Aberrantly glycosylated IgA1 in IgA nephropathy patients is recognized by IgG antibodies with restricted heterogeneity
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IgA nephropathy (IgAN), also called Berger disease, was described in 1968 (1) based on the immunohistochemical finding of IgA- and IgG-containing immune complexes in the glomerular mesangium of the kidney. Proliferation of mesangial cells and expansion of the extracellular matrix can occur from the earliest stages of the disease, with progression to glomerular and interstitial sclerosis resulting in development of end-stage renal disease in 30%–40% patients within 20 years of the estimated time of disease onset (2, 3).

The IgA in the mesangial deposits is exclusively of the IgA1 subclass (4–6) and is aberrantly glycosylated (7–9) with the hinge-region 3 of the variable region of the gene encoding the IgG heavy chain in IgAN patients. Furthermore, site-directed mutagenesis that reverted the residue to alanine reduced the binding of recombinant IgG to galactose-deficient IgA1. Finally, we developed a dot-blot assay for the glycan-specific IgG antibody that differentiated patients with IgAN from healthy and disease controls with 88% specificity and 95% sensitivity and found that elevated levels of this antibody in the sera of patients with IgAN correlated with proteinuria. Collectively, these findings indicate that glycan-specific antibodies are associated with the development of IgAN and may represent a disease-specific marker and potential therapeutic target.
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Serum IgG from IgAN patients exhibits specificity for GalNAc, binding to Gal-deficient and desialylated IgA1. (A) Western blot analysis with Gal-deficient IgA1 (Mce) as antigen demonstrated binding of serum IgG from 2 IgAN patients but only minimal binding of IgG from 2 healthy controls to the IgA1 heavy chain. After removal of sialic acid, IgG binding increased, as it did for binding to HAA. N+, treated with neuraminidase; N−, not treated with neuraminidase. (B) To test glycan-specific IgG binding to GalNAc, these IgA1 proteins were used: lane 1, Gal-deficient IgA1 (Mce); lane 2, dd-IgA1; lane 3, enzymatically regalactosylated dd-IgA1; and lane 4, enzymatically resialylated dd-IgA1. dd-IgA1 bound the greatest amount of HAA, with enzymatically galactosylated or sialylated dd-IgA1 binding very little. IgG from an IgAN patient bound to these antigens in a fashion similar to that for HAA. (C and D) Component chains of Gal-deficient IgA1 (Mce) were separated by SDS-PAGE under reducing conditions and electroblotted. The membrane was then treated with HAA to assess whether blockade with this GalNAc-specific lectin can inhibit IgG binding. The intensity of each band was quantified by densitometry. The binding of serum IgG from an IgAN patient to Gal-deficient IgA1 was reduced by 66% after treatment with HAA. Conversely, blocking with serum IgG from an IgAN patient reduced the binding of HAA to Gal-deficient IgA1 by 60%. Binding of anti-human IgA (heavy-chain specific) confirmed equivalent loading. Representative results from 3 experiments are shown in A–C; lanes were run on the same gel but were noncontiguous.

Results

Serum IgG from IgAN patients exhibits specificity for N-acetylgalactosamine, which results in binding with Gal-deficient and desialylated IgA1. We first determined the binding of serum IgG from IgAN patients to Gal-deficient IgA1 using an ELISA in which the coated antigen was either enzymatically desialylated and degalactosylated IgA1 (dd-IgA1) or the Fab fragment of Gal-deficient IgA1 containing the N-terminal part of the hinge region with O-glycans attached (Fab-IgA1) (Supplemental Table 1; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI38468DS1). The levels of serum IgG directed against dd-IgA1 and Fab-IgA1 were higher in IgAN patients than in healthy controls (P < 0.001) (Supplemental Table 2). These results, obtained using samples from 16 patients and 16 healthy controls from the southeastern USA, were corroborated using serum samples from 20 IgAN patients and 20 healthy controls from Japan (P < 0.0001) (Supplemental Table 2).

The binding of the serum IgG to Gal-deficient IgA1 was then validated by Western blot analysis of the component chains of an enzymatically modified IgA1 myeloma protein (Mce). In each case, the enzymatic modification was confirmed by the binding of the N-acetylgalactosamine-specific (GalNAc-specific) lectin, Helix aspersa agglutinin (HAA) (31, 32). The IgG from the sera of patients with IgAN bound to the heavy chain of the Gal-deficient IgA1, whereas only minimal binding of the IgG from the sera of healthy controls was observed. Removal of the sialic acid from the Gal-deficient IgA1 by neuraminidase treatment resulted in an increase in the binding of the serum IgG from patients with IgAN (Figure 1A). As would be expected, dd-IgA1 bound greater amounts of HAA than did native Gal-deficient IgA1, whereas enzymatically regalactosylated or resialylated dd-IgA1 bound lower amounts of HAA than native Gal-deficient IgA1 (Figure 1B). The similarity between the extent of binding of the serum IgG and HAA to each of these IgA1 preparations suggested that the binding of serum IgG to the Gal-deficient IgA1 was dependent on the GalNAc moieties (Figure 1B). This was confirmed by incubation with unlabeled HAA prior to incubation with IgG purified from the serum of an IgAN patient. The preincubation with HAA reduced the binding of the IgG to the Gal-deficient IgA1 by 66% (Figure 1, C and D); conversely, blocking with serum IgG from an IgAN patient reduced the binding of HAA to Gal-deficient IgA1 by 60% (Figure 1, C and D). Thus, the GalNAc in the hinge region of Gal-deficient IgA1 represents a major component of the epitope that is recognized by the IgG specific for Gal-deficient IgA1 present in the serum of patients with IgAN.

Characterization of antibodies specific for Gal-deficient IgA1 secreted by IgG-producing cell lines. To further characterize the IgG that reacts with the Gal-deficient IgA1, we generated IgG-producing cells by EBV immortalization of B cells isolated from the peripheral blood of the 16 patients with IgAN and 16 healthy controls who had provided blood for measurement of serum IgG specific for Gal-deficient IgA1 (Supplemental Table 2). After subcloning of the cells, the IgG secreted by the cell lines was characterized by ELISA; the cells...
derived from IgAN patients produced antibodies that exhibited greater binding to dd-IgA1 and Fab-IgA1 than did the cells derived from controls ($P < 0.0001$) (Figure 2, A and B). We then randomly selected cell lines from 10 IgAN patients and 10 healthy controls and analyzed the binding of the secreted IgG to a synthetic IgA1 hinge-region peptide linked to BSA (HR-BSA) and a synthetic IgA1 hinge-region glycopeptide linked to BSA with 3 GalNAc residues (HR-GalNAc-BSA) at sites corresponding to the major epitopes of the Gal-deficient IgA1 myeloma protein (Thr228, Ser230, and Ser232) (25). The IgG from the cells derived from IgAN patients did not bind the HR-BSA but bound HR-GalNAc-BSA; moreover, the binding to HR-GalNAc-BSA was inhibited by HAA (78%) (Figure 2C). Thus, the IgG-secreting cells derived from the peripheral blood of patients with IgAN produced glycan-specific antibodies that recognize Gal-deficient IgA1 in a GalNAc-dependent manner. These IgG-producing cells were further subcloned to isolate single-cell clones producing antibodies specific for Gal-deficient IgA1. We randomly selected 3 cell lines from clones from patients with IgAN ($n = 16$) and 3 cell lines from clones from healthy controls ($n = 16$) and scaled up the cultures to obtain sufficient amounts of purified IgG for further characterization.

**Glycan-specific IgG forms immune complexes with Gal-deficient IgA1.** The ability of the glycan-specific antibodies to form immune complexes with Gal-deficient IgA1 was determined in vitro by incubation of the purified IgG proteins with a Gal-deficient IgA1 myeloma protein (Ale mono) at a 1:1 molar ratio. The reaction mixture was then fractionated by HPLC with the IgA1-IgG immune complexes being identified by cross-capture ELISA (25). Incubation of the Gal-deficient IgA1 with IgG produced by the cells derived from IgAN patients resulted in the production of greater amounts of immune complexes than were formed on incubation with IgG produced by cells derived from healthy controls (Figure 3A). Analysis of the size and composition of the immune complexes suggested that they were composed of 1 molecule of IgG bound to either 1 or 2 molecules of IgA1 (Figure 3A).

**Analyses of the IGH, IGK, and IGA genes derived from patients with IgAN.** The variable regions of IGH and IGK or IGA transcripts from single cells were amplified in 2 rounds of nested RT-PCR reactions using specific primers (33). The resultant amplicons were then purified and directly sequenced. The predicted aa sequences of the CDR3 of the variable region of the IGH gene ($V_{H}$ genes) from the 7 IgAN patients analyzed differed significantly from the predicted sequences for the genes of the 6 healthy controls that were analyzed (Tables 1 and 2). One of the notable differences was that the 3’ end of $V_{H}$ genes from cells of 6 IgAN patients included a sequence encoding YCSR/K, which represented an A to S substitution as compared with the sequence encoding YCAR that was identified in 5 of 6 controls (Table 1). In the 1 IgAN patient (subject 3081) who did not have the A to S substitution at this position, there was an R to T substitution at the next position (YCAT vs. YCAR). On dot-blot analysis, we found extensive binding of the IgG secreted by the cells from the IgAN patients to Gal-deficient IgA1 with 1 exception (IgG from the clone from subject 3081; Figure 3B). The IgG secreted by the cells from the healthy controls either did not bind to Gal-deficient IgA1 or exhibited significantly less binding, again with 1 exception (IgG from the clone from subject 3070 with the sequence YCAS) (Figure 3B). Densitometric analysis of these blots indicated that the IgG from IgAN patients exhibited greater binding to Gal-deficient IgA1 than did the IgG from healthy controls (Figure 3C; $P < 0.01$). Thus, the CDR3 of the $V_{H}$ appears to play an important role in the binding of the glycan-specific IgG to the Gal-deficient IgA1, and the A to S substitution that we found in 6 of 7 patients with IgAN appears to be associated with enhanced binding.

**The importance of the A to S substitution in the YCAR/K sequence of the CDR3 in the binding of IgG to Gal-deficient IgA1.** For further analyses, we prepared recombinant human IgG (rIgG) using a single-cell PCR technique to clone the variable regions of the heavy- and light-chain genes of IgG from an IgG-secreting cell line derived from a patient with IgAN and from an IgG-secreting cell line derived from a healthy control. The corresponding PCR products for the genes of the 6 healthy controls that were analyzed (Tables 1 and 2). One of the notable differences was that the 3’ end of $V_{H}$ genes from cells of 6 IgAN patients included a sequence encoding YCSR/K, which represented an A to S substitution as compared with the sequence encoding YCAR that was identified in 5 of 6 controls (Table 1). In the 1 IgAN patient (subject 3081) who did not have the A to S substitution at this position, there was an R to T substitution at the next position (YCAT vs. YCAR). On dot-blot analysis, we found extensive binding of the IgG secreted by the cells from the IgAN patients to Gal-deficient IgA1 with 1 exception (IgG from the clone from subject 3081; Figure 3B). The IgG secreted by the cells from the healthy controls either did not bind to Gal-deficient IgA1 or exhibited significantly less binding, again with 1 exception (IgG from the clone from subject 3070 with the sequence YCAS) (Figure 3B). Densitometric analysis of these blots indicated that the IgG from IgAN patients exhibited greater binding to Gal-deficient IgA1 than did the IgG from healthy controls (Figure 3C; $P < 0.01$). Thus, the CDR3 of the $V_{H}$ appears to play an important role in the binding of the glycan-specific IgG to the Gal-deficient IgA1, and the A to S substitution that we found in 6 of 7 patients with IgAN appears to be associated with enhanced binding.

**The importance of the A to S substitution in the YCAR/K sequence of the CDR3 in the binding of IgG to Gal-deficient IgA1.** For further analyses, we prepared recombinant human IgG (rIgG) using a single-cell PCR technique to clone the variable regions of the heavy- and light-chain genes of IgG from an IgG-secreting cell line derived from a patient with IgAN and from an IgG-secreting cell line derived from a healthy control. The corresponding PCR products for the genes of the 6 healthy controls that were analyzed (Tables 1 and 2). One of the notable differences was that the 3’ end of $V_{H}$ genes from cells of 6 IgAN patients included a sequence encoding YCSR/K, which represented an A to S substitution as compared with the sequence encoding YCAR that was identified in 5 of 6 controls (Table 1). In the 1 IgAN patient (subject 3081) who did not have the A to S substitution at this position, there was an R to T substitution at the next position (YCAT vs. YCAR). On dot-blot analysis, we found extensive binding of the IgG secreted by the cells from the IgAN patients to Gal-deficient IgA1 with 1 exception (IgG from the clone from subject 3081; Figure 3B). The IgG secreted by the cells from the healthy controls either did not bind to Gal-deficient IgA1 or exhibited significantly less binding, again with 1 exception (IgG from the clone from subject 3070 with the sequence YCAS) (Figure 3B). Densitometric analysis of these blots indicated that the IgG from IgAN patients exhibited greater binding to Gal-deficient IgA1 than did the IgG from healthy controls (Figure 3C; $P < 0.01$). Thus, the CDR3 of the $V_{H}$ appears to play an important role in the binding of the glycan-specific IgG to the Gal-deficient IgA1, and the A to S substitution that we found in 6 of 7 patients with IgAN appears to be associated with enhanced binding.
IgA. ELISA data confirmed that the Fab fragment of rIgG bound to Fab-IgA in a fashion similar to that of the intact rIgG. Western blotting against the hinge region of native IgA, desialylated IgA, and dd-IgA myeloma proteins (Mce1) confirmed that the binding of the rIgG to IgA was increased after removal of sialic acid and Gal on the hinge region of IgA (Figure 4B).

To determine whether the aa substitution (A to S) in the CDR3 of the VH domain of IgG from IgAN patients affects the binding to Gal-deficient IgA, the VH gene of the single-cell line from an IgAN patient (subject 1123) with the YCSR sequence was reverted to the counterpart found in most healthy controls (S to A) using an overlap PCR strategy (Supplemental Table 3) (34). Conversely, the CDR3 of the VH gene of the single-cell line from a healthy control (subject 9017) encoding the YCAR sequence was mutated (A to S) to generate the sequence found in most of the IgAN patients. Both mutations were confirmed by sequencing after cloning into an IgG-expressing vector, as described above (Figure 4C). The rIgG was then purified and tested for binding to Gal-deficient IgA using Western blotting and ELISA. The S to A change in the CDR3 of the IgG of the IgAN patient reduced the binding of rIgG to Gal-deficient IgA by 72%. Conversely, the A to S substitution in CDR3 of the IgG of a healthy control increased binding to Gal-deficient IgA to 80% of that of the rIgG of the IgAN patient (Figure 4D). These data were confirmed by ELISA using Fab-IgA as the antigen.

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cells from IgAN patients</th>
<th>Cell ID</th>
<th>CDR3 (aa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1023</td>
<td>YCSR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1123</td>
<td>YCSR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1125</td>
<td>YCSR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1139</td>
<td>YCSR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2047</td>
<td>YCSR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3061</td>
<td>YCSR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3081</td>
<td>YCSR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cells from healthy controls**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell ID</th>
<th>CDR3 (aa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3066</td>
<td>YCAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3070</td>
<td>YCAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3064</td>
<td>YCAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8043</td>
<td>YCAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9017</td>
<td>YCAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9035</td>
<td>YCAR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The aa sequences of VH CDR3 of IgG from 7 IgAN patients and 6 controls. There were notable differences, including a sequence YCSR/K, with a change of A to S (bold and underlined S; excluding subject 3081, who had sequence YCAT) in the CDR3 of heavy chain of IgG from IgAN patients compared with the YCAR sequence in the controls (except subject 3070; bold S).
Although the structural and functional impact conferred by the hinge-region insertion into hominoid primate IgA1 remains elusive, it is obvious that alterations in the associated glycan moieties are of paramount significance in IgAN (21, 24, 25). Our data indicate that GalNAc plays an important role in the interaction between IgG and Gal-deficient IgA1. GalNAc appears to act as an epitope in itself, as indicated by additional experiments that assessed binding of this IgG antibody to GalNAc- or N-acetylgalcosamine–Sepharose (GlCNac-Sepharose), although it is possible that differences in glycosylation may affect the hinge-region protein backbone conformation and contribute to the epitope configuration. Thus, these results confirmed and extended our previous report (21) indicating that GalNAc-Sepharose inhibited reformation of dissociated IgA1-containing immune complexes isolated from the circulation of patients with IgAN.

The development of a dot-blot assay using Gal-deficient IgA1 as an antigen and GalNAc-specific rIgG from an IgAN patient as a standard permitted accurate analysis of the levels of glycan-specific IgG in the sera with high specificity and sensitivity. Notably, our results showed that serum levels of this IgG that is specific for Gal-deficient IgA1 correlated with the clinical parameter of proteinuria as well as with levels of urinary IgA1-IgG immune complexes, suggesting that the levels of these antibodies may represent a marker of disease activity and thus may be useful in assessing response to treatment.

The finding that the binding of the glycan-specific IgG from patients with IgAN to Gal-deficient IgA1 greatly favored the formation of immune complexes suggests that these glycan-specific antibodies may play a role in the pathogenesis of IgAN. It has been shown previously that IgA1-containing immune complexes from patients with IgAN bind to mesangial cells with higher affinity than does uncomplexed IgA1 (23) and that IgA1-IgG immune complexes stimulate proliferation of mesangial cells and increase secretion of cytokines/chemokines and extracellular matrix proteins, mimicking the findings in IgAN renal biopsies (24, 25). Notably, uncomplexed Gal-deficient IgA1 does not seem to affect cellular proliferation (24, 25).

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ig</th>
<th>Heavy chain</th>
<th>Light chain</th>
<th>Reactivity with</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cell ID</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cells from patients with IgAN</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1023</td>
<td>1–46</td>
<td>2–15</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1123</td>
<td>3–23</td>
<td>1–7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1125</td>
<td>3–30</td>
<td>2–22</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1139</td>
<td>3–21</td>
<td>3–3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2047</td>
<td>3–23</td>
<td>5–12</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3061</td>
<td>3–11</td>
<td>5–12</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3081</td>
<td>1–24</td>
<td>3–10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cells from healthy controls</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3064</td>
<td>4–4</td>
<td>3–9</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3066</td>
<td>3–7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3070</td>
<td>4–59</td>
<td>4–23</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8043</td>
<td>4–39</td>
<td>1–1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9017</td>
<td>3–7</td>
<td>3–9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9035</td>
<td>3–7</td>
<td>2–8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V, variable; D, diversity; J, joint; +, high reactivity; ±, medium reactivity; –, no reactivity.
In an experimental rat model of IgAN, simultaneous administration of IgA and IgG has been shown to increase proteinuria via a complement-dependent mechanism (38). A pathogenic role of IgG in renal deposits is supported by the observation that mesangial IgG deposition in the presence of normal renal function is a risk factor for decreased renal survival in IgAN patients (39). In our patients who were sampled within 30 days of renal biopsy, there was, however, no apparent correlation between serum levels of IgG and that IgG-IgA complexes were detectable in the tubules of the patients that did not contain glomeruli. In contrast, the pathology reports stated that although all of the samples had IgA, 2 of the 6 specimens that contained glomeruli indicated that IgG could be detected by immunofluorescent staining in the mesangium, one would expect that IgG codeposits would be absent or minimal. Reports in the literature regarding the frequency and degree of IgG deposition in patients with IgAN, and in the clinic, it is not unusual for pathology reports to indicate that IgG deposition is absent or minimal. Reports in the literature regarding the frequency and degree of IgG deposition in patients with IgAN are based on pathology reports, and these typically suggest the presence of IgG codeposits in about 60% of biopsies (41–43). It is possible therefore that the reported low frequencies reflect the relative insensitivity of the assays used in the clinical laboratories and the variability reflects the different protocols and/or antibodies used for immunohistochemical analysis in different laboratories. Our experience suggests that this may be the case, as confocal microscopic evaluation of biopsy specimens from 7 IgAN patients indicated that IgG could be detected by immunofluorescent staining in the glomeruli of the 6 specimens that contained glomeruli and that IgG-IgA complexes were detectable in the tubules of the specimen that did not contain glomeruli. In contrast, the pathology reports stated that although all of the samples had IgA, 2 of the samples had no IgG and 2 samples, only trace amounts. In the 3 samples that were reported as being positive for IgG, the intensity was described as 1+. Thus, IgG codeposits are likely more frequent than reported in the literature or than would be expected based on pathology reports (L. Novak et al., unpublished observations).

If IgG does play a direct role in eliciting pathogenic changes in the mesangium, one would expect that IgG codeposits would be detectable in biopsy specimens. There are, however, considerable differences in the literature in terms of the estimated frequency and degree of IgG deposition in patients with IgAN, and in the clinic, it is not unusual for pathology reports to indicate that IgG deposition is absent or minimal. Reports in the literature regarding the frequency and degree of IgG deposition in patients with IgAN are based on pathology reports, and these typically suggest the presence of IgG codeposits in about 60% of biopsies (41–43). It is possible therefore that the reported low frequencies reflect the relative insensitivity of the assays used in the clinical laboratories and the variability reflects the different protocols and/or antibodies used for immunohistochemical analysis in different laboratories. Our experience suggests that this may be the case, as confocal microscopic evaluation of biopsy specimens from 7 IgAN patients indicated that IgG could be detected by immunofluorescent staining in the glomeruli of the 6 specimens that contained glomeruli and that IgG-IgA complexes were detectable in the tubules of the specimen that did not contain glomeruli. In contrast, the pathology reports stated that although all of the samples had IgA, 2 of the samples had no IgG and 2 samples, only trace amounts. In the 3 samples that were reported as being positive for IgG, the intensity was described as 1+. Thus, IgG codeposits are likely more frequent than reported in the literature or than would be expected based on pathology reports (L. Novak et al., unpublished observations).

This observation, as well as the question as to whether the timing of IgA or anti-glycan IgG alone does not exhibit these stimulatory activities (J. Novak et al., unpublished observations).

If the IgG does play a direct role in eliciting pathogenic changes in the mesangium, one would expect that IgG codeposits would be detectable in biopsy specimens. There are, however, considerable differences in the literature in terms of the estimated frequency and degree of IgG deposition in patients with IgAN, and in the clinic, it is not unusual for pathology reports to indicate that IgG deposition is absent or minimal. Reports in the literature regarding the frequency and degree of IgG deposition in patients with IgAN, and in the clinic, it is not unusual for pathology reports to indicate that IgG deposition is absent or minimal. Reports in the literature regarding the frequency and degree of IgG deposition in patients with IgAN are based on pathology reports, and these typically suggest the presence of IgG codeposits in about 60% of biopsies (41–43). It is possible therefore that the reported low frequencies reflect the relative insensitivity of the assays used in the clinical laboratories and the variability reflects the different protocols and/or antibodies used for immunohistochemical analysis in different laboratories. Our experience suggests that this may be the case, as confocal microscopic evaluation of biopsy specimens from 7 IgAN patients indicated that IgG could be detected by immunofluorescent staining in the glomeruli of the 6 specimens that contained glomeruli and that IgG-IgA complexes were detectable in the tubules of the specimen that did not contain glomeruli. In contrast, the pathology reports stated that although all of the samples had IgA, 2 of the samples had no IgG and 2 samples, only trace amounts. In the 3 samples that were reported as being positive for IgG, the intensity was described as 1+. Thus, IgG codeposits are likely more frequent than reported in the literature or than would be expected based on pathology reports (L. Novak et al., unpublished observations).

This observation, as well as the question as to whether the timing of IgA or anti-glycan IgG alone does not exhibit these stimulatory activities (J. Novak et al., unpublished observations).
of the biopsy in terms of the state of disease progression affects the finding of IgG codeposits, requires further study.

Although the origin of the glycan-specific antibodies remains unclear, some viruses and bacteria express GalNAc-containing molecules on their surface structures (8). We speculate that in patients with IgAN, an infection with one of these microorganisms induces production of glycan-specific antibodies that cross-react with Gal-deficient IgA1. This hypothesis is supported by the clinical observation that in patients with IgAN, urinary abnormalities are frequently exacerbated during upper-respiratory tract infections (synpharyngitic hematuria) (44). Furthermore, higher levels of circulatory IgA1-containing immune complexes have been observed during episodes of increased clinical activity marked by macroscopic hematuria (7, 20, 24). While the IgG antibodies against Gal-deficient IgA1 appear to be predominantly glycan-specific, it is possible that serum polyclonal IgG may contain additional types of antibodies generated by the epitope-spreading process described in many autoimmune diseases (45). Furthermore, we have reported previously that not only IgG but also IgA1 in the sera of IgAN patients can bind to Gal-deficient IgA1 (21). Future studies are needed, perhaps even using IgA1-secreting cell lines (19), to determine the role of IgA1 antibodies against Gal-deficient IgA1 in the pathology of IgAN and to investigate the possibility that such antibodies also may be aberrantly glycosylated.

Figure 5

Serum levels of IgG specific for Gal-deficient IgA1 are elevated in patients with IgAN. (A) Gal-deficient IgA1 (Ale) placed in 96-well plates with PVDF membranes was incubated with normalized concentrations of serum IgG from IgAN patients, disease controls, and healthy controls; a representative example from 3 experiments is shown (20 samples from each group). The rlgG from an IgAN patient served as a positive control. Serum IgG from IgAN patients bound more to Gal-deficient IgA1 compared with the IgG from disease controls or healthy controls. (B) The intensity of signal in each well was measured by densitometry; the intensity of rlgG bound to Gal-deficient IgA was assigned a value of 100%. Serum IgG from IgAN patients has significantly higher reactivity to Gal-deficient IgA1 compared with that from healthy controls (P < 0.0001) and disease controls (P < 0.0001). Serum IgG from 54 of the 60 patients with IgAN showed values greater than the 90th percentile of the values for healthy controls. Wilcoxon’s rank-sum test was used for 2-sample comparison. Data are shown as individual values and the mean ± SD. (C) ROC for serum IgG binding to Gal-deficient IgA1. The area under the curve is 0.9644. These data indicate a sensitivity of 88.3% and a specificity of 95.0% (P < 0.0001; 95% CI, 0.928-1.00). The value of specificity is plotted as 1-specificity on the x-axis. (D) The intensity of IgG binding to Gal-deficient IgA1 correlated with the UP/Cr ratio (P < 0.0001) as well as with urinary IgA-IgG immune complexes (E) (P = 0.0082) in contemporaneously collected urine samples. UlgA-IgG IC/Cr, urinary excretion of IgA-IgG immune complexes/creatinine ratio.
Analyses of the IGH gene sequences encoding antibodies specific for Gal-deficient IgA1 from IgAN patients indicated that these Ig genes have been positively selected during active immune responses because all the V_{H} gene sequences have mutations compared with the corresponding germline V_{H} genes (46, 47). Although there is no specific selection of the V_{H} diversity region of the IGH gene (D_{H}), or joint region of IGH gene (J_{H}) gene usage, 6 of the 7 antibodies specific for Gal-deficient IgA1 share the same A to S substitution within the CDR3 of the IgH (CDR-H3) (the YCSR/K motif). Normally, the CDR-H3 is located in the center of the antigen-binding sites and is the main determinant of antibody specificity (48). The specific selection of the YCSR/K motif within these IgAN-specific antibodies suggests that this particular region is directly involved in binding Gal-deficient IgA1. Indeed, changing the S residue back to A residue dramatically reduced the capacity of the IgAN antibody (subject 1123) to bind the Gal-deficient IgA1; conversely, artificially changing the A to S in a control antibody (subject 9017) strongly enhanced its binding to the Gal-deficient IgA1. These results provide what we believe is the first information regarding the molecular signature of IgG specific for Gal-deficient IgA1 in IgAN patients. Currently, it is not known whether this change originates from genetic variation or somatic mutation during active immune response (49, 50). Further analysis of the generation and selection of antibodies with this signature during the course of IgAN will provide new insights into its pathogenesis.

**Methods**

**Human subjects.** Peripheral blood was collected from a total of 60 patients with biopsy-proven IgAN (mean age, 34.8 ± 12.5 years; serum creatinine, 1.3 ± 0.6 mg/dl; UP/Cr ratio, 1.31 ± 1.60), from 40 healthy controls (mean age, 38.0 ± 16.2 years; serum creatinine, 0.9 ± 0.2 mg/dl; UP/Cr ratio, 0.06 ± 0.06), and from 20 disease controls (patients with biopsy-proven lupus nephritis, membranous nephritis, and minimal change nephrotic syndrome; mean age, 35.0 ± 11.4 years; serum creatinine, 1.1 ± 0.4 mg/dl; UP/Cr ratio, 1.56 ± 1.93) (Supplemental Table 4). The IgAN patients included 16 white males and 9 white females, 1 African-American male and 2 African-American females, and 12 Japanese males and 20 Japanese females. The healthy control group consisted of 12 white males and 12 white females, 2 African-American males and 4 African-American females, and 4 Japanese males and 6 Japanese females. All healthy controls had normal UP/Cr ratio or dipstick test for protein, and none exhibited microscopic hematuria. Disease controls consisted of a group of 5 white males and 1 white female and 1 African-American female, and 7 Japanese males and 6 Japanese females. We determined the levels of IgA, Gal-deficient IgA1, and IgG in the serum samples from the 60 IgAN, 20 disease controls, and 40 healthy control subjects by capture ELISA. For 20 of 60 patients with IgAN, urine and blood samples were collected within 30 days of renal biopsy (contemporaneous samples). The Institutional Review Boards at the University of Alabama at Birmingham, the University of Tennessee Health Sciences Center, and Juntendo University School of Medicine approved this study. Written informed consent was obtained from all adults and from a parent or legally authorized representative for all children; children age 8 years or older provided signed assent.

**Isolation of PBMCs, transformation with EBV, and cloning of IgG-secreting cell lines.** PBMCs from patients with IgAN and healthy controls were isolated from heparinized peripheral blood by Ficoll-Hypaque density gradient centrifugation. The B cell fraction was enriched from the PBMCs by removal of adherent cells through incubation in a plastic tissue-culture flask for 1 hour at 37°C and removal of T cells by CD3 (PanT) Dynabeads, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Dynal; Invitrogen). PBMCs from 16 randomly selected IgAN patients (10 white males and 6 white females; 13 subjects had proteinuria or microscopic hematuria at the time of study) and 16 randomly selected white healthy controls (6 white males and 10 white females) were then immortalized with EBV (19, 51) in the Center for Clinical and Translational Science of the University of Alabama at Birmingham. To establish cell lines from the initial EBV-immortalized PBMCs from patients with IgAN and healthy controls, we subcloned IgG-secreting cells by limiting dilution (using 96-well plates seeded with 5 to 10 cells per well) in RPMI 1640 supplemented with l-glutamine, 20% FCS, penicillin, and streptomycin (19). After several rounds of cloning and screening, IgG-producing cell lines were generated from all 16 IgAN patients and all 16 healthy controls.

**Measurement of Ig and immune-complex levels.** The isotypes of the IgGs secreted by the immortalized cells were determined by capture ELISA (21, 31). ELISA plates were coated with 1 μg/ml of the F(ab')2 fragment of goat IgG specific for human IgA, IgG, or IgM (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories Inc.). The captured Igs were then detected with a biotin-labeled F(ab')2 fragment of goat IgG anti-human IgA, IgG, or IgM antibody (BioSource). Avidin–horseradish peroxidase conjugate (ExtrAvidin; Sigma-Aldrich) and the peroxidase chromogenic substrate o-phenylenediamine–H₂O₂ (Sigma-Aldrich) were then added. The color reaction was stopped with 1 M sulfuric acid, and the absorbance at 490 nm was measured using an EL312 BioKinetics Microplate Reader (BioTek). Standard curves for Igs were generated from a pool of normal human sera calibrated for all Ig isotypes (Binding Site). The results were calculated using a DeltaSoft III computer program (BioMetallics). Urinary IgA-IgG immune complexes were measured using cross-capture ELISA (52).

**Myeloma proteins.** The IgA1 myeloma proteins that were isolated from plasma of patients with multiple myeloma are listed in Supplemental Table 1 together with their molecular characteristics (31). In brief, plasma samples were precipitated with ammonium sulfate (50% saturation). The precipitate was then dissolved in and dialyzed against 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) prior to fractionation by ion-exchange chromatography on DEAE-cellulose, followed by affinity chromatography using Jacalin-agarose to capture IgA1 (Sigma-Aldrich) (21). The final purification step was size-exclusion chromatography on columns of Sephadex G-200 or Ultrogel AcA 22 (Amersham Biosciences). As the IgA1 myeloma proteins can be contaminated with IgG, the purified protein was subjected to affinity chromatography using staphylococcal protein G immobilized on agarose (Sigma-Aldrich). The purity of the IgA1 preparations was assessed by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting using an IgA1-specific monoclonal antibody (21). The molecular form of the IgA1 proteins was assessed by size-exclusion chromatography, SDS-PAGE under nonreducing conditions, and Western blots developed with anti-IgA antibody.

**ELISA characterization of antigen-specific IgG antibodies.** The binding of serum IgG from IgAN patients and healthy controls, as well as IgG secreted by EBV-immortalized cells from the same subjects, was analyzed by ELISA using a panel of antigens: dd-IgA1, Fab-IgA1 generated using an IgA1-specific protease from Haemophilus influenzae HK50, HR-BSA, and HR-GalNac-BSA. HR-GalNac was synthesized by Bachem (asterisks mark the sites with GalNAc): V-P-S-T-P-P-*T-P-*S-P-*S-T-P-P-T-P-S-P-S-C-NH₂. The hinge-region peptide was the same peptide but with no GalNAc. Both preparations were cross-linked to BSA.

For ELISA, flat-bottom 96-well plates (MaxiSorp; Nunc) were coated with 1 μg/ml solution of the above-mentioned antigens. Serum or culture supernatant samples diluted in PBS were added to each well. The amount of total IgG used for the analyses was normalized in all samples. The captured IgG were detected with a biotin-labeled F(ab')2 fragment of goat IgG anti-human IgG antibody (BioSource; Invitrogen). Avidin–horseradish peroxidase conjugate (ExtrAvidin; Sigma-Aldrich) was then added, and the reaction was developed as described before (19).
Serum and culture supernatants were separated by SDS-PAGE under reducing conditions using 4%–20% gradient slab gels (Bio-Rad). The amounts of protein loaded were adjusted to achieve equivalent amounts of IgA protein in each lane. The gels were blotted onto PVDF membranes and incubated with antibody specific for IgA heavy chains (Vector Laboratories) or a biotin-labeled HAA lectin. HAA reacts with terminal GalNAc but not with sialylated GalNAc or GalNAc-Gal disaccharide. Gal-deficient IgA1 myeloma proteins (Mce or Ale poly), after separation by SDS-PAGE under reducing conditions and electroblotting onto PVDF membranes, served as antigens for analysis of glycan-specific IgG. The bound IgG was detected with IgG-specific antibody, and the visualization of positive bands was accomplished by subsequent incubation of the membrane with avidin-peroxidase conjugate, followed by enhanced chemiluminescence detection (Pierce; Thermo Scientific) (30–32).

**HAA inhibition.** To inhibit IgG binding to Gal-deficient IgA1 (Mce) myeloma protein or HR-GalNAc-BSA, 20 μg/ml unlabeled HAA was applied to PVDF membrane after electrol blotting of IgA1 or to the wells of ELISA plates after coating with IgA1 protein.

**Immune-complex formation in vitro.** IgG was isolated from cell-culture supernatants of the IgG-secreting cell lines derived from patients with IgAN and healthy controls by protein G affinity chromatography (GE Healthcare). These cell lines were subcloned by limiting dilution, and clones secreting glycan-specific IgG (binding to Gal-deficient IgA1) were selected. Immune complexes were formed in vitro by mixing 50 μg Gal-deficient IgA1 (Ale mono) and 50 μg purified glycan-specific IgG and incubating the mixture overnight at 4°C. The formed complexes were fractionated by HPLC on a calibrated TSK 3000 column ( Tosoh Bioscience), and 0.25 ml fractions were analyzed for IgA1-IgG immune complexes using cross-capture ELISA (25).

**Cloning of IgH, Igκ, and Igλ genes.** Single-cell reverse-transcription PCR was used to amplify the V(D)J regions for IgH, Igκ, and Igλ genes (33). Reverse transcription and first-round PCR were performed with OneStep RT-PCR Kit (Qiagen) under these conditions: 50°C, 30 minutes; 94°C, 15 minutes; 94°C, 20 seconds; 55°C, 30 seconds; 72°C, 1 minute for 50 cycles; 72°C, 10 minutes; and stop at 4°C. Second-round PCR was performed with Taq DNA Polymerase (Invitrogen) under these conditions: 94°C, 3 minutes; 94°C, 20 seconds; 57°C (Igκ/Igλ) or 60°C (Igκ), 30 seconds; 72°C, 45 seconds for 50 cycles; 72°C, 5 minutes; and stop at 4°C. One microliter of cDNA from first-round PCR was used as the template for the second-round PCR. The average single-cell RT-PCR efficiency was 38.4%. Positive PCR products were purified (QiAquick; Qiagen) and sequenced. The resultant Ig gene sequences were analyzed with the IgBLAST program to determine the potential VH, Dλ1, and JH germine gene usage and mutation analysis (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/igblast/). Restriction enzyme digestion sites were introduced in the second cycle of single-cell RT-PCR. Digested IgH, Igκ, and Igλ PCR products were purified using QiAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen) and directly cloned into specific expression vectors containing human Igκ1, Igκ, or Igλ constant regions. Plasmids were sequenced to confirm clones with inserts identical to that of the original PCR products. The pl values and CDR3 junction analysis were determined by IMGT/V-QUEST (http://www.imgt.org/IMGT_vquest/vquest). The corresponding DNA sequences were deposited to GenBank (accession numbers FJ746335–FJ746360).

**VH CDR3 site-specific mutagenesis.** Site-directed mutagenesis was performed by 2-step PCR to generate amplicons with mutated (IgAN patient 1123) or unmutated (healthy control 9017) Vλ genes (34). Primers used in PCR reverted the substitution (S to A) in the IgAN clone or mutated (A to S) the sequence in the clone from the healthy control (Supplemental Table 3). The first PCR (PCR1) forward primer was Vλ specific and contained an AgeI restriction site. The PCR2 reverse primer was JH specific and contained the SalI restriction site. PCR products 1 and 2 were hybridized via the homologous region in the subsequence over the PCR using the same 5’-AgeI Vλ-specific forward primer and the 3’ SalI JH-specific reverse primer and generated the complete VDJ sequence with desired mutations. Corresponding clones were sequenced and cloned into the IgG expression vector for production of rIgG.

**rIgG antibody production.** Human embryonic kidney cells (293H) were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS (Ultra Low Bovine Ig content; Gibco, Invitrogen) and cotransfected with 10 μg plasmid DNA constructs encoding IgH and IgL chains by polyethyleneimine (Sigma-Aldrich) precipitation. After 16-hour transfection, the cell-culture medium was replaced with fresh medium. Supernatants with secreted IgG were collected after 7 days.

**Fab purification of rIgG.** The Fab fragment of rIgG from an IgAN patient was purified using the Pierce Fab Preparation Kit (Thermo Scientific).

**Dot-blot analysis.** Gal-deficient IgA1 (Ale poly; 0.5 μg per well) was placed into the wells of a 96-well plate with PVDF membrane (MultiScreenHTS, IP Filer Plate; Millipore) and blocked with SuperBlock (Pierce; Thermo Scientific). Serum or cell-culture supernatants (normalized to 0.5 μg IgG in each sample) were added and incubated overnight at 4°C. As a positive control, 0.5 μg of rIgG from an IgAN patient was used. The binding was detected with IgG-specific antibody, followed by subsequent incubation of the membrane with avidin-peroxidase conjugate, and the reaction was visualized using enhanced chemiluminescence (Pierce; Thermo Scientific), as described above for Western blotting. Results were evaluated densitometrically. The intensity of rIgG binding to Gal-deficient IgA1 was assigned a value of 100.

**Statistics.** Correlations between different parameters were analyzed by 2-tailed Student’s t test or by regression analysis. ANOVA was used to determine differences in the characteristics among multiple groups. Non-parametric methods, such as Spearman’s rank correlation and Wilcoxon’s rank-sum test were used for the correlation and 2-sample comparisons, respectively. Data were expressed as mean ± SD or median values. P < 0.05 was considered significant. These statistical analyses were performed with StatView 5.0 software (Abacus Concepts). The ROC for Gal-deficient IgA1-specific IgG levels in patients and controls was constructed using GraphPad Prism, version 4.00 for Windows (GraphPad Software).
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