytopsin of lin− cells, as previously described (35). A rabbit polyclonal anti-PRDM16 antibody raised against the C-terminal peptide sequence consisting of amino acids 1,016–1,277 (Eurogentec s.a.) was used, followed by detection with a Cy3-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody.

Biological assays. To assess self-renewal, 5,000 lin− cells were serially plated in methylcellulose supplemented with G-CSF and GM-CSF as previously described (5), or in M3334 methylcellulose containing EPO supplemented with IL-3 (2 ng/ml) and SCF (50 ng/ml) (Stem Cell Technologies). Differentiation was assessed by MGG staining of cytopsin at each plating.

For LTC-IC, stromal cells were prepared from the bone marrow of WT mice and grown for 3 weeks in Iscove’s modified Dulbecco’s medium supplemented with 12.5% FBS, 12.5% horse serum, 276 ng/ml hydrocortisone, and 50 μM 2-mercaptoethanol, prior to irradiation with 15 Gy. Transduced lin− cells (15,000 cells) were seeded on the irradiated stromal layer, grown for 5 weeks, and plated in methylcellulose. CFUs were counted after 7–10 days.

Competitive reconstitution assay. Test lin− cells from WT or p53−/− mice (C57BL/6, CD45.1; Charles River Laboratories) were infected with MSCV or MSCV-sPRDM16 and sorted for GFP as described above. In parallel, competitor lin− cells from WT mouse (C57BL/6, CD45.1; Charles River Laboratories) were transduced with MSCV alone. Test lin− cells (300,000 cells; CD45.2) and competitor lin− cells (300,000 cells; CD45.1) were reinfused into lethally irradiated syngeneic recipient mice (CD45.1) together with spleen cells (500,000 cells; CD45.1), derived from a healthy mouse. Double FACS staining was performed on peripheral blood taken at 4 and 8 weeks after transplantation, using the antibodies PE-conjugated anti-mouse CD45.1, PE-conjugated anti-mouse Ly-6G (Gr1), PE-conjugated anti-mouse CD3, PE-conjugated anti-mouse CD45R (B220), and biotin-conjugated anti-mouse CD45.2, followed by detection with streptavidin-Cy5 (eBioscience). Cells were analyzed using a BD FACScan equipped with Cell Quest software.

Histopathology and immunohistochemistry of mouse tissues. Diseased mice were sacrificed humanely by CO2 asphyxiation and underwent necropsy. Main organs were fixed in 10% buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned at 4 μm. Tissue sections were stained with H&E or subjected to immunohistochemical analysis as standard using anti-myeloperoxidase, anti-CD3, or anti-β2F cell rabbit polyclonal antibodies (DakoCytomation). Detection was performed using anti-rabbit Envision System, HRP (DakoCytomation) and the DAB Substrate Kit, 3,3′ dianinobenzidine (Vector Laboratories). Tissues were counterstained with Mayer’s hemalum solution.

RT-PCR of mouse tissues. Expression of exogenous PRDM16 and sPRDM16 was checked in the spleens and thymuses of sacrificed mice using RT-PCR and primers recognizing PRDM16, both PRDM16 and sPRDM16, and GAPDH (Supplemental Table 2; ref. 47).

Statistics. Statistical analysis was performed using JMP software. Chi-squared test was used to determine association of FLT3-ITD or NPM mutations with PRDM16 expression, Kaplan-Meier analysis was used for mouse survival, and 2-tailed Student’s t test was used to compare means in LTC-IC and competitive reconstitution assays. P values of less than 0.05 were considered significant.
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