Abnormal expression of TIP30 and arrested nucleocytoplastic transport within oligodendrocyte precursor cells in multiple sclerosis
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Oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPCs) persist near the demyelinated axons arising in MS but inefficiently differentiate into oligodendrocytes and remyelinate these axons. The pathogenesis of differentiation failure remains elusive. We initially hypothesized that injured axons fail to present Contactin, a positive ligand for the oligodendrogial Notch1 receptor to induce myelination, and thus tracked axoglial Contactin/Notch1 signaling in situ, using immunohistochemistry in brain tissue from MS patients containing chronic demyelinated lesions. Instead, we found that Contactin was saturated on demyelinated axons, Notch1-positive OPCs accumulated in Contactin-positive lesions, and the receptor was engaged, as demonstrated by cleavage to Notch1-intracellular domain (NICD). However, nuclear translocalization of NICD, required for myelinogenesis, was virtually absent in these cells. NICD and related proteins carrying nuclear localization signals were associated with the nuclear transporter Importin but were trapped in the cytoplasm. Abnormal expression of TIP30, a direct inhibitor of Importin, was observed in these OPCs. Overexpression of TIP30 in a rat OPC cell line resulted in cytoplasmic entrapment of NICD and arrest of differentiation upon stimulation with Contactin-Fc. Our results suggest that extracellular inhibitory factors as well as an intrinsic nucleocytoplasmic transport blockade within OPCs may be involved in the pathogenesis of remyelination failure in MS.

Introduction

Natural remyelination of the central nervous system often occurs spontaneously in various demyelinating diseases, including MS, but only in a subset of patients (1, 2). Oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPCs) are typically preserved in or around demyelinated lesions of MS (3, 4); nonetheless, persistent demyelination even in the absence of active inflammation is a hallmark of chronic MS and leads to sustained neurological deficits in patients. Elucidating the pathogenesis of this failure is essential in order to identify therapeutic targets for the induction of remyelination in MS.

It remains elusive why preserved OPCs persist near demyelinated axons but do not differentiate into mature oligodendrocytes and consequently remyelinate axons. Multiple environmental factors have been proposed to contribute to the remyelination failure (5). Assuming that the preserved OPCs are naive and have no intrinsic dysfunction inhibiting their differentiation, remyelination failure may be due to an excess of inhibitory extracellular signals that block differentiation or a deficit in signals promoting differentiation. As for the former possibility, it has been proposed that nearby astrocytes may play a role either by expression of Jagged1, which in turn negatively activates oligodendrogial Notch1 receptor and promotes the expression of Hes5, a transcription factor inhibiting the differentiation (6), or by production of hyaluronan, which prevents the maturation process of oligodendrocytes (7).

Going to the latter possibility, it remains unexamined whether signals promoting oligodendrocyte differentiation are reduced in MS lesions. Recently, axonal Contactin was reported to play a major role in the induction of oligodendroglial differentiation (12). Axonal Contactin, in contrast with astrocytic Jagged1, positively activates oligodendrogial Notch1 receptor and induces oligodendroglial differentiation. However, surface expression of Contactin is dependent on neuronal electrical activity (13), and axonal pathologies are observed in MS lesions (4, 14). We therefore initially hypothesized that the upregulation of Contactin may be altered in the preserved axons, possibly due to impaired electrical activity, and that this reduction contributes to the failure of remyelination in MS.

The current study was undertaken to evaluate axoglial Contactin/Notch1 signaling (12) in MS lesions. For this purpose, we tracked the signaling pathway immunohistochemically in situ using 10 autopsied chronic MS brains. In contrast with our initial hypothesis, we found that the expression of Contactin was upregulated on demyelinated axons. Further analysis revealed that Notch1-positive OPCs accumulated in Contactin-positive lesions and that the receptor was engaged, as shown by cleavage to Notch1-intracellular domain (NICD); nonetheless, nuclear translocalization of NICD, required for myelinogenesis, was virtually absent in these cells. Nuclear translocalization of NICD is mediated by Importin β recognizing nuclear localizing signals (NLS) (15–17). We found that Importin β was colocalized with NICD in the OPCs,
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although TAT-interacting protein 30 kDa (TIP30), a direct inhibitor of Importin β (18, 19), was upregulated in such cells. Indeed, TIP30-positive cells were significantly increased in chronic demyelinated plaques when compared with shadow plaques where remyelination had taken place. We also show that overexpression of TIP30 in OPC cell lines in vitro resulted in cytoplasmic entrapment of NICD and arrest of differentiation upon stimulation with Contactin-Fc. Together, our observations suggest that not only extracellular inhibitory factors but also an intrinsic nucleocytoplasmic transport blockade within OPCs may be involved in the pathogenesis of remyelination failure in MS.

Results
Demyelinated axons in MS express Contactin. Using autopsied brain samples from 10 chronic MS patients (including 2 relapsing/remitting MS, 2 primary progressive MS, 5 secondary progressive MS, and 1 without clinical course information available; average age of 54.9 years at the time of autopsy [range: 47–72 years], with disease durations of 12–29 years; 9 chronic demyelinated [inactive] lesions and 1 actively demyelinating lesion, as listed in our previous report; ref. 20), we detected increased expression of Contactin within demyelinated lesions in all samples examined, regardless of clinical courses or ages (Figure 1, A and B, and Supplemental Figure 1; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI35440DS1), compared with that in 5 normal control subjects (average age of 76.2 years at the time of autopsy [range: 54–91 years], as listed in our previous report; ref. 20; Figure 1, C and D, and data not shown). Contactin immunoreactivity was lost in demyelinated areas where severe tissue damage was evident (asterisks; Figure 1E and F). Contactin immunoreactivity was grossly saturated and was detected on axonal surfaces as well as at intercellular matrix in some MS lesions (e.g., Figure 1B), while it was more faintly stained and localized primarily to axonal surfaces in other lesions (e.g., Supplemental Figure 1R). Although Contactin is a glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored neuronal cell adhesion molecule attached to cell membrane, a soluble form of Contactin, which promotes neurite outgrowth, is also produced and becomes detectable in the cerebrospinal fluid during
development (21). Soluble Contactin is also detectable in the cerebrospinal fluid from some but not all MS patients (Jin Nakahara, unpublished observations); thus, the diversity of Contactin immunoreactivity may reflect the availability of the soluble form at the site in addition to the density of residual axons.

The same Notch1 receptor is shared by 2 different ligands, axonal Contactin and astrocytic Jagged1, although the former promotes (12) whereas the latter inhibits (6) the differentiation of OPCs into mature oligodendrocytes. Therefore, we next hypothesized that despite the increased expression of Contactin on demyelinated axons, Jagged1/Notch1 signaling between astrocytes (and possibly macrophages in acute lesions; ref. 22) and OPCs may competitively dominate and induce the expression of Hes5, a transcription factor that inhibits the differentiation of oligodendrocytes (23). It is noteworthy that the degree to which astrocytes accumulated in MS lesions varied from case to case and that demyelinated lesions were not always occupied by astrocytes (Supplemental Figure 4). In chronic cases in which astrocytes and macrophages are not predominant, axonal Contactin and astrocytic Jagged1 may not compete for the Notch1 receptor. Our results showed that Hes5 was detected weakly in OPCs recruited for remyelination (as indicated by nuclear Olig1 transcription factor; ref. 24) in the demyelinated lesions and that the expression was exclusively cytoplasmic (Figure 1, J–L). A few exclusive nuclear Hes5-positive cells found in the lesions were mostly negative for Olig1 and therefore not OPCs involved in remyelination. Both Hes5 and Olig1 are basic helix-loop-helix transcription factors whose primary functions take place in the nucleus; cell fates are regulated by the movement of these factors between nucleus and cytoplasm (25). Nuclear Hes5 is negatively involved (23), whereas nuclear Olig1 is positively involved (24) in the differentiation of OPCs into mature cells; thus, our observations showing nuclear Olig1 but cytoplasmic Hes5 in MS lesions indicate that the remyelination failure is unlikely to be the consequence of astrocytic Jagged1-induced inhibition (6) dominating axonal Contactin-induced differentiation (12).

Detection of axoglial Contactin/Notch1 signaling within OPCs in MS lesions. The above results suggest that the remyelination-promoting pathways downstream of Contactin/Notch1 engagement may be impaired in OPCs. When Notch1 is activated upon binding of axonal Contactin, sequential proteolytic cleavages take place, resulting in production of NICD. NICD contains internal NLS (15), which direct translocation of the protein into the nucleus for the start of myelinogenesis (12). In order to clarify whether the receptor activation and the cleavage of Notch1 takes place properly in Contactin-positive demyelinated lesions, we examined the lesions with 2 Abs: 1 against full-length Notch1 and 1 that specifically detect NICD (Figure 2). Accumulations of Notch1-positive OPCs and receptor activation take place; nonetheless, nuclear translocation of NICD fails in OPCs in MS lesions. (A–C) Notch1-positive cells are increased in a Contactin-positive demyelinated MS lesion in comparison with normal-appearing white matter (border demarcated by broken line). (D–F) Immunostaining in a section consecutive to A–C with a specific Ab against NICD, which does not recognize full-length Notch1, reveals that the activation of Notch1 and consequent cleavage to NICD took place in these cells. (G) LFB staining in a section consecutive to D–F is shown. (H) A photograph at higher magnification showing that NICD, which is expected to translocate into nucleus, is aggregated in cytoplasm but not nucleus (arrows) in the OPCs (see also Supplemental Figure 5 for results from other MS specimens). (I–K) These NICD-positive cells are positive for nuclear Olig1, indicative of oligodendroglial cells recruited for remyelination (24) (arrows). DE, demyelinated lesion; NAWM, normal-appearing white matter; v, vessels. Scale bars: 100 μm (A–G); 40 μm (H); 50 μm (I–K).
cifically detects Val1744-cleaved NICD but not full-length Notch1 or Notch1 cleaved at other positions. As expected, Notch1-positive cells accumulated in Contactin-positive demyelinated lesions (Figure 2, A, B, C, and G). NIDC-positive cells were detected in the same manner except for endothelial cells in small vessels, which were positive for Notch1 but rather weakly positive or negative for NICD (Figure 2, D–G). These results indicate that the receptor engagement and sequential cleavages of Notch1 did indeed take place in these cells. However, NICD-positive cells were detected in the same manner except for endothelial cells in small vessels, which were positive for Notch1 but rather weakly positive or negative for NICD (Figure 2, D–G). These results indicate that the receptor engagement and sequential cleavages of Notch1 did indeed take place in these cells. However, NICD-positive cells were detected in the same manner except for endothelial cells in small vessels, which were positive for Notch1 but rather weakly positive or negative for NICD (Figure 2, D–G). These results indicate that the receptor engagement and sequential cleavages of Notch1 did indeed take place in these cells. However, NICD-positive cells were detected in the same manner except for endothelial cells in small vessels, which were positive for Notch1 but rather weakly positive or negative for NICD (Figure 2, D–G). These results indicate that the receptor engagement and sequential cleavages of Notch1 did indeed take place in these cells. However, NICD-positive cells were detected in the same manner except for endothelial cells in small vessels, which were positive for Notch1 but rather weakly positive or negative for NICD (Figure 2, D–G). These results indicate that the receptor engagement and sequential cleavages of Notch1 did indeed take place in these cells. However, NICD-positive cells were detected in the same manner except for endothelial cells in small vessels, which were positive for Notch1 but rather weakly positive or negative for NICD (Figure 2, D–G). These results indicate that the receptor engagement and sequential cleavages of Notch1 did indeed take place in these cells. However, NICD-positive cells were detected in the same manner except for endothelial cells in small vessels, which were positive for Notch1 but rather weakly positive or negative for NICD (Figure 2, D–G). These results indicate that the receptor engagement and sequential cleavages of Notch1 did indeed take place in these cells. However, NICD-positive cells were detected in the same manner except for endothelial cells in small vessels, which were positive for Notch1 but rather weakly positive or negative for NICD (Figure 2, D–G). These results indicate that the receptor engagement and sequential cleavages of Notch1 did indeed take place in these cells. However, NICD-positive cells were detected in the same manner except for endothelial cells in small vessels, which were positive for Notch1 but rather weakly positive or negative for NICD (Figure 2, D–G). These results indicate that the receptor engagement and sequential cleavages of Notch1 did indeed take place in these cells. However, NICD-positive cells were detected in the same manner except for endothelial cells in small vessels, which were positive for Notch1 but rather weakly positive or negative for NICD (Figure 2, D–G). These results indicate that the receptor engagement and sequential cleavages of Notch1 did indeed take place in these cells. However, NICD-positive cells were detected in the same manner except for endothelial cells in small vessels, which were positive for Notch1 but rather weakly positive or negative for NICD (Figure 2, D–G). These results indicate that the receptor engagement and sequential cleavages of Notch1 did indeed take place in these cells. However, NICD-positive cells were detected in the same manner except for endothelial cells in small vessels, which were positive for Notch1 but rather weakly positive or negative for NICD (Figure 2, D–G). These results indicate that the receptor engagement and sequential cleavages of Notch1 did indeed take place in these cells. However, NICD-positive cells were detected in the same manner except for endothelial cells in small vessels, which were positive for Notch1 but rather weakly positive or negative for NICD (Figure 2, D–G). These results indicate that the receptor engagement and sequential cleavages of Notch1 did indeed take place in these cells. However, NICD-positive cells were detected in the same manner except for endothelial cells in small vessels, which were positive for Notch1 but rather weakly positive or negative for NICD (Figure 2, D–G). These results indicate that the receptor engagement and sequential cleavages of Notch1 did indeed take place in these cells. However, NICD-positive cells were detected in the same manner except for endothelial cells in small vessels, which were positive for Notch1 but rather weakly positive or negative for NICD (Figure 2, D–G). These results indicate that the receptor engagement and sequential cleavages of Notch1 did indeed take place in these cells. However, NICD-positive cells were detected in the same manner except for endothelial cells in small vessels, which were positive for Notch1 but rather weakly positive or negative for NICD (Figure 2, D–G). These results indicate that the receptor engagement and sequential cleavages of Notch1 did indeed take place in these cells. However, NICD-positive cells were detected in the same manner except for endothelial cells in small vessels, which were positive for Notch1 but rather weakly positive or negative for NICD (Figure 2, D–G). These results indicate that the receptor engagement and sequential cleavages of Notch1 did indeed take place in these cells. However, NICD-positive cells were detected in the same manner except for endothelial cells in small vessels, which were positive for Notch1 but rather weakly positive or negative for NICD (Figure 2, D–G). These results indicate that the receptor engagement and sequential cleavages of Notch1 did indeed take place in these cells. However, NICD-positive cells were detected in the same manner except for endothelial cells in small vessels, which were positive for Notch1 but rather weakly positive or negative for NICD (Figure 2, D–G). These results indicate that the receptor engagement and sequential cleavages of Notch1 did indeed take place in these cells. However, NICD-positive cells were detected in the same manner except for endothelial cells in small vessels, which were positive for Notch1 but rather weakly positive or negative for NICD (Figure 2, D–G). These results indicate that the receptor engagement and sequential cleavages of Notch1 did indeed take place in these cells. However, NICD-positive cells were detected in the same manner except for endothelial cells in small vessels, which were positive for Notch1 but rather weakly positive or negative for NICD (Figure 2, D–G). These results indicate that the receptor engagement and sequential cleavages of Notch1 did indeed take place in these cells. However, NICD-positive cells were detected in the same manner except for endothelial cells in small vessels, which were positive for Notch1 but rather weakly positive or negative for NICD (Figure 2, D–G). These results indicate that the receptor engagement and sequential cleavages of Notch1 did indeed take place in these cells. However, NICD-positive cells were detected in the same manner except for endothelial cells in small vessels, which were positive for Notch1 but rather weakly positive or negative for NICD (Figure 2, D–G). These results indicate that the receptor engagement and sequential cleavages of Notch1 did indeed take place in these cells. However, NICD-positive cells were detected in the same manner except for endothelial cells in small vessels, which were positive for Notch1 but rather weakly positive or negative for NICD (Figure 2, D–G). These results indicate that the receptor engagement and sequential cleavages of Notch1 did indeed take place in these cells. However, NICD-positive cells were detected in the same manner except for endothelial cells in small vessels, which were positive for Notch1 but rather weakly positive or negative for NICD (Figure 2, D–G). These results indicate that the receptor engagement and sequential cleavages of Notch1 did indeed take place in these cells. However, NICD-positive cells were detected in the same manner except for endothelial cells in small vessels, which were positive for Notch1 but rather weakly positive or negative for NICD (Figure 2, D–G). These results indicate that the receptor engagement and sequential cleavages of Notch1 did indeed take place in these cells. However, NICD-positive cells were detected in the same manner except for endothelial cells in small vessels, which were positive for Notch1 but rather weakly positive or negative for NICD (Figure 2, D–G). These results indicate that the receptor engagement and sequential cleavages of Notch1 did indeed take place in these cells. However, NICD-positive cells were detected in the same manner except for endothelial cells in small vessels, which were positive for Notch1 but rather weakly positive or negative for NICD (Figure 2, D–G). These results indicate that the receptor engagement and sequential cleavages of Notch1 did indeed take place in these cells. However, NICD-positive cells were detected in the same manner except for endothelial cells in small vessels, which were positive for Notch1 but rather weakly positive or negative for NICD (Figure 2, D–G). These results indicate that the receptor engagement and sequential cleavages of Notch1 did indeed take place in these cells. However, NICD-positive cells were detected in the same manner except for endothelial cells in small vessels, which were positive for Notch1 but rather weakly positive or negative for NICD (Figure 2, D–G). These results indicate that the receptor engagement and sequential cleavages of Notch1 did indeed take place in these cells. However, NICD-positive cells were detected in the same manner except for endothelial cells in small vessels, which were positive for Notch1 but rather weakly positive or negative for NICD (Figure 2, D–G). These results indicate that the receptor engagement and sequential cleavages of Notch1 did indeed take place in these cells. However, NICD-positive...
associates with and is required for the Importin β–mediated nuclear imports (29). Certain viruses, such as poliovirus, cause abnormal degradation of Nup153 and consequently inhibit nuclear translocation of NLS-tagged proteins carried by Importin β (30). However, Nup153 immunoreactivity of OPCs in MS lesions showed normal-appearing nuclear envelopes, though some Nup153 appeared to be abnormally localized outside the envelopes and within the cytoplasm, associated with the NICD aggregates (Figure 3, D–I). When Nup153 is expressed in excess in vitro, it is known to induce the formation of annulate lamellae, an expansion of the portion of the ER contiguous with the nuclear envelope (31). To exclude the possibility that the observed NICD aggregates in the OPCs are located in annulate lamellae along with Nup153, we examined their subcellular localization using protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) as an ER marker. The results showed that the aggregates do not localize within ER structures (Figure 3, J–L). The NICD aggregates and associated Nup153 are thus cytoplasmic.

Abnormal aggregations of NPC protein Nup153 in cytoplasm are highlighted in the above results. The stability of Nup153 is largely determined by its interactions with intermediate filaments known as lamins (32). Lamins are essential components of the nuclear envelope and include multiple members whose expression levels vary from tissue to tissue. Recently, genetic duplications in the Lamin B1 gene and consequent overexpression of the protein have been reported as a cause of human adult-onset autosomal dominant leukodystrophy (ADLD) (33), a hereditary demyelinating disease without signs of remyelination. Lamin B1 a Lamin protein that is expressed at a relatively low degree in healthy brains (34), with the

Figure 4
Abnormal expression of Lamin B1 and TIP30 in OPCs in MS lesions. (A) The expression of Lamin B1 is virtually undetectable in normal cerebral white matter from a control subject. (B) The expression is increased in a MS demyelinated lesion (surrounded by broken line) compared with nearby normal-appearing white matter. (C–E; higher magnification) Overexpressed Lamin B1 colocalizes with cytoplasmic NICD aggregates in the OPCs (note that the most of these cytoplasmic NICD–aggregated cells are positive for Olig1, an OPC marker [ref. 24], as shown in Figure 2), but not with nuclear envelopes. (F) TIP30 is undetectable except in small vessels in normal cerebral white matter from a control subject. (G) TIP30 expression is markedly increased in a MS demyelinated lesion (demyelinated area and normal-appearing white matter demarcated by broken line). (H–J) Overexpressed TIP30, an inhibitor of Importin β (19), is associated with Importin β in the OPCs (arrows). (K–M; higher magnification) In a few cells with relatively lower expression of TIP30 (e.g., the lower cell in the pictures), Importin β that is not colocalized with TIP30 (arrows) gathers near the nuclear envelope (arrowheads); some appears to have entered the nucleus. Scale bars: 250 μm (A, B, F, and G); 10 μm (C–E and K–M); 25 μm (H–J).
exception of a moderate expression in cerebellum (33). Considering the similarity between the 2 diseases in respect to the remyelination failure, we thought that Lamin B1 might also be overexpressed in MS lesions and possibly associated with the NICD aggregates that include Nup153. Consistent with previous reports (33, 34), expression of Lamin B1 was virtually undetectable in control cerebral white matter (Figure 4A), whereas expression was increased in MS demyelinated lesions (Figure 4B). Moreover, the subcellular localization of Lamin B1 was indeed associated with the NICD aggregates in the OPCs (Figure 4, C–E). In support of the results, Abs raised against MS plaques have been shown to detect Lamin B (35).

**OPCs express TIP30, an Importin-β inhibitor, in MS lesions.** Among the molecules we found in the cytoplasmic aggregates (NICD, ref. 15; Nup153, ref. 36; and Lamin B1, ref. 37), all possess NLS and are thus targets of Importin β–mediated, NLS-dependent nuclear translocation (16). Therefore, the observed pathology in MS may reflect a general impairment in Importin β–mediated nucleocytoplasmic transport in OPCs, not only blocking the axoglial Contactin/Notch1 signaling pathway within the cells (12) but also inhibiting the proper transportation of Nup153 and Lamin B1 into the nuclear envelope, resulting in the aggregates.

TIP30 (otherwise known as CC3) is a normal cellular tumor suppressor and proapoptotic protein induced by heat shock (38), irradiation (39), aging (40), or TGF-β1 (41). Defects in TIP30 are associated with metastasis of small cell lung carcinoma (42) and breast carcinoma (43) or with carcinogenesis of hepatocellular carcinoma (44). The baseline expression of TIP30 varies from organ to organ (18); when expressed in excess, TIP30 does indeed form a complex with Importin β and inhibits Importin β–mediated nuclear import, consequently increasing the sensitivity to death signals (19).

In agreement with a previous study (42), TIP30 was virtually absent in control subjects except for small vessels (Figure 4F), whereas the expression was markedly upregulated in MS demyelinated lesions (Figure 4G). Subcellular localization of TIP30 was associated with that of Importin β in the OPCs (Figure 4, H–J). In a few cells in selected cases of MS, relatively lower expressions of TIP30 were observed. In such cases, Importin β not associated with TIP30 eventually entered the nucleus (Figure 4, K–M), consistent with the theory that TIP30 acts as an inhibitor for Importin β–mediated nucleocytoplasmic transport (18, 19). These results suggest that the upregulated TIP30 in MS lesions interferes with axoglial Contactin/Notch1 signaling in the OPCs via the inhibition of nuclear translocation of NICD, resulting in failure of remyelination.
TIP30-positive cells are less frequent in remyelinating shadow plaques. Among 10 MS samples included in the present study, 9 contained remyelinating shadow plaques, as determined by the presence of abnormally thin and short myelin and also immature oligodendrocytes (20). In the remyelinating shadow plaques, nuclear NICD-positive cells were detected, and most of them were positive for nuclear Olig1, suggestive of OPCs (Figure 5C). To further clarify the involvement of TIP30 in remyelination failure, we performed statistical analysis for the density of TIP30-positive/cytoplasmic NICD-aggregated cells and TIP30-negative/nuclear NICD-positive cells and compared chronically demyelinated plaques (without sign of ongoing demyelination; known as “inactive” lesions) and remyelinating shadow plaques (Figure 5, A–D).

The results (Figure 5, E and F) revealed that the density of TIP30-negative/nuclear NICD-positive cells has a tendency to be higher in remyelinating shadow plaques than in chronically demyelinated plaques, although the difference is not statistically significant (51.34 ± 67.16 cells/mm² in remyelinating shadow plaques and 10.95 ± 11.12 cells/mm² in chronically demyelinated plaques; average ± SD, P = 0.069). This may be attributable in part to the difficulty in detecting nuclear NICD due to its rapid turnover (26). The density of TIP30-positive/cytoplasmic NICD-aggregated cells, which may reflect the degree of nucleocytoplasmic transport failure, is significantly greater in chronically demyelinated plaques and not as great in remyelinating shadow plaques (71.40 ± 41.65 cells/mm² in chronically demyelinated plaques and 24.76 ± 24.92 cells/mm² in remyelinating shadow plaques; average ± SD, P = 0.024). These results suggest that upregulated TIP30 is associated with remyelination failure in MS.

TIP30 blocks the nuclear translocalization of NICD and differentiation. The cell line OLN-93 resembles OPCs or immature oligodendrocytes in morphological and antigenic properties (45) and has been previously used to demonstrate the nuclear translocalization of NICD upon Contactin stimulation (12). In addition, OLN-93 cells were positive for nuclear Olig1 (not shown), suggesting that this cell line may be relevant to OPCs recruited for remyelination (24) or the preserved OPCs in MS lesions. In order to confirm that TIP30 acts as an inhibitor of Contactin/Notch1 signaling...
and causes differentiation arrest of the OPCs, leading to remyelination failure, we transfected and EGFP tagged TIP30 (44) into OLN-93 cells and induced their differentiation with soluble Contactin-Fc as has been previously described (12). Time-lapse recordings of intracellular distributions of TIP30 in OLN-93 cells revealed that TIP30 is initially distributed diffusely in cytoplasm but upon Contactin-Fc stimulation becomes confined to perinuclear areas and forms granule-like aggregates morphologically similar to those observed in MS lesions (Figure 6, A–G, and Supplemental Video 1). In those cells with overwhelming expression, TIP30 formed perinuclear aggregates and induced breakdown of nuclear membranes, resulting in cell death within a few hours (Figure 6, H–M, and Supplemental Video 2; nontransgenic cells are shown in Supplemental Video 3), supporting the view that TIP30 increases susceptibility to apoptotic cell death (19).

Even though only cells with relatively low expression of TIP30 were available for further analysis due to the aforementioned cell death, nuclear translocalization of NICD upon Contactin-Fc stimulation was clearly inhibited in TIP30-positive cells and NICD was trapped in the cytoplasm (Figure 7, A and B). The subcellular localization of TIP30 was outside the ER (as identified by PDI), similar to the OPCs in MS lesions (Figure 7C). Statistical analysis showed an approximately 60% reduction in the NICD nuclear translocalization ratio upon Contactin stimulation in cells with TIP30 expression, although the result is likely to be underestimated due to cell death (Figure 7D).

Contactin/Notch1 signaling has been shown to induce expression of myelin-associated glycoprotein (MAG) (12), a marker of mature oligodendrocytes, and the expression of MAG is indeed induced in these cells but not in TIP30-positive cells (asterisks). Similar results were obtained for CNPase (Supplemental Figure 7). Possibly because of the short duration of TIP30 expression and Contactin stimulation in vitro (hours) in comparison with the OPCs in MS lesions (years), the NICD aggregates were less prominent in the cultured cells than in the OPCs in MS lesions and immunocytochemical detections of other NLS-tagged proteins were unremarkable (data not shown).
Differentiation of typically bipolar OPCs into myelinating oligodendrocytes involves remarkable morphological differentiation. Contactin/Notch1 signaling induced by Contactin-Fc in naive OLN-93 cells indeed resulted in the reduction of cells without processes or mono-/bipolar cells, while cells with well-developed (webbed) processes were significantly increased (Figure 8). In TIP30-positive cells, however, such morphological differentiation was not observed (Figure 8). These results together suggest that TIP30 inhibits the differentiation of OPCs into oligodendrocytes both biochemically and morphologically.

Discussion
We have shown that many, if not all, chronically demyelinated axons in MS lesions express Contactin on their surfaces; ordinarily, this protein would instruct the preserved OPCs to differentiate into myelinating cells (12). Notch1-positive OPCs are increased in the Contactin-positive demyelinated lesions, and the receptor is indeed activated and sequentially cleaved to NICD. However, nuclear translocalization of NICD, which is necessary for the differentiation process, is blocked, and both the differentiation of OPCs into myelinating cells and remyelination are consequently inhibited. NICD is arrested and aggregated in cytoplasm, along with nuclear transporter Importin β and NPC protein Nup153. In addition, ectopically overexpressed Lamin B1, a protein whose genetic duplication causes ADLD (33), was observed in the aggregates. Each of the proteins observed in the aggregates (NICD, ref. 15; Nup153, ref. 36; and Lamin B1, ref. 37) contain NLS and thus are targets of Importin β-mediated nuclear transport (16). TIP30,
a tumor suppressor and proapoptotic protein inhibiting Importin β-mediated nuclear transport (19) is abnormally upregulated and associated with the NICD aggregates. Overexpression of TIP30 in OPC cells in vitro blocked the Contactin/Notch1 signaling by inhibiting nuclear translocation of NICD and consequently prevented the maturation of OPC cells into oligodendrocytes. Together, our observations suggest that the preserved OPCs in MS lesions — in which nucleocytoplasmic transport is impaired by abnormal expressions of TIP30 — are not naive but pathogenic (schematically summarized in Figure 9).

While we analyzed MS brains using the axoglial Contactin/Notch1 signaling (12) as a scaffold, our observations showing Nup153 and Lamin B1 in the cytoplasmic aggregates suggest that the nucleocytoplasmic transport mediated by Importin β in general may have failed in the preserved OPCs in MS lesions. In this view, it is important to note that remyelination occurs after Cuprizone-induced chemical demyelination in OPC-targeted Notch1-ablated transgenic mice (22), suggesting that additional pathways other than those involving Notch1 exist and may also contribute to remyelination. Elucidation of such additional pathways and possible involvement of Importin β therein awaits further study.

Our findings have several implications for the pathophysiology of MS and future therapeutic strategies. First, even though axonal pathologies are reported in MS (4, 14), many demyelinated axons are capable of expressing Contactin to induce myelinogenesis; thus, the remyelination failure may not be entirely due to lack of axonal signals. Second, our results indicate that the preserved OPCs in MS are not naive but pathogenic and that their nucleocytoplasmic transport is impaired due to abnormally upregulated TIP30. Hence, approaches, including ours (20, 46) or others’ (10, 47) to promote remyelination solely by inducing the differentiation of endogenous OPCs into myelinating cells in MS may require amendment. To the extent that TIP30 is induced by specific environmental factors in MS lesions, the same holds true for approaches that would utilize exogenously derived OPCs. Systemic blockade of TIP30 may not be straightforward because of the potential for tumorigenesis (44). It is therefore essential to clarify the factor or factors inducing the abnormal upregulation of TIP30 in MS. TIP30 has been shown to be induced by stresses such as heat shock (38), irradiation (39), and aging (40) as well as by TGF-β1 (41).

In addition, we must be cautious when using EAE animals in the study of remyelination, since nucleocytoplasmic transport of...
NICD seems intact in this model (48). Our preliminary observations also indicated that TIP30 is not induced in the demyelinated lesions observed in animals with myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (amino acids 1–125) EAE (Jin Nakahara, unpublished observations) and thus do not reflect the findings in the present study. Generation of an animal model in which TIP30 is overexpressed in OPCs in vivo may be technically challenging, as our observations showed that such cells are highly susceptible to cell death. Primary nonimmune-mediated apoptosis of oligodendrocytes is observed in newly formed MS lesions (49), and possible influences of TIP30 in this phenomenon should be further studied.

Finally, our observations showing overexpression of Lamin B1, an NLS-tagged protein, in the OPCs raise the possibility that similar pathophysiological underlie both MS and ADLD. Very recently, similar cytoplasmic aggregations of Importin β in proportion to disease progression, suggestive of nucleocytoplasmic transport failure, have been reported in anterior horn cells of the mutant Cu/Zn-superoxide dismutase (G93A) transgenic mouse model of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (50). Abnormal cytoplasmic accumulations of nuclear transport protein have also been observed in hippocampal neurons of brain samples from Alzheimer disease patients (51). Nucleocytoplasmic transport and its failure may thus be a novel paradigm underlying a wide spectrum of neural diseases, including MS.

**Methods**

*Human brain specimens.* All of the experimental procedures were carried out in accordance with institutional guidelines at Keio University, and the analysis of human specimens was approved by the institutional review board at the Human Brain and Spinal Fluid Resource Center (Los Angeles, California, USA), from which all human specimens used in this study were obtained. The human brain specimens used in this study were described in our previous study (20). The cerebra of 10 deceased MS patients and 5 control patients without brain diseases were investigated in this study. Each MS specimen contained at least 1 demyelinated plaque. Autolysis time varied from 11 to 58 hours (average: 21 hours) for MS patients and from 9 to 19 hours (average: 12 hours) for control patients. Available clinical history about the patients and lesion characteristics are described in our previous report (20). Fresh-frozen specimens were thawed and fixed in 4% PFA-PBS (Wako) at 4°C for 20 hours before proceeding to sectioning.

**Cryostat sectioning.** Fixed specimens were washed thoroughly in PBS (Ambion; Applied Biosystems) and immersed in a PBS solution containing 20% sucrose at 4°C until the specimen sank. The cryoprotected specimens were frozen and embedded in a compound medium (Tissue-Tek OCT Compound; Sakura) and then sliced into 10-μm thick sections using a cryostat microtome (CM3050; Leica) at −25°C. Slices were collected on glass slides with an aminosilane precoat (Matsunami Glass Ind. Ltd.) and stored at −80°C until use.

**Myelin staining.** A luxol fast blue (LFB) (Acros Organics) solution (0.1% LFB in 95% ethanol containing 0.05% acetic acid, stained overnight at 60°C) and a nuclear fast red (NFR) counterpart (stained for 5 minutes at room temperature; Vector Laboratories) were routinely used for myelin staining to distinguish demyelinated lesions. Lithium carbonate solution (0.05%; Wako) was used for color differentiation of the LFB. Slides were viewed and photos were taken using a stereotactic optical microscope equipped with a CCD camera (COOLSCOPE; Nikon).

**Immunohistochemistry.** Antigen retrieval was performed by placing the slides in boiled 0.01 M citrate buffer solution (Muto Pure Chemicals) for 10 minutes. After the procedure, slides were incubated with primary Abs diluted in 0.5% skim milk (BD) in PBS for 2 days at 4°C and then with secondary Abs diluted in 0.5% skim milk in PBS overnight at 4°C. The slides were thoroughly washed in PBS in between each Ab hybridization. The specimens were mounted in VECTASHIELD (Vector Laboratories) and viewed under a confocal laser scanning microscope (Digital Eclipse C1; Nikon). Double-labeling experiments using 2 rabbit Abs (i.e., anti-NICD and anti-TIP30, as shown in Figure 5) were performed as described previously (20). In brief, quenching of internal peroxidase activity was performed by 3% hydrogen peroxide (10 minutes at room temperature; Wako) and the Abs targeting the nuclei (i.e., anti-NICD) were visualized first by immunoperoxidase staining with 3,3-diaminobenzidine, tetrahydrodichloride (DAB) (brown; Dojindo). Slides were then washed once in running water for 10 minutes and twice in 0.1 M glycine-HCl (Wako) at pH 2.2 for 30 minutes to remove the bound Abs. The second set of Abs (i.e., anti-TIP30) was administered similarly and visualized by immunoperoxidase staining with 4-chloro-1-naphthol (blue; Wako). Slides were viewed and photos were taken using a stereotactic optical microscope equipped with a CCD camera (COOLSCOPE; Nikon).

**Lesion staging and statistical analysis.** For the statistical analysis shown in Figure 5, chronically demyelinated plaques (inactive) were determined by the complete loss of LFB staining and lack of myelin-phagocytosing macrophages (52), and remyelinating shadow plaques were determined as previously described (20). One out of 10 MS samples analyzed included nearby actively demyelinating lesions, as identified by the presence of macrophages phagocytosing LFB-positive particles, and this case was omitted from the following statistical analysis as a result of the difficulty in precisely identifying the remyelinating shadow plaques. Two consecutive slices were selected for each MS case. One slide was then stained with LFB plus NFR, whereas the other was double-labeled for NICD and TIP30. Using the LFB plus NFR slide, the locations of chronically demyelinated plaques (inactive) and remyelinating shadow plaques were stereotactically mapped by COOLSCOPE (Nikon). Photographs of immunostained slides (double-labeled for NICD and TIP30) were stereotactically taken for corresponding chronically demyelinated plaques and remyelinating shadow plaques in accordance with the results from the LFB plus NFR staining. Digital photographs representing 0.146 mm² were taken with a CCD camera at a resolution of 1280 × 960 pixels with an original magnification of ×200 under COOLSCOPE. At least 3 different photographs of areas that were more than 1 mm apart from each other in x and y directions were randomly taken for every chronically demyelinated plaque and remyelinating shadow plaque. The number of TIP30-negative/nuclear NICD–positive cells and TIP30-positive/cyttoplasmic NICD–aggregated cells in each photograph was counted. The average density of the cells per mm² was calculated for each MS sample (for both cell types) as graphed in Figure 5, E and F. The statistical significance of the differences in average density between chronically demyelinated plaques and remyelinating shadow plaques was determined by 2-sided, paired Student’s t test, with P < 0.05 considered significant.

**Cell culture, transfection, and Contactin stimulation.** OPC cell line OLN-93 (45) (a generous gift from Zhi-Cheng Xiao, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore; ref. 12) was cultured in DMEM (Wako) containing 10% heat-inactivated FBS (GIBCO, Invitrogen), 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich) and incubated at 37°C in humidified 5% CO₂. After a passage with 0.2% Trypsin (BD Biosciences) in PBS, the cells were cultured in 6-well culture plates (35-mm diameter per well; BD Biosciences) for 1 day to ensure their attachment to the plates. Culture medium was changed to serum- and antibiotic-free DMEM with 1.8 μg/ml Metafectene Pro (Biontex) and 0.6 μg/ml pEGFP-C2/TIP30 plasmid (a generous gift from Hua Xiao and Isamu Hoshino, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, USA; ref. 44) and incubated for 14 hours to achieve transfection. The transfected cells were further cultured in fresh serum- and antibiotic-free DMEM for 10 hours, and then stimulation of...
the cells was accomplished by changing the medium to serum-free DMEM with 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin, and either 0.5 μg/ml Contactin-Fc (AbCys) or 0.5 μg/ml BSA (Invitrogen) as a control, then incubating for 29 hours before proceeding to immunocytochemistry. Time-lapse recording. At the time of Contactin-Fc stimulation, a 6-well culture plate was placed on a confocal laser-scanning microscope equipped with a Thermo Plate (temperature set at 37°C; Tokai Hit) and time-lapse recording software (Nikon). DMEM containing 6 mg/ml HEPEs was used to avoid pH changes, and no remarkable changes in color as indicated by phenol red were observed during our relatively short recording time (up to 4.5 hours). Time-lapse recordings of EGF (TIP30) fluorescence were performed at 5-minute intervals as shown in Supplemental Videos 1–3.

Immunocytochemistry and statistical analysis. Cultured cells were fixed with 4% PFA-PBS for 20 minutes at room temperature, antigen retrieved with boiled 0.01 M citrate buffer solution for 10 minutes, permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS for 5 minutes at room temperature, and then blocked with 1% PBS in PBS for 20 minutes at room temperature before Ab administration. Abs were diluted in 1% PBS in PBS, and primary Abs were incubated for 12 hours at 37°C and then with secondary Abs for 1 hour at 37°C, with thorough washes with PBS in between. The stained cells were viewed with a confocal laser-scanning microscope. For the statistical analysis of NIDC nuclear-translocalization ratio (Figure 7D), pictures were randomly taken from both Contactin-stimulated and BSA-stimulated (control) groups, and all of the cells in every picture were classified by the localization of NIDC (nucleus or cytoplasm) and by the expression of TIP30 (TIP30-positive or -negative). A total of 112 TIP30-positive cells and 489 TIP30-negative cells were classified. The statistical difference was analyzed by 2-sided Student’s t test; differences of P < 0.05 were considered significant. For the statistical analysis of morphological differentiation (Figure 8), cells were classified by their morphology (i.e., cells without processes, amoebo-like cells [hypertrophic cells without remarkable processes], mono- or bipolar cells, multipolar cells, and webbed cells; typical examples are shown in Figure 8). At least 3 independent experiments were performed, and a total of 130 TIP30-positive cells and 1723 TIP30-negative cells were classified. The statistical difference was analyzed by 2-sided Student’s t test, with P < 0.05 considered significant.

Abs. The primary Abs used in this study included anti-Contactin mouse monoclonal Abs (clone 41; BD Biosciences), anti-neurofilament rabbit polyclonal Abs (AbD Serotec), anti-Notch1 rabbit polyclonal Abs (Abcam), anti-Val143A-cleaved NIDC rabbit polyclonal Abs (Cell Signaling Technology), anti-CN1Pase mouse monoclonal Abs (clone 11-5B, Sigma-Aldrich), anti-Olig1 mouse monoclonal Abs (clone 257219; R&D Systems), anti-Hes5 rabbit polyclonal Abs (Chemicon; Millipore), anti–Importin β mouse monoclonal Abs (clone 3H4; Sigma-Aldrich), anti-Nup153 mouse monoclonal Abs (clone Q5E; Abcam), anti-PDI mouse monoclonal Abs (clone 1D3; Abcam), anti–Lamin B1 mouse monoclonal Abs (clone 119D5-F1; Chemicon; Millipore), anti–TIP30 rabbit polyclonal Abs (Abcam), anti–GFAP rabbit polyclonal Abs (Dako), and anti-MAG rabbit polyclonal Abs (53). For the control experiments (Supplemental Figure 2), mouse and rabbit IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories Inc.) Cy3- or FITC-conjugated anti-mouse IgG goat polyclonal Abs (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories Inc.), and TO-PRO-3 iodide nuclear stain (Molecular Probes; Invitrogen).
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