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MicroRNAs inhibit mRNA translation or promote mRNA degradation by binding complementary sequences in 3′ untranslated regions of target mRNAs. MicroRNA-21 (miR-21) is upregulated in response to cardiac stress, and its inhibition by a cholesterol-modified antagonim has been reported to prevent cardiac hypertrophy and fibrosis in rodents in response to pressure overload. In contrast, we have shown here that miR-21–null mice are normal and, in response to a variety of cardiac stresses, display cardiac hypertrophy, fibrosis, upregulation of stress-responsive cardiac genes, and loss of cardiac contractility comparable to wild-type littermates. Similarly, inhibition of miR-21 through intravenous delivery of a locked nucleic acid–modified (LNA-modified) antimiR oligonucleotide also failed to block the remodeling response of the heart to stress. We therefore conclude that miR-21 is not essential for pathological cardiac remodeling.

Introduction
The heart responds to injury and hemodynamic overload by promoting myocyte hypertrophy, reexpressing a fetal gene program, and remodeling of the extracellular matrix (1). MicroRNAs (miRNAs), which cause translational inhibition or degradation of specific mRNAs, play critical roles in tissue responses to stress and are mis-expressed in diseased murine and human hearts (2–8). Gain and loss of function in mice have implicated miRNAs in diverse aspects of cardiac function and dysfunction (refs. 3, 7, 9; reviewed in ref. 10).

miR-21 has been implicated in a variety of disorders and is highly upregulated during cardiac remodeling (refs. 7–9, 12; reviewed in refs. 5, 11), but the precise functions of miR-21 in cardiac stress responses have been unclear. In cultured myocytes, miR-21 has been reported to be both pro- and anti-hypertrophic (13, 14). Thum et al. reported that knockdown of miR-21 through systemic delivery of cholesterol-modified antisense oligonucleotides (antagonirs) prevented cardiac hypertrophy and fibrosis in response to pressure overload induced by transaortic constriction (TAC). These salutary effects were attributed to the derepression of the miR-21 target transcript Sprouty-1 (Spry1), which inhibits MAP kinase signaling specifically in cardiac fibroblasts (9).

We show here that genetic deletion of miR-21 or acute inhibition of miR-21 through systemic delivery of a locked nucleic acid–modified (LNA-modified) antimiR oligonucleotide does not alter the pathological responses of the heart to pressure overload or other stresses. We conclude that miR-21 is not required for cardiac hypertrophy, fibrosis, or loss of contractile function in response to acute or chronic injury in mice.

Results and Discussion
Generation of Mir21–/– mice. To generate Mir21–/– mice, we introduced loxP sites at both ends of pre-miR-21 through homologous recombination (Supplemental Figure 1A; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI43604DS1). Global deletion of Mir21 was achieved by breeding Mir21–/– mice to mice expressing CAG-Cre. Mice homozygous for miR-21 deletion were obtained at predicted Mendelian ratios (Table 1). Deletion of Mir21 was confirmed by PCR, Southern blot, and Northern blot analyses (Supplemental Figure 1, B–D). Regulatory sequences for the Mir21 gene are located adjacent to the penultimate intron of the Tmem49 gene (Supplemental Figure 1A) (15). Tmem49 mRNA expression was unaltered in Mir21–/– animals (Supplemental Figure 1, A and E, and Supplemental Figure 2). Homozygous mutant mice displayed no overt abnormalities. Similarly, mutant mice displayed no abnormalities in heart size, structure, or cardiac contractility and no modification of protein levels of predicted miR-21 target transcripts encoding Pdcd4 andSpry1 (Supplemental Figure 1, F and G).

Cardiac stress responses are unperturbed in Mir21-null mice. To investigate the potential involvement of miR-21 in pathological cardiac remodeling, we subjected Mir21–/– mice to 4 different cardiac stresses: (a) acute pressure overload due to TAC (16); (b) chronic calcineurin activation (17); (c) infusion of Ang II, which induces cardiac remodeling through myocyte-autonomous mechanisms and secondary hypertension (18); and (d) myocardial infarction (MI) due to ligation of the proximal left anterior descending (LAD) coronary artery.

WT and Mir21–/– mice showed comparable pathological remodeling in response to all 4 stresses (Figure 1, A and B). Northern blot analysis for miR-21 revealed upregulation in WT myocardium in response to all stresses and confirmed the absence of miR-21 expression in mutant mice (Figure 1C). WT and Mir21–/– animals showed a comparable fibrotic response and upregulation of collagen and fetal cardiac genes (Figure 1D and Figure 2A). Functional analysis by echocardiography after TAC also indicated no differences between the two genotypes (Supplemental Figure 3). Mir21–/– animals displayed a mildly exaggerated increase in heart weight/body weight (HW/BW) ratio in response to Ang II when compared with Ang II–treated WT animals, suggesting a modest susceptibility to Gq-induced cardiac hypertrophy. MI induced by
LAD occlusion resulted in pronounced scar formation at the apex and free wall of the left ventricular chamber. The extent of scar formation and remodeling was indistinguishable between mice of the two genotypes (Figure 1A and Supplemental Figure 4A). Quantification of infarct size revealed no significant differences between WT and Mir21−/− mice (Supplemental Figure 4B).

miR-21 was reported to influence cardiac remodeling by regulating MAP kinase signaling and phosphorylation of Erk (9). However, Western blot analysis showed a stress-dependent increase in phospho-Erk even in the absence of miR-21 (Figure 2B). Thus, the chronic deletion of miR-21 does not alter myocardial MAP kinase signaling in response to cardiac stress.

Based on prior studies (7–9, 19, 20), we anticipated that miR-21 would be involved in cardiac hypertrophy and fibrosis. The failure of genetic deletion of miR-21 to diminish these cardiac stress responses might be explained by compensatory mechanisms activated in the persistent absence of miR-21. To further explore the potential involvement of miR-21 in the responses of the heart to stress, we inhibited miR-21 acutely using an antimiR approach.
LNA-mediated knockdown of miR-21. Therapeutic efficacy of LNA-antimiR technology has recently been reported (21, 22). As a consequence of the high binding affinity of LNA-containing antisense oligonucleotides, biological activity is attained with shorter LNA oligonucleotides (S. Obad et al., unpublished observations). To test whether miR-21 could be inhibited with LNA-modified antimiRs, we used an 8-nt fully LNA-modified phosphorothioate oligonucleotide complementary to the seed region of miR-21 (Supplemental Figure 5A). The activity of a luciferase reporter fused to the 3′ UTR of the miR-21 target transcript Pdcd4 (19) was repressed by increasing concentrations of miR-21 in Cos cells, whereas cotransfection of this reporter with antimiR-21 derepressed the Pdcd4-luciferase reporter, which we attribute to functional inhibition of endogenous miR-21 (Supplemental Figure 5B). To examine the ability of antimiR-21 to repress miR-21 levels in vivo, we exposed animals to TAC to induce miR-21 and 6 weeks later injected them intravenously with antimiR-21 or a scrambled control (Supplemental Figure 6A). While TAC induced cardiac miR-21 expression, systemic administration of antimiR-21 resulted in dose-dependent inhibition of miR-21 expression in the heart, whereas the LNA control had no effect (Supplemental Figure 5C). Inhibition of miR-21 with antimiR-21 caused an increase in the miR-21 target Pdcd4, while a comparable dose of the LNA scramble control had no effect (Supplemental Figure 5D). Based on these data, we used antimiR-21 to determine whether inhibition of miR-21 during cardiac stress would influence cardiac remodeling. Animals were dosed with 25 mg/kg of antimiR-21 or LNA scramble control as described in Methods. Histological examination and measurements of HW/BW ratio indicated that cardiac hypertrophy was comparable in untreated animals and animals treated with antimiR-21 (Figure 3, A and B, and Supplemental Figure 6B). TAC caused a 2.7-fold increase in miR-21 expression 21 days after surgery compared with sham-operated animals. AntimiR-21 effectively antagonized miR-21, whereas treatment with LNA control had no effect on cardiac miR-21 levels (Figure 3, C and D). Notably, Northern blot analysis using an LNA probe complementary to the entire miR-21 sequence revealed a shifted band only in samples harvested from antimiR-21–treated mice. These data suggest that mature miR-21 is sequestered in a slower-migrating heteroduplex with the antimiR-21 bound to the seed sequence. Echocardiography showed no difference in cardiac function between groups, and there was no difference in β-myosin heavy chain (βMHC) upregulation (Figure 3E and Supplemental Figure 7). Western blot analysis showed miR-21 inhibition to have no effect on Erk phosphorylation in response to stress (Figure 3F). However, Western blot analysis showed derepression of the miR-21 target Pdcd4 after antimiR-21 treatment, indicating antagonism of miR-21 in the heart (Figure 3G). Similarly, intravenous delivery of antimiR-21 for 3 days prior to chronic Ang II administration inhibited the upregulation of miR-21 but did not alter the extent of cardiac hypertrophy or remodeling compared with LNA control or saline-treated animals (Figure 3, H–J, and Supplemental Figure 6C). Expression analysis of βMHC, Col3a1, and Col1a2 also showed no difference between groups (Supplemental Figure 7). We conclude that LNA-antimiR oligonucleotides can functionally inhibit cardiac miRNAs upon systemic delivery into
mice, but inhibition of miR-21 by this approach does not prevent pathological cardiac remodeling in response to pressure overload or Ang II administration. Our results differ from those of Thum et al., who concluded, based on antagomir-21–knockdown studies in mice, that miR-21 was essential for cardiac hypertrophy and fibrosis in response to pressure overload (9). How might these differences be reconciled? The failure of genetic deletion of miR-21 to diminish cardiac hypertrophy or fibrosis in response to stress might be explained by compensatory mechanisms activated in the persistent absence of miR-21. In this regard, and given the chronic nature of heart failure in humans, therapeutic strategies designed to treat this condition should display long-term efficacy.

It is conceivable that variations in the level of cardiac stress imposed by TAC in the two studies could account for differential responsiveness to miR-21 inhibition, although the two studies showed comparable reduction in cardiac contractility and increased phospho-Erk in response to the procedure. Regarding the disparity between the effects of cholesterol-conjugated antagomirs and unconjugated LNA-modified antimiR-21 on cardiac remodeling, it is worth noting that we injected LNA-antimiRs via the tail vein, whereas Thum et al. injected antagomir via the jugular vein (9). There is also a possibility that cholesterol-conjugated chemistry has a cardioprotective effect, or antagomirs might also be more effective than LNA-modified antimiRs at inhibiting miR-21 function in cardiac fibroblasts in vivo. Irrespective of pos-

Figure 3
Cardiac stress response after antimiR-21 treatment. (A) Trichrome-stained sections of hearts show that animals treated with both saline and antimiR-21 (Anti-21) display induction of cardiac hypertrophy upon TAC. (B) HW/BW ratios of mice treated as indicated. HW/BW data represent $n = 6$ for sham conditions and $n = 12$ for TAC conditions. (C) Northern blot analysis for miR-21 in cardiac tissue of animals treated as indicated. The upshift reflects a heteroduplex between miR-21 and antimiR-21. RNU6B was a loading control. (D) Real-time RT-PCR analysis of miR-21 expression in cardiac tissue after the indicated treatments. Data represent $n = 5$ for sham conditions and $n = 10$ for TAC conditions. Scr, scrambled control. (E) Functional analysis of the heart represented by fractional shortening. Data represent $n = 6$ for sham conditions and $n = 12$ for TAC conditions. (F) Western blot analysis indicates a stress-induced increase in phospho-Erk for saline- and antimiR-21–treated mice in response to TAC. (G) Western blot analysis shows an increase in Pdcd4 in antimiR-21–treated mice in response to TAC. (H) Northern blot-stained sections of hearts from animals treated as indicated. Animals treated with both LNA scrambled control and antimiR-21 display induction of cardiac hypertrophy upon Ang II infusion. (I) HW/BW ratios of mice treated as indicated. Ratios represent $n = 3$ for Scr Saline, $n = 4$ for Anti-21 saline, $n = 3$ for Ang II saline, and $n = 5$ for Anti-21 Ang II. (J) Real-time RT-PCR analysis of miR-21 expression in cardiac tissue of animals after the indicated treatments using untreated C57BL/6 cardiac tissue as control (Ctrl).
sible experimental variations between our study and that of Thum et al., our finding that pathological cardiac remodeling in response to four different stresses in vivo is unaffected by genetic deletion of miR-21 seems to rule out an absolute requirement for this miRNA as a driver of heart disease.

Although we were unable to identify an important role for miR-21 in pathological cardiac remodeling, miR-21–knockout mice displayed a reduced susceptibility to lung tumorigenesis in response to K-ras activation, which reflects the upregulation of multiple antagonists of the Ras/MAP kinase signaling pathway in these mice (23). Thus, miR-21 clearly possesses modulatory activity for pathogenic signaling pathways in vivo, and such mechanisms can be perturbed upon genetic deletion of miR-21 (23).

Methods

Experimental procedures are described in detail in Supplemental Methods.

Generation of miR-21 mutant mice. See Supplemental Methods.

Northern blotting. Analyses were performed as previously described (3). See Supplemental Methods for details.

Mouse models of cardiac remodeling. Mice underwent sham or SAC surgery as previously described (16). Mice were bred to animals harboring the αMHC-calciineurin transgene as previously described (17). To mimic MI, animals were subjected to LAD occlusion or sham surgery. Ang II infusions were performed at 2 mg/kg/d for 14 days. See Supplemental Methods for details.

LNA-based knockdown of miR-21. The LNA-antimiR or LNA scrambled control oligonucleotides were injected at the indicated time points and formed at 2 mg/kg/d for 14 days. See Supplemental Methods for details.

Experimental procedures are described in detail in Supplemental Methods.
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