A cardinal feature of peripheral inflammation is pain. The most common way of managing inflammatory pain is to use nonsteroidal antiinflammatory agents (NSAIDs) that reduce prostanoid production, for example, selective inhibitors of COX2. Prostaglandins produced after induction of COX2 in immune cells in inflamed tissue contribute both to the inflammation itself and to pain hypersensitivity, acting on peripheral terminals of nociceptors. COX2 is also induced after peripheral inflammation in neurons in the CNS, where it aids in developing a central component of inflammatory pain hypersensitivity by increasing neuronal excitation and reducing inhibition. We engineered mice with conditional deletion of Cox2 in neurons and glial cells to determine the relative contribution of peripheral and central COX2 to inflammatory pain hypersensitivity. In these mice, basal nociceptive pain was unchanged, as was the extent of peripheral inflammation, inflammatory thermal pain hypersensitivity, and fever induced by lipopolysaccharide. By contrast, peripheral inflammation–induced COX2 expression in the spinal cord was reduced, and mechanical hypersensitivity after both peripheral soft tissue and periarticular inflammation was abolished. Mechanical pain is a major symptom of most inflammatory conditions, such as postoperative pain and arthritis, and induction of COX2 in neural cells in the CNS seems to contribute to this.

Introduction

COXs catalyze the first reactions in PG synthesis to form PGH2. Tissue-specific isomerases (PG synthases) convert PGH2 into 4 different PG isoforms (1, 2), which exert their biological actions via specific G protein–coupled receptors (3–6). COX2 is the dominant, but not exclusive, source of PGs induced by inflammatory stimuli (7, 8), whereas COX1 is the predominant source of prostanoids that mediate “housekeeping” functions (9). COX2 is also constitutively expressed in the CNS (10).

Inflammation is accompanied by spontaneous pain and hypersensitivity to mechanical and thermal stimuli. Marked increases in COX2 expression occur locally at the site of inflammation (11) and in neurons, glia, and endothelial cells in the spinal cord and brain (11, 12). Release of PGE2 at the site of peripheral inflammation contributes to pain hypersensitivity by reducing the threshold and increasing the excitability of peripheral terminals of nociceptor sensory fibers. This results from an E prostanoid (EP) receptor–mediated activation of intracellular kinases in the nociceptor terminal that phosphorylate the noxious heat transducer TRPV1 (13, 14) and the Nav1.8 voltage-gated sodium channel (15–17) to produce peripheral sensitization, a change in thermal sensitivity confined to the area of inflammation. Increases in PGE2 in the CNS after peripheral inflammation mediate a widespread increase in mechanical pain sensitivity due to synaptic facilitation within the spinal cord (18, 19), resulting from increased transmitter release, activation of cation channels, and blockade of glycine receptors (20). Central production of PGE2 also produces fever.

COX2 inhibitors reduce inflammatory pain hypersensitivity when given systemically (21–24) and intrathecally (25–27). What is uncertain, though, is the relative contribution of peripheral and central COX2 and of neural and non-neural prostanoids to inflammatory pain hypersensitivity. COX2 plays important roles in development (28), and mice deficient in COX2 display cardiovascular and renal defects conditioned by genetic background (29, 30). In the present studies, transgenic mice with Cre under the control of the Nestin promoter — which is expressed in all neural progenitors in the embryo (31) and will delete genes in neurons and glia but not microglia or endothelial cells (32, 33) — were mated with floxed Cox2 (Cox2^fl/fl) mice to generate mice with a conditional neural deletion of Cox2 in the CNS. We found that neural COX2 plays a pivotal role in the mechanical but not the thermal pain hypersensitivity evoked by peripheral inflammation but does not contribute to nociceptive pain, acute activity-dependent central sensitization, or fever.

Results

Generation of neural-specific Cox2-deficient mice. Nestin-Cre;Cox2^fl/fl mice were generated by mating mice with a Cre transgene under control of the Nestin promoter with Cox2^fl/fl mice (Figure 1A) and genotyped for the presence of the Cre transgene and the loxP sites (Figure 1B). The Nes-Cre mouse line [B6.Cg-TgN(Nes-cre)1Kln/J] (31, 33) expresses Cre recombinase exclusively in neurons and glial
cells (31–35). The 2 loxP sites in the Cox2 genomic locus do not affect its expression or induction, nor do they affect Cox1 expression, as shown by COX2 induction by LPS and unchanged COX1 levels in cultured macrophages (5 μg/ml, 16 hours) (Figure 1C). Cre-mediated recombination of exons 6–8 of the Cox2 gene was detected in the spinal cord of the Nes-Cre;Cox2fl/fl mice (Figure 1D), and these mice expressed significantly less constitutive COX2 in the spinal cord than Cox2−/− control and WT animals (P < 0.05, Student’s t test) (Figure 1E). We refer to Nes-Cre;Cox2fl/fl mice that lack COX2 in neurons and glial cells as nCOX2−/− mice. No difference between WT and Cox2fl/fl littermate controls was observed in all subsequent experiments.

**COX2 expression in peripheral tissue of nCOX2−/− mice after peripheral inflammation.** Intraplantar injection of CFA (20 μl) into the hind paw produced an area of localized peripheral inflammation, with a significant increase in paw diameter (swelling) (P < 0.01 compared with baseline, 1-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s procedure) (Figure 2A). This increase was identical in control and nCOX2−/− mice (~30% increase in diameter), and a similar degree of inflammatory cell infiltrate and peripheral COX2 production was detected (Figure 2B). No Cox2 mRNA was detected in the noninflamed hind paw of control and nCOX2−/− mice, but Cox2 mRNA (~30- to 40-fold; P < 0.05 and P < 0.01, 1-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s procedure) and protein (~55-fold, P < 0.001, Student’s t test) levels increased after intraplantar CFA injection in control and nCOX2−/− mice to an identical extent (Figure 2, C–E). These data suggest that the peripheral induction of COX2 is not altered in nCOX2−/− mice.

**COX2 expression in the spinal cord of nCOX2−/− mice after peripheral inflammation.** Intraplantar injection of CFA significantly increased Cox2 mRNA in the spinal cord at 6 and 12 hours in control mice (~5-fold; P < 0.05 and P < 0.01, 1-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s procedure) (Figure 3A). However, Cox2 mRNA did not increase significantly in the spinal cord of nCOX2−/− mice (P > 0.05) (Figure 3A). Immunohistochemistry and Western blot analysis confirmed the alteration in COX2 in the spinal cord of the nCOX2−/− mice (~1.5-fold) compared with control littermates (>6-fold, P < 0.01, Student’s t test) (Figure 3, B–D).

**Basal nociception and acute hypersensitivity in nCOX2−/− mice.** To investigate the functional consequences of deletion of the Cox2 gene in neural tissue, we studied mechanical (von Frey threshold) and thermal (hot plate at 52°C) pain sensitivity in nCOX2−/− mice. No significant difference in basal mechanical or thermal sensitivity was detected between nCOX2−/− mice and their littermate controls (Figure 4A), indicating minimal contribution of COX2 expressed in neurons or glia to basal nociception. In order to test for acute chemical sensitivity, we injected 15 μl of a 5% formalin solution intraplantarly (36). Intraplantar formalin evokes 2 phases of spontaneous pain-related behavior, an immediate short-lasting first phase due to activation of nociceptors and a second that reflects activity-dependent synaptic changes in the CNS (37–40). We found no change in formalin-induced pain sensitivity during the first phase and only a small, but significant, difference in the duration of pain behavior in the second phase (30–45 minutes) of the formalin test between nCOX2−/− mice and their control littermates (P < 0.05, Student’s t test), without changes in onset or peak (Figure 4B). These results imply only a limited contribution of constitutive neural COX2 to activity-dependent central sensitization.

**Inflammatory pain hypersensitivity in nCOX2−/− mice.** After induction of peripheral hind paw inflammation by CFA injection, mechanical threshold was reduced in control mice at 12 hours and remained decreased over the 10 days of examination (P < 0.01 compared with baseline, 1-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s procedure). However, no significant drop in mechanical threshold occurred in the nCOX2−/− mice over this entire time period (P > 0.05) (Figure 5A). In contrast, heat sensitivity decreased significantly and to an equivalent extent in nCOX2−/− mice and littermate controls (P < 0.01 and P < 0.001 compared with baseline, 1-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s procedure) (Figure 5B). Similar results were found for periarticular inflam-
Periarticular CFA injection led to persistent swelling of the joint in control and nCOX2–/– mice, with a significant increase in the tibiotarsal joint diameter at 14 days in both genotypes (~50% increase in diameter; \( P < 0.001 \), 1-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s post test; mean ± SEM). (A) Immunohistochemistry depicting, for both nCOX2–/– and control mice, little or no COX2 expression in the naive paw but strong COX2 induction after CFA injection. H&E staining reveals a comparable infiltration of inflammatory cells in both animals. Scale bars: 50 μm. (B) Quantitative real-time PCR results showing similar increases in Cox2 mRNA in the paw in nCOX2–/– and control mice (\( P < 0.05 \), \( ** P < 0.01 \), \( n = 5–6 \), 1-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s post test; mean ± SEM). (D and E) Western blots showing induction of COX2 in the paw in both animals in response to intraplantar CFA (\( *** P < 0.001 \), \( n = 3 \), Student’s \( t \) test; mean ± SEM). GAPDH was used as internal control.
Discussion

COX inhibitors, when delivered intrathecally, have an analgesic action on acute nociceptive and inflammatory pain (11, 25, 45–47). However, the extent to which this is mediated by inhibition of constitutively expressed COX1 or COX2 is disputed, as is whether COX2 induced in microglia or endothelial cells contributes (36, 45, 48–50). We found no change in mechanical, thermal, or acute chemical (formalin phase 1) pain sensitivity in the neural COX2-null mice relative to littermate control mice, indicating minimal contribution of COX2 expressed in neurons or glia to basal nociception. Similarly, the involvement of COX1 and COX2 in acute (<1 hour) activity-dependent central sensitization in the spinal cord is controversial. Formalin evokes an immediate short-lasting first phase due to the direct activation of TRPA1-expressing nociceptors (51) and a slower-onset, longer-lasting phase 2 that reflects a combination of ongoing sensory input and central sensitization in the spinal cord (37–40). Several studies show that constitutive COX1 expression in the spinal cord is required for development of the second phase of the formalin test (36), while others point to a role for COX2 (26, 49, 50, 52, 53) or an involvement of both (48). Only a small, but significant, difference in the duration of formalin-mediated pain behavior in the second phase between nCOX2+/− mice and their control littermates was observed, with no change in onset or peak of sensitivity. Our data point therefore to a limited contribution of constitutive neural COX2 to activity-dependent central sensitization. Mice lacking the EP2 receptor, which mediates PGE2 pain-producing actions in the spinal cord, also show a reduction in pain indicators during the second phase of the formalin test (54).

Peripheral inflammation results, after several hours, in the induction of COX2 locally in immune cells at the site of the inflammation and in neurons in the dorsal horn (20). Our genetic approach has enabled us to dissect out the relative contribution of neural (neuronal and glial) COX2 to peripheral inflammatory pain hypersensitivity. The Nes-Cre driver, because it is expressed in all neural progenitor cells during embryogenesis, will delete Cox2 in neurons, astrocytes, and oligodendrocytes of the CNS (31) but will leave that in immune, microglial, and endothelial cells intact. Further studies using neuron- or glial cell–specific Cre drivers will be needed to define exactly which cell type is responsible for the change in phenotype. Similarly, deletion restricted to the spinal cord and different regions of the brain will be required to determine the precise anatomical locus of the effect. While peripheral inflammation developed normally in the nCOX2−/− mice, with high levels of local COX2 induced, absence of neural COX2 resulted in a loss of mechanical hypersensitivity in both models: soft tissue and periarticular inflammation. Thermal hypersensitivity was fully maintained in the nCOX2−/− mice after peripheral inflammation, but it was decreased by systemic administration of a COX2 inhibitor, SC-58236. This inhibitor reduced mechanical and thermal hypersensitivity in inflamed WT mice. Thus, neural COX2 seems to contribute to the mechanical component of inflammatory pain, whereas thermal sensitivity appears to rely on peripheral COX2.
induction. Further studies are required to elucidate which cell types in the periphery drive the thermal hypersensitivity as well as prostanooid-dependent changes in inflammation. Because COX2 is not induced in the dorsal root ganglia after peripheral inflammation (55), it is very likely that it is COX2 induction in the CNS that generates inflammatory mechanical hypersensitivity (56).

Mice lacking the EP2 receptor also fail to develop mechanical hypersensitivity in the zymosan model of peripheral hind paw inflammation, as well as in response to intrathecally delivered PGE2. These animals, however, exhibited some reduction in heat hyperalgesia after peripheral inflammation (57). One potential explanation for the diminished heat hyperalgesia in EP2 receptor–but not neural Cox2-deficient mice is the possible involvement of the receptor in PGE2-mediated peripheral sensitization acting on dorsal root ganglia neurons or immune cells, both of which express the receptor (58, 59). A potential role for COX1 as a contributor to thermal pain hypersensitivity in the CFA model in nCOX2<sup>−/−</sup> mice cannot be ruled out. However, based on many

**Figure 4**
Basal and acute pain hypersensitivity in nCOX2<sup>−/−</sup> mice. (A) No significant difference in basal mechanical or thermal sensitivity was detected between nCOX2<sup>−/−</sup> mice and littermate controls (n = 11–12; mean ± SEM). (B) Formalin test: Cumulative paw licking in 5-minute intervals after intraplantar formalin injection. nCOX2<sup>−/−</sup> and control mice both show the typical biphasic response (n = 6; mean ± SEM). (C) Formalin test: nCOX2<sup>−/−</sup> and control mice show a similar response in phase 1 (5–15 minutes) and early phase 2 (15–30 minutes) of the formalin reaction, whereas a slightly earlier recovery is apparent in late phase 2 (30–45 minutes) in the mutants (*P < 0.05, Student’s t test, n = 6; mean ± SEM).

**Figure 5**
Mechanical and heat hypersensitivity in nCOX2<sup>−/−</sup> mice after peripheral inflammation and after administration of the selective COX2 inhibitor SC-58236. (A) After hind paw inflammation, mechanical threshold is significantly reduced in littermate control mice and remains decreased after 10 days. However, no significant drop in mechanical threshold is observed in nCOX2<sup>−/−</sup> mice (**P < 0.01, n = 9–10; mean ± SEM). (B) Thermal sensitivity threshold decreased in both nCOX2<sup>−/−</sup> and control littermates after paw inflammation (**P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, 1-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s procedure, n = 9–10; mean ± SEM). (C) After i.p. administration of the COX2-selective inhibitor SC-58236 three days after CFA-induced inflammation (CFA + COX2 inhib), both littermate controls and nCOX2<sup>−/−</sup> animals exhibited increased heat thresholds (*P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01, Student’s t test, n = 6; mean ± SEM). (D) SC-58236 i.p. administration 3 days after CFA-induced inflammation significantly increases mechanical threshold in control animals (**P < 0.001, Student’s t test, n = 6; mean ± SEM).
pharmacological and genetic studies, the contribution of COX2 to inflammatory pain appears to be predominant (10).

Since patients with arthritis and many other inflammatory conditions typically present with complaints of mechanical (joint movement, tenderness to touch) rather than thermal pain, centrally acting nonselective or selective COX2 inhibitors may be more effective analgesics in these conditions than inhibitors that do not cross the blood-brain barrier (36, 60, 61). This contrasts with the situation in individuals with injuries such as sunburn and other dermatological conditions where heat pain threshold drops substantially, for whom peripherally acting COX2 inhibitors may be more effective (62, 63).

Because LPS-induced fever is not reduced in nCOX2–/– mice, our data suggest that neural COX2 is not required for fever. Our results are in agreement with several reports showing that, in response to peripheral and systemic inflammation, brain endothelial cells begin to express COX2 with a time course parallel to that of the fever response curve (64, 65). Moreover, mice with total deletion of Cox2 but not Cox1 fail to exhibit a fever response after LPS injection (66). COX2 expression in macrophages and endothelial cells associated with small penetrating venules along the surface of the brain contributes to the fever response by producing the prostanoids that act on specific neurons in the preoptic nucleus (43, 67, 68).

In conclusion, our results indicate that COX2 expressed within neurons and glia of the CNS plays an essential role in the development of inflammatory mechanical pain hypersensitivity, while heat hyperalgesia appears to depend largely on non-neuronal COX2, most probably generated by immune and other cells at the site of the peripheral inflammation.

Methods
All experiments adhered to the guidelines of the Committee for Research and Ethical Issues of the International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP). The Subcommittee on Research Animal Care (SRAC) of Massachusetts General Hospital approved the experimental protocol.

Generation of conditional Cox2-deficient mice. Cox2fl/fl mice were generated by inserting loxP sites, using homologous recombination, in introns 5 and 8 to enable deletion of exons 6–8 (Figure 1A). The insertion of the 2 loxP sites did not affect normal COX2 expression and induction. Nes-Cre transgenic mice were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory [B6.Cg-TgN(Nes-cre)1Kln/J]. These mice express Cre recombinase under control of the rat Nestin (35) promoter and intron 2 enhancer (31, 33). Male Nes-Cre;Cox2fl/+ mice were mated with female Cox2fl/fl mice (69) to obtain Nes-Cre;Cox2fl/fl mutants, with deletion of Cox2 exons 6–8. All animals were on a C57BL/6 background.

PCR. For genotyping, genomic DNA was extracted from tails and used for PCR with AccuPrime SuperMix II (Invitrogen) and the following primers: Nes-Cre, 5′-GCGATTATCTTCTATATCTTCA-3′ and 5′-CAGGTAGTTATTCGGAATCAT-3′; Cox2fl/fl, 5′-TGAGGCAGAAAGAGGTCCAGCCTT-3′ and 5′-ACCAATACTAGCTCAATAAGTGAC-3′; and for confirmation of Nes-Cre-mediated recombination, 5′-ACCAATAGTCTCAATAAGTGAC-3′ and 5′-TTTGCACCTGTGTTAGCAATT-3′.
Induction of peripheral inflammation. Twenty microliters of CFA (Sigma-Aldrich) was injected under isoflurane anesthesia subcutaneously into the plantar surface of the hind paw for induction of paw inflammation. Seventy microliters of CFA was injected subcutaneously at 2 sites around the left tibiotarsal joint for induction of perriarticular inflammation (70). Paw edema and joint diameter were measured using a Micrometer Caliper (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.).

**Immunohistochemistry.** Twenty-four hours after CFA injection, fresh paw and spinal cord tissue (L3–L5) were harvested, cryosectioned at 14 μm, and stored at −80°C. Slides were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, washed in PBS, and blocked with blocking solution (1% BSA in PBS, 0.1% Triton X-100). Sections were incubated overnight with anti-murine COX2 antibody (Cayman Chemical) at 1:400 (spinal cord) and 1:200 (paw). Double staining with NeuN was performed at 1:2,000 (mouse monoclonal antibody; Chemicon, Millipore). COX2 and NeuN were visualized with FITC-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit IgG (1:200) and Cy3-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (1:500; both Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories Inc.).

**Real-time quantitative PCR.** Paw and spinal cord tissue (L3–L5) was harvested and total RNA extracted using TRIzol (Invitrogen). Quantitative real-time PCR was performed after reverse transcription, using the PowerSYBR Green detection system with primer sets designed on Primer Express (Applied Biosystems). Specific PCR product amplification was confirmed using the dissociation protocol. Transcript regulation was determined using the relative standard curve method (Applied Biosystems). Relative loading was determined prior to reverse transcription with RNA spectrophotometry followed by gel electrophoresis and after reverse transcription by amplification of GAPDH.

**Western blotting.** Paw and spinal cord tissue was harvested 24 hours after CFA injection and processed in lysis buffer (6 mg/ml Tris, 9 mg/ml NaCl, 0.37 mg/ml EDTA, 10 μl/ml of 1 mM DTT and PMSF and Protease Inhibitor Cocktail [Roche]). Tissue was homogenized and quantified by optical density (490 nm) using the BCA Protein Assay Kit (Pierce). Fifteen micrograms (paw) and 20 μg (spinal cord) protein were run on a NuPAGE 4-12% Bis-Tris Gel (Invitrogen) transferred to a Immuno-Blot PVDF Membrane (Bio-Rad), washed in PBS-Tween (PBS-T) (0.05%), and blocked with 5% fat-free milk powder in PBS-T for 1 hour at room temperature. The membrane was incubated with a mouse COX2 antibody (Cayman Chemical) at 1:200 (spinal cord) and 1:500 (paw) for 48 hours at 4°C. Rabbit anti-mouse GAPDH antibody (1:3,000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.) was used as loading control. At least 3 samples were analyzed by densitometry and corrected for GAPDH values.

**Behavioral testing.** Mice were habituated in 2 separate sessions. On 3 separate days spread over 1 week, baseline values were obtained for basal heat and mechanical nociception using the technique described below. All withdrawal laten-
cies were measured manually. The observer was fully blinded to the experimental protocol for all tests.

**Mechanical allodynia.** Animals were placed on an elevated wire grid and the plantar hind paw stimulated using von Frey monofilaments (0.0174–57.5 g) (71). Withdrawal threshold was determined as the filament at which the animal withdrew its paw after at least 3 of 10 applications.

**Fever.** The animals were implanted with i.p. telemetric thermal sensors and injected i.p. with saline or with 1 mg/kg LPS as described previously (44).

**Statistics.** All data are expressed as mean ± SEM. Two-tailed Student’s t test or 1-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s procedure was used where appropri-
ate. P values less than 0.05 were considered significant.
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