Somatic cells that change from one mature phenotype to another exhibit the property of plasticity. It is increasingly clear that epithelial and endothelial cells enjoy some of this plasticity, which is easily demonstrated by studying the process of epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT). Published reports from the literature typically rely on ad hoc criteria for determining EMT events; consequently, there is some uncertainty as to whether the same process occurs under different experimental conditions. As we discuss in this Personal Perspective, we believe that context and various changes in plasticity biomarkers can help identify at least three types of EMT and that using a collection of criteria for EMT increases the likelihood that everyone is studying the same phenomenon — namely, the transition of epithelial and endothelial cells to a motile phenotype.
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Somatic cells that change from one mature phenotype to another exhibit the property of plasticity. It is increasingly clear that epithelial and endothelial cells enjoy some of this plasticity, which is easily demonstrated by studying the process of epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT). Published reports from the literature typically rely on ad hoc criteria for determining EMT events; consequently, there is some uncertainty as to whether the same process occurs under different experimental conditions. As we discuss in this Personal Perspective, we believe that context and various changes in plasticity biomarkers can help identify at least three types of EMT and that using a collection of criteria for EMT increases the likelihood that everyone is studying the same phenomenon — namely, the transition of epithelial and endothelial cells to a motile phenotype.

In the early 19th century, building on observations of microscopists now ancient, Schleiden and Schwann formulated the doctrine that cells are building blocks for plant and animal tissues (1). By the mid-19th century, Raspail, Remak, and Virchow expanded this hypothesis by suggesting that all cells come from preexisting cells — the so-called cell theory. Although referring to cell division, this now classic notion is prescient of another contemporary twist in the biology of cell maturation: beyond lineage development and normal differentiation, mature epithelial cells under new environmental pressures exhibit a local plasticity that allows them to morph into other mature phenotypes with or without proliferation (2, 3). Growing interest in the biology of these cellular transitions helped establish epithelial cell plasticity as a field of study in the late 20th century and fashion much of the current thinking regarding morphogenesis in early embryonic development, tissue repair, and cancer metastasis (4–6). The details of some of these processes are not discussed here, as they are outlined in other articles in this Review Series on epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) (7, 8). Instead, we offer a personal view gathered from our own experience and the literature regarding an approach documenting EMT events in culture or tissue. We hope this serves to stimulate other points of view as new data emerge.

Epithelial cell plasticity

The notion of epithelial cell plasticity derives largely from early studies of tissue regeneration in primitive experimental models. These models involve a variety of tissues, many of which have an epithelial component. For example, both amputated and injured structures from Urodela (newts and salamanders) regenerate damaged parts rather than scarring over wounds. Regenerative plasticity in these species is seen in limbs, facial bones, and tails; along aged parts rather than scarring over wounds. Regenerative plasticity in these species is seen in limbs, facial bones, and tails; along
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The field of EMT today is vastly more expansive in scope and understanding than it was just a few years ago, particularly with new work on the role of EMT in tissue fibrosis and cancer metastasis (4, 6, 21). The study of various model systems involving an abundance of different epithelial cell types, often examined in culture and out of biological context, lends considerable uncertainty to the nature of common signaling and transcriptional pathways predictive of EMT. This is particularly true when one tries to compare mRNA pools generated under various experimental conditions. In March 2008, at an EMT meeting at Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, we and others (8) suggested there is heuristic value in parsing EMT into three general subtypes based simply on the context under which they occur (Figure 1 and Categories of epithelial cell plasticity). Type 1 EMT involves primitive epithelial cells transitioning to motile mesenchymal cells as part of gastrulation and primitive neuroepithelial cells generating migrating neural crest cells. In both situations, some of the cells generated by EMT are re-induced as secondary epithelial cells in mesodermal and endodermal organs by mesenchymal-epithelial transition (MET). Type 2 EMT involves secondary epithelial or endothelial cells transitioning to resident tissue fibroblasts. In mature tissues, these fibroblasts are induced in response to persistent inflammation. Type 3 EMT involves epithelial carcinoma cells in primary nodules transitioning to metastatic tumor cells in order to migrate through the blood stream and, in some cases, form secondary nodules in distant metastatic sites by MET.

When the process of EMT is looked at in this way, it is important to preserve the possibility of common mechanisms, recognizing that the three subtypes of EMT have some phenotypic similarities, but also many differences. For example, it is likely that mRNA pools from each subtype of input cells (primitive, secondary, and tumor epithelial cells) are considerably different, with many unshared transcripts. Likewise, the output cells (mesenchymal cells, fibroblasts, and metastatic tumor cells, respectively) have little in common other than the ability to move and are likely to express different pools of mRNA. We believe that parsing EMT into three subtypes will encourage comparisons among similar models and help identify better candidate small molecules predictive or instructive of type-specific transitions.

**EMT resulting in either mesenchymal cells or fibroblasts**

A principal distinction between the EMT involving primitive epithelial cells and that involving secondary epithelial cells is that type 1 EMT during embryogenesis produces mesenchymal...
cells, whereas type 2 EMT in adult or maturing tissues results in fibroblasts. From a historical perspective, little serious thought has been given to the origin of fibroblasts and their relation to mesenchymal cells. Primitive epithelial cells in Metazoans form primary mesenchymal cells by type 1 EMT during gastrulation (22, 23). These mesenchymal cells disperse and are variably induced, through MET, to form secondary epithelial cells that support early organogenesis. Unused mesenchymal cells that remain undergo programmed cell death (24). Coincident with organogenesis and the appearance of secondary (MET-derived) epithelial cells, fibroblasts first appear in mice after E9 following type 2 EMT in maturing tissues (25, 26). Because fibroblasts move, have front-end–back end polarity, elongate with filopodia, and look like mesenchymal cells (17), and because we tend to label the two cell types interchangeably — particularly when referring to transitions in adult tissues — both cells are understandably and unfortunately mislabeled in the biomedical literature.

Other than the fact that mesenchymal cells have a shape that resembles fibroblasts and, like fibroblasts, express fibronectin and fibrillar collagens, there is no evidence that fibroblasts are of direct lineage descent from primitive mesenchymal cells. Tissue fibrosis, for example, does not occur during early embryogenesis, at least not until organogenesis begins (25) and the immune system reaches a functional level of maturity (27). Consistent with this observation is the fact that most mammalian tissues enjoy less immunologic privilege than the tissues of species such as Urodela and the realization that primitive mesenchymal cells are not likely to be true fibroblasts (25); that is, early embryos do not scar (28), and, unlike fibroblasts, primary mesenchymal cells are multipotent (29, 30), susceptible to MET (31), and do not express proteins that uniquely define fibroblasts (25).

It is only once fibroblasts formed by type 2 EMT materialize in the interstitial spaces between developing secondary epithelium and endothelium that these tissues are primed for an inducible fibrogenic response consequent to persistent inflammation. Fibroblast formation and fibrosis, therefore, are principally a feature of immunologic and tissue maturation. More recently, it has been observed that fibroblasts harvested from various tissues have distinct gene profiles (32) and behave differently following exposure to assorted matrices and cytokines (33). This is entirely consistent with the topographic distribution of variable pools of mRNA in fibroblasts, perhaps based on a sequential Hox gene code instructing differences in mRNA pools according to regions of the body plan (32, 34). Although this later notion has been interpreted through the narrow prism of mesenchymal cell ancestry, it is also completely consistent with the notion that fibroblasts formed by EMT differentially express genes or signaling pathways dependent on their previous life as mature epithelial or endothelial cells, and theoretically could be as heterogeneous as their parent cells.

**EMT involving metastatic cancer cells**

The concept that EMT involves the formation of metastatic cancer cells is based on the observation that acquisition of mesenchymal markers such as vimentin or S100A4 (also known as fibroblast-specific protein 1 [FSP1]) by epithelial carcinoma cells is associated with increased metastatic potential (18), as is nuclear overexpression of β-catenin (35) and loss of epithelial cell adhesion molecules such as E-cadherin (18, 20, 36–38). Type 3 EMT, while sharing some phenotypic similarities with other types of EMT, may invoke the expression of more primitive markers suggestive of stem cells (39). Two recent interesting points about EMT producing fibroblasts can also be made in the setting of cancer. First, fibroblasts adjacent to primary epithelial tumor nodules share some genetic mutations with the tumor cells, suggesting that type 2 EMT forming fibroblasts occurs prior to the full onset of tumorigenesis (40, 41). On the other hand, studies comparing mutations in cancer cells and cancer-associated fibroblasts isolated from distant metastatic tumor tissue fail to demonstrate a cancer cell origin for these fibroblasts (42), suggesting that once epithelial tumor cells emerge, they no longer are a source of fibroblasts. Rather, the cancer-associated fibroblasts in metastatic sites are likely to be either attracted to a secondary tumor nodule from the local resident population or derived from endothelial sprouts that form neovascular vessels as the secondary nodules enlarge (43). Second, whereas type 2 EMT involves the transition of adult epithelial or endothelial cells into fibroblasts, type 3 EMT implies something different. Type 3 EMT is not a mechanism for forming fibroblasts, but a process that uses this mechanism to transition and ready nodular tumor epithelial cells for movement, invasion, and metastasis (20).

We review here some thoughts on criteria and common biomarkers for EMT in all three subtypes. Truly remarkable advances have been made in unraveling this interesting phenomenon of phenotypic transition, particularly in the generation of fibroblasts.

**In vitro and in vivo criteria for type 2 EMT producing fibroblasts**

Since the in vitro demonstration of EMT by urodele corneal, notochord, limb, and lens epithelial and endothelial cells in collagen gel immersion experiments in 1982 (44), much interest has focused on how to better detect epithelial cells undergoing EMT to become fibroblasts in different culture systems and tissues. Although detection of a change in phenotype or the measure-
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**Categories of epithelial cell plasticity**

**Epithelial transdifferentiation**
- Hepatocytes forming islet cells
- Neuroretinal epithelial cells forming pigmented epithelial cells
- Type II pneumocytes forming type I pneumocytes
- Type A intercalated cells forming type B intercalated cells
- Gastroesophageal junction epithelial cells forming Barrett’s esophagus
- Lactotrophic cells forming somatotrophic cells in the pituitary

**Epithelial transitions**
- **Type 1**: Epithelial-mesenchymal transitions
  - Primitive epithelial cells forming mesenchymal cells
  - Early neuroepithelial cells forming neural crest cells
- **Type 2**: Epithelial-fibroblast transitions
  - Secondary, organ epithelial cells forming fibroblasts
  - Endothelial cells forming fibroblasts
- **Type 3**: Carcinoma-metastatic transitions
  - Epithelial carcinoma cells forming migratory metastatic tumor cells
In vitro criteria for EMT

**Major criteria**
- New expression of FSP1 and possibly DDR2
- Increased expression of HSP47, collagen I (α1), collagen 2 (α2), or vimentin
- Cadherin switch
- Nuclear relocalization of CBF-A or β-catenin/LEF or new expression of one of the following transcription factors: Snail, Slug, or Twist
- Absence of epithelial markers; loss of cytokeratin or ZO-1
- Spindle-shape morphology with redistribution of stress fibers and loss of polarity
- Resistance to apoptotic stimuli
- Increased migratory capacity
- Phenotype stable upon removal of inducing stimulus

**Minor criteria**
- Abundant intermediate filaments and microfilaments
- Loss of chromatin condensation associated with gain of multiple nucleoli
- Gain of rough ER, abundant lysosomal granules, and loss of intercellular junctions on electron microscopy

*In vitro EMT.* In vitro evidence for type 2 EMT is more difficult to document, because many of the tissue landmarks are disrupted by inflammation and cicatrization. In experimental systems, the cleanest approach to identify type 2 EMT is to use reporter genes in epithelial and endothelial cells that can be tracked in new fibroblasts on transition (46–48). When marking studies are not possible, such as in human tissues, epithelial or endothelial cell expression of FSP1 adjacent to a disrupted basement membrane, occasionally associated with cell elongation toward the interstitial spaces, is suggestive (26). Some FSP1+ epithelial cells in this transitional environment should show new expression of BMP7 (51) or collagen type 1, the latter being much more difficult to demonstrate, and partial to complete loss of E-cadherin and cytokeratin (4). α-SMA can be seen in mature fibroblasts but is not expected in newly transitioning epithelial cells (17). Transitioning epithelial cells normally exhibit new expression of transcription factors that are consistent with fibroblast phenotype, such as TGF-β, Snail, Slug, and α-SMA, but are not expressed in epithelial cells. In vitro experiments, using cultures of tubular epithelial cells, the addition of TGF-β (3 ng/ml) plus EGF (10 ng/ml) to serum-free medium produces consistent rates of type 2 EMT that are completed by 5 days. Early removal of the ligands that induce type 2 EMT in some culture systems allows restoration of the epithelial cell phenotype, something known as reversible scatter (4), suggesting that the plasticity between type 2 EMT and MET is dynamic and can be pushed in either direction (5). Classically, active push back toward MET in mice occurs in the presence of bone morphogenetic protein 7 (BMP-7) (55).

Epithelial cells transitioning to fibroblasts lose polarity; increase their nuclear expression of several transcription factors (see below); lose the expression of E-cadherin, syndecan-1, and zona occludens 1 (ZO-1); increase their synthesis of cytoskeletal proteins (see below); rearrange actin stress fibers; become spindle-shaped; and start to move. Only later and variably do fibroblasts express α-SMA as myofibroblasts (see In vitro criteria for EMT). Transitioning epithelial cells are also resistant to apoptosis (56, 57). The in vitro migration of new fibroblasts following type 2 EMT is the expected mature function of this phenotypic transition (53, 58–60). The fibroblasts formed should also show a stable phenotype on removal of the induction stimulus and with passage or time.

*In vivo EMT.* In vivo evidence for type 2 EMT is more difficult to document, because many of the tissue landmarks are disrupted by inflammation and cicatrization. In experimental systems, the cleanest approach to identify type 2 EMT is to use reporter genes in epithelial and endothelial cells that can be tracked in new fibroblasts on transition (46–48). When marking studies are not possible, such as in human tissues, epithelial or endothelial cell expression of FSP1 adjacent to a disrupted basement membrane, occasionally associated with cell elongation toward the interstitial spaces, is suggestive (26). Some FSP1+ epithelial cells in this transitional environment should show new expression of BMP7 (51) or collagen type 1, the latter being much more difficult to demonstrate, and partial to complete loss of E-cadherin and cytokeratin (4). α-SMA can be seen in mature fibroblasts but is not expected in newly transitioning epithelial cells (17). Transitioning epithelial cells normally exhibit new expression of transcription factors that are consistent with fibroblast phenotype, such as TGF-β, Snail, Slug, and α-SMA, but are not expressed in epithelial cells. In vitro experiments, using cultures of tubular epithelial cells, the addition of TGF-β (3 ng/ml) plus EGF (10 ng/ml) to serum-free medium produces consistent rates of type 2 EMT that are completed by 5 days. Early removal of the ligands that induce type 2 EMT in some culture systems allows restoration of the epithelial cell phenotype, something known as reversible scatter (4), suggesting that the plasticity between type 2 EMT and MET is dynamic and can be pushed in either direction (5). Classically, active push back toward MET in mice occurs in the presence of bone morphogenetic protein 7 (BMP-7) (55).

Epithelial cells transitioning to fibroblasts lose polarity; increase their nuclear expression of several transcription factors (see below); lose the expression of E-cadherin, syndecan-1, and zona occludens 1 (ZO-1); increase their synthesis of cytoskeletal proteins (see below); rearrange actin stress fibers; become spindle-shaped; and start to move. Only later and variably do fibroblasts express α-SMA as myofibroblasts (see In vitro criteria for EMT). Transitioning epithelial cells are also resistant to apoptosis (56, 57). The in vitro migration of new fibroblasts following type 2 EMT is the expected mature function of this phenotypic transition (53, 58–60). The fibroblasts formed should also show a stable phenotype on removal of the induction stimulus and with passage or time.
In vivo criteria for EMT

**Major criteria**
- Use of an epithelial cell reporter construct that appears locally in newly formed fibroblasts
- New expression of FSP1 and possibly DDR2 associated with disruption of basement membrane
- Increased expression of HSP47, collagen I (α1), collagen 2 (α2), N-cadherin, or vimentin
- Nuclear relocalization of CBF-A or β-catenin/LEF or new expression by in situ hybridization of one of the following transcription factors: Snail, Slug, or Twist
- Loss or partial loss of epithelial markers such as cytokeratin, E-cadherin, or ZO-1
- Spindle-shape morphology with redistribution of stress fibers and loss of polarity

**Minor criteria**
- Localized adjacency of transitioning cell near its epithelial compartment
- Exclusion of possible bone marrow–derived progenitor cells

Biomarkers of EMT

A variety of biomarkers have been used to demonstrate all three subtypes of EMT. Here we examine a few of the more common markers, some of which are acquired and some of which are attenuated during transition (Table 1).

**Cell-surface markers of EMT.** A change in expression of E-cadherin is the prototypical epithelial cell marker of EMT. E-cadherin is expressed in epithelial cells, and its expression is decreased during EMT in embryonic development, tissue fibrosis, and cancer (65). Moreover, loss of E-cadherin function promotes EMT (4, 66). In recent years, changes in the level of expression of different cadherins, so-called cadherin switches, have been increasingly used to monitor EMT. Indeed, the cadherin switch from E-cadherin to N-cadherin, which is expressed in mesenchymal cells, fibroblasts, cancer cells, and neural tissue, has often been used to monitor the progress of EMT during embryonic development and cancer progression. In addition, because OB-cadherin is a more definitive marker for activated fibroblasts, an E-cadherin–OB-cadherin switch is of interest for type 2 EMT associated with fibrogenesis (67).

EMT is associated classically with a relocation of cells from a basement membrane microenvironment into a fibrillar ECM. A change in the level of expression of different integrins (an integrin switch) often reflects alterations in cell-ECM interactions. In addition, integrin signaling facilitates EMT (68, 69), and various integrins are expressed on both epithelial and mesenchymal cells. As a result, integrins in general have limited utility as generalized biomarkers for EMT. There are, however, examples where integrins can be used as EMT markers in a context-dependent manner. In colon carcinoma, only cancer cells that have undergone type 3 EMT to a metastatic phenotype express high levels of β6 integrin (normal epithelial cells and noninvasive cancer cells have low-level expression) (70). During gastrulation, type 1 EMT is associated with de novo expression of α5β1, which is a receptor for fibronectin (71). Similarly, type 2 EMT in experimental kidney fibrosis is associated with increased α5 integrin expression (72). Increased expression of α5 integrin also correlates with the metastatic potential of B16F10 melanoma cells and EMT (73), suggesting that α5 integrin plays a role in each subtype of EMT.

Another EMT marker that reflects adaptation to the altered ECM microenvironment associated with EMT is the collagen-specific receptor tyrosine kinase DDR2 (discoidin domain receptor tyrosine kinase 2) (74). Upon binding to type I or type X collagen, DDR2 mediates upregulation of MMP1 and cell motility (74, 75). In adult tissues, expression of DDR2 is confined to subsets of fibroblasts and vascular smooth muscle cells, whereas de novo expression of DDR2 in endothelial cells is associated with type 2 EMT (47, 76). DDR2 expression in cancer cells correlates with increased invasiveness, demonstrating its utility in identifying type 3 EMT (74, 77).

**Cytoskeletal markers of EMT.** FSP1 is a member of the family of Ca2+-binding S100 proteins (26). It is a prototypical fibroblast marker for detecting EMT in cancer and fibrogenesis (20, 45). In tissue fibrosis, most epithelial cells undergoing type 2 EMT express FSP1 early in transition to fibroblasts, and lineage tagging in transgenic reporter mice reveals that more than one-third of all FSP1+ fibroblasts in fibrotic kidneys and livers are EMT derived (45, 48). In addition, in models of cancer, metastatic cells often express FSP1 as part of the molecular program of type 3 EMT (20). Ectopic expression of FSP1 itself facilitates EMT in adult epithelial cells and cancer cells (20, 45, 53). In embryonic development, FSP1 is expressed from E8.5 in the mouse, well after mesenchymal cells first appear (26). Because FSP1 is mainly confined to transitioning epithelial cells and fibroblasts as opposed to primitive mesenchymal cells, it has limited utility for detection of type 1 EMT.

A controversial marker of EMT is the intermediate filament vimentin, which is expressed in various cells, including fibroblasts, endothelial cells, cells of the hematopoietic lineages, and glial cells (78, 79). During embryonic development in the mouse, vimentin is first expressed at E6.5–E7.0, and it is used as a marker for type 1 EMT during gastrulation (80, 81). However, because adult epithelial cells transiently express vimentin in response to various insults (82), vimentin should not be considered a marker of type 2 EMT in the setting of fibrosis. By contrast, vimentin is commonly used to identify cells undergoing type 3 EMT in cancers (63, 83). This is based on a positive correlation of vimentin expression with increased invasiveness and metastasis (84).

α-SMA is one of six actin family members. In the adult, prominent α-SMA expression can be found in vascular smooth muscle cells and myoepithelial cells (85). During embryonic development,
the EMT that gives rise to the endocardial cushion is characterized eventually by de novo α-SMA expression (86). Type 2 EMT, which contributes to tissue fibrosis, is also sometimes associated with cells that eventually express α-SMA as myofibroblasts. Although some of these cells that express both α-SMA and FSP1 are known as myofibroblasts, most α-SMA+ cells express only α-SMA and are quite distinct from EMT-derived fibroblasts (43, 47, 51). In cancer, evidence that type 3 EMT is associated with α-SMA is mostly confined to breast cancer (87), where α-SMA largely is detected in breast tumors of the “basal phenotype” (88).

β-Catenin is a cytoplasmic plaque protein that plays a dual role in EMT: it links cadherins to the cytoskeleton and serves as a cotranscriptional activator together with T cell factor (TCF)/LEF (89). β-Catenin activity is mainly regulated by mechanisms controlling the level of β-catenin in the cytoplasm, through either its recruitment to cadherin-binding partners or ubiquitination and subsequent degradation (90). The β-catenin/TCF/LEF complex directly controls gene expression associated with EMT, particularly Snail1 (91). β-Catenin has been used as a marker of EMT in various studies of embryonic development, cancer, and fibrosis (4, 35, 92). Although β-catenin is localized to cell membranes in normal epithelial cells and noninvasive tumor cells, it is located either in the cytoplasm (something that is reflective of dissociation from E-cadherin) or in the nucleus (something that is reflective of its role as a transcriptional activator) in cells that undergo EMT (35).

**Extracellular proteins.** Fibronectin is a high-molecular-weight glycoprotein that serves as a scaffold for fibrillar ECM (93). Because it is one of the first molecules to appear when the fibrillar ECM is formed, it has been used as an indicator of type 1 EMT associated with gastrulation, palate fusion, and neurulation (94). Even though fibronectin is an integral constituent of the fibrotic ECM associated with tissue fibrosis and the desmplastic stroma in tumors, the utility of fibronectin as a type 2 and type 3 EMT biomarker is limited, in part, because it is produced by various cell types, including fibroblasts, mononuclear cells, and epithelial cells (95, 96). Both type 2 and type 3 EMT, however, are associated with increased fibronectin expression in vitro (67, 97).

Of the principal basement membrane constituents — type IV collagens, laminin, nidogen, and sulfated proteoglycans — that are downregulated during EMT, laminin is best established as a biomarker of the process. Laminins are heterotrimeric glycoproteins composed of one α chain, one β chain, and one γ chain (98). Currently, 15 different heterotrimers are known (98). With regard to EMT, most studies focus on laminin1 (α1β1γ1), which is present in the embryo from the peri-implantation period forward (99). Type 1 EMT during gastrulation and palate fusion is marked by loss of laminin1 (100). Both type 1 and type 2 EMT are associated with downregulation of laminin1 in vitro and disruption and loss of laminin1 in vivo (88, 101). By contrast, upregulation of laminin5 (α3β3γ2) is associated with type 3 EMT in cancer and type 2 EMT in tissue fibrosis. Laminin5 is detected in the discontinuous lamin patterns associated with invasive cancers, and its expression is linked to type 3 EMT in breast carcinomas of the ductal type (102), hepatocellular carcinoma (103), and oral squamous carcinoma (104). Similarly, laminin5 is part of the fibrotic ECM associated with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (105). A correlation between laminin5 and EMT in the embryo has not yet been reported.

**Transcription factors.** Fibroblast transcription site–1 (FTS-1) is a cis-acting regulatory element present in the promoter region of various EMT-associated genes, including those that encode FSP1, Twist, Snail1, high mobility group AT-hook 2 (HMGA2), LEF1, Ets-1, E-cadherin, β-catenin, ZO-1, α-SMA, and vimentin. CBF-A and KRAB-associated protein 1 (KAP-1) form a complex with FTS-1 to modulate gene activity. Formation of the CBF-A/KAP-1/FTS-1 complex induces type 2 EMT in kidney tubular epithelial cells (54). De novo expression of CBF-A, a member of the heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein A/B (hnRNP A/B) family, can be observed in type 2 and type 3 EMT associated with onset of fibrosis (54) and metastatic tumor formation (42). However, its expression is not confined to EMT, and it is involved in various other processes, including stimulation of Ig transcription (106). KAP-1 is also a ubiquitously expressed transcriptional corepressor or activator that is well known to bind KRAB domains on zinc finger proteins (106). In EMT, under the limited circumstances where it has been studied, KAP-1 seems
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**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Markers of EMT</th>
<th>Acquired markers</th>
<th>Attenuated markers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Name</strong></td>
<td><strong>EMT type</strong></td>
<td><strong>Name</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-cadherin</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>E-cadherin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OB-cadherin</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>ZO-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α5β1 integrin</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>αVβ6 integrin</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syndecan-1</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cytoskeletal markers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSP1</td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
<td>Cytokeratin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-SMA</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vimentin</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Catenin</td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ECM proteins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α1(II) collagen</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
<td>α1(IV) collagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α1(III) collagen</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
<td>Laminin 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibronectin</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laminin 5</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transcription factors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snail1 (Snail)</td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snail2 (Slug)</td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZEB1</td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBF-A/KAP-1 complex</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twist</td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEF-1</td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ets-1</td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOXO3A</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goosecoid</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MicroRNAs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR10b</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mir-200 family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-21</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ZEB1, zinc finger E-box binding homeobox 1.
to be an activator and, in the CBF-A/KAP-1/FTS-1 complex, a proximal master activator of EMT-associated genes (54).

The number of environmental factors that are known to induce EMT, including growth factors, ECM constituents, proteases, and hypoxia, is increasing. Despite the distinct nature of known stimulants, the EMT response is relatively uniform. This raises interest in potential key regulators of EMT that are at the intersection of various signaling pathways and control the EMT response. Snail transcription factors are one prominent example of a common downstream target of various signaling pathways that regulates EMT (61). Of the three vertebrate Snail family members of zinc finger proteins (Snail1, Snail2, and Snail3), the functionally equivalent Snail1 and Snail2 (which was formerly known as Slug) mediate EMT (61). In fact, all known EMT events during development, cancer, and fibrosis appear to be associated with Snail activation (61).

Snail is most widely recognized as a suppressor of E-cadherin expression, but it regulates various other aspects of the EMT phenotype, such as increased expression of mesenchymal cell/fibroblast markers (fibronectin and vitronectin), decreased expression of various epithelial markers (claudins, occludins, and cytokeratins), inhibition of proliferation through suppression of cyclin D proteins and cyclin-dependent kinase 4 (CDK4), increased MMP expression, and protection from cell death (through suppression of expression of caspases, DNA fragmentation factor, and Bcl-interacting death agonist). Snail transcriptional activity is regulated by control of its subcellular localization. Phosphorylation of Snail causes it to be exported from the nucleus into the cytoplasm, resulting in its inactivation as a transcription factor. In human renal biopsies, fibrosis is associated with increased Snail1 expression (107), and Snail activation in tamoxifen-inducible Snail1-transgenic mice results in type 2 EMT and renal fibrosis (107).

Twist is a basic helix-loop-helix protein that is transcriptionally active during lineage determination and cell differentiation. It is upregulated during early embryonic morphogenesis (108, 109), tissue fibrosis (54, 110), and cancer metastasis (63, 97, 111). In the development of metastatic cancer cells by type 3 EMT, Twist can act independently of Snail to repress E-cadherin (63) and to upregulate fibronectin and N-cadherin (97).

Forkhead box C2 (FOXC2) is another transcription factor that acts as a pleiotropic inducer of EMT. During embryonic development, FOXC2 is widely expressed and is required for angiogenesis, musculosclerosis, and organogenesis of the kidney, heart, and urinary tract (112, 113). Postnatally, expression of FOXC2 is normally restricted to adipocytes (114). However, FOXC2 is also expressed in ducal breast cancers and metastatic breast cancer cells (115). Overexpression of any one of the EMT inducers TGF-β1, Snail, Twist, or Goosecoid increases FOXC2 expression, and overexpression of FOXC2 itself induces EMT, suggesting an important role for FOXC2 in type 3 EMT (115). A role for FOXC2 in EMT associated with embryogenesis or fibrosis has not been established yet.

Epigenetic EMT and microRNAs

By the time type 2 EMT finishes, there is a complete switch of cellular phenotype (4). There is a window, however, in which it can be reversed by pharmacological doses of BMP-7 (55). In epithelial cancers, genetic mutations typically accumulate to produce a primary tumor nodule. Since the act of metastasis often results in secondary tumor nodules, it is highly likely that metastatic cells generated by type 3 EMT are also reversible in the right tissue and under a new biologic context (19, 20). The hypothesis that epigenetic modifications mediate such bidirectional transitions (EMT and MET) raises the possibility of an “epigenetic EMT,” as opposed to “genetic EMT”; the precise role of epigenetic modifications in type 3 EMT is only now receiving attention (116). In addition, two recent microRNA array analyses have identified microRNAs of the miR-200 family as markedly downregulated in TGF-β1-induced EMT and in cancer cells that displayed an EMT phenotype (117, 118). Both studies demonstrate that miR-200 microRNAs target the E-cadherin transcriptional repressors zinc finger E-box binding homebox 1 (ZEB1) and ZEB2 (117, 118). Moreover, miR-200 microRNAs are downregulated in ductal and metaplastic breast cancers (117). Conversely, TGF-β1-induced EMT involving keratinocytes is associated with induction of miR-21 (119) and Twist-induced EMT involving breast cancer cells is associated with induction of miR-10b (120). The precise role of microRNAs in regulating all subtypes of EMT will undoubtedly emerge with more work.

Conclusions

We suggest that EMT can be parsed into three subtypes that all share a common mechanism. Somatic cells undergoing EMT are fated to move, and the newly acquired potential to migrate offers subtle evidence of a previous EMT event. When movement and cytoskeletal morphogenesis cannot be detected easily, changes in plasticity biomarkers of EMT are useful for the recognition of these phenotypic transitions. At the moment, the greatest promise for identifying this interesting phenomenon lies in analyzing a collection of markers—in effect, a collection of protein signatures. It is likely that all three subtypes of EMT will share part of a signature, but some aspects of the signature may be unique to a particular subtype. The most visible protein signatures include at least one changing cytoskeletal protein, transcription factor, adherence protein, and perhaps the changing levels of discrete microRNAs. It may come to pass that investigators will need to validate the presence of a common signature for EMT while characterizing the unique features of a particular subtype event. We hope that this approach may someday advance the possibility of identifying unique molecular instructions that will be good targets for subtype regulation.
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