Schizophrenia is a severe disorder that disrupts the function of multiple brain systems, resulting in impaired social and occupational functioning. The etiology and pathogenesis of schizophrenia appear to involve the interplay of a potentially large number of genetic liabilities and adverse environmental events that disrupt brain developmental pathways. In this Review, we discuss a strategy for determining how particular common and core clinical features of the illness are associated with pathophysiology in certain circuits of the cerebral cortex. The identification of molecular alterations in these circuits is providing critical insights for the rational development of new therapeutic interventions.
Schizophrenia is a severe disorder that disrupts the function of multiple brain systems, resulting in impaired social and occupational functioning. The etiology and pathogenesis of schizophrenia appear to involve the interplay of a potentially large number of genetic liabilities and adverse environmental events that disrupt brain developmental pathways. In this Review, we discuss a strategy for determining how particular common and core clinical features of the illness are associated with pathophysiology in certain circuits of the cerebral cortex. The identification of molecular alterations in these circuits is providing critical insights for the rational development of new therapeutic interventions.

Introduction

Schizophrenia is a devastating illness that afflicts 0.5%–1% of the world’s population (1). Individuals diagnosed with schizophrenia have impaired social and occupational functioning that result from the confluence of disturbances in perception, attention, volition, inferential thinking, fluency and production of language, recognition and expression of emotion, and capacity for pleasure. Affected individuals frequently come to clinical attention during late adolescence or early adulthood. Many suffer from comorbid depression and an increased risk of cardiovascular disease as well as excessive nicotine, alcohol, and substance use; 5%–10% commit suicide; and most experience a lifetime of disability and emotional distress (1). When compared with females, males have a higher lifetime risk of developing schizophrenia and tend to have an earlier age of onset and a poorer prognosis. Individuals with schizophrenia are overrepresented among the homeless, unemployed, unmarried, childless, socially isolated, incarcerated, and chronically hospitalized (2). As a result, schizophrenia is also associated with a substantial emotional burden for the family, and it incurs tremendous economic costs for society in terms of medical expenditures and lost productivity. Indeed, in market economies, schizophrenia ranks as one of the leading causes of years of life lost to disability and premature mortality, with a reduction in life expectancy of one to three decades (3).

Clinical features. Schizophrenia manifests as a wide range of disturbances in perceptual, emotional, cognitive, and motor processes that cluster in three categories (1). The first category is characterized by positive symptoms (i.e., the presence of an abnormal brain function; also referred to as “psychotic symptoms”) including delusions, false beliefs firmly held in the face of contradictory evidence; perceptual disturbances and hallucinations, which may occur in any sensory modality but are most commonly auditory and experienced as hearing voices distinct from one’s own thoughts; abnormalities in the form of thoughts that are usually manifest as loose associations, over-inclusiveness, and/or neologisms; and abnormal psychomotor activity that is usually manifest as grossly disorganized behavior, posturing, and/or catatonia.

In the second category are negative symptoms (i.e., the absence of a normal brain function). These include asociality, which is manifest by withdrawal or isolation from family and friends; avolition (i.e., impaired initiative, motivation, and decision-making); affective disturbances, which reduce capacity to recognize and express emotional states; alogia (i.e., poverty in the amount or content of speech); and anhedonia (i.e., reduced capacity to experience pleasure). The third category of symptoms includes a number of cognitive abnormalities such as disturbances in selective attention, working memory, executive control, episodic memory, language comprehension, and social-emotional processing.

Although positive symptoms are usually the presenting and most striking clinical feature of schizophrenia, disturbances in cognition are now thought to be the core features of the illness for a number of reasons (4, 5). First, a characteristic pattern of cognitive deficits occurs with high frequency, is relatively stable over time, and is independent of psychotic symptoms. Second, cognitive abnormalities have been found throughout the life span of affected individuals, including during childhood and adolescence as well as at the initial onset of psychosis. Third, the unaffected relatives of individuals with schizophrenia also exhibit similar, although milder, cognitive deficits. Last, the degree of cognitive dysfunction is the best predictor of long-term functional outcome (i.e., the ability to engage in educational or occupational activities, the ability to live independently, etc.).

Etiology of schizophrenia. Schizophrenia exhibits substantial familial aggregation, with the risk of illness directly proportional to the percentage of genes shared with an affected person. For example, the concordance rate for schizophrenia is greater in monozygotic twins than dizygotic twins (6). In addition, adoption studies have shown that the risk of schizophrenia is associated with the presence of the illness in the biological parents and not its presence in the adoptive parents (6). Although the heritability (the proportion
of variance in disease liability that is explained by genetic factors) of schizophrenia is estimated to be 80%–85% (7), it is clearly complex and does not conform to a typical mode of inheritance such as autosomal dominant, sex linked, or mitochondrial. Indeed, about two-thirds of individuals with schizophrenia have neither a first nor a second-degree relative with the illness (6).

Although evidence has accumulated for certain plausible candidate genes for schizophrenia, e.g., neuregulin 1 (NRG1) (8), disrupted-in-schizophrenia 1 (DISC1) (9), and dystrobrevin binding protein 1 (DTNBPI) (10), there is still substantial controversy regarding both the meaning of the positive genetic findings and the best strategies for moving forward (11). Based on recent successes in other diseases, it is hoped that genome-wide association studies will clarify the apparently inconsistent findings from existing studies (12). What is clear, however, is that many of the current leading candidate genes have complex gene structures, encode for proteins that play multiple roles in the nervous system, and have extensive and complicated interactions with multiple other molecules (13, 14).

Twin studies also indicate that a portion of the liability (approximately 11%) for schizophrenia is due to common or shared environmental factors (7). Epidemiological studies have identified a number of adverse events during development that seem to increase the chance that an individual will develop schizophrenia later in life (15). These include severe physical or emotional maternal stress during the first trimester of pregnancy (16), maternal infection with influenza virus during the second trimester of pregnancy (17), labor and delivery complications (18), high population density at the place of birth and rearing (19), frequent cannabis use during adolescence (20), and a personal or family history of immigration, especially to areas with a lower density of people with the same racial or ethnic background (21). A range of infectious agents have also been implicated to varying degrees as potential etiological agents with cannabis use during adolescence (24). Similarly, serious obstetric complications have been suggested to interact with variants in genes that are regulated by hypoxia and/or genes that are involved in vascular function to influence risk of developing schizophrenia (25).

**Advancing toward rational pharmacological therapies**

The principal pharmacological treatment for schizophrenia, antipsychotic medication, reduces the severity of positive symptoms such as hallucinations and delusions. Although antipsychotics have made it possible for many individuals with schizophrenia to live outside hospital settings, limitations in both the effectiveness and tolerability of currently available antipsychotics leave many affected individuals with limited or no remission of symptoms (26). Furthermore, antipsychotics have minimal impact on both negative symptoms and cognitive impairments.

These problems emphasize the need for a new approach to the development of treatments for individuals with schizophrenia. We believe that this approach should be similar to that used in other domains of medicine, where drug development begins with the identification of molecular targets based on their role in the pathophysiology of an illness (27). However, the implementation of this strategy depends upon knowledge of the underlying disease process (Figure 1). In this view of a disease process, the etiology of a brain illness unleashes pathogenetic mechanisms that produce a pathological entity, a conserved set of molecular and cellular disturbances in the brain. The pathological entity then alters the normal circuitry and function of the brain such that the resulting pathophysiology gives rise to the recognized clinical features of the illness (28).

This view of the disease process means that rational treatments are designed to normalize the physiology of the affected neural circuits so that the clinical features are ameliorated (Figure 1).

As summarized above, the multifaceted clinical syndrome that we call schizophrenia is likely to represent the end point of many different etiologies and pathogenetic paths. One current investigative strategy examines how the genetic and environmental factors associated with the etiology of the illness alter brain circuitry in cell and animal models; space constraints preclude a review of the many interesting findings from these studies. However, given the apparent complexity in the upstream components of the disease process, an alternative strategy for dissecting the illness is to focus downstream on what might be a more constrained set of alterations in brain circuitry (i.e., on the pathological entity) that are distal from the etiology but proximal to the pathophysiology of a specific clinical feature (Figure 1). Such alterations might be expected to relatively conserved across individuals who share that clinical feature.

The implementation of this strategy requires selecting a clinical feature of interest, identifying pathological alterations that are associated with it, and determining the pathophysiological mechanisms that link the two. Here, we consider two examples of this approach to dissecting the disease process of schizophrenia, one focused on a common cognitive deficit (impaired working memory) and the other on a common negative symptom (reduced capacity to recognize spoken emotional tone). These symptoms are associated with alterations in the circuitry of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) and primary auditory cortex (AI), respectively (Figure 2). For each, we discuss existing evidence for morphological and molecular disturbances in specific neurotransmitter systems and cell types, and place these abnormalities in the context of the neural circuits in which neurophysiological properties are instantiated and from which thought and behavior emerge.
Alterations in DLPFC circuitry in individuals with schizophrenia

Of the cognitive impairments in individuals with schizophrenia, substantial research has focused on working memory, the ability to transiently maintain and manipulate a limited amount of information in order to guide thought or behavior toward a goal. Working memory involves a number of component processes, including temporary storage of information, manipulation of that information, protection from interference by competing information, and maintenance of goal representations (29). Although individuals with schizophrenia exhibit relatively little impairment when performing tasks that depend primarily on the storage of information in working memory, they consistently show impairment in the manipulation of such information and in the maintenance of goal representations (30). These impairments are present in both medicated and unmedicated subjects, in both the early and chronic phases of the illness, and in a manner that cannot be attributed to nonspecific factors such as lack of effort or interest, findings that indicate that these impairments reflect the underlying disease process.

The affected components of working memory are associated with activation of DLPFC circuitry in healthy individuals. This activation is altered in medication-naive individuals with schizophrenia (31) but not in subjects with other psychotic disorders (32). Schizophrenia is not associated with a simple increase or decrease in the degree of DLPFC activation while performing a working memory task; rather, relative to healthy subjects, individuals with schizophrenia exhibit greater DLPFC activation when performing tasks that require low levels of working memory (and they are able to perform these tasks) and reduced DLPFC activation when performing tasks that require substantial use of working memory (and they have an impaired ability to perform these tasks) (33).

Pathology of DLPFC circuitry. Convergent lines of evidence, albeit with varying degrees of replication, have implicated several components of DLPFC circuitry in the pathology of schizophrenia. First, pyramidal neurons (characterized by a triangular cell body, a single
apical dendrite, and multiple basal dendrites, as well as a high density of dendritic spines), which comprise approximately 75% of cortical neurons, utilize the excitatory neurotransmitter glutamate and furnish an axon that projects to other brain regions. Second, interneurons, which comprise approximately 25% of cortical neurons, utilize the inhibitory neurotransmitter GABA, furnish an axon that projects locally, and regulate the activity of pyramidal neurons. Third, axons from neurons in the thalamus and from DA-containing neurons in the mesencephalon innervate targets in the DLPFC (Figure 3).

**Defects in pyramidal neurons.** Pyramidal neurons are the principal source of excitatory axon terminals in the cortex. They receive excitatory inputs from other pyramidal neurons (in the same and other cortical regions) and from the thalamus onto their dendritic spines. Because the total number of DLPFC neurons is not altered in schizophrenia (34), findings of increased neuronal density have been interpreted as evidence of a reduction in the number of axon terminals and dendritic spines that occupy the space between neurons (35); that is, the same number of neurons is distributed in a smaller volume, since the number of axon terminals and spines per neuron is lower. Consistent with this interpretation, synaptophysin protein (a marker of axon terminals; ref. 36), gene transcripts that encode proteins present in axon terminals (37), and dendritic length and the density of dendritic spines on pyramidal neurons (38, 39) are all lower in the DLPFC of subjects with schizophrenia. The reduction in spine density is most marked on the basilar dendrites of pyramidal neurons located in deep layer 3 (39, 40). Consistent with these observations, the somal volume of deep layer 3 pyramidal neurons, which correlates with the size of the dendritic tree and axonal arbor of a neuron, is smaller in subjects with schizophrenia (39, 41, 42).

Together these findings suggest that the number of excitatory inputs to deep layer 3 pyramidal neuron basilar dendrites is reduced in schizophrenia. These findings might reflect a reduced number of thalamic afferents, since the excitatory projections from the thalamus to the DLPFC synapse primarily on dendritic spines of pyramidal neurons in deep layers 3 and 4 (43). Studies of the total number of neurons in the mediodorsal thalamic nucleus, a major source of thalamic projections to the DLPFC, have produced mixed results. Initial studies reported lower numbers in individuals with schizophrenia, but subsequent studies with larger sample sizes failed to detect a difference (reviewed in ref. 44). However, several studies have found smaller regional volume and reduced neuron number in the pulvinar, a thalamic association nucleus that also projects to the DLPFC, in individuals with schizophrenia (45, 46). These alterations in the pulvinar are supported by congruent MRI observations (47).

Alternatively, the smaller somal volume and lower spine density in deep layer 3 pyramidal neurons in individuals with schizophrenia could reflect abnormalities intrinsic to this class of cell that are accompanied by altered axonal arbors. Because the local axon collaterals of pyramidal neurons are the largest source of excitatory synapses in a cortical region, abnormalities in these cells could also contribute to the deficits in axon terminal markers in the DLPFC (Figure 3). Molecular mechanisms for structural abnormalities in these neurons, such as alterations in the expression of proteins that regulate spine size and maintenance (48, 49), are under investigation.

The alterations in excitatory inputs to deep layer 3 pyramidal neurons might be developmental in nature given that the number of excitatory synapses and dendritic spines decline during adolescence in primate DLPFC, with the changes most marked in deep layer 3 (50–52). In humans, this synaptic pruning is thought to underlie the decrease in cortical gray matter thickness that occurs normally during adolescence and, to an exaggerated degree, in individuals with schizophrenia (53). Current hypotheses hold that either the exuberant excitatory synapses present before adolescence somehow compensate for a functional abnormality in excitatory transmission in individuals with schizophrenia, and that this abnormality is then revealed with normal synaptic pruning, or that the mechanisms of adolescence-related synapse elimination are disturbed, resulting in excessive synapse pruning and decreased spine number in individuals with schizophrenia (54).

In concert, these findings suggest that the excitatory inputs to DLPFC deep layer 3 pyramidal neurons, mediated by the actions of glutamate on α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazole propionic acid (AMPA) and NMDA receptors located on the dendritic spines of pyramidal neurons, are reduced in individuals with schizophrenia. This interpretation might be consistent with findings that NMDA receptor antagonists (such as phencyclidine [PCP] and ketamine) replicate clinical aspects of schizophrenia in humans (55). Furthermore, NMDA receptor antagonists disrupt working memory in rats (56), and their direct application to the DLPFC impairs working memory performance in monkeys (57). However, alterations in mRNA or protein levels of NMDA receptor subunits in the DLPFC in postmortem studies of individuals with schizophrenia seem to be limited in magnitude and not always replicated (37, 38, 59). Thus, it may be that other regulators of NMDA receptor signaling are affected in individuals with the illness (e.g., modulation of NMDA receptor function by NRG1 signaling pathways has been reported to be reduced in the postmortem DLPFC from subjects with schizophrenia; ref. 60) or that the psychomimetic effects of NMDA antagonists are mediated through circuits involving other brain regions.

**Defects in interneurons.** Deficient excitatory input to, and consequently reduced excitatory output from, DLPFC pyramidal neurons might be expected to reduce GABA neurotransmission since expression of the 67-kDa isofrom of glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD67), an enzyme that regulates GABA synthesis, depends on the amount of excitatory activity received by GABA neurons (61). Consistent with this prediction, a reduced level of mRNA encoding GAD67 in the DLPFC is perhaps the most widely and consistently replicated observation in postmortem studies of individuals with schizophrenia (reviewed in ref. 62). At the cellular level, mRNA encoding GAD67 is not detectable in approximately 30% of GABA neurons in subjects with schizophrenia, suggesting that they have substantially reduced amounts of GABA, but the remaining GABA neurons exhibit normal levels of this mRNA (63, 64). Furthermore, levels of mRNA encoding GABA transporter 1 (GAT1), a protein responsible for reuptake of released GABA into nerve terminals, is also decreased in the DLPFC in schizophrenia (65), and this decrease is restricted to a similar minority of, and presumably the same, GABA neurons (66). These findings suggest that both the synthesis and re-uptake of GABA are lower in a subset of DLPFC interneurons in individuals with schizophrenia.

The affected interneurons include the approximately 25% of primate DLPFC GABA neurons (Figure 3) that express the calcium-binding protein parvalbumin (PV), exhibit fast-spiking firing properties, and receive a high number of excitatory inputs from the local axon collaterals of DLPFC deep layer 3 pyramidal neurons (67). In individuals with schizophrenia, expression of PV mRNA is reduced (68), although the number of PV-cells in the DLPFC seems to be unchanged (68, 69). In addition, in individuals with schizophrenia, approximately half the neurons that contain PV mRNA lack detectable levels of mRNA encoding GAD67. review series
Among PVALB-containing interneurons in individuals with schizophrenia, the chandelier neurons have been found to express decreased levels of GAT1 in their axon terminals (70), which target the initial segments of pyramidal neurons. Expression of the neuropeptide somatostatin (SST) is decreased in GABA neurons (dark blue) that target the distal dendrites of pyramidal neurons. Decreased cholecystokinin (CCK) and cannabinoid receptor 1 (CB1) mRNA levels and lower CB1 protein in axon terminals suggest altered regulation of GABA neurotransmission in a subset of basket neurons (purple) that target the cell body and proximal dendrites of pyramidal neurons. Gene expression does not seem to be altered in calretinin-containing (CR-containing) GABA neurons (red) that primarily target other GABA neurons (gray). Putative alterations in thalamic and DA cell bodies and their projections to the DLPFC are also shown. Some studies indicate that the number and/or gene expression in oligodendrocytes is also altered (119). Not all of the circuitry alterations shown here have been sufficiently replicated or demonstrated to be specific to the disease process of schizophrenia to be considered established facts; filled arrows indicate abnormalities supported by convergent and/or replicated observations. Figure adapted with permission from Neuron (1).
that express the neuropeptide cholecystokinin (CCK) and cannabinoid receptor 1 (CB1) (75), also seem to be disturbed in individuals with schizophrenia. The affected neurons have distinct influences on the function of DLPFC pyramidal neurons. For example, both CCK/CB1R- and PVALB-containing basket cells provide convergent sources of perisomatic inhibition to pyramidal neurons, but they play complementary roles in shaping the activity of pyramidal neurons (76). In contrast, the approximately 50% of GABA interneurons that express the calcium-binding protein calretinin seem to be unaffected in individuals with schizophrenia (68) (Figure 3).

Deficits in neurons that project to the DLPFC. The activity of both pyramidal and GABA interneurons is modulated by inputs from DA neurons located in the ventral mesencephalon. Because normal working memory performance depends on optimal activation of DA D1 receptors in the DLPFC, a deficit in DA signaling could contribute to working memory impairments in individuals with schizophrenia (77). Several factors might reduce DA signaling in the DLPFC. First, amphetamine-induced increase in DA release (33). However, studies gest that they have smaller somal volumes (79) and lower levels of TH protein (80). Together, these findings suggest that cortical DA signaling might be diminished in individuals with schizophrenia because there is a decrease in the number of axons and/or a decrease in the DA content per axon. Second, excitatory projections from DLPFC pyramidal neurons are thought to be an essential source of glutamate-mediated excitation to midbrain DA neurons that project to the DLPFC (81). Thus, reduced excitatory output from DLPFC pyramidal neurons in individuals with schizophrenia could lead to persistently decreased activation of DA cells. Third, the availability of extracellular DA in the DLPFC might be reduced in schizophrenia. Inhibition of the DA-degrading enzyme COMT markedly increases prefrontal DA levels in rats (82). Although levels of COMT mRNA and protein do not seem to be altered in schizophrenia (83), a COMT allelic variant (Val158Met) encodes an enzyme with markedly increased catalytic activity (84). Healthy subjects homozygous for the Val allele have less robust cognitive performance, presumably due to lower DLPFC DA levels, since performance improved with an amphetamine-induced increase in DA release (33). However, studies of the association between COMT allelic variants and schizophrenia have been inconclusive (85).

The combination of decreased DA innervation of the DLPFC, DA cell hypactivity, and increased DA turnover in the DLPFC of individuals with schizophrenia could lead to reduced extracellular DA levels, deficient DA D1 receptor stimulation, and possibly a compensatory upregulation of these receptors. Consistent with this hypothesis, a PET study found increased binding of the DA D1 receptor ligand NNC112 in the DLPFC of drug-free and drug-naive subjects with schizophrenia (86). However, other studies using different ligands have not replicated these results (87, 88). Interestingly, preclinical studies indicate that sustained DA depletion differentially affects binding of these ligands and elevates the in vivo binding of NNC112 (89). Furthermore, the degree of D1 receptor upregulation in individuals with schizophrenia was inversely related to working memory performance (86), consistent with the idea that D1 upregulation is a compensatory, but insufficient, response to DA deficit in the DLPFC.

Pathophysiological consequences. Understanding how these alterations in DLPFC circuitry (summarized in Figure 3) could interact to give rise to the pathophysiology of working memory deficits in schizophrenia remains a challenge. Several interpretations have been suggested. One idea is that hypofunction of NMDA receptors selectively present on PVALB-containing interneurons might lead to reduction in GADγ expression and decreased GABA production. Dysfunction of pyramidal neurons, and excess glutamate at non-NMDA receptors, disrupting cortical circuit function (90). Although aspects of this hypothesis require further explanation (e.g., why NMDA receptor function might be selectively affected on PVALB-containing interneurons) (67), the hypothesis provided the rationale for the development of novel compounds with agonist activity at metabotropic glutamate receptors that reduces glutamate release through a presynaptic mechanism (91). A recent clinical trial demonstrated antipsychotic efficacy of such a compound in individuals with schizophrenia, although its effects on cognitive deficits were not reported (92).

A second interpretation (Figure 4) is that a deficit in excitatory inputs to pyramidal neurons, resulting from fewer dendritic spines and/or hypofunctional NMDA (and/or AMPA) receptors on these spines, might lead to reduced excitatory output from the cortex. Deficient excitatory input to DA neurons projecting to the DLPFC would cause sustained hypactivity and, consequently, both morphological and biochemical changes in these DA neurons. This in turn would lead to decreased DA innervation of the DLPFC and compensatory, but functionally insufficient, upregulation of DA D1 receptors by pyramidal neurons, interneurons, or both. Because DA D1 receptor activation increases the activity of PVALB-containing interneurons, reduced DA D1 receptor–mediated signaling might reduce the activity of these neurons and contribute to activity-dependent downregulation of GABA synthesis. Because DLPFC pyramidal neurons indirectly inhibit mesostriatal DA cells through activation of GABA neurons in the mesencephalon, the reduction in DLPFC excitatory activity might lead to a functional excess of DA activity at DA D2 receptors in the striatum that could contribute to the psychotic features of schizophrenia (93).

A third suggestion is that the deficit in expression of mRNA encoding GADγ is highly conserved and thus a central feature of DLPFC pathology in schizophrenia (28). Because the activity of DLPFC interneurons is essential for normal working memory function in monkeys (94), reduced GABA signaling from PVALB-containing interneurons to DLPFC pyramidal neurons might contribute to the pathophysiology of working memory dysfunction. This idea is supported by a number of findings. First, networks of PVALB-containing interneurons seem to be specialized to synchronize the activity of local populations of pyramidal neurons so that they fire together at a certain frequency termed the γ-band (30–80 Hz) (67). Second, γ-band oscillations in the human DLPFC increase in proportion to working memory load (95). Third, the capacity to increase extracellular GABA predicts DLPFC γ-band power during a working memory task in humans (96). Last, prefrontal γ-band oscillations are reduced during this task in subjects with schizophrenia (97). Thus, a deficit in the synchronization of pyramidal cell firing, resulting from impaired regulation of pyramidal cell networks by PVALB-containing interneurons, could contribute to reduced levels of induced γ-band oscillations and, consequently, to impaired working memory in individuals with schizophrenia (28). This hypothesis is supported by recent findings that a novel compound designed to augment GABA neurotransmission selectively
from the PVALB-containing chandelier neuron inputs to pyramidal neurons improved both working memory function and prefrontal γ-band oscillations in subjects with schizophrenia (98).

**Altersations in auditory cortex circuitry**

**Impaired prosody.** Difficulty in recognizing and expressing spoken emotional tone is a prominent negative symptom in individuals with schizophrenia that impairs the ability to recognize and convey important cues for successful social interactions (99). Emotional tone is represented by acoustic features of speech (prosody). Recognizing prosody is also essential for successful interpretation of spoken content such as distinguishing between statements and questions, appreciating stressed words, recognizing sincere versus sarcastic intent, and even identifying the sex of the speaker (100).

Prosody is largely conveyed by variations in speech pitch; that is by changing the predominant acoustic frequency of spoken words (100). Individuals with schizophrenia have a reduced ability to discriminate tones of differing frequencies, and this impairment predicts problems in distinguishing spoken emotions (99). Impaired tone discrimination is evident even in the absence of an inter-tone interval (101), indicating that this abnormality is unlikely to be a consequence of cognitive dysfunction such as impaired working memory. Tone discrimination depends on the circuitry of the AI.

**Pathology of AI circuitry.** The AI in humans is located in Heschl's gyrus (HG). Most in vivo MRI studies have found reduced HG gray matter volume in subjects with schizophrenia (including those with a first episode of psychosis) relative to normal controls and to subjects with affective psychosis (102). Subjects with schizophrenia also have an accelerated rate of gray matter volume loss in HG after first presentation of psychosis (102). Alterations in several components of AI circuitry might contribute to both the volume deficits and functional impairments observed in schizophrenia. For example, in deep layer 3 of the AI, the mean somal volume of pyramidal neurons is smaller (103), and the densities of markers of dendritic spines (104) and of axon boutons (105) are lower. Because the densities of dendritic spines and axon boutons were highly correlated within subjects (104), the combined findings suggest a structural deficit in excitatory transmission.

**Pathophysiological consequences.** The cortical circuit supporting auditory processing of frequency discrimination is summarized in Figure 5. Neurons in the AI are organized tonotopically; that is, they are arranged such that their spatial location varies according to the frequency that generates maximal response (106). This tonotopic arrangement reflects the organization of the projections from the ventral subdivision of the medial geniculate nucleus of the thalamus to layer 4 and deep layer 3. The broad thalamic frequency representation is then refined within the AI. Activation following the thalamic input spreads through layer 3 via interlaminar and horizontal projections (107, 108). The horizontal projections in the AI, formed by the long-range axonal collaterals of layer 3 pyramidal neurons, reciprocally connect cortical patches with similar characteristic frequency responses (107, 108). It is primarily these reciprocal connections of layer 3 pyramidal neurons that define frequency tuning, selectively enhancing the preferred frequency (109, 110).

Frequency discrimination in the AI has been evaluated at the level of single neurons and neuronal populations using paradigms in which an auditory stimulus of one frequency is repeatedly presented at a fixed interval, interspersed with stimuli of a different frequency, which occur at a lower probability of presentation (111). The event-related potential, mismatch negativity (MMN), represents the difference in magnitude of the responses generated by the two stimuli, recorded intracortically or at the scalp. Consistent with the mechanisms of frequency tuning described above, intracortical recordings in monkeys have indicated that MMN arises in the AI after the initial depolarizing thalamic volley, during a phase of increased multi-unit activity within deep layer 3 (111, 112).

MMN is reduced in subjects with schizophrenia (102, 113), and the reduction correlates with the degree of impairment in tone discrimination (114). Reduced MMN in subjects with schizophrenia appears to represent an inability to generate maximum current flow in these layer 3 pyramidal circuits (112). For example, reductions of MMN similar to those in subjects with schizophrenia can be modeled by infusing NMDA receptor antagonists into the auditory cortex of animals (112), an observation paralleled by systemic administration of NMDA antagonists in human subjects.
without psychiatric illness (115). Thus, impairments in pitch discrimination and detection of prosody in subjects with schizophrenia might reflect a deficit in the activation of pyramidal neuron networks in layer 3 of the AI due to reduced numbers of excitatory synaptic connections among these neurons. This model is consistent with the observation that impairments in MMN progress in the early stages of the illness and correlate with the magnitude of progressive reductions in gray matter volume of HG (102).

Important unresolved questions remain. For example, are reductions in numbers of excitatory synapses themselves sufficient to diminish the spread of excitation and the generation of current flow in layer 3 of the AI, or are additional impairments of glutamate function required, such as deficits in NMDA receptor expression within these synapses? Similarly, GABA neuron populations, which regulate phasic activity of layer 3 pyramidal neuron networks in the AI (Figure 5), have not been investigated in this region in subjects with schizophrenia.

**Other alterations in cortical circuitry in individuals with schizophrenia**

Certain cortical circuitry alterations in individuals with schizophrenia seem to be relatively widespread. For example, lower dendritic spine density, smaller pyramidal cell somal volumes, and fewer markers of axon terminals have been found in other cortical regions in addition to the DLPFC and AI (73). Pyramidal neurons in deep layer 3 (but not deep layer 5) of the auditory association cor-
Specialized clinical and neuroimaging assessments, including event-related potentials, functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), and PET, may be used to investigate anomalies in the connectivity among cortical regions and the functional interactions between cortical and subcortical brain regions, which are disrupted in schizophrenia (119). For example, the frequency of alterations in specific components of these cortical circuits must be considered within the broader organization of the cortex and its connections with subcortical structures. Although the frequency of alterations in specific components of these cortical circuits is altered in individuals with schizophrenia (120), in addition, other neurotransmitter systems, such as cholinergic signaling through nicotinic receptors, are disrupted in at least some cortical regions (121).

Translating an understanding of disease process into novel therapeutics

The findings discussed in this Review suggest that impaired working memory and auditory information processing in schizophrenia are attributable, at least in part, to a complex set of alterations in cortical circuitry in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) and AI, respectively. However, the emergence of working memory and prosody depends upon more distributed cortical networks, and thus alterations within local circuits must be considered within the broader organization of the cortex and its connections with subcortical structures. Although the frequency of alterations in specific components of these cortical circuits is common enough to be consistently detected in different cohorts of subjects identified by a common set of diagnostic criteria, the extent to which these alterations are restricted to only certain types of individuals with schizophrenia remains to be determined; this knowledge is essential for considering the opportunities for personalized medicine in the treatment of schizophrenia.

Rational pharmacological treatments for schizophrenia are designed to normalize the pathophysiology that mediates the clinical feature of interest (Figure 1). Thus, any molecule identified as a drug target must be understood in the context of the pathophysiological and specific measures of the clinical feature, as well as broader and more standard measures of neuropsychological function and symptomatology. Indeed, recent studies suggest that broad measures employing neuropsychological test batteries, although advantageous for clinical trials because of their psychometric properties (e.g., test-retest reliability), are prone to practice effects that might obscure the therapeutic effects of novel drugs (122).

Any given pathological entity in a disease process could represent a cause (an upstream factor related to the disease pathogenesis), a consequence (a deleterious effect of a cause), or a compensation (a response to either cause or consequence that helps restore homeostasis) (73). Although understanding these distinctions is clearly necessary for drug design, as it determines the required mode of action of the drug, making these distinctions requires an understanding of the functional properties of the cortical circuitry in which they are embedded. For example, the idea that GABA_A receptor containing α2 subunits are upregulated in pyramidal neurons due to a deficit in GABA input from chandelier neurons led to the use of a novel positive allosteric modulator of this receptor subtype that improved both working memory function and prefrontal γ-band oscillations in a small randomized controlled trial of subjects with schizophrenia (98). Similarly, the idea that DA D1 receptors are upregulated to compensate for a deficient DA innervation of the DLPFC has motivated attempts to develop selective approaches for modulating activity at cortical DA D1 receptors (77). In this regard, PET-based assessments of the degree of DA D1 receptor upregulation in individual patients may help in guiding therapy to maximize the likelihood of obtaining optimal levels of DA D1 receptor stimulation.

Finally, analyses of pathological circuits might lead to the future identification and validation of new types of therapeutic targets beyond the manipulation of neurotransmitter systems. For example, spine-specific kinases, whose activity regulates spine size, number, and function, might be of potential value as novel targets (48, 49). If the adolescence-related pruning of dendritic spines is, as discussed above, critical in the emergence of the clinical features of schizophrenia, then such compounds might provide a means for secondary prevention through early intervention in high-risk individuals.
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