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Metformin is widely used to treat hyperglycemia in individuals with type 2 diabetes. Recently the LKB1/AMPK-activated protein kinase (LKB1/AMPK) pathway was proposed to mediate the action of metformin on hepatic gluconeogenesis. However, the molecular mechanism by which this pathway operates had remained elusive. Surprisingly, here we have found that in mice lacking AMPK in the liver, blood glucose levels were comparable to those in wild-type mice, and the hypoglycemic effect of metformin was maintained. Hepatocytes lacking AMPK displayed normal glucose production and gluconeogenic gene expression compared with wild-type hepatocytes. In contrast, gluconeogenesis was upregulated in LKB1-deficient hepatocytes. Metformin decreased expression of the gene encoding the catalytic subunit of glucose-6-phosphatase (G6Pase), while cytosolic phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (Pepck) gene expression was unaffected in wild-type, AMPK-deficient, and LKB1-deficient hepatocytes. Surprisingly, metformin-induced inhibition of glucose production was amplified in both AMPK- and LKB1-deficient compared with wild-type hepatocytes. This inhibition correlated in a dose-dependent manner with a reduction in intracellular ATP content, which is crucial for glucose production. Moreover, metformin-induced inhibition of glucose production was preserved under forced expression of gluconeogenic genes through PPARγ coactivator 1c (PGC-1c) overexpression, indicating that metformin suppresses gluconeogenesis via a transcription-independent process. In conclusion, we demonstrate that metformin inhibits hepatic gluconeogenesis in an LKB1- and AMPK-independent manner via a decrease in hepatic energy state.

Introduction
Type 2 diabetes is a progressive metabolic disorder with diverse pathological manifestations and is often associated with abnormal lipid and glucose metabolism. Metformin is currently the drug of first choice for the treatment of type 2 diabetes, being prescribed to at least 120 million people worldwide. As demonstrated in large prospective controlled clinical trials, metformin improves glycemic control and reduces cardiovascular mortality in overweight type 2 diabetic patients (1) and is also used to prevent type 2 diabetes (2). However, the molecular mechanisms of metformin action are not well understood. It was initially suggested that one of the key actions of metformin was to stimulate muscle glucose uptake (3). Recently, a growing body of evidence from clinical studies and animal models suggests that the primary function of metformin is to decrease hepatic glucose production, mainly by inhibiting gluconeogenesis (4–6). This preferential action of metformin in hepatocytes is due to the predominant expression of organic cation transporter 1 (OCT1), which has been shown to facilitate cellular uptake of metformin. Consistent with this notion, deletion of the Oct1 gene in mouse dramatically reduces metformin uptake in hepatocytes, and humans carrying reduced function polymorphisms of the OCT1 gene display an impaired effect of metformin in lowering blood glucose levels (7).

Although the molecular target of metformin was elusive for several years, Zhou et al. demonstrated in 2001 that metformin treatment activates the energy sensor AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) in rat primary hepatocytes (8), and thereafter numerous groups also showed that metformin treatment stimulates AMPK in tissues in both humans and rodents (9, 10). AMPK is a phylogenetically conserved serine/threonine protein kinase composed of a catalytic α-subunit and two regulatory subunits, β and γ. In mammals, each AMPK subunit appears in multiple isoforms (α1, α2, β1, β2, γ1, γ2, and γ3) that differ in tissue and subcellular localization, suggesting different roles (11). AMPK has been identified as a key regulator of cellular energy status and plays a crucial role in protecting cellular function under energy-restricted conditions in the liver (12). Thus, AMPK is activated in response to a variety of metabolic stresses that typically change the cellular AMP/ATP ratio caused by increasing ATP consumption or reducing ATP production, as seen following hypoxia, glucose deprivation, and inhibition of mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation. AMPK activation requires phosphorylation on Thr172 within the activation loop of the catalytic α-subunit by upstream kinases, identified as the tumor suppressor serine/threonine kinase 11 (STK11/LKB1) (13–15) and CaMKKβ (16, 17), which is further stimulated by the allosteric activator AMP (11). Activated AMPK switches cells from an anabolic to a catabolic state, shutting down the ATP-consumption synthetic pathways and restoring energy balance.
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The glucose-lowering effect of metformin has been mainly attributed to its ability to suppress hepatic glucose overproduction through the signaling pathway downstream of LKB1 (10). The LKB1 pathway has been reported to regulate the phosphorylation and nuclear exclusion of CREB-regulated transcription coactivator 2 (CRTC2, also referred to as TORC2) (10). CRTC2 has been identified as a pivotal regulator of hepatic glucose output in response to fasting by directing transcriptional activation of the gluconeogenic program (18). Under fasting conditions, CRTC2 is dephosphorylated and transported to the nucleus, where it enhances the transcriptional activation of the gluconeogenic genes. This transcriptional coactivator mediates CREB-dependent transcription of PPARγ coactivator–1α (PGC-1α) and its subsequent gluconeogenic target genes, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (Pepck) and glucose-6-phosphatase (G6Pase). Phosphorylation on the Ser171 residue of CRTC2 by AMPK and AMPK-related kinases, including the salt-inducible kinases (SIKs), is critical for determining the activity, cellular localization, and degradation of CRTC2 (18–20). However, identification of a second regulatory phosphorylation site (Ser275) on CRTC2, mediated by the AMPK-related protein kinase MAP/microtubule affinity-regulating kinase 2 (MARK2), suggests that multiple signaling pathways converge to control CRTC2 activity (21). In addition, recent findings indicate that the regulation of gluconeogenic gene expression by metformin is dependent on the phosphorylation of CREB-binding protein (CBP), but not CRTC2, through atypical PKCθ/λ (22), suggesting the complexity of the mechanism of metformin action.

Since metformin stimulates the activity of AMPK (8) via an LKB1-dependent mechanism, but not AMPK-related kinases in cells (23, 24), we hypothesized that the ability of metformin to suppress hepatic glucose production is mainly mediated via AMPK. To test this, we employed hepatocytes lacking either AMPKα1α2 catalytic isoforms or LKB1. Here, we report that metformin inhibits its hepatic glucose production through a mechanism linked to perturbation of intracellular ATP levels rather than direct inhibition of gluconeogenic gene expression. Furthermore, we provide genetic evidence that neither AMPK nor LKB1 is essential for metformin inhibition of hepatic glucose production.

Results
Metformin suppresses hepatic glucose production in the absence of AMPK catalytic subunits. Type 2 diabetic patients on metformin treatment (20 mg/kg) display plasma concentrations of metformin from 10 μM to 40 μM (25). However, it should be noted that the liver receives the majority of its blood via the portal vein, which may contain concentrations of metformin substantially higher than those present in the general circulation (26). In addition, due to the high expression of the carionic transporter OCT1 in the liver (7), metformin accumulates to significantly higher levels in hepatocytes than in plasma (27). Metformin liver concentration of greater than 180 μM and 250 μM in normal and diabetic rodents, respectively, can be achieved after a dose of 50 mg/kg (26). To study the effect of metformin on gluconeogenesis in primary culture of hepatocytes, we chose metformin concentrations between 250 μM and 1 mM, which are probably more related to the range of intrahepatic metformin concentrations than those observed in plasma. We found that metformin inhibited cumulative glucose production stimulated by the cAMP analog dibutyryl-cAMP (Bt-cAMP) in mouse primary hepatocytes (Figure 1A), consistent with previous findings (8).

Interestingly, the ability of metformin to suppress Bt-cAMP-stimulated glucose production was maintained in primary hepatocytes lacking both AMPKα1 and α2 catalytic subunits (AMPKα1α2-null hepatocytes) regardless of the gluconeogenic substrates used (lactate/pyruvate or dihydroxyacetone) (Figure 1A and Supplemental Figure 1; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI40671DS1). Furthermore, the inhibitory effect of metformin on both basal and cAMP-stimulated glucose production was observed as early as 4 hours after treatment in control and AMPKα1α2-null hepatocytes (Supplemental Figure 2). Activation of the PKA signaling pathway by Bt-cAMP was not impaired in the absence of AMPK or by metformin treatment, as demonstrated by the similarity of phospho-PKA substrate profiles in AMPK-deficient compared with control hepatocytes (Supplemental Figure 3). Of note, a high dose of metformin (>1 mM) slightly affected PKA signaling due to an adverse effect on hepatocytes (see below). Although basal glucose production in AMPKα1α2-null hepatocytes tended to be lower compared with that in control hepatocytes (the difference was not statistically significant), Bt-cAMP–stimulated glucose production was increased to levels similar to those in the controls (Figure 1A and Supplemental Figures 1 and 2). However, it should be noted that the inhibitory action of metformin on hepatic glucose production was much more pronounced in AMPK-deficient hepatocytes, even at the lower doses (Figure 1A and Supplemental Figures 1 and 2).

We then examined whether treatment of primary mouse hepatocytes with metformin increased AMPK phosphorylation at Thr172 and its downstream target acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC) at Ser79 in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 1B). We observed that metformin treatment resulted in a slower mobility of total AMPKα1α2-null hepatocytes on SDS-PAGE gels, which was consistent with increased Thr172 phosphorylation (Figure 1B). Moreover, PKA activation by Bt-cAMP did not modify AMPK phosphorylation in hepatocytes (Figure 1B) unlike adipocytes (28). In AMPKα1α2-null hepatocytes, expression of AMPKα1α2 was not detectable, and ACC phosphorylation was completely abolished with or without metformin, which was not due to changes in total ACC protein levels (Figure 1B).

We examined the key signaling step involved in the inhibition of glucose production by metformin by monitoring the phosphorylation of the transcriptional coactivator CRTC2, a key mediator controlling gluconeogenesis in the liver in response to fasting (18). Exposure of cultured primary hepatocytes to Bt-cAMP triggered CRTC2 dephosphorylation, as judged by a faster electrophoretic mobility of total CRTC2 protein on SDS-PAGE, in both wild-type and AMPK-deficient hepatocytes (Figure 1B). Addition of increasing concentrations of metformin resulted in a slower migration of CRTC2, indicating enhanced phosphorylation (Figure 1B). The phosphorylation of CRTC2 was lost in AMPKα1α2-null hepatocytes, demonstrating a role for AMPK in CRTC2 phosphorylation in response to metformin. However, despite a lack of AMPK-induced CRTC2 phosphorylation in AMPKα1α2-null hepatocytes, metformin robustly inhibited glucose production (Figure 1A). These data indicate that metformin inhibits hepatic glucose production via AMPK- and CRTC2-independent mechanisms.

We measured the expression of the genes encoding the transcriptional coactivator PGC-1α and key gluconeogenic enzymes including PPCK and G6Pase to examine whether metformin modulates the gluconeogenic program in hepatocytes lacking AMPK. Under basal conditions, expression of PGC-1α, G6Pase, and PPCK was similar in AMPK-deficient and control hepatocytes (Figure 1C), consistent with normal glucose production in AMPKα1α2-null hepatocytes.
Bt$_2$-cAMP increased the expression of these genes to similar levels in both AMPK$\alpha_1\alpha_2$-null and control hepatocytes (Figure 1C). Following metformin treatment, expression of gene encoding PGC-1$\alpha$ was increased more in control hepatocytes than in AMPK$\alpha_1\alpha_2$-null hepatocytes, indicating that Pgc-1$\alpha$ gene expression is controlled by an AMPK-dependent mechanism (Figure 1C).

Metformin had differential effects on the expression of the genes encoding the gluconeogenic enzymes G6Pase and PEPCK, although it suppressed glucose production. While metformin inhibited Bt$_2$-cAMP–stimulated G6Pase gene expression in a concentration-dependent manner, it had only a marginal effect on Pepck gene expression, even at the highest concentration of metformin (Figure 1C). Similar gene expression patterns for G6Pase and Pepck were observed in AMPK$\alpha_1\alpha_2$-null hepatocytes treated with metformin (Figure 1C). Changes in PEPCK and G6Pase protein levels were not consistent with the gene transcription data. In control hepatocytes, the amount of G6Pase was increased by Bt$_2$-cAMP and remained constant despite increasing metformin concentrations (Figure 1B) and severe G6Pase gene repression (Figure 1C). In AMPK$\alpha_1\alpha_2$-null hepatocytes, G6Pase protein levels were lower than those in wild-type hepatocytes (Figure 1A).
type hepatocytes and were unaffected by Bt-cAMP or metformin treatment (Figure 1B). In contrast to unaltered Pepek gene transcription, PEPCK protein levels were markedly decreased at all doses of metformin in WT hepatocytes, and a complete loss of PEPCK protein was observed in AMPKα1α2-null hepatocytes (Figure 1B).

Metformin lowers blood glucose levels in liver AMPK-deficient mice. The principal mechanism by which metformin lowers blood glucose levels in vivo is the suppression of hepatic glucose production (6). Therefore, to investigate the role of AMPK in metformin action, we used mice in which both AMPK catalytic subunits had been deleted in the liver (AMPKα1α2LS−/− mice; ref. 29). Expression of AMPKα1α2 proteins was undetectable in livers of AMPKα1α2LS−/− mice (Figure 2A). Fed and fasting blood glucose levels were similar in AMPKα1α2LS−/− and control mice, as were plasma insulin levels (Figure 2, B and C), suggesting normal regulation of glucose homeostasis in these mice. We then determined the role of AMPK in modulating gluconeogenesis in vivo by examining blood glucose levels in mice following intraperitoneal injection of pyruvate, a major gluconeogenic substrate. Blood glucose concentrations increased in both AMPKα1α2LS−/− and control mice, although the differences were not significant (Figure 2D). These results indicate that AMPKα1α2LS−/− mice are as capable of converting pyruvate to glucose as normal mice, as suggested by comparable levels of the key gluconeogenic enzyme PEPCK in both groups of mice (Figure 2A). Moreover, AMPKα1α2LS−/− and control mice displayed normal responses to insulin during insulin tolerance tests, indicating unaffected hepatic insulin sensitivity in AMPKα1α2LS−/− mice (Figure 2E). During oral glucose tolerance tests, blood glucose excursion was markedly increased in AMPKα1α2LS−/− and control mice (Figure 2, F and G), with equivalent increases in plasma insulin levels 20 minutes after glucose load (0.96 ± 0.29 ng/ml insulin in AMPKα1α2LS−/− mice versus 0.97 ± 0.24 ng/ml insulin in WT mice; n = 6–10). Next, to investigate metformin action in vivo, we examined control and liver AMPK–deficient mice after intragastric administration of increasing doses of metformin (50, 150, and 300 mg/kg) and glucose. These relatively high doses of metformin are necessary in rodents to reach plasma metformin concentrations similar to those found in humans and to produce a therapeutic effect in diabetic animals (30). Oral administration of metformin caused a significant reduction in blood glucose excursion in a dose-dependent manner in control (Figure 2F) but also in AMPKα1α2LS−/− mice (Figure 2G).

Plasma insulin levels 20 minutes after glucose load were similar in animals treated with 300 mg/kg metformin (0.63 ± 0.13 ng/ml insulin in AMPKα1α2LS−/− mice versus 0.72 ± 0.32 ng/ml insulin in WT mice). Therefore, to investigate the role of AMPK in metformin action, differences were not significant (Figure 2D). These results indicate that the principal mechanism by which metformin lowers blood glucose levels was markedly increased in AMPKα1α2LS−/− mice. Data are mean ± SEM (n = 5–6). (D) Pyruvate tolerance tests (2 g/kg) in control and AMPKα1α2LS−/− mice were used to assess hepatic gluconeogenesis. n = 6–7. (E) Insulin tolerance tests (0.25 U/kg) in control and AMPKα1α2LS−/− mice. n = 6–9. Metformin tolerance tests in control (F) and AMPKα1α2LS−/− (G) mice. Mice were given an oral gavage dose of 50, 150, or 300 mg/kg metformin or vehicle and after 30 minutes challenged with an oral administration of glucose (3 g/kg body weight).
mice; n = 4–5). The improvement in glucose tolerance by metformin treatment was similar in AMPKα1α2LS–/– and control mice, indicating equivalent sensitivity to metformin. These results are consistent with the data from primary hepatocyte culture and further support the notion that metformin action on hepatic glucose production is AMPK independent.

Control of hepatic gluconeogenesis by AICAR and A-769662 in AMPK-deficient hepatocytes. We further explored the molecular mechanisms by which AMPK activators regulate gluconeogenesis by stimulating AMPKα1α2-null and control hepatocytes with Bt2-cAMP in the presence or absence of increasing amounts of AICAR (5-aminimidazole-4-carboxamide-1-β-D-ribofuranoside) — a cell-permeable compound that is phosphorylated to AICAR monophosphate (ZMP), a cellular mimic of AMP (31) — and a small-molecule thienopyridone, A-769662, that directly activates AMPK via an AMP-independent mechanism (32–35). Treatment with AICAR strongly inhibited glucose production in both AMPKα1α2-null and control hepatocytes (Figure 3A). In contrast, treatment with A-769662 did not change CRTC2 phosphorylation status (Figure 4B). This may be due to selective action of the compound on specific AMPK heterotrimers, as recently reported (35). Consistent with the above results on glucose production (Figure 3A and Figure 4A), AICAR inhibited Bt2-cAMP–stimulated expression of genes encoding PGC-1α, G6Pase, and PEPCK (Figure 3C) whereas A-769662 had no effect on gluconeogenic gene expression (Figure 4C).

Although A-769662 stimulated phosphorylation of ACC at levels equivalent to AICAR, phosphorylation of AMPK was fairly modest (Figure 4B). It was therefore not clear whether AMPK Thr172 phosphorylation was required for A-769662 action. To address this, we monitored ACC phosphorylation in hepatocytes lacking LKB1, the upstream kinase involved in the phosphorylation of AMPK1α2-null and control hepatocytes probably reflects non-specific effects caused by this compound at concentrations higher than 100 μM (M. Foretz, unpublished observations, and ref. 36). Treatment with AICAR induced a dose-dependent increase in the phosphorylation of AMPK and its downstream targets ACC and CRTC2 in control hepatocytes, whereas phosphorylation of these enzymes was completely abolished in AMPKα1α2-null hepatocytes (Figure 3B). In contrast, treatment with A-769662 did not change CRTC2 phosphorylation status (Figure 4B). It was therefore not clear whether AMPK Thr172 phosphorylation was required for A-769662 action. To address this, we monitored ACC phosphorylation in hepatocytes lacking LKB1, the upstream kinase involved in the phosphorylation of AMPK1α2-null and control hepatocytes probably reflects non-specific effects caused by this compound at concentrations higher than 100 μM (M. Foretz, unpublished observations, and ref. 36). Treatment with AICAR induced a dose-dependent increase in the phosphorylation of AMPK and its downstream targets ACC and CRTC2 in control hepatocytes, whereas phosphorylation of these enzymes was completely abolished in AMPKα1α2-null hepatocytes (Figure 3B). In contrast, treatment with A-769662 did not change CRTC2 phosphorylation status (Figure 4B). It was therefore not clear whether AMPK Thr172 phosphorylation was required for A-769662 action. To address this, we monitored ACC phosphorylation in hepatocytes lacking LKB1, the upstream kinase involved in the phosphorylation of AMPK1α2-null and control hepatocytes probably reflects non-specific effects caused by this compound at concentrations higher than 100 μM (M. Foretz, unpublished observations, and ref. 36). Treatment with AICAR induced a dose-dependent increase in the phosphorylation of AMPK and its downstream targets ACC and CRTC2 in control hepatocytes, whereas phosphorylation of these enzymes was completely abolished in AMPKα1α2-null hepatocytes (Figure 3B). In contrast, treatment with A-769662 did not change CRTC2 phosphorylation status (Figure 4B). It was therefore not clear whether AMPK Thr172 phosphorylation was required for A-769662 action. To address this, we monitored ACC phosphorylation in hepatocytes lacking LKB1, the upstream kinase involved in the phosphorylation of AMPK1α2-null and control hepatocytes probably reflects non-specific effects caused by this compound at concentrations higher than 100 μM (M. Foretz, unpublished observations, and ref. 36). Treatment with AICAR induced a dose-dependent increase in the phosphorylation of AMPK and its downstream targets ACC and CRTC2 in control hepatocytes, whereas phosphorylation of these enzymes was completely abolished in AMPKα1α2-null hepatocytes (Figure 3B). In contrast, treatment with A-769662 did not change CRTC2 phosphorylation status (Figure 4B). It was therefore not clear whether AMPK Thr172 phosphorylation was required for A-769662 action. To address this, we monitored ACC phosphorylation in hepatocytes lacking LKB1, the upstream kinase involved in the phosphorylation of AMPK1α2-null and control hepatocytes probably reflects non-specific effects caused by this compound at concentrations higher than 100 μM (M. Foretz, unpublished observations, and ref. 36). Treatment with AICAR induced a dose-dependent increase in the phosphorylation of AMPK and its downstream targets ACC and CRTC2 in control hepatocytes, whereas phosphorylation of these enzymes was completely abolished in AMPKα1α2-null hepatocytes (Figure 3B). In contrast, treatment with A-769662 did not change CRTC2 phosphorylation status (Figure 4B). It was therefore not clear whether AMPK Thr172 phosphorylation was required for A-769662 action. To address this, we monitored ACC phosphorylation in hepatocytes lacking LKB1, the upstream kinase involved in the phosphorylation of AMPK1α2-null and control hepatocytes probably reflects non-specific effects caused by this compound at concentrations higher than 100 μM (M. Foretz, unpublished observations, and ref. 36). Treatment with AICAR induced a dose-dependent increase in the phosphorylation of AMPK and its downstream targets ACC and CRTC2 in control hepatocytes, whereas phosphorylation of these enzymes was completely abolished in AMPKα1α2-null hepatocytes (Figure 3B). In contrast, treatment with A-769662 did not change CRTC2 phosphorylation status (Figure 4B). It was therefore not clear whether AMPK Thr172 phosphorylation was required for A-769662 action. To address this, we monitored ACC phosphorylation in hepatocytes lacking LKB1, the upstream kinase involved in the phosphorylation of AMPK1α2-null and control hepatocytes probably reflects non-specific effects caused by this compound at concentrations higher than 100 μM (M. Foretz, unpublished observations, and ref. 36). Treatment with AICAR induced a dose-dependent increase in the phosphorylation of AMPK and its downstream targets ACC and CRTC2 in control hepatocytes, whereas phosphorylation of these enzymes was completely abolished in AMPKα1α2-null hepatocytes (Figure 3B). In contrast, treatment with A-769662 did not change CRTC2 phosphorylation status (Figure 4B). It was therefore not clear whether AMPK Thr172 phosphorylation was required for A-769662 action. To address this, we monitored ACC phosphorylation in hepatocytes lacking LKB1, the upstream kinase involved in the phosphorylation of AMPK1α2-null and control hepatocytes probably reflects non-specific effects caused by this compound at concentrations higher than 100 μM (M. Foretz, unpublished observations, and ref. 36). Treatment with AICAR induced a dose-dependent increase in the phosphorylation of AMPK and its downstream targets ACC and CRTC2 in control hepatocytes, whereas phosphorylation of these enzymes was completely abolished in AMPKα1α2-null hepatocytes (Figure 3B). In contrast, treatment with A-769662 did not change CRTC2 phosphorylation status (Figure 4B). It was therefore not clear whether AMPK Thr172 phosphorylation was required for A-769662 action. To address this, we monitored ACC phosphorylation in hepatocytes lacking LKB1, the upstream kinase involved in the phosphorylation of AMPK1α2-null and control hepatocytes probably reflects non-specific effects caused by this compound at concentrations higher than 100 μM (M. Foretz, unpublished observations, and ref. 36). Treatment with AICAR induced a dose-dependent increase in the phosphorylation of AMPK and its downstream targets ACC and CRTC2 in control hepatocytes, whereas phosphorylation of these enzymes was completely abolished in AMPKα1α2-null hepatocytes (Figure 3B). In contrast, treatment with A-769662 did not change CRTC2 phosphorylation status (Figure 4B). It was therefore not clear whether AMPK Thr172 phosphorylation was required for A-769662 action. To address this, we monitored ACC phosphorylation in hepatocytes lacking LKB1, the upstream kinase involved in the phosphorylation of AMPK1α2-null and control hepatocytes probably reflects non-specific effects caused by this compound at concentrations higher than 100 μM (M. Foretz, unpublished observations, and ref. 36). Treatment with AICAR induced a dose-dependent increase in the phosphorylation of AMPK and its downstream targets ACC and CRTC2 in control hepatocytes, whereas phosphorylation of these enzymes was completely abolished in AMPKα1α2-null hepatocytes (Figure 3B). In contrast, treatment with A-769662 did not change CRTC2 phosphorylation status (Figure 4B). It was therefore not clear whether AMPK Thr172 phosphorylation was required for A-769662 action. To address this, we monitored ACC phosphorylation in hepatocytes lacking LKB1, the upstream kinase involved in the phosphorylation of AMPK1α2-null and control hepatocytes probably reflects non-specific effects caused by this compound at concentrations higher than 100 μM (M. Foretz, unpublished observations, and ref. 36). Treatment with AICAR induced a dose-dependent increase in the phosphorylation of AMPK and its downstream targets ACC and CRTC2 in control hepatocytes, whereas phosphorylation of these enzymes was completely abolished in AMPKα1α2-null hepatocytes (Figure 3B). In contrast, treatment with A-769662 did not change CRTC2 phosphorylation status (Figure 4B). It was therefore not clear whether AMPK Thr172 phosphorylation was required for A-769662 action. To address this, we monitored ACC phosphorylation in hepatocytes lacking LKB1, the upstream kinase involved in the phosphorylation of AMPK1α2-null and control hepatocytes probably reflects non-specific effects caused by this compound at concentrations higher than 100 μM (M. Foretz, unpublished observations, and ref. 36). Treatment with AICAR induced a dose-dependent increase in the phosphorylation of AMPK and its downstream targets ACC and CRTC2 in control hepatocytes, whereas phosphorylation of these enzymes was completely abolished in AMPKα1α2-null hepatocytes (Figure 3B). In contrast, treatment with A-769662 did not change CRTC2 phosphorylation status (Figure 4B). It was therefore not clear whether AMPK Thr172 phosphorylation was required for A-769662 action. To address this, we monitored ACC phosphorylation in hepatocytes lacking LKB1, the upstream kinase involved in the phosphorylation of AMPK1α2-null and control hepatocytes probably reflects non-specific effects caused by this compound at concentrations higher than 100 μM (M. Foretz, unpublished observations, and ref. 36). Treatment with AICAR induced a dose-dependent increase in the phosphorylation of AMPK and its downstream targets ACC and CRTC2 in control hepatocytes, whereas phosphorylation of these enzymes was completely abolished in AMPKα1α2-null hepatocytes (Figure 3B). In contrast, treatment with A-769662 did not change CRTC2 phosphorylation status (Figure 4B). It was therefore not clear whether AMPK Thr172 phosphorylation was required for A-769662 action. To address this, we monitored ACC phosphorylation in hepatocytes lacking LKB1, the upstream kinase involved in the phosphorylation of AMPK1α2-null and control hepatocytes probably reflects non-specific effects caused by this compound at concentrations higher than 100 μM (M. Foretz, unpublished observations, and ref. 36).
of Thr172 within the activation loop of the α catalytic subunit kinase domain. In the absence of LKB1, A-769662 was unable to induce ACC phosphorylation (Supplemental Figure 4), indicating that LKB1-mediated AMPK phosphorylation was necessary for A-769662 action in hepatocytes. Recent studies demonstrated that A-769662 mimics the effects of AMP on the AMPK system, but via an AMP-independent mechanism, suggesting that A-769662 binds to an alternate allosteric site (32–34). We investigated this further by evaluating the additive effect of AICAR and A-769662 on AMPK phosphorylation in hepatocytes. In the presence of a saturating concentration of A-769662 (30 μM), AICAR-induced AMPK phosphorylation was further increased, demonstrating a synergic action of these two compounds on AMPK activation (Supplemental Figure 5), reflecting A-769662 protection against Thr172 dephosphorylation (34). Similarly, AICAR-induced phosphorylation of AMPK downstream targets ACC and CRTC2 was enhanced in the presence of A-769662 (Supplemental Figure 5).

**Metformin affects hepatic energy state.** Gluconeogenesis is an energy-demanding process, consuming 4 ATP and 2 GTP molecules per molecule of glucose produced. It has been demonstrated that metformin inhibits complex I of the mitochondrial respiratory chain in isolated hepatocytes (37, 38), increasing the cellular AMP/ATP ratio, which in turn activates AMPK (29). In order to evaluate the regulatory potential of metformin on hepatic energy charge, we assessed adenine nucleotide content in primary hepatocytes isolated from C57BL/6j mice following treatment with increasing doses of metformin and in the presence or absence of Bt2-cAMP. Stimulation of hepatocytes with Bt2-cAMP increased cellular ATP content (Figure 5A), as previously described (39). ATP levels were decreased by metformin in a concentration-dependent fashion in both basal
Figure 5
Metformin reduces energy state in primary hepatocytes and in liver of C57BL/6J mice. (A–E) After attachment, C57BL/6J mouse primary hepatocytes were cultured for 16 hours in M199 medium containing 100 nM dex. Hepatocytes were then incubated in glucose-free DMEM containing lactate/pyruvate (10:1 mM) and 100 nM dex alone or with 100 μM Bt₂-cAMP and with or without 0.25, 0.5, 1, or 2 mM metformin. After 8 hours, medium was collected for glucose measurement and cells were harvested for measurement of adenine nucleotide content. (A) ATP, ADP, and AMP content in hepatocytes, (B) AMP/ATP ratios, and (C) total adenine nucleotide content are shown for each condition. (D) Glucose production was normalized to protein content and presented as a percentage of glucose produced by hepatocytes incubated in the absence of both Bt₂-cAMP and metformin. (E) Correlation between glucose production and ATP content shown in D and A, respectively. Results are representative of 3 independent experiments. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, §§P < 0.001 compared with hepatocytes incubated in the absence of both Bt₂-cAMP and metformin. †P < 0.05, ††P < 0.01, #P < 0.005, ##P < 0.001 compared with hepatocytes incubated with Bt₂-cAMP alone. (F and G) Ten-week-old C57BL/6J male mice (n = 7 per group) were treated orally with 20 or 50 mg/kg metformin in water or with water alone for 5 consecutive days. On the fifth day, mice were fasted for 24 hours and liver was collected 1 hour after metformin administration for hepatic ATP, ADP, and AMP determination. (F) Total adenine nucleotide content and (G) AMP/ATP ratios are shown for each condition. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.005 compared with vehicle. Data are mean ± SEM.
metformin, leading to a significant increase in the AMP/ATP ratio in both basal and cAMP-stimulated conditions (Figure 2B). However, it should be noted that there was a significant reduction in total adenine nucleotide content for the highest metformin concentrations (Figure 5C), indicating an adverse effect of high doses of metformin on primary hepatocytes. Interestingly, the decrease in intracellular ATP content with metformin treatment (Figure 5A) precisely paralleled the inhibition pattern of metformin on glucose production (Figure 5D). Therefore, a clear relationship ($r^2 = 0.924$) exists between the inhibitory effect of metformin on glucose production and hepatic ATP content (Figure 5E).

To further investigate the effect of metformin on hepatic energy charge in vivo, liver adenine nucleotides were examined in C57BL/6J mice after intragastric administration of low doses of metformin (20 and 50 mg/kg). It has been previously reported that in mice treated with 50 mg/kg metformin, plasma metformin concentrations peaked at 52 and 29 μM in the hepatic portal vein and the inferior vena cava, respectively (26), and are similar to those found therapeutically in humans (25). In response to metformin administration, hepatic ATP, ADP, and AMP levels were decreased, unchanged, and increased, respectively, resulting in a 2- to 3-fold increase in AMP/ATP ratio compared with control mice (Figure 5, F and G). In addition, total adenine nucleotide content in the liver was unchanged at either concentration of metformin (Figure 5F). Metformin administration lowered hepatic energy charge from 0.57 ± 0.01 in vehicle-treated mice to 0.51 ± 0.02 ($P < 0.05$) and 0.46 ± 0.02 ($P < 0.005$) in 20 mg/kg and 50 mg/kg metformin–treated mice, respectively.

Given that metformin treatment is associated with changes in hepatic energy charge, we hypothesized that metformin inhibits hepatic glucose production in both wild-type and AMPK-deficient hepatocytes through the inhibition of ATP production. Stimulation of hepatocytes with Bt2-cAMP increased cellular ATP content in AMPK-deficient hepatocytes to levels similar to those in the controls (Figure 6). Cellular ATP content decreased in a dose-dependent manner when control hepatocytes were incubated with metformin and also AICAR (Figure 6), but the AICAR effect was less pronounced than that with metformin, as previously described (29, 40). Consistent with previous reports, A-769662 treatment resulted in no significant alteration in cellular ATP levels (Figure 6 and ref. 32).
However, at concentrations greater than 100 μM, ATP levels were slightly decreased in both control and AMPK-deficient hepatocytes, probably due to unknown side effects (M. Foretz, unpublished observations, and ref. 36). When AMPK-deficient hepatocytes were treated with metformin or AICAR, ATP levels dropped considerably more than in control hepatocytes (Figure 6), indicating the crucial role of AMPK in restoring the intracellular energy balance following reduction in ATP levels. Together, these results suggest that the effect of metformin on hepatocytes is linked to changes in intracellular ATP content.

**Figure 8**
Metformin inhibits gluconeogenesis in LKB1-deficient mouse hepatocytes. After attachment, WT and LKB1-deficient primary hepatocytes were cultured for 16 hours in M199 medium containing 100 nM dex. Hepatocytes were then incubated in glucose-free DMEM containing lactate/pyruvate (10:1 mM) and 100 nM dex alone or with 100 μM Bt₂-cAMP and with or without 0.25, 0.5, 1, or 2 mM metformin. After 8 hours, medium was collected for glucose measurement and cells were harvested for ATP content assessment and gluconeogenic gene expression analysis. (A) Glucose production was normalized to protein content and expressed as a percentage of glucose produced by WT hepatocytes incubated in the absence of both Bt₂-cAMP and metformin. Results are representative of 3 independent experiments. (B) Relative mRNA levels of Pgc-1α, Pepck, and G6Pase expressed as fold activation relative to levels in WT hepatocytes incubated in the absence of both Bt₂-cAMP and metformin. Results are representative of 3 independent experiments. (C) ATP intracellular content normalized to protein content and expressed as a percentage of WT hepatocyte ATP content incubated in the absence of both Bt₂-cAMP and metformin. Results are representative of 4 independent experiments. Data are mean ± SEM. §P < 0.01, †P < 0.01 compared with WT and AMPK-KO hepatocytes incubated without Bt₂-cAMP; *P < 0.01, †P < 0.01 compared with WT and Lkb1-KO hepatocytes incubated with Bt₂-cAMP alone; ‡P < 0.05 compared with WT hepatocytes incubated under the same conditions.
encoding PGC-1α, G6Pase, and PEPCk was markedly increased in LKB1-deficient compared with control hepatocytes (Figure 8B). Bt2-cAMP stimulation further increased expression of the gene encoding PGC-1α, but not G6Pase and PEPCk, in LKB1-deficient hepatocytes (Figure 8B). Despite enhanced Pgc-1α gene expression after metformin treatment in LKB1-deficient hepatocytes, expression of the G6Pase gene was inhibited, whereas Pepck mRNA levels remained unaffected (Figure 8B). At the protein level, amounts of G6Pase and PEPCk were considerably increased under basal conditions and were not altered by metformin treatment (Figure 7C). We next examined whether CRTC2 phosphorylation was affected in LKB1-deficient hepatocytes with or without metformin. In contrast to the results obtained with AMPK-deficient hepatocytes, CRTC2 appeared to be predominantly dephosphorylated in basal conditions in LKB1-deficient hepatocytes, consistent with a faster electrophoretic mobility of CRTC2 from LKB1-deficient hepatocytes than that from control hepatocytes (Figure 7C). Metformin failed to induce CRTC2 phosphorylation in the absence of LKB1 (Figure 7C). The CRTC2 protein content was lower in LKB1-deficient hepatocytes than in the control hepatocytes. These data show that deletion of LKB1 enhanced gluconeogenesis in basal conditions, whereas LKB1 was dispensable for the inhibitory effect of metformin on gluconeogenesis.

**Control of hepatic gluconeogenesis by AICAR in the absence of LKB1.** Isolated hepatocytes from liver-specific Lkb1-KO mice were stimulated with Br2-cAMP and incubated with increasing concentrations of AICAR to examine the role of LKB1 in AICAR-induced inhibition of hepatic gluconeogenesis. Stimulation of glucose production was markedly attenuated by AICAR in a dose-dependent manner in both LKB1-deficient and control hepatocytes (Supplemental Figure 6A). We then examined whether LKB1 was involved in the AICAR activation of AMPK by measuring levels of phosphorylated AMPK and ACC. As shown in Supplemental Figure 6B, AICAR-induced phosphorylation of both AMPK and ACC was abolished in the absence of LKB1. Similarly,
CRTC2 phosphorylation was absent in LKB1-deficient hepatocytes treated with AICAR (Supplemental Figure 6B). In LKB1-deficient hepatocytes, Pgc-1α, G6Pase, and Pepck gene expression was decreased by AICAR in a pattern similar to that observed in control hepatocytes (Supplemental Figure 6C). These results indicate that AICAR action on hepatic gluconeogenesis is LKB1 independent.

Metformin suppresses hepatic glucose production without affecting gluconeogenic gene expression. It has been suggested that metformin acts upstream of PGC-1α to inhibit hepatic glucose production by activating AMPK via LKB1 (10, 22). We reasoned that the overexpression of PGC-1α could bypass the inhibitory effect of metformin on hepatic glucose production. To test this hypothesis, we infected wild-type hepatocytes with an adenovirus encoding PGC-1α and measured the effects of metformin on gluconeogenesis. As expected, PGC-1α overexpression led to upregulation of Pepck and G6Pase gene expression (Figure 9A). In addition, overexpression of PGC-1α resulted in an increase in PEPCK and G6Pase protein levels (Figure 9B) and glucose production (Figure 9C). Incubation with increasing concentrations of metformin increased AMPK and ACC phosphorylation in a dose-dependent manner but was unable to repress gluconeogenic gene expression (Figure 9A). Importantly, under these conditions, metformin still inhibited glucose production, indicating that metformin suppresses gluconeogenesis independently of PGC-1α through a transcription-independent process. Again, inhibition of glucose production by metformin was associated with a significant decrease in ATP content in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 9D).

Discussion

Increased hepatic glucose production is a major cause of hyperglycemia in type 2 diabetes. Metformin has been used for decades to improve glycemic control in diabetic patients and is thought to decrease blood glucose levels by reducing hepatic glucose output (4, 5). While metformin is currently the drug of choice for the treatment of type 2 diabetes, the precise mechanisms of its molecular action are not well understood. The most widely accepted mechanism of metformin action is the inhibition of transcription of key gluconeogenic genes in the liver (10, 22). It has been proposed that metformin stimulates CRTC2 phosphorylation in response to metabolic signals such as energy stress through the LKB1-AMPK/SIK1 pathways, which promotes binding to 14-3-3 proteins, thereby sequestering CRTC2 from the nucleus to the cytoplasm (10, 18).

If this is the case, genetic deletion of AMPK or LKB1 would be expected to lead to altered CRTC2 regulation. Under basal condition, the levels of CRTC2 phosphorylation as well as the levels of PEPCK and G6Pase were similar in AMPKα1/α2-null and control hepatocytes. In contrast, in LKB1-deficient hepatocytes, CRTC2 was markedly dephosphorylated, and this was associated with a dramatic increase in the expression of gluconeogenic genes without cAMP stimulation. Lack of CRTC2 phosphorylation by the LKB1-regulated protein kinases SIK1 (20) and MARK2 (21) at distinct regulatory sites could explain the phosphorylation-dependency mobility shift of CRTC2 and the activation of gluconeogenic genes. Thus, our data show LKB1-dependent, but AMPK-independent, phosphorylation and regulation of basal CRTC2 activity in primary hepatocytes. Normal fasted glycemia and glucose tolerance in AMPKα1/α2−/− mice support these data (Figure 2, B, F, and G). This is quite different from results from mice with LKB1-deficient livers, which exhibit hyperglycemia and glucose intolerance (10), indicating the critical role of LKB1-dependent pathway, but not AMPK in the liver in the control of gluconeogenesis.

We demonstrated that the metformin-induced phosphorylation of CRTC2 was abolished in hepatocytes lacking AMPK and LKB1 (Figure 1B and Figure 7C), indicating that upon stimulation, LKB1 and AMPK pathways could both regulate CRTC2 activity. Unexpectedly, in the absence of CRTC2 phosphorylation, hepatic glucose production was still repressed by metformin in both AMPK- and LKB1-deficient hepatocytes. In addition, the repression of G6Pase gene expression in response to metformin treatment was preserved in hepatocytes deleted for AMPK or LKB1 (Figure 1C and Figure 8B). These results suggest that phosphorylation of CRTC2 by AMPK is not required in order to cause the inhibition of G6Pase gene expression by metformin. Thus, alternative pathways are likely to be involved in the control of gluconeogenesis by metformin. In support of this hypothesis, recent data indicated that metformin inhibits gluconeogenesis independent of CRTC2 phosphorylation at Ser171. The importance of CBP phosphorylation in the therapeutic effects of metformin was highlighted (22). The action of metformin was shown to be mediated through activation of aPKCι/λ, which phosphorylates CBP at Ser436, and disrupts the transcriptionally active CREB-CBP-CRTC2 complex, leading to the repression of gluconeogenic gene expression (22). It has been suggested that the CREB-CBP-CRTC2 complex functions as a regulator of gluconeogenesis, and it is therefore reasonable to assume that multiple signaling pathways regulate the cellular activity of this complex.

Our results indicate that metformin may inhibit glucose production independent of transcriptional repression of gluconeogenic genes. Indeed, significant reduction in glucose production occurred at concentrations of metformin of 0.25 mM in control, AMPK-, and LKB1-deficient hepatocytes, while the expression of Pepck and G6Pase genes was not affected (Figure 1, A and C, and Figure 8, A and B). In particular, metformin had no significant effect on Pepck gene expression in control, AMPK-, and LKB1-deficient hepatocytes (Figure 1C and Figure 8B). Similarly, a lack of regulation of Pepck gene expression was recently reported following 1 and 5 days of metformin treatment in diabetic ob/ob mice despite a significant reduction in blood glucose levels (32). However, metformin decreased G6Pase gene expression considerably in control, AMPK-, and LKB1-deficient hepatocytes, but this decrease was not associated with a significant change in G6Pase protein levels (Figure 1B and Figure 7C). This suggests that repression of G6Pase gene expression alone could not explain the inhibitory effect of metformin on glucose production. Moreover, we demonstrated a substantial reduction in glucose production with metformin treatment, despite forced expression of key gluconeogenic genes through PGC-1α overexpression (Figure 9C). These data conflict with the recently reported mechanism of metformin action, which involves the disassembly of the CREB-CBP-CRTC2 transcription complex at Pgc-1α and Pepck promoters (22). Thus, we consider that metformin action is related to a negative action on gluconeogenic flux rather than direct inhibition of gluconeogenic gene expression. The suppression of hepatic glucose production by metformin in insulin-resistant high-fat diet–fed rats is dependent on an inhibition of the substrate flux through G6Pase, and not on a decrease in the amount of enzyme (42), which supports our conclusion.

Metformin inhibits complex I of the respiratory chain in intact cells (37, 38) but does not affect the oxidative phosphorylation machinery downstream of complex I (37). The metformin-induced respiratory inhibition does not involve the formation
of NO, ceramide, and oxygen radicals, or Ca²⁺ homeostasis (37). Although the exact pathway involved in the metformin inhibition of complex I is not well understood, it is thought that inhibition of gluconeogenesis by metformin, in intact animals and in isolated hepatocytes, results from a disruption of energy metabolism (37, 38). Supporting this hypothesis, previous work demonstrated a marked reduction of ATP/ADP ratio in both cytosolic and mitochondrial compartments in rat hepatocytes exposed to metformin (37, 38, 43). Moreover, ATP levels were significantly decreased after treatment of primary hepatocytes with 0.25 mM metformin (Figures 5 and 6), and were substantially equivalent to those present in the liver of metformin-treated mice (26). Of note, at higher concentrations, metformin has adverse effect on hepatocytes, since it significantly decreases total adenine nucleotide pools (Figure 5C).

This adverse effect is reminiscent of an occasional side effect of metformin: the production of lactic acidosis by increased glycolytic activity in diabetic patients, which occurs if inhibition of the respiratory chain by the drug treatment becomes excessive. Indeed, severe lactic acidosis was the reason for withdrawal of the more potent phenformin as a clinical antidiabetic. Metformin-induced changes in the hepatic energy charge also occur in vivo, as demonstrated by the substantial increase in AMP/ATP ratio in the livers of mice after administration of 20 mg/kg metformin, a dose typically used clinically (Figure 5G). In addition, another study reported a decrease in ATP/ADP ratio in the liver of rats treated with metformin (38). This strongly suggests that metformin indirectly stimulates AMPK through a decrease in ATP levels. The fall in ATP was more pronounced in AMPKα1α2-null than in control hepatocytes, resulting in the marked inhibition of glucose production (Figure 1A, Figure 6, and Supplemental Figure 2). This could be related to the decrease in mitochondrial content observed in AMPK-deficient hepatocytes (29), suggesting an apparent alteration of the mitochondrial biogenesis program in the absence of AMPK. This notion was further supported by the observation of AMPK-dependent regulation of Pgc-1α gene expression by metformin (Figure 1, A and C). This may be linked to the critical role of AMPK in cellular adaptation during energy deficiency of various origins, but is probably the result of impaired mitochondrial biogenesis due to a lack of increase in PGC-1α expression and activity (44, 45).

Therefore, we suggest that the fall in ATP concentration is sufficient to explain the inhibition of glucose production by metformin, without alteration in the expression of key enzymes involved in gluconeogenesis. In addition, as ATP and AMP vary reciprocally in the cell due to the reaction catalyzed by adenylate kinase (2ADP ↔ ATP + AMP), which is maintained close to the equilibrium, AMP tends to rise whenever ATP falls (Figure 5, A and F). AMP is a potent allosteric inhibitor of fructose 1,6-bisphosphatase, a key enzyme in gluconeogenesis (32). Therefore, metformin-induced inhibition of glucose output could be attributable to both ATP depletion and a concomitant rise in AMP intracellular levels.

The rate of hepatic glucose production is closely linked to hepatic energy metabolism, and disruption of the principal source of energy in the hepatocytes (mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation) would have a profound effect on the flux through gluconeogenesis (Figure 5E and refs. 46, 47). This is reminiscent of the observed defects in hepatic gluconeogenesis in both Pgc-1α- and Ppara-KO mice (48, 49). For instance, impaired hepatic energy production in the absence of PGC-1α inhibited the energetically costly process of gluconeogenesis and did not correlate with altered expression of key enzymes involved in gluconeogenesis.

We clearly showed that AICAR-induced inhibition of hepatic glucose production and gluconeogenic gene expression was independent of AMPK activity (Figure 3A). Similar results have been reported in studies on hepatocytes derived from the regulatory subunit AMPKβ1-deleted animals (35). Different mechanisms have been proposed to explain the AMPK-independent effect of AICAR on hepatic glucose production. AICAR does not activate AMPK directly and has to be converted to the AMP analog ZMP. Intracellular accumulation of ZMP has been reported to directly modulate fructose 1,6-bisphosphatase and gluconeogenic flux (50). Furthermore, there is evidence that AICAR inhibits mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation and thus causes a decrease in ATP content in primary hepatocytes (29, 40, 51). Unlike AICAR, A-769662 is a direct and potent activator of AMPK (32, 33), that does not cause significant alterations in AMP/ATP ratio in cells or inhibit fructose 1,6-bisphosphatase in cell-free assays (32, 36).

It was therefore surprising that A-769662 did not inhibit glucose production and gluconeogenic gene expression in hepatocytes (Figure 4, B and C). Our results conflict with a previous report showing a blood glucose-lowering effect of A-769662 in obese ob/ob mice (32). However, since A-769662 treatment was shown to dramatically reduce hepatic steatosis in these mice (32), a reduction in hepatic lipid content may have enhanced insulin sensitivity and thus insulin action in the liver, improving the control of hepatic glucose production. This hypothesis may also offer a potential explanation for why in liver-specific Lkb1-KO mice fed a high-fat diet, treatment with metformin failed to induce lowering of blood glucose levels (10). Indeed, in this study, it is difficult to establish whether metformin acts directly on the regulation of gluconeogenesis or indirectly by improving liver steatosis imposed by high-fat diet (thereby reducing lipotoxicity and insulin resistance known to enhance hepatic glucose production). Accordingly, evidence that metformin improved fatty liver disease, reversing hepatic steatosis in ob/ob mice (32, 52) but also in rodents fed a high-fat diet (53), has been reported. Metformin-induced reduction in hepatic lipid content is consistent with an increase in fatty acid oxidation presumably acting through hepatic AMPK activation (32, 54). Thus, impaired metformin-induced AMPK phosphorylation in the absence of LKB1 (10) blunts the improvements in hepatic lipid content and insulin sensitivity in LKB1-deficient mice, impeding the expected normalization of blood glucose levels. Importantly, these observations call into question the action of AMPK in direct control of hepatic gluconeogenesis. In response to prolonged fasting, the liver is the main source of energy substrates for extrahepatic organs to maintain the whole-body energy balance. It produces ketone bodies and glucose by hepatic β-oxidation and gluconeogenesis, respectively. It has been demonstrated that during the fed-starved transition, AMPK is activated in the liver and contributes to the switch between lipogenesis and β-oxidation as a result of its energy sensor function (55). In contrast, AMPK-mediated inhibition of hepatic glucose production during fasting may appear paradoxical when blood glucose levels should be maintained in a narrow range. Indeed, it is intuitively appealing to hypothesize that maintenance of glycemia must take precedence over hepatic energy balance during prolonged fasting. Thus, further study is required to clarify the direct role of AMPK in the liver in regulating hepatic glucose production.

In conclusion, our results provide a molecular insight into the regulation of hepatic glucose production by metformin. Using genetic mouse models, we demonstrate the crucial role for LKB1 but not
AMPK in the control of basal hepatic glucose production. We also provide evidence that metformin inhibits gluconeogenesis through LKB1- and AMPK-independent pathways. The metformin-induced inhibition of glucose production occurs through regulation of the gluconeogenesis flux rather than direct inhibition of gluconeogenic gene expression. Moreover, we show that the control of hepatic glucose production by metformin is linked to the inhibition of gluconeogenesis in response to a decrease of the hepatic energy state. Our data and recent findings (56, 57) suggest that the development of small molecules targeting mitochondrial function in the liver, thus causing a moderate variation in hepatic energy charge, is an attractive therapeutic strategy for the treatment of type 2 diabetes.

Methods

Animals. Mice were maintained under a 12-hour light/12-hour dark cycle with free access to water and standard mouse diet (in terms of energy: 65% carbohydrate, 11% fat, 24% protein). C57BL/6J mice were obtained from Charles River Laboratories France. Mice lacking both AMPKα1 and AMPKα2 catalytic subunits were generated by crossing AMPKα1−/− and liver-specific AMPKα2−/− mice as previously described (29). For hepatic Lkb1 gene deletion, Lkb1−/− mice (41) were anesthetized with isoflurane and were injected with adenoviruses Cre-GFP or adenovirus GFP into the penis vein (5 × 106 PFU/mouse). Littermates from the same breeding pair were used in these experiments. Hepatocytes were isolated and cultured 2 weeks after adenovirus injection. All procedures were performed in accordance with the principles and guidelines established in the European Convention for the Protection of Vertebrate Animals Used for Experimental and Other Scientific Purposes (Council of Europe, ETS no. 123, 1991). Animal studies described herein were reviewed and approved (agreement no. 75-886) by the Directeur Départemental des Services Vétérinaires of the Préfecture de Police de Paris.

Glucose, insulin, metformin, and pyruvate tolerance tests. Oral glucose tolerance tests were performed on age-matched male mice fasted for 16 hours as previously described (58). Blood glucose levels were determined at 0, 20, 40, and 60 minutes after oral administration of glucose (3 g/kg body weight) using a glucometer (Glucotrend II; Roche Diagnostics). For metformin tolerance tests, animals fasted for 16 hours were given an oral gavage dose of 50, 150, or 300 mg/kg metformin and then after 30 minutes challenged with an oral administration of glucose (3 g/kg body weight). For insulin tolerance tests, animals fasted for 5 hours were injected intraperitoneally with insulin (0.25 U/kg body weight; Actrapid, Novo Nordisk), and blood glucose levels were measured at 0, 15, 30, 45, and 60 minutes after injection. For pyruvate tolerance tests, animals fasted for 16 hours were injected intraperitoneally with pyruvate (2 g/kg body weight) dissolved in saline, and blood glucose levels were measured as above.

Plasma glucose and insulin levels. Blood glucose and insulin levels of mice fed ad libitum or fasted were analyzed. We collected blood from mice in the fed state, at 9:00 p.m. by tail bleeding (58). For fasting experiments, food was removed at 5:00 p.m., and the mice were kept in a clean new cage for 5 hours before blood collection (58). Serum insulin concentrations were assessed using a rat or mouse insulin ultrasensitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kit with mouse insulin as standard (Crystal Chem).

Adenoviruses. Adenovirus expressing mouse PGC-1α was generated as described by He et al. (59). Briefly, the PGC-1α cDNA was amplified by PCR from mouse liver cDNA then subcloned into the shuttle vector pAdTrack-CMV. The resultant plasmid was linearized by the restriction endonuclease Pmel and used to transform the Escherichia coli strain BJ5183-AD1 (Stratagene) containing the supercoiled adenoviral vector pAdEasy1. Recombinants were selected by kanamycin resistance and screened by restriction endonuclease digestion. The recombinant adenoviral construct was digested with PacI and used to transfec the packaging cell line Ad-293 (Stratagene) with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) to produce virus. Ad-Cre-GFP was a gift from S. Colnot (INSERM U1016, Paris, France) (60). Adenovirus expressing GFP was used as a control. Adenoviruses were propagated in Ad-293 cells and purified by cesium chloride density centrifugation as previously described (61).

Primary mouse hepatocytes. Primary hepatocytes were isolated from fed adult mice by a modified version of the collagenase method (62). The cells were plated in M199 medium supplemented with 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin, 0.1% bovine serum albumin, 2% Ultrasor G (Biopera), 500 nM dexamethasone (dex; Sigma-Aldrich), 100 nM triiodothyronine (Sigma-Aldrich), 10 nM insulin (Actrapid, Novo Nordisk), at a density of 2.5 × 105 cells/well on 6-well plates or 2.5 × 106 cells/100-mm-diameter cell culture plate. After attachment (3–4 hours), hepatocytes were maintained in M199 medium with antibiotics and 100 nM dex for 16 hours, followed by drug treatment as described below. For experiments with adenovirus, hepatocytes were infected with 25 PFU/cell of Ad-GFP or Ad–PGC-1α after the attachment period in M199 medium containing antibiotics and 100 nM dex for 16 hours.

Hepatocyte glucose production. Primary mouse hepatocytes on 6-well plates (2.5 × 105 cells/well) were maintained in M199 medium containing antibiotics and 100 nM dex for 16 hours prior to the measurement of glucose production. Hepatocytes were washed once with PBS, and glucose production was determined after a 4-, 8-, or 12-hour incubation period in glucose-free DMEM containing lactate/pyruvate (10:1 molar) or 10 mM dihydroxyacetone and 100 nM dex alone or with 100 μM Br2-cAMP (Sigma-Aldrich) and with various doses of metformin (Sigma-Aldrich), AICAR (Toronto Research Chemicals), or A-769622 as indicated in the figure legends. A-769662 compound was synthesized as described previously (33). At the end of the incubation period, 1 or 1.5 ml of medium was collected and mixed with 0.2 ml HClO4 (40% v/v). After neutralization, the amount of glucose released into the medium was determined by evaluating the production of NADPH from NADP to glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (Roche) and normalized to the total protein content per well.

Measurement of adenine nucleotide levels. Adenine nucleotide concentrations were determined in cell extracts prepared from cultured hepatocytes or from liver samples by an enzymatic method (63). Primary hepatocytes were treated as described in the figure legends, the culture medium was removed, and cells on 100-mm-diameter cell culture plates (2.5 × 105 cells/plate) were scraped into 200 μl of 6% (v/v) ice-cold HClO4 in less than 5 seconds. For liver, 10-week-old C57BL/6J mice were treated by oral intubation with 20 or 50 mg/kg metformin in water (200 μl) or just water directly into the stomach for 5 consecutive days. On the fifth day, 24-hour-fasted mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation 1 hour after metformin administration, and liver was extracted and frozen in liquid nitrogen in less than 25 seconds. Two hundred milligrams of liver was homogenized into 1 ml of 6% (v/v) ice-cold HClO4. Cell extracts were centrifuged at 10,000 g for 10 minutes at 4°C. The acid supernatant was neutralized and used for spectrophotometric determination of adenine nucleotides. Standard curves for ATP, ADP, and AMP were constructed with 25, 50, 75, 100, 125, and 150 μM of each nucleotide. Adenine nucleotide levels were expressed in mmol/105 hepatocytes, μmol/g liver weight, or percentage of control normalized to protein content. Energy charge was calculated by the following equation: (ATP + ADP)/2/[ATP + ADP + AMP], where AMP, ADP, and ATP are the respective tissue concentrations (64).

Quantitative PCR analysis. Total RNA from primary hepatocytes and mouse liver tissue was extracted using Trizol (Invitrogen), and single-strand cDNA was synthesized from 5 μg of total RNA with random hexamer primers (Applied Biosystems) and Superscript II (Invitrogen). Real-time RT-PCRs were carried out with Lethos qPCR MasterMix (Eurogentec) in a final volume of 20 μl containing 250 ng of reverse-transcribed total RNA, 500 nM of primers, 10 μl of 2× PCR mix, and 0.5 μl of Sybr Green. The reactions...
were carried out in capillaries in a LightCycler instrument (Roche) with 40 cycles. They revealed the relative amounts of the mRNAs studied by means of the second-derivative maximum method, with LightCycler analysis software version 3.5 and 18S RNA as the invariant control for all studies. The sense and antisense PCR primers used, respectively, were as follows: for Pdg-1α, 5′-ATACCCGAAAGGACACAGGA-3′, 5′-CTCAAGACAGCG-GAAAGGTCTCAG-3′; for Pepck, 5′-GTCTGAGGATGTAGCTTGG-3′, 5′-CTGGCTGATTTCTGTTCAGG-3′; for G6Pase, 5′-ACCTGGGCGACT-CAATTCCTCC-3′, 5′-CGGAGACAGAGCTTGCACG-3′; for 18S, 5′-GTAACCCGTTGACCCATT-3′, 5′-CCATCCAATCGGTAGTAGCG-3′.

Western blot analysis. After an 8-hour incubation period, cultured hepatocytes were lysed in ice-cold lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 1% Triton X-100, 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 50 mM NaF, 5 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM Na3VO4, 25 mM sodium β-glycerophosphate, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM PMSF, and protease inhibitors (Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail; Roche). The liver was homogenized in ice-cold lysis buffer using a ball-bearing homogenizer (Retsch). The homogenate was containing 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 50 mM sodium fluoride, 5 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 0.27 M sucrose, 0.1% β-mercaptoethanol, and protease inhibitors (Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail; Roche). LKB1 activity was measured as previously described using LKBtree (human NUAK2C, 260 with 3 additional Arg residues) as substrate (66).

Statistics. Results are expressed as mean ± SEM. Comparisons between groups were made by unpaired 2-tailed Student’s t test. Differences were considered statistically significant if P was less than 0.05.
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