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The progression of kidney disease to renal failure correlates with infiltration of mononuclear immune cells into the tubulointerstitium. These infiltrates contain macrophages, DCs, and T cells, but the role of each cell type in disease progression is unclear. To investigate the underlying immune mechanisms, we generated transgenic mice that selectively expressed the model antigens ovalbumin and hen egg lysozyme in glomerular podocytes (NOH mice). Coinjection of ovalbumin-specific transgenic CD8+ CTLs and CD4+ Th cells into NOH mice resulted in periglomerular mononuclear infiltrates and inflammation of parietal epithelial cells, similar to lesions frequently observed in human chronic glomerulonephritis. Repeated T cell injections aggravated infiltration and caused progression to structural and functional kidney damage after 4 weeks. Mechanistic analysis revealed that DCs in renal lymph nodes constitutively cross-presented ovalbumin and activated CTLs. These CTLs released further ovalbumin for CTL activation in the lymph nodes and for simultaneous presentation to Th cells by distinct DC subsets residing in the kidney tubulointerstitium. Crosstalk between tubulointerstitial DCs and Th cells resulted in intrarenal cytokine and chemokine production and in recruitment of more CTLs, monocyte-derived DCs, and macrophages. The importance of DCs was established by the fact that DC depletion rapidly resolved established kidney immunopathology. These findings demonstrate that glomerular antigen–specific CTLs and Th cells can jointly induce renal immunopathology and identify kidney DCs as a mechanistic link between glomerular injury and the progression of kidney disease.

Introduction

In most kidney diseases, in particular the different forms of glomerulonephritis (GN), renal function and its prognosis are closely correlated with the extent of tubulointerstitial damage and especially with the extent of mononuclear infiltration of immune cells into this compartment (1). Thus, progression of kidney disease to chronic renal failure critically depends on events in the tubulointerstitium, even in primary GN. Several mechanisms have been proposed to explain how glomerular injury may spread to the tubulointerstitium, for example, podocyte damage leading to tubulointerstitial malperfusion, glomerular filtration leakage into the interstitium, or tubulotoxic effects of proteinuria (2–4). Yet the functional role of the prominent tubulointerstitial mononuclear infiltrate in such spreading and in kidney disease progression is unclear. Nevertheless, immunosuppression is the standard therapy in many types of progressive kidney disease, suggesting that the infiltrating immune cells are important. Remarkably, these infiltrates are often located around inflamed glomeruli in various forms of GN, such as diffuse proliferative and crescentic lupus nephritis (5–7), IgA nephropathy (8), or anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody–associated GN (9). Periglomerular infiltrates contain macrophages, DCs, and T cells (5, 10, 11). Macrophages are generally recognized as mediators of kidney damage (2, 12). DCs have only recently been identified as an abundant component of the healthy tubulointerstitium (10, 13–15). They perform sentinel functions and alert the immune system to acute renal injury via cytokine production and stimulation of proinflammatory T cells (16, 17). We recently showed that kidney DCs attenuate the early phase of crescentic GN (18). Their role in chronic GN and in progression of renal disease is unknown.

The role of T cells in chronic GN has been controversial for decades (19–22). Experimental studies proposed a role particularly in crescentic and pauci-immune GN (2, 5, 23–28). However, the exact mechanisms by which the distinct T cell types contribute to GN are only partially understood. In nephrotoxic nephritis, a model of human crescentic GN, Th type 1 cells specific for a planted glomerular antigen stimulated renal macrophages (27) that caused kidney damage (12). Also CD8+ T cells (CTLs), whose main function is the defense against intracellular pathogens and tumors, are found in periglomerular infiltrates, e.g., in lupus nephritis (7). Several animal models exist for studying CTLs specific to tubular antigen, and these have facilitated elucidating immune mechanisms in interstitial nephritis (29–31). A role of CTL in GN is supported by the attenuation of rat experimental autoimmune (32) and nephrotoxic nephritis (32) in CD8-knockout mice, albeit murine nephrotoxic nephritis was not ameliorated (27). Thus, the exact role of CTLs in glomerular disease is unclear, mostly because suitable animal models are lacking.
Transgenic models have been key in elucidating the roles of autoreactive CTL and Th cells in immune-mediated disease. In rat insulin promoter–mOVA (RIP-mOVA) mice expressing the model antigen OVA in pancreatic islets and proximal tubules, DCs in draining LNs activated OVA-specific transgenic CD8\(^{+}\) CTLs (OT-I cells) by a mechanism termed cross-presentation (33), which is restricted to DCs of the CD8\(^{-}\) conventional type (34–39). However, such activation led to peripheral CTL tolerance by deletion, a mechanism also known as cross-tolerance (40, 41). Enhancement of cross-presentation by autoantibodies (42) or provision of help by injecting OVA-specific Th cells (OT-II cells) (40) prevented cross-tolerance. Mechanistic analysis revealed that Th cells acted by stimulating cross-presenting DCs in secondary lymphatics to permit cytotoxic effector function, whereas CTLs activated by unlicensed DCs (“help-less” CTLs) were programmed to die after secondary antigen encounters (43, 44). Analogous mechanisms were reported in models used to examine different antigens or expression sites (45–47). Furthermore, Th cells may regulate the CTL effector phase in nonlymphatic organs (34, 35, 46). However, the rapid destruction of pancreatic islets by CTLs precluded elucidation of the underlying mechanisms in models in which antigen was expressed in this site.

To address these open questions, we generated transgenic NOH mice, in which the model autoantigens OVA and hen egg lysozyme (HEL) were expressed in glomerular podocytes. Injection of OT-I or OT-II cells into these animals allowed study of the immunopathology resulting from glomerular antigen–specific CTLs or Th cells, respectively, in a straightforward manner. Unexpectedly, our studies identified kidney DCs as a mechanistic link between T cell–mediated glomerular injury and progression of kidney disease.

**Results**

*Generation and characterization of mice expressing model autoantigens in glomeruli.* To straightforwardly study the role of glomerular antigen–specific T cells in nephritis, we generated mice expressing the model autoantigens OVA and HEL in podocytes. These mice allowed use of the well-characterized transgenic OT-I and OT-II mice, which produce OVA-specific CTLs (OT-I cells) and Th cells (OT-II cells), respectively, as donors for autoreactive T cells specific for a glomerular antigen. A construct containing the podocyte-specific human nephrin promoter (48) fused to cDNA encoding the transmembrane domain of the transferrin receptor, OVA, and HEL (49) (Figure 1A) was used to generate nephrin-OVA-HEL mice (NOH mice) on the C57BL/6 background. Immunohistochemistry revealed OVA expression in glomerular podocytes of NOH mice (Figure 1B) without expression in other organs, in particular pancreatic islets or the brain, where expression of a reporter driven by the murine nephrin promoter had been reported (50), or in non-Tg controls (Figure 1B and Supplemental Figure 1; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI38399DS1). Quantitative RT-PCR detected OVA message in the kidney after 40 cycles, while other tissues remained negative for 50 cycles, indicating that renal expression was at least 1,000-fold (10 cycles) higher than in other tissues (Supplemental Table 1).
CFSE-labeled OT-I cells have previously been used as sensitive probes for in vivo OVA presentation (33). When these cells were injected i.v. into NOH mice, they divided only in the renal LN but not in any other LNs nor in the spleen nor in non-Tg control mice (Figure 1C; Supplemental Figure 2A), indicating kidney restriction of T cell activation and thus antigen expression. Furthermore, we noted neither neurological symptoms nor diabetes mellitus in NOH mice injected with OT-I cells, which was the case in mice expressing OVA in pancreatic islets or in neurons, respectively (40, 47). These findings demonstrated that transgene expression in NOH mice was restricted to kidney podocytes.

To determine whether OT-I activation in the renal LN was a function of DCs, we crossed NOH mice to CD11c-DTR/eGFP (in which DTR indicates *diphtheria toxin receptor*) mice (here simply termed CD11c-DTR mice), which allow DC deletion by injection of diphtheria toxin (DT) (51). A single DT injection into NOH × CD11c-DTR mice reduced CD11c+ cells in the LNs by more than 90% (Supplemental Figure 2B) and abrogated proliferation of injected OT-I cells in the renal LN (Figure 1D; Supplemental Figure 2B), demonstrating that CD11c+ DCs activated OT-I cells by cross-presentation of glomerular autoantigen. This was not due to differences in the activation state of DCs from NOH mice, as this was identical to the activation state in non-Tg controls (Supplemental Figure 3).

CFSE-labeled OVA-specific Th cells (OT-II cells) neither proliferated nor expressed the activation marker CD69 after transfer into NOH mice (Figure 1E). This was consistent with previous studies showing failure of OT-II cells to proliferate in response to OVA expressed as transgenic self antigen and has been explained by their limited affinity in particular for autoantigen (35, 40, 46). In summary, glomerular autoantigen was constitutively presented by DCs in the renal LNs, but only OT-I cells proliferated in response.

Glomerular antigen-specific CTL release antigen for cross-presentation in the renal LN. To investigate whether activated CTLs caused glomerular damage in our experimental setting, we injected in vitro–activated OT-I cells into NOH mice to induce CTL-mediated glomerular damage. Subsequently, we injected CFSE-labeled naive OT-I cells as in vivo probes for cross-presentation. Indeed, proliferation of CFSE-labeled OT-I cells (Figure 2A; Supplemental Figure 4) and their expression of the activation marker CD69 (Figure 2B; Supplemental Figure 4) in the renal LNs were increased after injection of activated OT-I cells. This was not due to OT-I cell–induced increased expression of costimulatory molecules by renal LN DCs (Figure 2C). Thus, activated CTLs caused the release of podocyte antigen, which was subsequently cross-presented in the renal LNs.

**Glomerular antigen–specific CTLs and Th cells jointly induce periglomerular mononuclear infiltration.** To determine what type of immunopathology results from glomerular antigen–specific T cells, we injected OT-I and OT-II cells into NOH mice. Neither cell type alone nor a combination of naive OT-I and naive OT-II cells caused any immunopathology. We speculated that this may be due to the lack of constitutive OT-II cell activation in NOH mice (Figure 1E). To circumvent this problem, we activated OT-II cells in vitro prior to injection, which has been shown to enable potent in vivo helper functions (35). Activated OT-II cells produced IL-2, TNF-α, and IFN-γ (data not shown), indicating Th1 differentiation, consistent with previous work using these cells (52). While transfer of activated OT-II cells alone failed to cause immunopathology, their coinjection with naive OT-I cells caused a significant focal periglomerular mononuclear infiltrate in all NOH recipient mice after 7 days (Figure 3, A and B), as determined by semiquantitative analysis (Figure 3, J and K) and quantitative analysis (Figure 3L). Non-Tg recipients did not develop infiltration, indicating antigen specificity (data not shown). Interestingly, intraglomerular infiltration was not detected (Figure 3B). Electron microscopy showed that podocytes maintained regular foot processes, but in contrast to normal podocyte physiology, these cells were in direct contact with parietal cells (Figure 3C). Parietal cells featured large cytoplasm with increased numbers of organelles and were separated by a very thin membrane from the periglomerular infiltrate. Bowman capsule was surrounded by small lymphocytes and monocyte/macrophage-like cells (Figure 3C). Immunohistochemistry revealed expression of CD8α, CD4, CD11c, CD86, MHC II (I-A<sup>ab</sup> allele), and CD11b within periglomerular infiltrates (Figure 3, D–I), indicating the presence of T cells and antigen-presenting cells, such as DCs and macrophages.

**DC subset changes in infiltrated kidneys.** The expression of CD11c, MHCII, and CD86 within the infiltrate (Figure 3, F–H) suggested the presence of DCs. Indeed, flow cytometry revealed CD11c+MHC II+ cells that expressed CD11b either at high or intermediate levels (Figure 4A) but lacked CD8 and B220 (Supplemental Figure 5), identifying them as conventional CD8+ DCs. DC numbers were higher in infiltrated kidneys, and this was mostly due to an increase in CD11c+CD11b<sup>hi</sup> cells, whereas CD11c+CD11b<sup>int</sup> cells hardly changed in number (Figure 4B), suggesting that the latter might represent resident kidney DCs and that the former may have been derived from circulating precursors, e.g., from monocytes, which express similarly high levels of CD11b (53). In support of this interpretation, the CD11b<sup>hi</sup> cells expressed high levels of Gr1 (Figure 4C), a marker of proinflammatory monocytes, which can give
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Figure 3
Histological analysis of periglomerular infiltrates in NOH mice. (A–C) PAS staining (A and B) or electron microscopy analysis (C) of kidney sections of NOH mice injected with $5 \times 10^6$ OT-I cells and $5 \times 10^6$ activated OT-II cells 7 days before analysis. Note in C multiple contacts of podocytes with parietal epithelia separated by a very thin membrane from the periglomerular infiltrate. C, capillary; E, erythrocyte; M, mesangium; MnC, mononuclear cell; P, podocyte; PE, parietal epithelium; pgs, periglomerular space; asterisk, capsule membrane. Original magnification, ×3,000. Scale bar: 10 μm. (D–I) Representative immunohistochemistry for expression of CD8 (D), CD4 (E), CD11c (F), CD11b (G), MHC II (I-A^b) (H), and CD86 (I). (J) The frequency of glomeruli surrounded by mononuclear infiltrates was determined in HE-stained kidney sections of NOH or non-Tg mice injected with OT-I and/or activated OT-II cells as indicated. Shown are data from a group of mice that repetitively received T cell injections on days 7, 14, and 21. That group was analyzed on day 28 (histology in Figure 8). (K) Affected glomeruli were scored for the severity of periglomerular infiltrates. Results are representative of 4 experiments in groups of 3–5 mice. (L) Quantitative analysis of 2 of these experiments, an example of which was given in Supplemental Figure 7. In J–L, symbols indicate results from individual mice and the bars their mean. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.
on the following day, and cocultured them with OT-II cells. Indeed, OT-I cells in order to release glomerular antigen, isolated kidney DCs could further increase it.

When we examined activation of DCs in infiltrated kidneys of NOH mice, expression of CD86 and CD40 was higher compared to that of DCs in non-Tg controls (Figure 6A, A and B), and a DC subset produced IL-12 (Figure 6C). Notably, these activation signs were also detectable albeit less pronounced when activated OT-II cells were injected alone but not when only activated OT-I cells were transferred (Figure 6A, A–C), suggesting that interaction with Th cells alone was sufficient for DC maturation and that CTLs could further increase it.

To verify this interpretation, we injected NOH mice with activated OT-I cells in order to release glomerular antigen, isolated kidney DCs on the following day, and cocultured them with OT-II cells. Indeed, such DCs could stimulate IFN-γ production from OT-II cells (Figure 6D). IL-2 production was only marginally increased, and this was not significant (data not shown). Cytokine production was induced neither by DCs from OT-I cell–injected non-Tg mice nor by DCs from NOH mice that had not been injected with OT-I cells (Figure 6D), confirming that indeed CTL-released antigen was presented. DCs from the spleens of OT-I cell–injected NOH mice did not stimulate OT-II cells (Figure 6D), demonstrating that released glomerular antigen was not systemically available for presentation. These findings confirmed that intrarenal presentation of glomerular antigen by DCs elicited cytokine production by specific Th cells.

Interaction between kidney DCs and Th cells causes intrarenal CTL accumulation. We next studied the consequences of cytokine production for OT-I cells. Their numbers were increased in the infiltrated kidneys of NOH mice to more than 10 times the amount in the kidneys of non-Tg mice (Figure 7A), and they produced more IFN-γ, which indicated their activation (Figure 7B). Such an increase was not observed in the spleen (Figure 7C), demonstrating that OT-II cells caused local expansion of OT-I cells in the kidney. OT-I cell numbers in both kidney and spleen of NOH mice injected with OT-I cells alone were lower than in the respective organs of non-Tg mice (Figure 7, A and C), presumably indicating the beginning of their systemic deletion by cross-tolerance (40). Also, this reduction was prevented by OT-II cells (Figure 7C), demonstrating that these cells also exerted a distinct helper function, impairment of cross-tolerance (40). The numbers of intrarenal OT-II cell numbers were not affected by coinjection of OT-I cells (Figure 7D), confirming that the former acted upstream of the latter. These findings indicated that interaction between kidney DCs and OT-II cells caused intrarenal accumulation of OT-I cells.

This increase of OT-I cells in the kidney did not result from their local proliferation because these cells did not express the Ki-67 proliferation marker when analyzed by intracellular flow cytometry (Figure 7E). To study the possibility of recruitment of OT-I cells, we determined expression of CCR5 ligands, which are proinflammatory DCs and macrophages. Quantitative analysis verified that CD11b+CD11c+ macrophages had increased in number and that nearly all of them expressed Gr1 (Figure 4B). Also, the increase of CD11c+ DCs in infiltrated kidneys was mostly due to Gr1-expressing cells (Figure 4B).

**DCs are essential for periglomerular infiltration.** To determine whether DCs were necessary for the periglomerular infiltration, we injected OT cells into NOH × CD11c-DTR double-transgenic mice and depleted CD11c+ cells by DT injection after 5 days, when infiltrates were established. This reduced kidney DC numbers by 85%–90% (Figure 5A). Histological analysis demonstrated that the periglomerular infiltrate had completely disappeared after 40 hours (Figure 5, B, F, and G; Supplemental Figure 6) but remained detectable in double-transgenic mice that had not received DT (Figure 5, D, F, and G; Supplemental Figure 6). We reasoned that such rapid resolution of the infiltrate was unlikely due to depletion of DCs in the renal LN because cessation of T cell proliferation in this node would not affect previously primed T cells in the kidney. Therefore we concluded that kidney DCs were necessary for maintenance of the periglomerular infiltrate.

**Intrarenal DCs present CTL-released glomerular antigen only to Th cells.** When we examined activation of DCs in infiltrated kidneys of NOH mice, expression of CD86 and CD40 was higher compared to that of DCs in non-Tg controls (Figure 6A, A and B), and a DC subset produced IL-12 (Figure 6C). Notably, these activation signs were also detectable albeit less pronounced when activated OT-II cells were injected alone but not when only activated OT-I cells were transferred (Figure 6A, A–C), suggesting that interaction with Th cells alone was sufficient for DC maturation and that CTLs could further increase it.

To verify this interpretation, we injected NOH mice with activated OT-I cells in order to release glomerular antigen, isolated kidney DCs on the following day, and cocultured them with OT-II cells. Indeed, such DCs could stimulate IFN-γ production from OT-II cells (Figure 6D). IL-2 production was only marginally increased, and this was not significant (data not shown). Cytokine production was induced neither by DCs from OT-I cell–injected non-Tg mice nor by DCs from NOH mice that had not been injected with OT-I cells (Figure 6D), confirming that indeed CTL-released antigen was presented. DCs from the spleens of OT-I cell–injected NOH mice did not stimulate OT-II cells (Figure 6D), demonstrating that released glomerular antigen was not systemically available for presentation. These findings confirmed that intrarenal presentation of glomerular antigen by DCs elicited cytokine production by specific Th cells.

Interaction between kidney DCs and Th cells causes intrarenal CTL accumulation. We next studied the consequences of cytokine production for OT-I cells. Their numbers were increased in the infiltrated kidneys of NOH mice to more than 10 times the amount in the kidneys of non-Tg mice (Figure 7A), and they produced more IFN-γ, which indicated their activation (Figure 7B). Such an increase was not observed in the spleen (Figure 7C), demonstrating that OT-II cells caused local expansion of OT-I cells in the kidney. OT-I cell numbers in both kidney and spleen of NOH mice injected with OT-I cells alone were lower than in the respective organs of non-Tg mice (Figure 7, A and C), presumably indicating the beginning of their systemic deletion by cross-tolerance (40). Also, this reduction was prevented by OT-II cells (Figure 7C), demonstrating that these cells also exerted a distinct helper function, impairment of cross-tolerance (40). The numbers of intrarenal OT-II cell numbers were not affected by coinjection of OT-I cells (Figure 7D), confirming that the former acted upstream of the latter. These findings indicated that interaction between kidney DCs and OT-II cells caused intrarenal accumulation of OT-I cells.

This increase of OT-I cells in the kidney did not result from their local proliferation because these cells did not express the Ki-67 proliferation marker when analyzed by intracellular flow cytometry (Figure 7E). To study the possibility of recruitment of OT-I cells, we determined expression of CCR5 ligands, which are produced by Th cells to attract CTLs (55). Indeed, we noted that OT-II cells isolated from infiltrated kidneys of NOH mice expressed...
mRNA levels of the CCR5 ligands CCL3, CCL4, and CCL5 that were 2.5, 6, or 2 times higher, respectively, than those of non-Tg mice. Of these, the increase in CCL4 was statistically significant. (Figure 7F). Intrarenal OT-I cells did not show such an increase but instead showed 6.5 times greater levels of CCR5 itself (Figure 7G). Kidney sections were scored after 40 hours for frequency (F) and severity (G) of infiltrates. Representative H&E stainings are shown in B–E. Scale bars: 400 μm. Semiquantitative analyses show 2 further controls: non-Tg mice injected with DT and NOH mice not injected with DT. Symbols indicate sections from individual mice and the bars their mean. Results are representative of 2 experiments. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001. Data are presented as mean ± SD.

Figure 5
DCs are essential for periglomerular infiltration. (A) 4 ng/g body weight DT was injected into WT, NOH, or NOH × CD11c-DTR mice that had received 5 × 10^6 OT-I cells and 5 × 10^6 activated OT-II cells 5 days before. After an additional 40 hours, kidney single-cell suspensions were examined for surviving DCs by flow cytometry for CD11c+ and eGFP expression within the transgene. Dot plots show representative results, and a quantitative analysis is given in the same panel to the right. Numbers in quadrants of dot plots indicate the proportion of cells. (B–G) 5 × 10^6 OT-I cells and 5 × 10^6 activated OT-II cells were injected into NOH × CD11c-DTR (B and C) or NOH mice (D and E). After 5 days, DT was injected (B and C). Kidney sections were scored after 40 hours for frequency (F) and severity (G) of infiltrates. Representative H&E stainings are shown in B–E. Scale bars: 400 μm. Semiquantitative analyses show 2 further controls: non-Tg mice injected with DT and NOH mice not injected with DT. Symbols indicate sections from individual mice and the bars their mean. Results are representative of 2 experiments. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001. Data are presented as mean ± SD.

Chronic T cell–mediated damage causes structural and functional kidney damage. We finally examined the consequences of periglomerular infiltration at later time points. To this end, we repetitively injected NOH mice at weekly intervals with OT-I and activated OT-II cells because we speculated that the short life span of activated T cells might limit renal damage after a single injection. No pathology was seen when OT-I or OT-II cells were injected separately (data not shown). Coinjection resulted in pronounced tubulointerstitial
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infiltration by mononuclear cells, intratubular protein casts, focal tubular atrophy, and focal segmental glomerular sclerosis with retraction of the glomerular tuft to the vascular pole on day 28 (Figure 8, A and B). Type IV collagen staining on day 28 but not on day 7 revealed increased interstitial matrix deposition in the outer areas of the infiltrates, denoting induction of fibrosis at later time points (Figure 8, C and D). Together, these findings indicated chronic tubulointerstitial damage.

Notably, we regularly observed OVA-expressing cells in the Bowman capsule wall of infiltrated kidneys on day 28 (Figure 8F). The glomerular damage at this time point did not permit unequivocal classification of these cells. On day 7, such cells were rare and their OVA-staining intensity seemed lower (Figure 8E).

By electron microscopy, we determined that the parietal cells of the Bowman capsule appeared activated, with an increased number of organelles and edematous cytoplasm (Figure 8G). Glomerular capillaries showed regular endothelial cells, basement membranes, and podocytes. The Bowman capsule membrane was thickened. The composition of the periglomerular mononuclear infiltrate was similar to that seen on day 7 (Figure 3C), but the infiltrate was more pronounced and embedded in increased extracellular matrix (Figure 8G).

Consistent with glomerular sclerosis, daily excretion of albumin per creatinine, an indicator of glomerular damage, had increased substantially from day 7 to day 28 (Figure 8H). No albuminuria was detected when OT-I or OT-II cells were injected alone or when non-Tg recipients were used (Figure 8H and data not shown). Renal insufficiency as determined by creatinine clearance did not develop until day 28 (data not shown). Gel electrophoresis revealed that excreted protein was primarily of albumin and not of Ig size (Figure 8I), indicative of selective glomerular proteinuria. Very low albuminuria was found when activated OT-I cells were injected alone (Figure 8I), supporting the conclusion that CD8+ T cells could cause kidney damage but that the presence of Th cells was required for progression to manifest immunopathology and functional damage.

Discussion
The role of T cells in GN is controversial (2, 19–22, 25–28). Using the transgenic NOH model, we demonstrated that CTLs and Th cells specific for glomerular autoantigen can jointly induce periglomerular mononuclear infiltration that can progress to structural and functional kidney damage. Our analysis supports the following sequence of events: DCs in the renal LNs constitutively cross-presented glomerular antigen to specific CTLs by DCs in the renal LNs. Activated CTLs entered the kidney and released more glomerular antigen, which augmented cross-presentation in the renal LNs. Simultaneously, such antigen was taken up also by DCs of a different subtype in the kidney tubulointerstitium, which presented it to specific Th cells, resulting in activation of kidney DCs and Th cells and in intrarenal production of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines. In response, further CTLs were recruited to the kidney as well as monocyte-derived proinflammatory DCs and macrophages. These immune effector cells formed and maintained periglomerular infiltrates and thereby contributed to immunopathology.

The present study shows that renal LN DCs not only cross-present tubular autoantigen (33) and innocuous small molecular weight antigen filtered in the glomerulus (56) but also cross-present glomerular autoantigen and induce CTL cross-tolerance.
Such tolerance may explain the minor kidney damage that CTLs induced without Th cells, which was evident solely by the release of some podocyte antigen. It has been previously shown that CTLs activated without help are functionally deficient and doomed to die (40, 43, 57), and this may apply also to glomerular antigen–specific CTLs. Formal demonstration of their intrarenal death, however, was impossible because we could hardly detect any CTLs in the kidney when autoreactive Th cells were lacking. Provision of such helper cells rescued autoreactive CTLs in our system not only by preventing cross-tolerance but also by an additional mechanism that operated within the kidney (40), which resulted in their intrarenal accumulation and immunopathology. CTLs rescue critically depended on DCs, since their depletion dissolved the periglomerular infiltrate within less than 2 days. Such rapid resolution cannot be explained by abrogated T cell activation in the renal LNs. We therefore concluded that kidney DCs were essential for maintenance of the periglomerular infiltrate, implying a role for DCs in the progression of kidney disease. Ostensibly, this seemed to contradict our previous finding that DC depletion aggravated nephrotoxic nephritis (18). However, in that study, all DCs were depleted at an early point of nephritis (day 4) and therefore the resident DCs were also removed. It is possible that these were responsible for the protective effect. This would be consistent with recent evidence that resident and recruited DC subsets derive from distinct precursors and perform distinct functions (38, 39, 53, 54, 58). Thus, it is possible that the recruited Gr1+ DC subset sustained the periglomerular infiltrate.

An advantage of the NOH model over transgenic models with antigen expression in other organs, in particular pancreatic islets that are rapidly destroyed by CTLs (40), is the persistence of the mononuclear infiltrate over several days, which allowed us to dissect the crosstalk between the participating immune cells. We found that renal DCs presented CTL-released glomerular antigen only to Th cells. This was consistent with the reported inability of CD8– conventional DCs (which include kidney DCs; ref. 10) to cross-present antigen (34–39) and implied their inability to directly interact with OT-I cells in an MHC I–restricted manner. Moreover, kidney DCs do not express the mannose receptor (56), which is required for uptake of OVA for cross-presentation (37). Finally, if kidney DCs could cross-present, then they theoretically should become sus-

---

**Figure 7**

Interaction between kidney DCs and Th cells causes intrarenal CTL accumulation. NOH (black bars) or non-Tg (white bars) mice were injected with 5 × 10⁶ OT-I cells alone or together with 5 × 10⁶ activated OT-II cells. (A–C) After 7 days, single-cell suspensions of the kidney (A and B) or the spleen (C) were analyzed by flow cytometry to determine numbers of CD8+Vα2-Vβ5+ OT-I (A and C) or proportions of (B) IFN-γ–producing OT-I cells. (D) Numbers of CD4+Vα2-Vβ5+ OT-II cells were determined after injection of 5 × 10⁶ OT-II cells alone or together with 5 × 10⁶ activated OT-II cells. (E) Experiments depicted in A–C show determination of Ki-67+ OT-I cells. In vitro–activated OT-II cells served as positive control, naive OT-II cells as negative control for proliferating cells. (F and G) Vα2-Vβ5+CD4+ OT-II (F) or Vα2-Vβ5+CD8+ OT-I cells (G) were sorted from kidney cell suspensions of experiments depicted in A–C. mRNA encoding CCL3, CCL4, CCL5, and CCR5 was determined, and the ratio between cells from NOH and non-Tg controls was displayed. (H) Mononuclear cell infiltrates and noninfiltrated tubulointerstitial control areas were excised from kidney cryosections as shown in Supplemental Figure 8. mRNA encoding CCL3, CCL4, CCL5, and CCR5 was determined, and the ratio between infiltrates from injected NOH and non-Tg controls was displayed. Results are representative of 2 experiments. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001. Data are presented as mean ± SD.
ceptible to CTL-mediated lysis and their numbers should decline rather than accumulate, as observed here. Thus, CTL rescue most likely was mediated by soluble mediators produced in response to intrarenal Th cell–DC crosstalk, i.e., IFN-γ by Th cells and/or IL-12 by DCs, which are known to stimulate CTLs and to aggravate some forms of nephritis (26, 27, 59). Although Th cell–derived IFN-γ possibly also activated intrarenal macrophages to contribute to immunopathology in a classical DTH-like manner, CTLs were essential in our system because injection of Th cells alone did not cause immunopathology. CTL accumulation did not result from their intrarenal proliferation. Instead, we found evidence for recruitment to the kidney by Th cell–produced CCR5 ligands. CTL recruitment is consistent with previous studies on primary interstitial nephritis, which concluded that Th cells specific for tubular antigen permitted access of specific circulating CTLs to the tubulointerstitium by unknown mechanisms (29–31). It is also consistent with the recent demonstration that Th cells recruit naïve CTLs to the DCs for priming in secondary lymphatics via CCR5 ligands (55). Our results suggest that these ligands also attract effector CTLs to non-lymphoid tissue, here the kidney. In support of this notion, nearly all kidney-infiltrating CTLs in experimental nephritis models have previously been reported to express CCR5 (60).

Although our findings clearly demonstrate that the periglomerular infiltrate was maintained by antigen and by DCs presenting it, the mechanism by which periglomerular DCs could physically acquire podocyte antigen remained unclear. Migratory DCs are unlikely to transport antigen because they are normally absent from glomeruli (10) and were not detected there in the present study. We found no evidence for transgene expression in parietal epithelium in healthy mice, and this has not been reported in other transgenic mice harboring the human nephrin promoter used here (48). Thus, podocyte antigen may be shed, reabsorbed from the glomerular filtrate, and acquired by tubulointerstitial DCs. In support of this hypothetical mechanism, we have recently observed that kidney DCs can take up filtrated antigen from the glomerular ultrafiltrate (56). When inflammation is established, the proximity between podocytes and parietal cells and the very thin basement membrane that separates the periglomerular infiltrate may facilitate antigen transfer. Alternatively, podocytes may relocate to the Bowman capsule, as recently described in experimental crescentic nephritis (61), or inflamed parietal cells themselves may acquire podocyte-like properties. Indeed, on day 28, we observed OVA-expressing cells in the Bowman capsule wall adjacent to the periglomerular infiltrates and DCs. Future studies may clarify whether these cells represented relocated podocytes or parietal cells that expressed antigen driven by the nephrin promoter under inflammatory conditions.

Figure 8
Repetitive OT cell injection causes functional and structural kidney damage in NOH mice. NOH mice were injected with 5 × 10^6 OT-I cells and with 5 × 10^6 activated OT-II cells on days 0, 7, 14, and 21. On day 7 (C and E) or day 28 (A, B, D, and F–I), kidneys were taken for PAS staining (A and B), type IV collagen staining for fibrotic areas (C and D), OVA staining (E and F; black arrows indicate OVA^+ cells in Bowman capsule wall), and electron microscopy (G). White arrow, contact between podocytes and parietal cells; black arrow, podocyte foot processes. Original magnification, x3,000. Scale bar: 10 μm. (H) Daily excretion of albumin (g/l) per creatinine (g/l) was determined in overnight urine of groups of NOH or non-Tg mice injected with nOT-I and/or activated OT-II cells. (I) 20 μl urine from mice in groups denoted by diamonds and open squares on day 7 were separated by gel electrophoresis and stained with Coomassie blue. Results are representative of 2 experiments. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.
of very high numbers of autoreactive Th cells and CTLs, which needed to be stimulated by distinct DCs in different locations to induce immunopathology, indicated that several checkpoints exist to avoid T cell–mediated kidney disease. Furthermore, our study revealed the form of immunopathology resulting from T cells specific for glomerular antigen. Such T cells caused periglomerular mononuclear infiltration and parietal epithelial cell inflammation but not typical signs of direct podocyte injury such as foot-process fusion. Although this morphology is not identical to that of the classical types of human GN, periglomerular infiltration is frequently observed in T cell–dependent experimental GN models, e.g., lupus or crescentic nephritis (10, 11), and resembles lesions usually seen at the margins of focal segmental and necrotizing lesions of pauci-immune complex GN (5, 62). The detection and immunohistological characterization of periglomerular infiltrates with respect to proinflammatory DC subsets may be of diagnostic value in glomerular diseases, especially when the use of T cell–suppressive drugs is considered.

In summary, our findings demonstrate that a joint attack of glomerular antigen–specific CTLs and Th cells can lead to distinct immunopathology predominantly affecting the tubulointerstitium. These findings may provide the pathophysiologic basis for the long-known fact that kidney function and its prognosis are closely correlated with tubulointerstitial and not with glomerular damage, even in primary glomerular disease (1, 5). Presentation of glomerular antigen by activated or recruited kidney DCs may represent a previously unrecognized mechanism by which glomerular injury may spread to the tubulointerstitium and sustain inflammation there. This theory suggests that targeting proinflammatory DCs or their blood precursors represents what we believe to be a novel therapeutic option to delay or even stop kidney disease progression.

Methods

**Mice and reagents.** WT, NOH, OT-I Rag−/−, OT-II, and CD11c-DTR/GFP mice on the C57BL/6 background were bred and maintained in the animal facilities of the University of Bonn (House of Experimental Therapy [HET]) under specific pathogen–free conditions and used for experiments at between 8 and 16 weeks of age. All studies were reviewed and approved by an external review board (Bezirksregierung Köln, Cologne, Germany). DCs were depleted in CD11c-DTR/GFP mice by injecting 4 ng/g body weight DT i.p. All reagents, if not otherwise specified, were from Sigma-Aldrich.

**Generation of transgenic NOH mice.** The 715-bp Xhol-HindIII fragment containing the rat insulin promoter was excised from the pOVA/HEL plasmid used to generate transgenic RIP-mOVA/HEL (where m stands for membrane bound) (ROH) mice (49), which contained cDNA for the human transferrin receptor membrane domain for the OVA (aa 161–407), the HEL gene, and an SV40 polyA tail. It was replaced with the human nphrin promoter, which had been amplified from the plasmid nphrin/PCR2.1 (48) using the primers GACAGAGTGGGAGAATATTCT and ACACGCGCC- GCTGGCCAGC and was then ligated into the blunt-ended 5.7-kb pOVA/HEL fragment. A 3.79-kb PvuII-BamHI fragment was cut out and injected into pronuclei of fertilized C57BL/6 oocytes. Two founder lines were generated, both of which showed similar immunopathology in our system. The correct nucleotide sequence of the transgene was verified by sequencing.

**Cell transfer experiments.** OT-I and OT-II cells were prepared from the spleen and LNs of transgenic mice as described and labeled using CFSE (Molecular Probes; Invitrogen) as described (40). OT-I and OT-II cells were activated by coculture with irradiated OVA peptide–loaded splenocytes for 4 days. Mice were injected with 5 × 10^6 T cells.

Isolation of primary DCs and T cells. Kidneys were injected with RPMI 1640 containing 1 mg/ml collagenase D (Roche) and 0.2 mg/ml DNAse I. Undigested tissue fragments and kidney tubular cells were removed by sedimentation as described (18). For ex vivo cocultures, DCs and T cells were purified using nanobead-labeled antibodies (Miltenyi Biotec). Magnetic bead separation was done according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Purity was usually 80% to 90%.

**Flow cytometry.** The following mAbs from eBioscience were used: CD4 (clone GK1.5), CD8a (53-6.7), CD11c (HL3), I-Ab (AF6-120.1), CD11b (M1/70), Vε2 (B20.1), Vβ5 (MR9-4), CD69 (H1.2F3), CD86 (GL1), CD40 (3/23), IL-12p40 (C17.8), and IFN-γ (XMG1.2). Ki-67 (SP6) was a gift from Thermo Scientific. For intracellular staining, cells were cultured for 5 hours with 1 μl/ml GolgiPlug (BD Biosciences—Pharmingen), treated with 24G2 hybridoma supernatant to block Fc receptors, stained for surface markers, fixed with 2% PFA, and permeabilized with BD Perm/Wash. Absolute cell numbers were determined by adding fixed numbers of Calibrite APC beads (BD) before measurement as internal reference. Dead cells were excluded with Hoechst 33342. Flow cytometry was performed on a FACS Canto II (BD) and data analysis with FlowJo software (Tristar). Cell sorting was performed on a FACSVantage (BD).

**Histology.** Tissues were fixed in 4% PFA overnight, and embedded in paraffin. 5-μm sections were stained with PAS or Sirius red using standard techniques. Extent of mononuclear infiltration was semiquantitatively determined in PAS-stained sections using the following score: 0, no infiltration; 0.5, periglomerular infiltrates discontinuous or less than 3 layers; 1, continuous periglomerular infiltration with at least 2 cell layers; 2, severe infiltration with more than 4 layers; and 3, severe infiltration plus crescents of Bowman capsule or glomerular sclerotic lesions. A minimum of 50 glomeruli per section was counted. Quantitative analysis of infiltrates was performed using SCAN-R software (Olympus): 1 to 2 independent areas of 16 single images (434 × 330 μm) per section of 3 to 4 animals per group were analyzed for clusters of more than 3 cells showing a lymphocyte size threshold (example shown in Supplemental Figure 7).

For immunohistochemistry, 5- to 7-μm acetone-fixed cryosections were stained with biotinylated mAb, followed by ABC-AP Kit (Vector Laboratories) and hematoxylin counterstaining, and analysis using an Axioskop (Zeiss). Electron microscopy was performed on a Zeiss EM900. Images were generated using multiple image alignment of individual images.

**Laser microdissection.** 8-μm cryosections of mouse kidneys were stained for alcohol-based cresyl violet acetate by air drying sections for 1 minute, incubated for 2 minutes in precooled 75% EtOH, and dipped for 20 seconds in 1% cresyl violet acetate dissolved in EtOH. Then slides were washed in 75% and 100% EtOH for 30 seconds each and air dried for 10 minutes. Tissue was excised using a PALM MicroBeam IP 230V Z microscope for laser pressure catapulting (P.A.L.M. Microlaser Technologies) as described previously (63) and in Supplemental Figure 8. RNA from microdissected tissue was prepared using the PALM RNA extraction kit.
Real-time PCR. cDNA was isolated using the RNasy Micro Kit (QiAGEN). cDNA was synthesized using random hexamer primers. RT-PCR was performed on an ABI Prism 7000 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems) using the following settings: 40 cycles of 15 seconds denaturation at 95°C, and 1 minute primer annealing and elongation at 60°C as described. 1.5 μl cDNA was used with 2.5 μl (0.9 μM) specific primers and 12.5 μl of 2X Platinum SYBR Green qPCR SuperMix (Invitrogen). All samples were run in duplicates and normalized to 18S rRNA.

Miscellaneous measurements and statistical analysis. IFN-γ was measured by ELISA (R&D Systems). Proteinuria was quantified using metabolic cages. To guarantee sufficient quantities of urine, all mice in a group were placed overnight in the same cage. Urinary albumin was determined by a commercial ELISA kit (Bethyl Laboratories Inc.), creatinine measurements and SDS-PAGE (% acrylamide gel) were carried out by standard methodology. Two-tailed Student’s t-test analysis was done using Prism software (Graph-Pad Software). RT-PCR data were analyzed by comparing experimental groups by Kruskal-Wallis test with post hoc analysis by Mann-Whitney test. Results were given as mean ± SD. P < 0.05 was considered significant.
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