Dose-escalated radiation therapy for localized prostate cancer (PCa) has a clear therapeutic benefit; however, escalated doses may also increase injury to noncancerous tissues. Radiosensitizing agents can improve ionizing radiation (IR) potency, but without targeted delivery, these agents will also sensitize surrounding normal tissues. Here we describe the development of prostate-targeted RNAi agents that selectively sensitized prostate-specific membrane antigen–positive (PSMA-positive) cells to IR. siRNA library screens identified DNA-activated protein kinase, catalytic polypeptide (DNAPK) as an ideal radiosensitization target. DNAPK shRNAs, delivered by PSMA-targeting RNA aptamers, selectively reduced DNAPK in PCa cells, xenografts, and human prostate tissues. Aptamer-targeted DNAPK shRNAs, combined with IR, dramatically and specifically enhanced PSMA-positive tumor response to IR. These findings support aptamer-shRNA chimeras as selective sensitizing agents for the improved treatment of high-risk localized PCa.
Dose-escalated radiation therapy for localized prostate cancer (PCa) has a clear therapeutic benefit; however, escalated doses may also increase injury to noncancerous tissues. Radiosensitizing agents can improve ionizing radiation (IR) potency, but without targeted delivery, these agents will also sensitize surrounding normal tissues. Here we describe the development of prostate-targeted RNAi agents that selectively sensitized prostate-specific membrane antigen–positive (PSMA-positive) cells to IR. siRNA library screens identified DNA-activated protein kinase, catalytic polypeptide (DNAPK) as an ideal radiosensitization target. DNAPK shRNAs, delivered by PSMA-targeting RNA aptamers, selectively reduced DNAPK in PCa cells, xenografts, and human prostate tissues. Aptamer-targeted DNAPK shRNAs, combined with IR, dramatically and specifically enhanced PSMA-positive tumor response to IR. These findings support aptamer-shRNA chimeras as selective sensitizing agents for the improved treatment of high-risk localized PCa.

Introduction

An estimated 1 in 6 men will be diagnosed with prostate cancer (PCa). Although the majority of these men can be successfully treated with surgery or radiation therapy, approximately 20%–40% will biochemically recur within 10 years of treatment (1). This risk of recurrence is elevated to approximately 50% for men with locally advanced disease, a condition that is primarily managed by radiation therapy (2, 3). Thus, new technologies that improve the therapeutic index of radiation therapy for local disease have the opportunity to significantly affect the morbidity and mortality of PCa.

Ionizing radiation (IR) causes multiple types of cellular injury, of which DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) are considered the most cytotoxic (4). Naturally occurring mutations in genes that sense or repair DNA damage are associated with increased sensitivity to IR (5, 6). Chemical or siRNA inhibition of DNA repair proteins, such as ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) or NBS1, also results in cellular hypersensitivity to IR (7–9). Although these approaches have potential, they lack a means to selectively target cancer cells or specific tissues. Prostate-targeted radiosensitization approaches have the potential to both increase the therapeutic effect of IR and reduce radiation-associated damage to other pelvic tissues.

RNAi is a promising new therapeutic approach. The challenge for translating RNAi therapy is delivery, particularly for specific cell types. One developing delivery approach is RNA aptamers, which are nuclease-stabilized targeting molecules capable of binding ligands in much the same way as antibodies (10–12). We have previously developed prostate-specific membrane antigen–targeted (PSMA-targeted) RNA aptamers (13), which are capable of targeting drugs, nanoparticles, and toxins to PSMA-expressing PCa cells and tumors (14–18). When conjugated to siRNAs and shRNAs, these PSMA aptamers are also capable of delivering cell-selective gene knockdown (19–23). Because PSMA is highly expressed in nearly all localized prostate tumors (24, 25), we hypothesized that PSMA-targeted aptamer-shRNA chimeras could be used to inhibit DNA repair pathways in prostatic cells for enhanced radiation therapy of locally advanced PCa.

Results

High-throughput siRNA screen of DNA repair pathway genes for radiosensitization. To explore the combination of IR with siRNA, we screened a custom siRNA library against 249 mRNAs, primarily encoding critical DNA repair proteins. The goal was to identify radiosensitizing target genes and corresponding siRNAs. Radiosensitization was calculated as percent increased cell death associated with a gene-specific siRNA after radiation therapy (6 Gy) compared with irradiated cells transfected with a control siRNA. Candidate targets were defined as those for which 2 separate siRNAs, targeting the same gene, enhanced radiation-induced cell death above the SD of the library mean (Figure 1 and Supplemental Table 1; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI45109DS1). In total, 10 candidate genes were identified as PCa radiosensitization targets, 6 of which were separately confirmed by clonogenic survival assays (Figure 2). Effective target gene knockdown at the time of irradiation was confirmed by quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR; Supplemental Figure 1). The dose-modifying factor (DMF0.1) was calculated for each target as the ratio of IR dose required for 90% cell kill by control siRNA versus gene-specific siRNA, and 3 target genes — specifically, the catalytic subunit of DNA-activated protein kinase, catalytic polypeptide (DNAPK); mitotic spindle assembly checkpoint protein MAD2B (MAD2L2); and breast cancer type 2 susceptibility protein (BRCA2) — achieved DMF0.1 of 1.6 by siRNA inhibition. The remaining 3 target genes achieved DMF0.1 of 1.3 in clonogenic survival assays. All 6 candidate genes were verified as radiosensitizing targets in a second cell line, the PSMA-positive cell and tumor model LNCaP (Supplemental Figure 2). Interestingly, the siRNA screen primarily identified genes involved in DSB repair, except RAD23B, a gene involved in excision repair (26).
Aptamer-shRNA chimera selective targeting. Candidate and previously identified radiosensitizing siRNAs (8) were then linked to the PSMA-targeting A10-3 aptamer for selective cell delivery. We designed aptamer-shRNA chimeras as a single intact nuclease-stabilized 2′ fluoro-modified pyrimidine transcript. The 3′-terminus of the A10-3 aptamer was conjugated to the passenger (sense) strand of the siRNA, followed by a 10-mer loop sequence and then by the guide or silencing (antisense) strand of the siRNA. The secondary structures of each aptamer-shRNA chimera were evaluated by mFold (http://mfold.rna.albany.edu/) to predict proper folding of the aptamer portion (Figure 3A). Control chimeras were generated with nonspecific shRNAs (referred to herein as A10-3–Con) or nontargeting aptamer portions (denoted by the prefix Neg- followed by the target gene; Figure 3B). LNCaP cells were treated with aptamer-shRNA chimeras, in the absence of transfection reagents, and changes in target gene mRNA were evaluated by qRT-PCR relative to untreated cells. The efficiency of chimera A10-3–DNAPK was first evaluated at 4, 40, and 400 nM in LNCaP cells, and 400 nM was determined to be the most effective dose (Figure 3C). Within 48 hours of treatment at 400 nM, aptamer-targeted shRNA caused significant reductions in DNAPK, BRCA2, and ATM mRNA levels (Figure 3, D–F). A10-3–Con and aptamer control chimeras (Neg-DNAPK, Neg-BRCA2, and Neg-ATM) had no detectable effect on target mRNA levels. Transfected siRNA served as a positive control for knockdown of each gene. Since delivered shRNAs could potentially induce nonspecific inflammatory responses that cause cellular toxicity (27), we then evaluated by ELISA whether INF-β was induced in LNCaP cells either transfected with DNAPK siRNAs or treated with aptamer-shRNA chimeras. The applied treatment conditions caused no detectable increases in INF-β (Supplemental Figure 3).

In vitro and in vivo PSMA selectivity and aptamer-shRNA chimera processing. To further confirm PSMA-selective targeting, a second and previously described isogenic cell model of PSMA-expressing PC3 cells (PC3-PIP) and PSMA-negative control cells (PC3-Flu) (28) was subjected to aptamer-shRNA chimera treatment. A10-3–DNAPK treatment selectively reduced DNAPK levels in PC3-PIP cells, but
Figure 3
Aptamer-shRNA chimeras and PSMA-targeted DNA repair gene knockdown. (A and B) Secondary structure of (A) A10-3–DNAPK and (B) Neg-DNAPK. Aptamer and shRNA portions are shown by brackets. (C–F) Aptamer-shRNA chimera–mediated RNAi in the absence of transfection reagents. (C) LNCaP cells were treated with 0, 4, 40, or 400 nM A10-3–DNAPK for 48 hours, and DNAPK mRNA levels were quantified by qRT-PCR. LNCaP cells were treated with 400 nM of (D) A10-3–DNAPK, (E) A10-3–BRCA2, or (F) A10-3–ATM for 48 hours. Respective target gene mRNA levels were quantified by qRT-PCR. Appropriate siRNAs were transfected (100 nM) as positive controls. Expression is normalized to GAPDH. Mean ± SEM (n = 3). *P < 0.05.
The processing of aptamer-shRNA chimeras by RNAi machinery was evaluated. Aptamer-shRNA chimeras were incubated in the presence or absence of recombinant human Dicer for 1 hour at 37°C. shRNA cleavage products were obtained in samples treated with Dicer, whereas no cleavage products were detected in its absence (Figure 4C). We further interrogated aptamer-shRNA chimera processing in an intact cell model. LNCaP cells were treated with A10-3 aptamer-shRNA chimeras in the absence of transfection reagents and evaluated for the presence of the desired siRNA product by Northern blotting. A10-3–DNAPK and A10-3–Con were effectively internalized and processed to produce antisense siRNAs (Figure 4D). Collectively, these results support that A10-3 aptamer–shRNA bound PSMA, were internalized into cells, and were processed by RNAi machinery, whereas Neg-DNAPK could not enter cells to be processed. In the absence of extensive cell washing, the unprocessed chimeras were detectable by Northern blot, and levels indicated that approximately half of the A10-3 aptamer–shRNA chimeras were internalized and processed to the mature siRNAs in the experimental time period. Processed siRNAs from aptamer-shRNA chimeras resulted in products that were slightly different compared with reference siRNA. Next, aptamer-targeted DNAPK RNAi was evaluated in vivo. LNCaP xenografts with A10-3–DNAPK chimera resulted in siRNA-mediated DNAPK cleavage.

**Figure 4**

PSMA selectivity and aptamer-shRNA chimera processing. (A and B) PSMA selectivity. (A) PC3-PIP or (B) PC3-Flu cells were treated with 400 nM aptamer-shRNA chimeras for 48 hours, and DNAPK expression was quantified by qRT-PCR. siRNA DNAPK (100 nM transfected) was included as a positive control. Expression is normalized to GAPDH. Mean ± SEM (n = 3). *P < 0.05. (C) Aptamer-shRNA chimera processing by Dicer in vitro. Cleavage products were analyzed by denaturing PAGE and ethidium bromide staining. Image is inverted for clarity. (D) Cell-based RNAi processing assay. LNCaP cells were treated with 400 nM aptamer-shRNA chimeras, and RNA was extracted 48 hours later for Northern blot assay. Probes are specific to corresponding antisense siRNAs. ds, double-stranded; ss, single-stranded. (E and F) Targeted in vivo knockdown. Subcutaneous LNCaP tumors were injected with aptamer-shRNA chimeras (200 pmol/injection) on days –3 and –2 and harvested on day 0, and DNAPK expression was determined. (E) qRT-PCR. Mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05. (F) Immunohistochemistry. Original magnification, ×400. (G and H) 5′-RACE PCR analysis to assess siRNA-mediated cleavage of DNAPK. (G) LNCaP cells transfected with DNAPK siRNA or with A10-3–DNAPK chimeras produced a specific DNAPK cleavage product. (H) In vivo treatment of LNCaP xenografts with A10-3–DNAPK chimera resulted in siRNA-mediated DNAPK cleavage.

not in PC3-Flu cells (Figure 4, A and B). We next evaluated the processing of aptamer-shRNA chimeras by RNAi machinery. Aptamer-shRNA chimeras were incubated in the presence or absence of recombinant human Dicer for 1 hour at 37°C. shRNA cleavage products were obtained in samples treated with Dicer, whereas no cleavage products were detected in its absence (Figure 4C). We further interrogated aptamer-shRNA chimera processing in an intact cell model. LNCaP cells were treated with A10-3 aptamer-shRNA chimeras in the absence of transfection reagents and evaluated for the presence of the desired siRNA product by Northern blotting. A10-3–DNAPK and A10-3–Con were effectively internalized and processed to produce antisense siRNAs (Figure 4D). Collectively, these results support that A10-3 aptamer–shRNA bound PSMA, were internalized into cells, and were processed by RNAi machinery, whereas Neg-DNAPK could not enter cells to be processed. In the absence of extensive cell washing, the unprocessed chimeras were detectable by Northern blot, and levels indicated that approximately half of the A10-3 aptamer–shRNA chimeras were internalized and processed to the mature siRNAs in the experimental time period. Processed siRNAs from aptamer-shRNA chimeras resulted in products that were slightly different compared with reference siRNA. Next, aptamer-targeted DNAPK RNAi was evaluated in vivo. LNCaP xenografts were established subcutaneously in nude male mice and treated with 200 pmol of targeted and control aptamer-shRNA chimeras on days –3 and –2 and harvested on day 0, and DNAPK expression was determined. (E) qRT-PCR. Mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05. (F) Immunohistochemistry. Original magnification, ×400. (G and H) 5′-RACE PCR analysis to assess siRNA-mediated cleavage of DNAPK. (G) LNCaP cells transfected with DNAPK siRNA or with A10-3–DNAPK chimeras produced a specific DNAPK cleavage product. (H) In vivo treatment of LNCaP xenografts with A10-3–DNAPK chimera resulted in siRNA-mediated DNAPK cleavage.
total DNAPK protein staining per nuclear area by FrIDA image analysis (29) indicated a 52% protein reduction in A10-3–DNAPK–treated tumors compared with A10-3–Con treatment. Finally, we confirmed that aptamer-shRNA chimera–mediated DNAPK knockdown occurs through RNAi by identifying DNAPK mRNA cleavage products with 5′–rapid amplification of cDNA ends (5′-RACE) in cell line and animal model treatments (Figure 4, G and H). These studies establish aptamer and PSMA-selective targeted RNAi of DNA repair protein production in prostate tumor models.

Aptamer-targeted radiosensitization in human PCa cell and tumor models. The most potent aptamer-shRNA chimera targeted the catalytic subunit of DNAPK. This chimera was therefore selected for targeted radiosensitization studies in LNCaP cells. LNCaP, rather than PC3-PIP, was selected for these studies due to the cells’ consistent and high-level PSMA expression (Supplemental Figure 4). Treatment of LNCaP cells with A10-3–DNAPK in the absence of transfection reagents significantly increased cell death after IR compared with controls (Figure 5A). These results support the hypothesis of aptamer-targeted radiosensitization. LNCaP tumors and PC3 tumors were then established subcutaneously in male nude mice and intratumorally injected twice with 200 pmol targeted or control aptamer-shRNA chimeras (days –3 and –2) and either 6 Gy IR or no radiation (day 0). (B) PC3 tumor model (n = 3 per group). A10-3–DNAPK provided no significant therapeutic benefit to nonirradiated or irradiated PC3 tumors. Radiation similarly affected growth in all treatment groups. Mean ± SEM. (C) LNCaP tumor model (n ≥ 6 per group). Radiation similarly affected growth in all treatment groups except irradiated A10-3–DNAPK. *P < 0.05, ***P < 0.001, A10-3–DNAPK IR vs. A10-3–Con IR and A10-3–DNAPK IR vs. Neg-DNAPK IR; 2-way ANOVA. Mean ± SEM. (D) Extension of tumor quadrupling for LNCaP tumor model. Events (animals whose tumor volume was not yet 4-fold the size at injection) were plotted by Kaplan-Meier curve. P < 0.01, A10-3–Con IR vs. A10-3–Con and Neg-DNAPK IR vs. Neg-DNAPK; P < 0.0001, A10-3–DNAPK IR vs. A10-3–Con IR and A10-3–DNAPK IR vs. Neg-DNAPK IR; log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test.
shRNA chimeras (Figure 5D). Thus, in cell and tumor models, aptamer-targeted knockdown of DNAPK selectively enhanced radiosensitivity and increased therapeutic effect.

Aptamer-shRNA chimeras—specific knockdown of the target gene in human prostate tissue. Finally, to determine whether aptamer-shRNA chimeras would be effective in human tissue, we used a unique human tissue model in which fresh sections of histologically normal human prostate were obtained from radical prostatectomy specimens and immediately maintained ex vivo (30). PSMA expression in these noncancerous tissue sections were confirmed by qRT-PCR prior to treatment. Tissue was then treated with A10-3–DNAPK and control aptamer-shRNA chimeras in the absence of transfection reagents. Quantitative image analysis found DNAPK immunostaining to be decreased by 25% in normal prostate epithelial cells 2 days after treatment with A10-3–DNAPK compared with those treated with control aptamer-shRNA chimeras (Figure 6). Thus, aptamer-shRNA chimeras are effective in treating human prostate tissues. We anticipate that knockdown in cancer specimens would be much more substantial because of known elevated PSMA expression in primary prostate tumors.

Discussion
Here we describe a model to test the hypothesis that the therapeutic index for local treatment of PCa can be improved by selectively sensitizing PCa cells to IR. The therapeutic strategy to deliver dose-escalated radiation therapy to the prostate, historically considered as more than approximately 70 Gy, has been constrained by the limited tolerance of the urinary tract and rectum (31, 32). Thus, strategies that provide the benefits of dose-escalated radiation without the associated risks to normal tissue, the concomitant expensive high-tech infrastructure, and/or the added use of androgen suppression could have a significant impact on PCa morbidity and mortality.

DNA repair pathways are an attractive therapeutic target for radiosensitization. DSBs are generally regarded as the most lethal of all DNA lesions; if unrepaired, they severely threaten not only the integrity of the genome, but also the survival of the organism (33–35). To combat the intricate nature of DSBs, complex repair pathways have evolved. Thus, multiple steps of DSB repair pathways, enzymes, and proteins are potential targets for RNAi-induced radiosensitization therapy. Here we report what may be the first high-throughput screen of DNA repair pathways by siRNA in combination with radiation therapy. Of 249 mRNAs screened, only 6 candidates were identified by at least 2 siRNAs and confirmed in separate PCa cell line models. These target genes are likely good candidates for radiosensitization in other tissue and cancer types.

Since the discovery of RNAi, this pathway has been widely recognized as a new frontier for human therapeutics, and many human clinical trials using this technology are currently planned or in progress. As with other therapeutic approaches, there is a need for selective tissue targeting to minimize damage to normal tissues (36–39). We have previously developed PSMA-targeting aptamers as a means to selectively deliver therapeutic and imaging agent to PCa cells (13). Several groups have independently used these aptamers to target therapeutics, including siRNAs and shRNAs (19–21, 23). Here, we have also extended on the initial work by generating 2’ fluoro-modified pyrimidine aptamer-shRNA chimeras as selective radiosensitizing agents. The conjugates retained PSMA targeting ability, and the shRNA portion of the aptamer-shRNA chimera was effectively processed by RNAi machinery to the predicted antisense siRNA. There was a slight difference in the siRNA product size compared with the reference siRNA, which may be caused by 2’-fluoro-modifications or by cleavage somewhere in the aptamer loop. Similar size differences have been seen in aptamer-siRNA chimera studies (20). The resulting siRNA product was then free to degrade the target transcript at the predicted site, as demonstrated by 5′-RACE. These results support that aptamer-shRNA chimeras can be developed for virtually any target gene, including those that sensitize cancer cells to standard therapeutic approaches. Some advantages of aptamer-shRNA chimeras are their simplicity, potential for chemical synthesis, safety, and low toxicity (40–42).

The goal of this study was to develop aptamer-shRNA chimeras as tools that may benefit men being treated with radiation therapy for localized PCa. Although radiation therapy of low-risk PCa is highly successful, there are treatment-related risks that could be diminished with a radiation dose-reducing strategy predicated on our aptamer-shRNA chimera approach. Moreover, treatment efficacy of higher-risk local tumors could be improved with radiosensitization while also minimizing side effects. Preliminary studies here suggested that DNAPK knockdown could improve therapeutic efficacy by almost 10-fold (Figure 2A). There is further potential to improve this technology. Dassie and colleagues recently reported a truncated and more potent form of PSMA aptamer-shRNA chimera that was effective at knocking-down polo-like kinase 1 (plk1) with low nanomolar concentrations (20). This smaller chimera was capable of knocking down plk1 in established subcutaneous tumors after repeated intraperitoneal injection. Thus, there is potential that the current A10-3–DNAPK chimeras could be improved by modification and be applicable to metastatic disease. Inhibition of DNA repair pathways can also sensitize cells to chemotherapeutics, such as alkylating agents and topoisomerase inhibitors, therefore...
providing a potential mechanism for systemic chemosensitization (8). We are therefore looking to develop next-generation aptamer chimeras that may benefit men with metastatic PCa.

In summary, our results indicate that the aptamer-shRNA chimeras retain PSMA-selective targeting, proper Dicer shRNA processing, and subsequent target gene knockdown in PCa cells, tumor xenografts, and normal human prostatic tissue models. This targeted treatment markedly enhanced the benefits of radiation therapy in both cellular and tumor models, supporting the concept that aptamer-shRNA chimeras may be exploited as a means to improve radiation therapy for locally advanced PCa.

Methods

Cell culture. PCa cell lines DU145 (ATCC no. HTB-81), LNCaP (ATCC no. CRL-1740), PC3 (ATCC no. CRL-1435), PC3-PIP, and PC3-Flu (gift of W. Heston, Lerner Research Institute, Cleveland, Ohio, USA) were grown in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% FBS and maintained at 37°C and 5% CO2.

DNA repair siRNA library screen. A custom siRNA library included 496 siRNAs targeting 249 genes and controls (Qiagen). 2 × 10^4 DU145 were Hiperfect reverse transfected (Qiagen) in triplicate in 96-well plates formatted with 5 nM siRNA. 72 hours later, cells were irradiated (6 Gy in a Gammacell 40 [Nordion] 137Cs radiator at approximately 0.6 Gy/min). Cell viability was assessed after 12 days by MTS.

Aptamer processing analysis. In vitro Dicer assay, 1 μg of each aptamer-shRNA chimera was incubated with recombinant human Dicer following the manufacturer’s recommendations (Recombinant Human Turbo Dicer Kit; GTS). For cellular Dicer assay, RNA from aptamer-shRNA chimera–treated LNCaP cells (as described above) were evaluated by Northern blot. Probes were as follows: DNAPK siRNA antisense, 5′-TTCCGGCTAACTCGCCAGTTTA-3′; control siRNA antisense, 5′-AAATTCTCGAAGCTTGACGTT-3′.

5′ RACE. mRNA (5 μg) from LNCaP cells or LNCaP tumor treated with aptamer-shRNA chimeras was ligated to GeneRacer adaptor (Invitrogen). Ligated RNA was reverse transcribed using a gene-specific primer (GSP[DNAPK] reverse 1, 5′-GGAGGCGCTCTCTGGACAACATCAT-3′). To detect cleavage products, PCR was performed using primers complementary to the RNA adaptor (GR primer, 5′-CTCTAGAGCCAGCTGAGGAGAACACTA-3′) and gene-specific primer (GSP[DNAPK] reverse 2, 5′-GGAGGGCCGAGTGCGCGT-3′). Amplification products were resolved by agarose gel electrophoresis, visualized by ethidium bromide staining, and confirmed by sequencing.

Aptamer-shRNA chimera–mediated gene silencing and radiosensitization assay

Aptamer-shRNA chimeras (Supplemental Table 3) were fused to a corresponding shRNA by PCR (Supplemental Table 4) were purified by gel electrophoresis (13).

Aptamer-shRNA chimeras. Aptamer-shRNA chimeras were generated as follows. PSMA-targeting (A10-3) or nontargeting (Neg) template primers (Supplemental Table 3) were fused to a corresponding shRNA by PCR with Pfu polymerase (NEB). These first DNA templates were column purified (Qiagen) and separately used as templates for secondary PCR with A10-3 or Neg 5′-primer and the appropriate second primer for each gene by Taq polymerase (Qiagen). After column purification, products were TA cloned (Promega) and sequenced. PCR products from plasmid or the secondary PCR were used as templates for DuraScribe T7 transcription according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Epicenter Biotechnologies). Aptamer-shRNA chimeras (Supplemental Table 4) were purified by gel electrophoresis (13).

Aptamer-shRNA chimeras–mediated gene silencing and radiosensitization assay. 2 × 10^4 cells (LNCaP, PC3-PIP, or PC3-Flu) were Hiperfect transfected with 100 nM siRNA (Supplemental Table 5) in 6-well plates or treated with 4, 40, or 400 nM of aptamer-shRNA chimeras. After 48 hours, cells were either collected for qRT-PCR or seeded in 96-well plates at 2,000 cells/well. 24 hours later, cells were irradiated with 6 Gy using a Gammacell 40 (Nordion) 137Cs radiator at approximately 0.6 Gy/min. Cell viability was assessed after 12 days by MTS.

Immunohistochemistry. Paraffin-embedded sections (4 μm) were taken from xenograft tumors or human tissues. Slides were deparaffinized and rehydrated through a series of ethanol gradients, then treated with 0.1% Tween 20 detergent in deionized water and incubated in Target Retrieval solution (Dako) and in steam (Black and Decker Vegetable Steamer), then washed in PBS with Tween. After 3% hydrogen peroxide incubation, primary antibody anti-DNAPK (Ab-2, mouse mAb; Calbiochem) was added to each slide. A second antibody, Powervision (Poly-HP anti-mouse IgG; Leica Biosystems) was applied to the specimens according to the manufacturer’s standard protocol. The staining was developed with diaminobenzidine (DAB kit; Vector Laboratories) and counterstained with Mayer hematoxylin. Images were captured for presentation using a Nikon 50i microscope with Nikon NIS-Elements software and an attached charge-coupled device digital camera. Brightfield setting was the same for all images. For quantification of DNAPK, whole DAB staining slides were scanned via ScanScope CS system (Aperio Technologies Inc.) at the Tissue Micro Array Core of Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, and total DNAPK expression per cell nucleus was measured from 5–8 areas of tissue specimen for 500–1,000 cells using Framework for Image Dataset Analysis (Frida) software (http://bui2.win.ad.jhu.edu/frida/) as previously described (29).

http://bui2.win.ad.jhu.edu/frida/
**Ex vivo human prostate tissue model.** Fresh human prostate tissue samples were obtained from the Department of Pathology of Johns Hopkins University. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at Johns Hopkins Medical Institution (approval no. NA_00015481), and informed consent was obtained from patients participating in the study. Fresh tissue representing histologically normal areas was bored from radical prostatectomy specimens and sliced at 30 μm with a Krumdieck precision tissue slicer (Alabama Research and Development Corp.; ref. 30). The tissue slices were loaded onto titanium grids in 6-well plates containing culture medium with 200 nM aptamer-shRNA chimeras and rotated on an inclined plane in a humidified tissue culture incubator at 37°C for 48 hours before being processed for immunohistochemical staining and quantification as above.

**Statistics.** Statistical analysis data of tumor size was evaluated by 2-way ANOVA. A P value of 0.05 or less was considered significant. For the extension of tumor quadrupling experiments, events (animals whose tumor volume was not yet 4-fold the size at injection) were plotted on a Kaplan-Meier curve and analyzed by log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test. Paired samples were evaluated by 2-tailed Student’s t-test.
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