Since modern brain imaging of pain began 20 years ago, networks in the brain related to pain processing and those related to different types of pain modulation, including placebo, have been identified. Functional and anatomical connectivity of these circuits has begun to be analyzed. Imaging in patients suggests that chronic pain is associated with altered function and structural abnormalities in pain modulatory circuits. Moreover, biochemical alterations associated with chronic pain are being identified that provide information on cellular correlates as well as potential mechanisms of structural changes. Data from these brain imaging studies reinforce the idea that chronic pain leads to brain changes that could have functional significance.
Since modern brain imaging of pain began 20 years ago, networks in the brain related to pain processing and those related to different types of pain modulation, including placebo, have been identified. Functional and anatomical connectivity of these circuits has begun to be analyzed. Imaging in patients suggests that chronic pain is associated with altered function and structural abnormalities in pain modulatory circuits. Moreover, biochemical alterations associated with chronic pain are being identified that provide information on cellular correlates as well as potential mechanisms of structural changes. Data from these brain imaging studies reinforce the idea that chronic pain leads to brain changes that could have functional significance.

Introduction

Until the advent of modern noninvasive human brain imaging methodologies about 20 years ago, our understanding of the role of the brain in pain processing was limited. The involvement of the cerebral cortex was disputed, mainly because of the early work of Penfield and Rasmussen, in which pain was seldom evoked by direct cortical stimulation (1). In the last two decades, advances in brain imaging techniques have had a profound influence on our understanding of pain processing. In the early 1990s, human whole-brain functional imaging studies first showed multiple brain areas involved in pain processing (2–4). Other studies have revealed the involvement of forebrain neurotransmitters in pain modulation. New advances in human brain imaging techniques now allow us to better understand functional connectivity in pain pathways, as well as the functional and anatomical alterations that occur in chronic pain patients. Whole brain imaging is now also being applied to small animals, allowing for translation from animal models to man. This review aims to provide an overview of how brain imaging has helped us understand the neural basis of pain processing and pain modulation in healthy individuals and in chronic pain patients.

Defining a pain network in the brain

There is now a plethora of human brain imaging studies examining cortical and subcortical brain regions involved in acute pain processing in healthy volunteers. Although there are many differences in activation patterns across studies, a consistent cortical and subcortical network has emerged that involves sensory, limbic, associative and motor areas, which some scientists refer to as the “pain matrix” (5). The most commonly activated regions are the primary and secondary somatosensory cortices (S1 and S2, respectively), anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), insular cortex (IC), prefrontal cortex (PFC), thalamus, basal ganglia, and cerebellum (see Figure 1). As summarized by Bushnell and Apkarian (6), neuroanatomical and electrophysiological studies in rodents and primates confirm that all of these regions receive nociceptive input. In humans, pain-evoked activity in these areas is frequently observed using either activation PET or functional MRI (fMRI) techniques. Both PET and fMRI measure brain activity indirectly by imaging changes in blood flow, blood oxygenation, or local metabolic changes associated with an increase in neuronal activity. fMRI provides better temporal and spatial resolution than PET and is less costly, so this method is now used more often than PET as an indirect measure of brain activity. Nevertheless, the activation observed in pain-related regions using either technique is consistent with anatomical studies that show probable nociceptive connectivity to these areas (7, 8).

The most commonly used fMRI methodology is the blood oxygenation level–dependent (BOLD) technique. However, this method is not ideal for the study of chronic pain states, as it requires a rapidly changing signal that is not always present during chronic, ongoing pain. One way to overcome this limitation is to factor on-line cognitive evaluation and behavioral responses, thus creating possible confounds in data interpretation. Another fMRI technique better suited for evaluating persistent pain involves arterial spin labeling (ASL) (10), which provides a direct measure of blood flow. ASL shows greater sensitivity than BOLD for detecting signals related to stimuli of durations greater than approximately two minutes (11). Owen and colleagues (12) have used ASL to study tonic muscular pain, demonstrating differences in the blood flow time course between brain regions throughout a 15-minute painful stimulus, with a more rapid return to baseline in the anterior mid-cingulate cortex (aMCC) than in the IC. Since other studies have implicated the aMCC in affective processing of pain (see ref. 7 for review), the authors of that study suggest that this reflects a more rapid decline in the affective response to the painful stimulus. Temporal measurements of pain intensity ratings correlated strongly with the blood flow time course in IC, supporting a role for this region on pain intensity processing. Use of ASL in conjunction with multiple rating scales allows investigators to better understand the temporal relationship between activations in various brain regions and aspects of perception.

Imaging pain-related connectivity

Researchers are now using imaging techniques to examine connectivity among pain-related regions and possible disruptions of such connectivity in chronic pain patients. One method to evaluate connectivity is to examine the co-variation in activation between a spe-
cific area of interest, termed a “seed” area, and other regions in the brain. The simplest way to evaluate such co-variation is to use the activation magnitude of the seed area for each subject as an analytical weighting factor. This method has been used to define regions involved in attentional and emotional modulation of pain (13), as well as in a number of studies of chronic pain patients (see below).

A more refined method to evaluate functional connectivity is to determine those regions for which activation temporally co-varies with the seed region throughout a task performance. In healthy individuals undergoing experimental heat pain, Ploner and colleagues (14) used this method to determine that the individual functional connectivity between the anterior IC and brainstem, regions thought to be related to the subjective perception of pain and the modulation of pain, respectively, predicted whether a potentially noxious event would be perceived as painful.

A direct anatomical measure of brain connectivity uses an MRI-based technique termed “diffusion tensor imaging” (DTI) that can map white matter anatomical connections in the living brain. DTI measures the diffusion of water in the brain and calculates a principal direction of diffusion of water, which is anisotropic in white matter tracts (see ref. 15 for details). Using this technique, Hadjipavlou and colleagues (16) showed white matter tracts connecting regions thought to be involved in descending control of pain, including the frontal cortex and periaqueductual gray matter (PAG) in healthy subjects. DTI is now being used to examine possible disruptions of white matter tracts in chronic pain patients (17), as discussed below.

Spinal cord imaging

The dorsal horn of the spinal cord has been explored extensively in animal models using in vivo and ex vivo electrophysiological techniques. However, successful imaging of this region in living humans or animals has been elusive because of a number of difficulties. For spinal cord imaging, spatial resolution needs to be at least 1 mm, whereas in the brain, fMRI typically gives a resolution of 2–5 mm. In the spinal cord, there is poor field homogeneity caused by surrounding tissue interfaces, as well as movement artifacts related to pulsations of the cerebral spinal fluid. For the cervical spinal cord, there are the additional problems of respiratory motion and effects of changing lung volume, adding to poor field homogeneity (18). There are now several reports of pain-evoked activity in both the human (19–21) and rat (22, 23) spinal cord imaged with fMRI, but the technique still poses some challenges, including signal localization, and so more work needs to be performed before this can become a widespread method of investigation for pain researchers.

Mechanisms underlying psychological modulation of pain

Psychological factors are known to modulate pain perception in the clinic and in the laboratory (24–26). Nevertheless, the mechanisms underlying such modulation have been difficult to explore in animal studies. The advent of human brain imaging provided an important new avenue for deciphering the neural basis of psychological modulation of pain. In recent years, brain imaging experiments have explored mechanisms underlying attentional and emotional modulation of pain, activity related to expectation and anticipation of pain and, correspondingly, mechanisms of placebo analgesia.

**Attention alters nociceptive responsiveness.** A number of imaging studies that utilize distracting tasks show modulation of pain-evoked activity in thalamus and in several cortical regions, including S1, ACC, and IC (13, 27–31). Other regions, including PAG, parts of ACC, and orbitofrontal cortex (within the PFC) have been shown to be activated when subjects perform distracting tasks, thus suggesting that these regions may be involved in the modulatory circuitry related to attention (30, 32–34). Nevertheless, most of the distracting tasks require increased cognitive demand, and

---

**Figure 1**

Ascending pain pathways in the human brain. (A) Schematic representation of ascending pain pathways and brain regions involved in pain processing. (B) The color-coded regions superimposed on an anatomical MRI (coronal slice). Red, S1; orange, S2; green, ACC; light blue, insula; yellow, thalamus; purple, PFC; dark blue, primary motor cortex (M1). SMA, supplemental motor area; PCC, posterior cingulate cortex; BG, basal ganglia; HT, hypothalamus; Amyg, amygdala, PB, parabrachial nuclei. Adapted from *European Journal of Pain* (7).
as such, increase arousal and/or alter the emotional state of the subject. Since emotional state can also alter pain (25, 26, 35–39), it is important to differentiate between modulatory circuits related to attention and those related to emotions.

Emotions alter nociceptive responsiveness. Several studies have used neuroimaging to evaluate the effects of emotional state on pain processing. Phillips and colleagues examined the effect of looking at fearful facial expressions on discomfort, anxiety, and neural activation during non-painful esophageal stimulation in normal subjects (40). Subjects reported increased anxiety and discomfort while viewing the fearful faces, and the negative emotional states enhanced esophageal stimulation–evoked activity in limbic regions such as ACC and IC. Similarly, Vilemure and Bushnell (13), using odors to alter mood, showed that a negative mood state led to increased pain-evoked activation in a number of cortical regions, with the largest effect in ACC. Finally, Berna and colleagues (41) found that following the induction of a depressed mood by having subjects read a sad statement and listen to sad music, there was increased pain-evoked activity in frontal areas, ACC, and hippocampus. Together, these studies show that mood state affects pain-evoked activity, particularly in limbic regions of the brain such as the ACC, frontal cortex, and hippocampus (12).

Exploring brain circuits involved in attentional and emotional modulation of pain. Some researchers have suggested that the opiate-sensitive descending pathway from the frontal cortex to the amygdala, PAG, rostral ventral medulla (RVM), and spinal cord dorsal horn (42) (Figure 2) is involved in attentional modulation of pain (25, 28). Nevertheless, these studies have usually used tasks that could simultaneously alter attention, arousal and emotional state. Villemure and Bushnell (13) separated attentional state and mood in the same study by having subjects alternate attention between a painful stimulus and a simultaneously presented odor and manipulating mood by having the odor be pleasant or unpleasant. Using functional connectivity analysis, the investigators found that frontal-PAG circuitry is more likely involved in emotional modulation of pain, whereas activation in the superior parietal lobe, which is part of Corbetta and Shulman’s proposed “top-down orienting of attention” system (43), is more important for attentional modulation.

Brain responses during anticipation of pain. A particularly interesting observation from fMRI studies is that the anticipation or expectation of pain can activate pain-related areas, such as S1, ACC, and IC, in the absence of a physical pain stimulus (7). Regions thought to be involved in pain modulation, such as PAG, PFC, and ventral striatum, have also been shown to be activated during a period of pain expectation, suggesting that such activation may modulate the impending pain-evoked activation (44–51). In addition, several studies in chronic pain and/or depressed patients show enhanced responses during anticipation of pain, which may be one factor contributing to enhanced pain perception in these patients (52–54).

Anticipation and placebo analgesia. Anticipation of a reduction in pain is a main factor contributing to the placebo effect (24). Neuroimaging studies of expectation-related placebo analgesia show that during the anticipation period immediately preceding the presentation of a noxious stimulus, a cingulo-fronto-PAG descending modulatory pathway is activated, similar to that activated during emotional modulation of pain (20, 55, 56). Further, using PET to examine the activation of μ-opioid receptors, Wager and colleagues (57) showed that placebo treatment affected endogenous opioid activity in a number of regions, including the PAG, ACC, and lateral PFC. These findings support the idea that activation of the descending modulatory cingulo-fronto-PAG pathway during the expectation of pain relief reduces afferent pain input in the brain. This idea is further supported by an fMRI study examining the influence of naloxone (a μ-opioid antagonist) on placebo-related brain activation (58). The study found that naloxone modulated placebo-induced responses in key structures of the descending pain control system, including the PAG and RVM. Further, naloxone abolished the placebo-induced coupling between rostral ACC and PAG. Functional imaging studies also provide evidence that nociceptive afferent drive at the level of the spinal cord, thalamus, and cortex is reduced during placebo analgesia (20, 55, 56).

Chronic pain patients have enhanced pain processing Despite the demonstration of similarities in pain processing across many types of pain (5), there is evidence that patients with chronic pain syndromes process acute pain differently than do healthy people. Patients with a variety of chronic pain syndromes including back pain, fibromyalgia, irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) and vulvar vestibulitis show higher pain ratings and enhanced pain-evoked neural responses when experimental pain stimuli are
Do chronic pain patients have altered supraspinal pain modulation?  

**Change in spatial representation.** Some evidence for altered supraspinally processed chronic pain stems from the observation that activations related to chronic pain are spatially shifted compared with acute pain or interoceptive stimuli. For example, in healthy subjects, the activation peak is located more rostral in healthy subjects (purple spheres) and clinical pain in patients (black spheres). Clinical pain studies investigated ongoing neuropathic pain, provoked mechanical allodynia in neuropathic pain patients, angina pectoris, cluster headache, or punctate hyperalgesia in CRPS. Clinical pain is located more anterior than acute pain (Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test, \( P < 0.001 \)). The mean localization of anterior insular activation in studies investigating clinical pain (black), acute experimental pain in healthy subjects (purple), interoception (yellow), and anxiety or non-painful stimuli with highly aversive content (red). In addition, cognitive or emotional modulation of acute experimental pain in healthy subjects is depicted (blue). Clinical pain is located as anterior as clinical pain studies investigated ongoing neuropathic pain, provoked mechanical allodynia in neuropathic pain patients, angina pectoris, cluster headache, or punctate hyperalgesia in CRPS. Clinical pain is located significantly more anterior than acute pain (Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test, \( P = 0.9 \) and \( P = 0.4 \), respectively). Ellipsoids are relative in size to the standard deviation in the \( y \) direction. Coordinates are in MNI standard stereotaxic space. \( y \) refers to anterior-posterior (nose to back of the head); \( z \) refers to superior-inferior (head to feet). Reproduced with permission from *NeuroImage* (64). Refer to ref. 64 for a complete list of references.

**Figure 3**

Clinical pain is shifted in the insula. (A) Localization of rostral (green) and caudal (blue) anterior insula, as defined in ref. 64, in the human brain. (B) Peak activations found in imaging studies investigating acute experimental pain in healthy subjects (purple spheres) and clinical pain in patients (black spheres). Clinical pain studies investigated ongoing neuropathic pain, provoked mechanical allodynia in neuropathic pain patients, angina pectoris, cluster headache, or punctate hyperalgesia in CRPS. Clinical pain is located significantly more anterior than acute pain (Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test, \( P < 0.001 \)). (C) The mean localization of anterior insular activation in studies investigating clinical pain (black), acute experimental pain in healthy subjects (purple), interoception (yellow), and anxiety or non-painful stimuli with highly aversive content (red). In addition, cognitive or emotional modulation of acute experimental pain in healthy subjects is depicted (blue). Clinical pain is located as anterior as clinical pain studies investigated ongoing neuropathic pain, provoked mechanical allodynia in neuropathic pain patients, angina pectoris, cluster headache, or punctate hyperalgesia in CRPS. Clinical pain is located significantly more anterior than acute pain (Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test, \( P = 0.9 \) and \( P = 0.4 \), respectively). Ellipsoids are relative in size to the standard deviation in the \( y \) direction. Coordinates are in MNI standard stereotaxic space. \( y \) refers to anterior-posterior (nose to back of the head); \( z \) refers to superior-inferior (head to feet). Reproduced with permission from *NeuroImage* (64). Refer to ref. 64 for a complete list of references.
eral thigh, i.e., in an area of spinal convergence with the sensory innervation of the hip joint. Increased activation in response to the punctate stimuli was observed in the ACC and dorsolateral PFC, and additionally in the PAG. The magnitude of PAG activation correlated with the degree of patients’ neuropathic pain symptoms, as measured by the PainDETECT questionnaire (69). Thus, this study provides evidence that brainstem facilitatory mechanisms might play a role for neuropathic symptoms in a disorder that has been traditionally considered a noiceptive condition.

Although the brainstem and descending pathways are likely to be important pain modulators in humans, it should be emphasized that some pain modulatory circuitry probably operates strictly supra-spatially, as suggested by attentional modulation in healthy volunteers (13). The medial PFC appears to be an important site of supra-spatial pain facilitation. Rheumatoid arthritis patients were shown to activate the medial PFC exclusively in response to clinically relevant pain and not in disease-irrelevant pain (70). Interestingly, the magnitude of depressive symptoms of the largely not clinically depressed patients were positively related to the medial PFC activation. Moreover, the magnitude of medial PFC activation correlated with activation in several other supra-spatial structures, including the hippocampal complex, which has been shown to play an important role in pain augmentation in healthy volunteers by negative affect (13, 71). Importantly, medial PFC hyperactivity was related to a measure of the patients’ clinical pain that partly accounted for systemic inflammation, indicating that the medial PFC was indeed involved in enhancing clinical pain. In accordance with a pain facilitatory role, activity in the medial PFC in IBS patients has been shown to disrupt a functional connection between the lateral PFC and the PAG (72), two areas that have been implicated in endogenous pain inhibition. As discussed above, the PAG also plays a role in pain facilitation, and it is important to point out that fMRI cannot differentiate between activation in brainstem facilitatory and inhibitory circuits. Pain inhibition and facilitation are both associated with increased neuronal activity in the same brainstem structures such as RVM or PAG, albeit in different cell types. With the currently available in vivo imaging modalities, activity in facilitatory ON and inhibitory OFF cells cannot be differentiated in humans due to their close proximity. Consequently, the demonstration of reduced brainstem activation in response to experimental thermal stimuli in subjects who experience migraineurs (73) is difficult to interpret because patients and controls rated the stimuli as equally intense, suggesting decreased brainstem activation did not change the intensity perception of the stimuli employed in the study. While the imaging finding would be in accordance with a dysfunction of pain inhibitory circuitry, more studies that provide a link to patients’ symptoms, and thereby shed light on the functional significance of altered brainstem activation, are needed.

As discussed above, the anticipation of pain can activate similar structures as pain itself. However, the opposite has been observed for visceral pain: healthy subjects showed decreases of the BOLD fMRI signal in several regions (brainstem, IC, and ACC) during the anticipation of painful rectal distension (74). In contrast, such deactivations were absent in IBS patients. Although the interpretation of negative BOLD signals is not unambiguous, the data suggest that the absence of the negative BOLD signal during anticipation might be related to the patients’ symptoms: correlation analyses suggested that the less deactivation a subject had, the higher the subject’s negative affect scores and the lower their pain thresholds. Further, the degree of brainstem deactivation during anticipation was related to activation of the orbitofrontal cortex and rostral ACC during rectal distension, which might indicate that a pain inhibitory network was compromised in the patients. The notion of reduced pain inhibition due to a dysfunctional rostral ACC is potentially supported by a study in fibromyalgia patients (75): despite the same perceived pain intensity (which required lower stimulus intensities in the patients), control subjects showed more activation in the rostral ACC (and posterior thalamus) than patients.

Diffuse noxious inhibitory control. Counterirritation — or “pain inhibits pain” — is a phenomenon that has been known for centuries to decrease pain perception. Work in animals has identified the putative physiological basis, termed “diffuse noxious inhibitory control” (DNIC) (76). When an intense pain stimulus (the “conditioning” stimulus) is simultaneously applied to a remote body site, neural activity associated with the painful test stimulus is reduced both in wide-dynamic range as well as nociceptive-specific neurons of the spinal dorsal horn (reviewed in ref. 77). The fact that this phenomenon occurs in anesthetized animals implies that DNIC is separate from distraction or other cognitive operations requiring a conscious brain, although such processes might contribute to the perceptual analgesia induced by certain counterirritation protocols used in humans. Perhaps the biggest methodological problem in human studies occurs when the conditioning and the test stimuli are applied simultaneously, because this does not readily allow separation of DNIC from distraction. Nevertheless, a few well-controlled behavioral studies are available that indeed provide evidence that the DNIC system is impaired in chronic pain patients (78, 79). Human imaging studies have begun to describe brain correlates of the counterirritation phenomenon. Decreased activation evoked by the test stimulus has been demonstrated in healthy volunteers in several pain-related brain areas (including S1, ACC, thalamus, and posterior IC), in accordance with the observed perceptual analgesia (80). Sustained activation induced by the conditioning stimulus in the orbitofrontal cortex predicted the degree of analgesia, and consequently, this brain region probably plays a role in mediating counterirritation. However, sustained orbitofrontal cortex activation might reflect a combination of DNIC and distraction, which has been shown to engage the orbitofrontal cortex in close proximity (33), because the analysis described in the DNIC study was conducted for the period of simultaneous application of the two stimuli. Two studies thus far have used functional brain imaging to investigate counterirritation in pain patients (53, 81). In IBS patients, areas related to attention seemed less activated compared with control subjects, both during rectal stimulation (the test stimulus) as well as during the simultaneous application of the conditioning and the test stimulus (although no direct comparison was performed between patients and controls) (53). This might signify that patients paid less attention to the rectal stimulation (which can be expected to reduce pain and pain-related activation) irrespective of the presence of the conditioning stimulus, maybe because they attended to their clinical pain. Future imaging studies that exploit well-controlled DNIC designs will likely lead to more readily interpretable results.

Structural brain alterations in chronic pain patients

Individuals who suffer from long-term pain not only process pain differently, but also present with structural brain changes. Relatively recently, researchers have begun to apply diffusion-weighted
imaging techniques, including DTI, to study white matter alterations in the brains of chronic pain patients. Geha and colleagues (17) found decreased diffusion directionality (fractional anisotropy) in the cingulum of patients with complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS), which might possibly indicate decreased tract myelination or reduced parallel fiber organization. Fewer white matter connections were found to originate in the patients from this spot of altered diffusion compared with control subjects. Further, the ventral medial PFC, an area of decreased gray matter, showed an altered anatomical connectivity pattern, adding further evidence to impaired white matter connectivity in the CRPS patients of this study (17). Some clinical significance of decreased diffusion directionality was provided by a study in fibromyalgia patients that demonstrated a relationship between decreased fractional anisotropy in the thalamocortical tract and the degree of stiffness (82). Schmitz and colleagues assessed the concentration of white matter in migraine patients, rather than investigating diffusion properties of white matter (83). They found that patients...
with a high attack frequency had decreased white matter concentration of frontal and parietal areas (83), perhaps indicating that migraine attacks lead to white matter damage.

In contrast to the scarcity of studies investigating white matter, gray matter alterations in chronic pain patients have been frequently studied in recent years, and this literature supports the hypothesis that pain or prolonged nociceptive input leads to structural alterations. Although it might seem likely that different pain conditions are associated with unique sets of structural alterations, such comparative data are not yet available. Seemingly similar gray matter changes have been described across different chronic pain conditions, including fibromyalgia, migraine, or osteoarthritis. Consequently, we do not differentiate between etiologies in the following discussion. Regarding the direction of structural alterations, decreases in gray matter dominate the picture, although some studies have reported an increased concentration of gray matter, either exclusively (84) or alongside gray matter decreases (85–88). Decreases have mainly been described for important pain processing or modulatory regions such as the ACC, IC, thalamus, and frontal cortex (17, 83, 85, 86, 88–103) as well as the (para-)hippocampus, which is thought to be particularly vulnerable to the effects of stress (82, 93, 97, 98, 103) (Figure 4).

Several studies observed greater gray matter decreases with longer pain duration (17, 83, 89, 92–94, 97–99), which might indicate that gray matter decreases are a consequence of living with pain, at least in these instances. The concept of pain or prolonged nociceptive input leading to decreased gray matter is supported by two lines of evidence. First, two longitudinal studies in patients (one study following successful hip replacement for osteoarthritis [ref. 95], the other after spontaneous resolution of post-traumatic headache [ref. 85]) suggest that gray matter concentrations return to baseline levels when the pain disappears. Second, a longitudinal MRI study in a rat model of neuropathic pain (spared nerve injury) demonstrated the occurrence of prefrontal gray matter alterations several months after pain induction by surgery (104). This study provides another very interesting piece of information: the gray matter alterations in the PFC coincided with the development of anxiety-like behavior (104), emphasizing behavioral consequences of prolonged pain states. Although the mechanisms underlying gray matter alterations in chronic pain are currently unknown, a recently conducted study suggests a possibility. DaSilva and colleagues observed that reduced thickness of sensorimotor cortex in patients with trigeminal neuralgia was co-localized with activation related to provocation of their dynamic mechanical allodynia (105). This suggests that excessive nociceptive input might lead to gray matter reductions. This would be similar to the neurotoxicity hypothesis of depression (reviewed in ref. 106), which postulates that an interaction between elevated levels of circulating glucocorticoids and excitatory neural activity is a major factor in long-term cerebral atrophy. However, it should be emphasized that conventional MRI cannot determine the histopathology underlying gray matter changes, including the affected cell type. Therefore, gray matter alterations demonstrated in chronic pain could be caused by changes in neuronal elements such as dendrites or synapses, glial cells, or even blood vessels or water content. To advance our understanding of the nature of gray matter alterations, future studies will have to complement anatomical MRI with other imaging techniques. One magnetic resonance–based technique that can be used to yield complementary information is proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy (1H-MRS). 1H-MRS exploits the fact that the resonance frequency of a proton is influenced by its exact biochemical environment, meaning that the signals from individual metabolites can be differentiated. Metabolites that can be measured using this technique include the neuronal marker N-acetyl-aspartate (NAA) (107, 108), choline-containing compounds, which indicate membrane turnover and cellular density, and glutamate/glutamine. Studies using this technique in the context of pain are described below.

Cerebral biochemical alterations associated with chronic pain
A preliminary study of 20 healthy elderly subjects with varying levels of chronic pain found pain severity to be related to lower NAA levels in the hippocampus as well as to reduced hippocampal volumes (109). This suggests that smaller hippocampi might be partly explained by a decrease in neuronal tissue. As indicated by anatomical studies, the hippocampus appears to be a brain region that is frequently affected by pain. Two studies have observed decreased hippocampal NAA levels in fibromyalgia (110, 111), and one of those also reported an inverse relationship between NAA concentration of gray matter, either exclusively (84) or alongside gray matter decreases (85–88). Decreases have mainly been described for important pain processing or modulatory regions such as the ACC, IC, thalamus, and frontal cortex (17, 83, 85, 88–103) (Figure 4). This suggests that excessive nociceptive input might lead to gray matter reductions. This would be similar to the neurotoxicity observed in other disease entities and related to excessive levels of excitatory neurotransmitters, it is interesting to note that higher glutamate levels in the IC have been reported during the interictal phase in migraine patients (118). In patients with fibromyalgia, glutamate levels in the insula decreased after treatment, and a striking relationship was observed between decreased glutamate and improved clinical pain as well as increased pressure pain thresholds (119).

Conclusions
Modern imaging techniques have permitted rapid progress in our understanding of networks in the brain related to pain processing and those related to different types of pain modulation, and the dramatic advances in both imaging equipment and analytical techniques will allow us to delve even more deeply into the impact of chronic pain on the brain. The first modern fMRI experiments in humans were conducted on 1.5-tesla scanners; now, 3-tesla is the norm, and 7-tesla machines are being adopted for anatomical and functional imaging. With these new machines come increased spatial and temporal resolution and a greater ability to detect small signals in the brain.

The neural basis of placebo analgesia is being deciphered, and we have begun to directly evaluate functional and anatomical connectivity of these circuits. Work is being done to improve our ability to successfully image pain-related activity in the spinal cord of humans. Perhaps the most exciting and revealing data have come from studies of chronic pain patients, in which imaging has provided strong evidence that pain leads to brain changes that could have functional significance. These technologies have indi-
cated that chronic pain patients have altered pain modulatory circuits, both at forebrain and brainstem levels. Further, significant structural abnormalities have been revealed in a number of brain regions, particularly those involved in pain modulation. Finally, imaging studies are beginning to identify biochemical alterations associated with chronic pain that could underlie neurotoxicity caused by long-term activation. The future is bright for what brain imaging can contribute to our understanding of pain. Especially in combination with cellular, genetic, and molecular approaches, imaging techniques might have a major impact in the diagnosis and differentiation of chronic pain problems and the evaluation of the effectiveness of therapeutic interventions.
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