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Measles is a highly contagious disease that causes immunosuppression in patients. Measles virus infection has been thought to begin in the respiratory epithelium and then spread to lymphoid tissue. In this issue of the JCI, Leonard et al. provide data to suggest an alternative model of measles virus pathogenesis (see the related article beginning on page 2448). In human primary epithelial cells and rhesus monkeys in vivo, the authors show that initial infection of respiratory epithelium is not necessary for the virus to enter the host but that viral entry into epithelial cells via interaction of the virus with a receptor located on the basal side of the epithelium is required for viral shedding into the airway and subsequent transmission.

Measles is an acute, viral infectious disease characterized by high fever, cough, and a maculopapular rash. Measles causes temporary and severe immunosuppression in patients, and secondary bacterial infections are a major cause of measles-related deaths (1). Studies have clearly shown that measles virus (MV), the causative agent, mainly replicates in lymphoid organs and causes devastating damage to the immune system of infected individuals (1). Despite the availability of effective vaccines, measles is still responsible for about 4% of deaths among children under 5 years of age worldwide (2). Importantly, the disease is transmitted via respiratory aerosols and is highly contagious in nature (1). In this issue of the JCI, Leonard et al. (3) report their detailed analyses of the interaction of MV with human host cells, in addition to data regarding MV pathogenesis in a rhesus monkey model. Their studies have revealed an elegant strategy by which MV propagates in patients and is transmitted to other target individuals and provide a good explanation for the highly contagious nature of measles.

MV targets the host immune system

MV is an enveloped virus possessing a nonsegmented negative-strand RNA genome and is classified into the Morbillivirus genus.
of the Paramyxoviridae family (1). The virus has two types of glycoprotein spikes, designated hemagglutinin (H) and fusion (F) proteins, on the virus envelope (Figure 1). The H protein binds to specific molecules (receptors) on target cells, while the F protein mediates membrane fusion between the virus envelope and the host cell plasma membrane by cooperating with the H protein (1). The H protein is a key determinant of MV cell tropism, since its binding to receptors is critical for MV to initiate its infectious cycle (4). In 2000, signaling lymphocytic activation molecule (SLAM; also known as CD150) was identified as a receptor for MV (5). SLAM is expressed on cells of the immune system, such as activated lymphocytes and dendritic cells (6). These findings could explain the lymphotropism and immunosuppressive properties of MV (4). Although the ubiquitously expressed CD46 molecule has been identified as an MV receptor, this molecule only functions as a receptor for laboratory-adapted vaccine strains of MV, and clinical MV strains do not use this molecule as a receptor (4). The use of SLAM as a receptor has been shown

Figure 1
Schema of the MV particle and its receptor-binding H protein. MV is an enveloped virus and possesses 2 types of glycoprotein spikes, designated H and F proteins. The F protein mediates membrane fusion between the viral envelope and the host cell plasma membrane, while the H protein that forms homodimers on the viral envelope is responsible for binding of virus to receptors on target cells. The head domain of the H protein exhibits a six-bladed β-propeller fold. Residues important for using SLAM as a receptor map to a localized area of the β5 sheet, forming a putative SLAM-binding site (shown in blue). On the other hand, relevant residues for epithelial cell infection map to a small area in the β5 and β4 sheets, forming another putative receptor-binding site (yellow) that is essentially distinct from the SLAM-binding site.

Figure 2
The time course of MV infection and receptor usage. (A) MV enters humans through the respiratory route and initiates its infectious cycle in lymphoid organs in the upper respiratory tract by using SLAM as a receptor. (B) MV-infected lymphocytes enter the bloodstream, and MV propagates in lymphoid organs throughout the body. (C) MV-infected immune cells appear to transmit MV to epithelial cells in various organs (e.g., airway, intestine, bladder). A putative epithelial cell receptor appears to play an important role in MV infection of epithelial cells. (D) MV then replicates in epithelial cells and actively releases progeny viruses into the airway. Consequently, respiratory aerosols of patients contain large amounts of MV particles.
to be common among species of the Morbillivirus genus (7). Canine distemper virus (CDV) is a member of the Morbillivirus genus and causes a disease similar to measles in dogs. By generating a recombinant CDV that lacks the ability to bind SLAM, von Messling et al. (8) previously demonstrated that the ability to bind this receptor is crucial for CDV spread and virulence in vivo. Recombinant MVs engineered to express enhanced GFP are powerful tools for monitoring MV infection of cultured cells and animals (9). Using such viruses in a monkey model, de Swart et al. (10) reported that SLAM-positive lymphocytes and dendritic cells are the predominant cell types infected by MV in vivo. The data regarding recombinant viruses along with classic observations of MV pathology (11) clearly indicate that MV, by using SLAM as a receptor, primarily targets cells of the immune system to spread efficiently throughout the body of infected humans (Figure 2).

**Does MV infect epithelial cells?**

Histopathological data from patients with measles and monkeys experimentally infected with MV have indicated that although lymphoid organs are the major targets of MV, MV antigens and syncytia typical of MV-infected cells are also present in epithelial tissues of various organs (for references, see ref. 12). Primary cultures of epithelial and endothelial cells have also been shown to be susceptible to MV infection to some extent (13, 14). However, the mechanism by which MV infects epithelial cells has remained unknown largely due to the lack of cell lines supporting MV infection independent of SLAM. In this issue of the JCI, Leonard et al. (3) have provided convincing evidence that MV has the ability to infect certain epithelial cell lines via a SLAM-independent mechanism. The authors demonstrated that three amino acid residues — leucine, proline, and tyrosine at amino acid positions 482, 497, and 543, respectively — in the H protein are critical for MV infection of epithelial cells but not involved in MV infection of SLAM-positive immune cells. The crystal structure of the MV H protein determined in 2007 (15) has provided useful information regarding the 3D locations of each amino acid residue of the MV H protein. Mapping of the above-mentioned residues in the crystal structure of the H protein, in this (3) and another recent study (16), clearly shows that the binding site for the epithelial cell receptor is located at a position different from that for SLAM (Figure 1). These data conclusively demonstrate that MV has an intrinsic ability to infect certain epithelial cells and immune cells via two distinctive mechanisms.

**MV emerges by breaking through epithelial barriers**

MV infection has long been thought to begin in the respiratory epithelium and then spread to lymphoid tissue. By intranasally inoculating monkeys with a recombinant mutant MV that retains the ability to use SLAM but lacks the ability to infect epithelial cells, Leonard et al. (3) demonstrated that the ability to infect epithelial cells is not required for MV to enter and spread in the host. Thus, their data support the idea that respiratory epithelial cells are not initial targets of MV (4, 17). Then what is the relevance of MV infection of epithelial cells in measles pathogenesis? Several tight junction or other cell junction molecules have been shown to be involved in the entry processes of various viruses, such as hepatitis C virus (18), reovirus (19), and herpes simplex virus (20). Leonard et al. (3) demonstrated that MV enters primary cultures of well-differentiated airway epithelial cells basolaterally and buds exclusively from the apical surface of the epithelium and are thus actively released into the airway.

**Figure 3**

Model of MV shedding into the external surface of epithelium. (A) As Leonard et al. report in their current study (3), wild-type MV can be transmitted from infected immune cells (red) to epithelial cells via an epithelial cell receptor located on the basolateral surface of the latter. Mutant MV (yellow) that lacks the ability to use epithelial cell receptors fails to infect epithelial cells. The unidentified receptor may be a molecule related to tight junctions. (B) Progeny MV particles bud exclusively from the apical surface of the epithelium and are thus actively released into the airway.
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The ErbB receptors, such as EGFR, have been intensely pursued as targets for cancer therapeutics. However, a large percentage of patients who are initially responsive to ErbB-targeted therapies experience tumor recurrence and become refractory to therapy. In this issue of the JCI, Guix et al. demonstrate that downregulation of IGF-binding protein 3 (IGFBP-3) and -4, the negative regulators of IGF-I receptor signaling, contributes to the resistance of human squamous cell carcinomas to the EGFR inhibitor gefitinib (see the related article beginning on page 2609). Understanding the mechanisms involved in the resistance of some tumors to ErbB-targeted molecules may provide guidelines for developing more efficient therapeutic approaches.
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Members of the ErbB family of receptor tyrosine kinases, which include EGFR, ErbB2 (known as p185neo in rodents and HER2 in humans), ErbB3, and ErbB4, are overexpressed in a variety of human solid tumors (1). Activation of the ErbB molecules correlates strongly with the pathogenesis and poor prognosis of many forms of cancer. Ligands for EGFR, ErbB3, and ErbB4, such as EGF and the polypeptide heregulin, bind to the extracellular domain of the receptor, leading to receptor dimerization and autophosphorylation of the intracellular tyrosine kinase domain. These events subsequently upregulate downstream signaling cascades, including the MAPK, P13K, and mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathways. As such, ErbB molecules modulate cell proliferation, survival, and mobility.

ErbB-targeted cancer therapeutics

For the past twenty years, targeting the ErbB receptors has been intensely pursued as an important cancer therapeutic strategy (1). Immunological approaches have...