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Introduction

ISG15, the product of IFN-stimulated gene 15, is the first reported ubiquitin-like protein (1). ISG15 is robustly induced by type I IFNs, lipopolysaccharide, and viruses (2–7). Like ubiquitin conjugation, ISGylation of target proteins involves 3-step cascade enzymes: ISG15-activating E1 enzyme (UBE1L), ISG15-conjugating E2 enzyme (UbchH8), and ISG15 E3 ligases (e.g., HERC5 and EFP) (5, 8–11). Proteomics studies have identified more than 300 cellular proteins that are targeted for ISGylation (10, 12, 13). However, only a dozen of the candidates have been validated as authentic substrates (14, 15), and their functional significance remains largely unknown. Intriguingly, UBE1L has been suggested to serve as a tumor suppressor, since it is not detectable in several human lung cancer cell lines, colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line SW480, and leukemia cell lines (16–18). Furthermore, its overexpression inhibits the growth of human bronchial epithelial cells and lung cancer cells (19). However, it remains unknown how ISGylation of specific target proteins affects cellular processes involved in the control of tumorigenesis.

The p53 protein family includes 3 transcription factors, p53, p63, and p73, all of which can induce cell cycle arrest and apoptosis, thus functioning as tumor suppressors (22, 23). In addition, mice heterozygous for mutations in both p53 and p63 were shown to display higher tumor burden and metastasis compared with p53+/− mice (24). Conversely, it was also shown that p63+/− mice are not tumor prone, and mice heterozygous for both p63 and p53 have fewer tumors than do p53+/− mice (25). Importantly, TAp63 isoatypes were shown to act as mediators of cell senescence independently of p53 (26). Thus, it appears that TAp63 can inhibit tumorigenesis not only by promoting expression of p53-responsive genes, but also by inducing senescence.

The p63α isoatypes contain a transactivation-inhibitory (TI) domain in their C termini, which can interact with TA domain and suppress TAp63-mediated transactivation (27). Therefore, the smaller TAp63β and TAp63γ, which lack TI domain, are more transcriptionally active than TAp63α. Recently, the mechanism by which TI domain inhibits the activity of TAp63α has been demonstrated (28). In unstressed oocytes, TAp63α is kept in a dimeric, closed, and inactive conformation. Both the N-terminal TA and C-terminal TI domains are required to form this closed state. Upon activation, such as by γ-irradiation, a conformational switch is triggered to release the inhibitory interactions, allowing TAp63α to tetramerize and interact with the transcriptional machinery through its TA domain.

Having TI domain but lacking TA domain, ΔNp63α is hence capable of suppressing transactivation by TA isoatypes (27, 29, 30). Accordingly, ΔNp63α inhibits the activity of p53 family members in a dominant-negative manner, contributing to cell proliferation and tumorigenesis. ΔNp63α is the most abundant p63 iso type in many proliferating epithelial tissues (31–33). Importantly, its expression is frequently amplified in human epithelial cancers, such as squamous cell carcinomas, advanced cervical carcinomas, urothelial bladder carcinomas, and human breast carcinomas, supporting its role in tumorigenesis (34–37). Moreover, dysregulated expression of p63 frequently occurs in conjunction with ampli-
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specification of its genomic region at 3q27-29 in a subset of human epithelial cancers (36, 38). In addition to the role of ΔNp63α as a negative regulator of TA isoforms, ΔNp63 can activate subsets of target genes, likely due to the presence of a second TA domain (39–43). For example, ΔNp63α initially induces expression of the extracellular matrix component Fras1 for maintaining integrity of the epidermal-dermal interface at the basement membrane and subsequently IkB kinase–α for initiation of epidermal terminal differentiation (44). It was also shown that knockdown of p63 causes downregulation of cell adhesion–associated genes in mammary epithelial cells and keratinocytes, whereas overexpression of ΔNp63α isoforms upregulates cell adhesion molecules (31). In addition, ΔNp63α was shown to target the chromatin remodeling Lsh protein to drive skin stem cell proliferation and tumorigenesis (45). Thus, ΔNp63α also plays a key role in maintaining epithelial morphogenesis and homeostasis.

Several studies have shown that modification of ΔNp63α by ubiquitin and SUMO is involved in its destabilization (46–49). Itch and NEDD4 act as E3 ligases for ΔNp63 ubiquitination (50–52). WWP1 also serves as an ubiquitin E3 ligase for both ΔNp63α and TA (53). However, it was recently shown that WWP1 binds specifically to ΔNp63α, but does not trigger proteasome-dependent degradation (54). WWP1-dependent ubiquitination occurs through formation of K63-linked polyubiquitin chains, leading to the control of ΔNp63α-dependent transcription.

Chemosensitivity is a key to the action of anticancer drugs in clinical applications. Therefore, it is important to dissect the biochemical processes of relevant modifications in response to anticancer drugs and understand the functional implications of these regulatory processes. A good strategy for addressing the function of ISGylation in the control of tumorigenesis is to search target proteins for ISGylation in the context of treatment with chemotherapeutic agents. Here, we identified ΔNp63α as a target for ISGylation in response to anticancer drugs such as doxorubicin and camptothecin, a finding we believe to be novel. Furthermore, caspase-2 was found to specifically cleave ISGylated ΔNp63α, resulting in release of its C-terminal TI domain to the cytoplasm. This export abrogates the dominant-negative function of ΔNp63α toward transcriptional active TA isoforms, leading to tumor suppression. TA(ΔNp63α) was also ISGylated and cleaved by caspase-2, and its TI domain was released to the cytoplasm, thus yielding a transcriptionally active form of TA(ΔNp63α). These findings demonstrated that ISGylation of p63 isoforms plays a pivotal role in the control of tumorigenesis in response to chemotherapeutic agents.

Results

Chemotherapeutic drugs induce ISGylation of ΔNp63α. Cancer chemotherapeutics have been shown to increase the levels of ISG15 and its conjugates, suggesting a role for ISG15 as a tumor suppressor. For example, treatment with camptothecin leads to an increase in the level of ISG15 mRNA (55). Furthermore, treatment with both IFNs and camptothecin causes synergistic killing of colorectal cancer xenografts in nude mice (56). In order to clarify the role of ISG15 in tumor suppression, it is essential to identify target proteins that are ISGylated in response to chemotherapeutic drugs. Therefore, we first examined whether the drugs can induce the components of ISGylation machinery in a nonmalignant but highly proliferative mammary epithelial cell line, MCF10A. Both doxorubicin and camptothecin, but not cisplatin, apparently elevated the mRNA levels of ISG15, UBE1L, and UbcH8 (Figure 1A). This increase was observable from about 6 hours and became maximal by about 24 hours after drug treatment. Consistently, doxorubicin and camptothecin, but not cisplatin, dramatically elevated their protein levels, leading to induction of cellular protein ISGylation (Figure 1B).

Since doxorubicin increased the levels of ISGylated cellular proteins more evidently than did camptothecin, we used doxorubicin to identify target proteins for ISGylation. MCF10A cells cultured with and without doxorubicin were subjected to immunoprecipitation using anti-ISG15 antibody–immobilized resins. Proteins bound to the resins were subjected to SDS-PAGE (Figure 1C) and mass spectrometry. Since the identified proteins included p63 (Supplemental Table 1; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI61762DS1), and since ΔNp63α is an oncoprotein (36), we examined whether ΔNp63α could be ISGylated. Overexpression of ΔNp63α with UBE1L, UbcH8, and ISG15 (referred to as ISG15-conjugating system) led to the appearance of at least 2 ISGylated ΔNp63α bands (Figure 1D). IP analysis also revealed that doxorubicin and camptothecin, but not cisplatin, markedly induced ISGylation of endogenous ΔNp63α in MCF10A cells (Figure 1E). Furthermore, as shown in Figure 1F, doxorubicin and camptothecin, but not cisplatin, robustly induced ISGylation of endogenous ΔNp63α in cancer cells, including HNSCC013 (head and neck squamous carcinoma), HCC1937 (human breast carcinoma), and FaDu (pharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma), all of which are known to highly express ΔNp63α (36, 37). In addition, both doxorubicin and camptothecin elevated the mRNA levels of ISG15, UBE1L, and UbcH8 in the cancer cells (Supplemental Figure 1 and data not shown). To determine whether the inability of cisplatin to induce ΔNp63α ISGylation is due to instability of the drug itself, the cancer cells were treated with increasing amounts of cisplatin. No sign of ΔNp63α ISGylation was detected at all concentrations tested (Supplemental Figure 2). These results indicate that ΔNp63α is a target protein for ISGylation in cancer cells as well as in rapidly proliferating normal epithelial cells in response to doxorubicin or camptothecin, but not cisplatin.

Identification of ISGylation sites in ΔNp63α. To determine ISGylation sites, various deletions of ΔNp63α were expressed in Hela cells with ISG15-conjugating system (Figure 2A). All F3-containing constructs (i.e., having the aa sequence 1–210 and 211–330 in F5 (aa 211–330–586), but not F4 (aa 331–586), were conjugated by ISG15, which indicates that F3 and the sequence 211–330 have ISGylation sites, various deletions of ΔNp63α were expressed in cell lysates decreased in parallel with the level of ΔNp63α ISGylation (Figure 2D), which indicates that ΔNp63α has 2 ISGylation sites. To confirm this finding, we replaced both of the lysine residues by arginine in full-length ΔNp63α. The double K-to-R mutation (referred to herein as KR) completely blocked ΔNp63α ISGylation (Figure 2D), which indicates that K319 and K324 are the ISGylation sites in ΔNp63α.

ISGylation is required for caspase-mediated cleavage of ΔNp63α. Notably, the level of ΔNp63α in cell lysates decreased in parallel with an increase in ISGylated ΔNp63α (Figure 1, E and F). To determine whether ISGylation of ΔNp63α affects its stability, ΔNp63α and KR were complemented to H1299 cells, a non–small-cell lung carcinoma cell line that does not express any detectable p63 or...
p53 protein (30). Doxorubicin induced the cleavage of ΔNp63α, but not KR, with generation of the 55-kDa product (Figure 3A). Furthermore, the ΔNp63α level declined in UBE1L+/+ but not UBE1L−/−, mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) upon doxorubicin treatment (Figure 3B). These results indicate that ISGylation of ΔNp63α is required for its cleavage.

ΔNp63α can be degraded by ubiquitin-proteasome system (46, 47, 50–52) as well as by caspase, although it was unknown which caspases specifically degrade ΔNp63α (30, 57). Therefore, we examined whether either or both proteases are involved in doxorubicin-induced ΔNp63α cleavage in H1299 cells. ΔNp63α cleavage was prevented by Z-VAD-fmk, a pan-caspase inhibitor,
but not by MG132, a proteasome inhibitor (Figure 3C). Moreover, endogenous ΔNp63α in MCF10A cells was cleaved into N-terminal 55-kDa and C-terminal 20-kDa fragments, and this cleavage was abolished by Z-VAD-fmk (Figure 3D). The inhibitor also prevented camptothecin-induced cleavage of endogenous ΔNp63α (Supplemental Figure 3A). On the other hand, the level of ΔNp63α remained unchanged regardless of treatment with cisplatin, Z-VAD-fmk, or both (Supplemental Figure 3B). These results indicate that ISGylated ΔNp63α is cleaved by caspases, but not by proteasome.

Caspase-2 is responsible for ΔNp63α cleavage. Caspase-2 is required for stress-induced apoptosis (58, 59). Furthermore, caspase-2-deficient germ cells and oocytes are resistant to cell death after treatment with chemotherapeutic drugs (60). In addition, caspase-2 is the only caspase that is present constitutively in the nucleus (61–63). Based on these reports, we tested whether caspase-2 cleaves ΔNp63α. A caspase-2–specific shRNA (shCasp-2), but not a caspase-9–specific shRNA (shCasp-9) or nonspecific shRNA (shNS), strongly inhibited doxorubicin-induced cleavage of ΔNp63α (Figure 4A). Furthermore, ΔNp63α was degraded by overexpression of pro–caspase-2, but not by that of its catalytically inactive mutant, which was generated by substituting the active site C303 with alanine (Figure 4B). These results indicate that caspase-2 is responsible for ΔNp63α cleavage.

Notably, overexpressed pro–caspase-2 in the absence of doxorubicin hydrolyzed ΔNp63α (Figure 4B). Although pro–caspase-2 is known to be autoactivated when overexpressed (64, 65), this result seemed contradictory to our finding that doxorubicin-induced ISGylation was required for caspase-dependent ΔNp63α cleavage (Figure 3, A and B). One possible explanation could be that doxorubicin induces not only ΔNp63α ISGylation, but also activation of endogenous caspase-2, and the activated enzyme preferentially interacts with and acts on ISGylated ΔNp63α over its unmodified form. To test this possibility, H1299 cells complemented with ΔNp63α or KR were incubated with and without doxorubicin. Indeed, doxorubicin induced endogenous caspase-2 activation (Figure 4, A and C). Moreover, the activated caspase-2 interacted with ΔNp63α, but not with its KR mutant (Figure 4C), consistent with our finding that KR was resistant to proteolysis (Figure 3A). These results indicate that ISGylated ΔNp63α is preferentially cleaved by activated endogenous caspase-2.

To confirm whether doxorubicin induces the activation of endogenous caspase-2, we administered the drug in HCC1937, HNSCC013, and FaDu cancer cells, which increased activated caspase-2 levels in a time-dependent manner (Figure 4D). Moreover, ΔNp63α cleavage was abolished by incubation with Z-VDVAD-fmk, a caspase-2–specific inhibitor (Figure 4E). These results indicate that ISGylated ΔNp63α is preferentially cleaved by activated endogenous caspase-2.

Determination of caspase-2 cleavage sites in ΔNp63α. Since doxorubicin treatment led to generation of an approximately 55-kDa fragment from ΔNp63α (Figure 3, A and D), each of 6 aspartic acid residues located around the C-terminal region of the fragment was replaced by alanine. Overexpression of pro–caspase-2
caused extensive degradation of ΔNp63α that was attenuated in part by D452A, D469A, and D489A, but not by other substitutions (Figure 4F), which suggests that caspase-2 cleaves multiple sites in ΔNp63α. Indeed, triple D-to-A mutation of these residues (referred to herein as 3DA) completely stabilized ΔNp63α under conditions of both caspase-2 overexpression and doxorubicin treatment (Figure 4G), despite the finding that 3DA showed little or no effect on doxorubicin-induced ISGylation of ΔNp63α (Figure 4H). These data indicate that D452, D469, and D489 of ΔNp63α are the caspase-2 cleavage sites. Our results also indicated that caspase-2 can cleave off the C-terminal fragment containing TI domain from ΔNp63α, and likely also from TAp63α (Figure 4I).

Previously, it was shown that apoptotic stimuli, such as UV and staurosporine treatment, induce TI domain removal from p63α isoforms by activated caspasas, such as caspase-3, and its export to the cytoplasm (30). Furthermore, cleavage of ΔNp63α relieves its inhibitory effect on TAp63 isoforms, and cleavage of TAp63α results in production of a TAp63 protein with enhanced transcriptional activity. Therefore, we examined whether caspase-3 is also involved in doxorubicin-mediated cleavage of ΔNp63α. Doxorubicin treatment led to generation of activated caspase-3 (Supplemental Figure 4A). However, neither treatment with Z-DEVD-fmk, a caspase-3–specific inhibitor, nor knockdown of caspase-3 attenuated doxorubicin-induced cleavage of ΔNp63α (Supplemental Figure 4, B and C). In addition, the cleavage site of caspase-3 in ΔNp63α, D403, did not overlap with that of caspase-2 (Supplemental Figure 5). These results indicate that caspase-2 is the major protease that cleaves ΔNp63α in response to doxorubicin.

Caspase-2–mediated cleavage of ΔNp63α releases its TI domain to the cytoplasm. TI domain is capable of binding directly to TA domain (27). Therefore, TI domain cleaved off from ΔNp63α would still be capable of inhibiting the transactivities of TA isoforms, provided it remains in the nucleus. One possible way to overcome this inhibition is the export of cleaved TI domain to the cytoplasm. To test this possibility, we determined the subcellular localization of ΔNp63α and its cleavage products using antibodies directed against the N- and C-terminal domains of ΔNp63α (referred to herein as anti-ND and anti-CD antibodies, respectively). Upon staining with anti-ND antibody, both overexpressed ΔNp63α and KR were detected exclusively in the nucleus of H1299 cells, regardless of doxorubicin treatment (Figure 5A). Numbers of cells having the N- or C-terminal fragment of ΔNp63α in the nucleus only, the cytoplasm only, and both compartments were counted (Supplemental Figure 6A). However, when stained with anti-CD antibody, ΔNp63α was found to localize in both cytoplasm and nucleus in doxorubicin-treated cells, whereas KR remained in the nucleus regardless of drug treatment (Figure 5B and Supplemental Figure 6B). Like KR, 3DA also remained in the nucleus regardless of doxorubicin treatment (Figure 5C and Supplemental Figure 6C). To confirm these findings, cells prepared as above were also subjected to subcellular fractionation. In the absence of doxorubicin, all of ΔNp63α, KR, and 3DA were detected predominantly in the nuclear fraction (Supplemental Figure 7A). In the setting of doxorubicin treatment, the level of ΔNp63α in the nuclear fraction was dramatically decreased, concurrent with an increase in the level of the C-terminal fragments in the cytoplasmic fraction, whereas KR and 3DA remained exclusively in the nuclear fraction. These results suggest that TI domain is exported to the cytoplasm upon caspase-2–mediated cleavage of ISGylated ΔNp63α in the nucleus in response to doxorubicin.

We next examined subcellular localization of endogenous ΔNp63α under conditions of caspase-2 inhibition or depletion by staining HNSCC013 cells with anti-CD antibody. In the absence of Z-VDVAD-fmk, ΔNp63α was detected predominantly in the cytoplasm of more than 60% of doxorubicin-treated cells (Figure 5D and Supplemental Figure 6D); in its presence, however, ΔNp63α remained exclusively in the nucleus. IB analysis also revealed that Z-VDVAD-fmk treatment abolished generation

Figure 3
ISGylation of ΔNp63α is required for its caspase-mediated cleavage. (A) H1299 cells complemented with HisMax-tagged ΔNp63α or KR were incubated with doxorubicin, then subjected to IB with anti-Xpress antibody. (B) UBE1L+/+ or UBE1L−/− MEFs expressing HisMax-tagged ΔNp63α were incubated with doxorubicin followed by IB with anti-Xpress or anti-UBE1L antibody. (C) H1299 cells complemented with HisMax-tagged ΔNp63α were incubated as in A, but in the absence or presence of 100 μM Z-VDVAD-fmk or 10 μM MG132. (D) MCF10A cells were incubated with doxorubicin in the absence or presence of Z-VAD-fmk followed by IB with anti-ND and anti-CD antibodies. ΔN-NF and ΔN-CF, N- and C-terminal fragments of ΔNp63α, respectively.
of the C-terminal fragment by caspase-2 (Figure 5G). Likewise, ΔNp63α remained exclusively in the nucleus upon knockdown of caspase-2 by shCasp-2 (Figure 5E and Supplemental Figure 6E). IB analysis showed that shCasp-2 efficiently depleted caspase-2 and prevented doxorubicin-induced ΔNp63α cleavage (Figure 5H). ISG15 knockdown by shRNA specific to ISG15 (shISG15) also abolished doxorubicin-mediated cytoplasmic localization of the C-terminal fragment of ΔNp63α (Figure 5F and Supplemental Figure 6F), and IB analysis showed that shISG15 depleted ISG15 and blocked ΔNp63α cleavage (Figure 5I). Subcellular fractionation confirmed that doxorubicin induced export of the C-terminal fragments of p63α, such as ΔNp63α and TAp63α, to the cytoplasm; ΔNp63α was exported to the cytoplasm upon treatment with doxorubicin, and this was blocked by shISG15.
the cytoplasm, and this export was prevented by ISG15 depletion (Supplemental Figure 7B and see below). Collectively, these results indicate that doxorubicin-induced ISGylation and caspase-2–dependent cleavage of ΔNp63α in the nucleus lead to export of its TI domain to the cytoplasm.

**ISGylation of TAp63α promotes its transactivity.** TAp63α has TI domain in its C terminus in addition to the N-terminal TA domain (27, 28). To address the possibility that doxorubicin induces ISGylation of TAp63α, which influences its transactivity, we incubated H1299 cells complemented with TAp63α or its KR form with and without the drug. Like ΔNp63α, TAp63α, but not KR, was ISGylated in response to doxorubicin (Figure 6A). Doxorubicin also induced cleavage of TAp63α, but not KR, and this proteolysis was abrogated by Z-VDVAD-fmk (Figure 6B). The cleaved TI domain of TAp63α was then released to the cytoplasm (Figure 6C and Supplemental Figure 8). Consistently, doxorubici-
cin markedly increased the transactivity of TAp63α, but much less so that of KR, as analyzed using PUMA-Luc (Figure 6D).

These results indicate that doxorubicin-induced ISGylation, caspase-2–mediated cleavage, and export of TI domain to the cytoplasm relieve the suppressive function of TI domain within TAp63α, leading to promotion of TAp63α transactivity.

ISGylation of ΔNp63α abrogates its dominant-negative function toward TA isotypes. TAp63γ lacks TI domain and therefore acts as a potent transcriptional activator on p53-responsive target genes (29, 35). To determine the effect of ΔNp63α ISGylation on dominant-negative action toward TA isotypes, TAp63γ was expressed with ΔNp63α, KR, or 3DA in H1299 cells transfected with PUMA-Luc or PG13-Luc. In the absence of doxorubicin, all forms of ΔNp63α strongly inhibited the transactivity of TAp63γ (Figure 7, A and B). In its presence, both KR and 3DA persistently blocked TAp63γ transactivity, whereas ΔNp63α could no longer do so. These results indicate that doxorubicin-induced ISGylation and caspase-2–mediated cleavage of ΔNp63α abrogates its dominant-negative function toward TAp63γ.

ISGylation of ΔNp63α abrogates its antiapoptotic function. To determine the effect of ΔNp63α ISGylation on its mitogenic and antiapoptotic functions, Hep3B cells that stably express ΔNp63α, KR, and 3DA were generated. In the absence of doxorubicin, all forms of ΔNp63α promoted cell proliferation (Supplemental Figure 9A); in its presence, both KR and 3DA persistently promoted cell growth, whereas ΔNp63α could no longer do so. Furthermore, TUNEL analysis revealed that doxorubicin abolished the antiapoptotic activity of ΔNp63α, but not that of KR and 3DA (Supplemental Figure 9B). These results indicate that doxorubicin-induced ISGylation and caspase-2–mediated cleavage of ΔNp63α abrogates its cell growth–promoting and antiapoptotic functions.

To confirm the effect of ΔNp63α ISGylation on its antiapoptotic function in cancer cells, ISG15, ΔNp63α, or both in HNSCC013 cells were depleted by expressing shISG15 and shRNA specific to ΔNp63α (shΔNp63α). In control cells (i.e., transfected with shNS), doxorubicin-induced ΔNp63α cleavage occurred concurrently with induction of apoptotic events, such as caspase-3 activation and expression of Noxa, a proapoptotic protein (Figure 8A). Similar results were observed with ΔNp63α depletion. On the other hand, ISG15 knockdown causing ΔNp63α stabilization led to ablation of the apoptotic events. Knockdown of both ISG15 and ΔNp63α, however, led to induction of the apoptotic events in response to doxorubicin. Consistently, ISG15 depletion strongly inhibited the doxorubicin-induced ΔNp63α cleavage.

Figure 6
ISGylation of TAp63α promotes its transactivity. (A) H1299 cells complemented with HisMax-tagged TAp63α (WT) or KR were incubated for 12 hours with doxorubicin. Cell lysates were subjected to pulldown with NTA resins followed by IB with anti-ISG15 antibody. (B) Cells complemented with HisMax-tagged TAp63α or KR were incubated with doxorubicin in the absence or presence of Z-VDVAD-fmk. Cell lysates were subjected to IB with anti-Xpress antibody. (C) Cells incubated as in A were stained with anti-CD antibody. Scale bars: 10 μm. (D) PUMA-Luc was transfected to cells with vectors expressing HisMax-tagged TAp63α or KR. After incubation for 12 hours with or without doxorubicin, they were subjected to assay for luciferase. Enzyme activity is expressed relative to that seen with reporter vector alone in the absence of doxorubicin (assigned as 1.0). Data are mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments.
rubrubicin-mediated increase in the number of TUNEL-positive cells, and this inhibition was abolished by knockdown of both ISG15 and ΔNp63α (Figure 8B). To determine whether doxorubicin-induced ΔNp63α ISGylation indeed affects p63-mediated expression of proapoptotic proteins, ChIP assays were performed. Doxorubicin treatment led to TAp63α recruitment to the Nexo promoter, which was prevented by ISG15 knockdown (Figure 8C). Conversely, drug treatment caused a marked decrease in the level of ΔNp63α bound to the promoter, which was attenuated by ISG15 knockdown. These results indicate that doxorubicin-induced ISGylation and subsequent cleavage of ΔNp63α is responsible for ablation of its antiapoptotic function in cancer cells.

To further assess the contribution of doxorubicin-induced ΔNp63α ISGylation to apoptosis of cancer cells, we searched for cells that are resistant to doxorubicin-mediated apoptosis. Of the available human epithelial tumor-derived cell lines with elevated ΔNp63α expression, we found that T47D cells derived from human breast cancer, unlike HCC1937 cells, were resistant to doxorubicin, as analyzed by cell growth and TUNEL assays (Figure 8, D and E). Because doxorubicin was unable to induce ISG15 expression, neither ISGylation nor destabilization of ΔNp63α was detected in T47D cells (Figure 8F). However, the drug was capable of inducing caspase-2 activation (Figure 8G), which indicates that ΔNp63α ISGylation is required for its cleavage by activated caspase-2. These results suggest that doxorubicin resistance of T47D cells is due to their inability to induce ISG15 expression, but not to multidrug resistance that might have been acquired. However, further studies are required to clarify why T47D cells could not induce ISG15 induction in response to doxorubicin.

ISGylation of ΔNp63α promotes oncogenic Ras-mediated senescence. In addition to the ability of TAp63 isoforms to promote expression of p53 downstream genes, they can function as tumor suppressors independently of p53 by inducing senescence (26). Moreover, it was recently demonstrated that downregulation of ΔNp63α is required for oncogenic Ras-induced senescence and that overexpression of ΔNp63α bypasses senescence and promotes stem-like proliferation and carcinoma development (45). To investigate the possible involvement of ΔNp63α ISGylation in oncogene-induced senescence, we transfected primary human keratinocytes with H-Ras-V12. Intriguingly, the Ras expression led to an increase in the level of ISGylated ΔNp63α as well as of ISG15, concurrently with a marked decrease in ΔNp63α level (Supplemental Figure 10A). In addition, ISG15 knockdown prevented oncogenic Ras-mediated destabilization of ΔNp63α (Supplemental Figure 10B), which indicates that ΔNp63α ISGylation is required for its destabilization in response to Ras expression. Furthermore, ISG15 knockdown was sufficient to block oncogenic Ras-induced senescence, as determined by assaying senescence-associated β-galactosidase activity (Supplemental Figure 10, C and D). These results suggest that ISGylation and subsequent destabilization of ΔNp63α, which would in turn activate TAp63 isoforms, is responsible for oncogenic Ras-mediated cellular senescence.

ISGylation of ΔNp63α abrogates its oncogenic function. To determine the effect of ΔNp63α ISGylation on its oncogenic function, colony formation assays were performed using Hep3B cells that stably express ΔNp63α, KR, or 3DA. In the absence of doxorubicin, all ΔNp63α forms markedly increased colony number as well as size (Figure 9, A and B). In its presence, however, the ability of ΔNp63α but not of KR or 3DA to induce colony formation was drastically attenuated, implicating the role of ΔNp63α ISGylation in ablation of its oncogenic function. To determine whether ΔNp63α ISGylation indeed contributes to tumor suppression in vivo, we performed xenograft analysis. Without doxorubicin treatment, BALB/c nude mice injected with A549 cells expressing ΔNp63α, KR, or 3DA developed large tumors (Figure 9, C and D, and Supplemental Figure 11A). In A549-ΔNp63α xenografts, the drug treatment inhibited tumor growth, thereby reducing tumor sizes. In contrast, A549-KR or A549-3DA xenografts did not respond to doxorubicin, which indicates that ISGylation and caspase-2-mediated cleavage of ΔNp63α is required for doxorubicin-mediated tumor suppression.

To confirm the role of ΔNp63α ISGylation in tumor suppression, we generated ME180 cells (a human cervical cancer cell line) that stably express shISG15. Doxorubicin treatment led to ISGylation and destabilization of ΔNp63α in cells expressing shNS, but not in cells expressing shISG15 (Figure 9E). In the absence of doxorubicin, BALB/c nude mice injected with cells expressing either shNS or shISG15 developed large tumors (Figure 9F and Supplemental Figure 11B). On the other hand, doxorubicin treatment markedly decreased tumor growth in mice injected with cells expressing shNS, but not in mice injected with cells expressing shISG15, which again indicates that ΔNp63α ISGylation plays a key role in doxorubicin-mediated tumor suppression.
Finally, we examined whether doxorubicin-induced ISGylation and cleavage of ΔNp63α alters its subcellular localization in tumor tissues. Paraffin sections prepared from the tumors obtained as above were subjected to immunohistochemistry. When the sections from tumors derived by injecting cells expressing shNS were incubated with anti-ND antibody, intense nuclear staining of ΔNp63α was observed, regardless of doxorubicin treatment (Figure 9G). When incubated with anti-CD antibody, however, nuclear staining of ΔNp63α was markedly diminished, and cytoplasmic staining increased upon treatment with doxorubicin, but not without it. On the other hand, exclusive nuclear staining was evident in the section from tumors derived by injecting cells expressing shISG15, regardless of doxorubicin treatment. Moreover, subcellular fractionation of tumors derived by injecting cells expressing shNS showed that doxorubicin treatment caused the appearance of the C-terminal fragment of ΔNp63α in the cytoplasmic fractions (Figure 9H). On the other hand, little or no C-terminal fragment of ΔNp63α was seen in the cytoplasmic fractions obtained from tumors derived by injecting cells expressing shISG15, regardless of doxorubicin treatment. Collectively, our findings indicate that doxorubicin-induced ISGylation and caspase-2–mediated cleavage of ΔNp63α — and subsequent release of TI domain to the cytoplasm — ablates its oncogenic function.

Discussion

Based on our present findings, we propose a model for the mechanism by which ISGylation exerts its anticancer action toward tumors with high levels of ΔNp63α in response to doxorubicin...
Figure 9
ISGylation of ΔNp63α ablates its oncogenic function. (A and B) Hep3B cells stably expressing ΔNp63α, KR, or 3DA were grown in soft agar. 5 weeks later, colonies were stained with crystal violet (A), and the number of colonies per plate was determined (B). Results are expressed relative to the number in cells transfected with empty vector (control) in the absence of doxorubicin (assigned as 1.0). Data are mean ± SD of 3 experiments. (C) A549 cells stably expressing ΔNp63α, KR, or 3DA were subjected to IB with anti-ΔNp63α antibody. pcDNA3 indicates an empty vector. (D) Cells (5 × 10⁶) prepared as in C were subcutaneously injected to BALB/c nude mice. Doxorubicin treatment was as described in Methods. 35 days after injection, mice were sacrificed, and tumors were dissected out. (E) ME180 cells stably expressing shNS or shISG15 were incubated with doxorubicin. Cell lysates were subjected to IP with anti-ΔNp63α antibody followed by IB with anti-ISG15 antibody. (F) Cells (6.5 × 10⁶) prepared as in E were injected to mice. Doxorubicin treatment was as described in Methods. (G) Paraffin sections of tumors derived from mice as in F were subjected to immunohistochemical staining with anti-ND or anti-CD antibody. Insets show magnified views of the boxed regions. Scale bars: 100 μm. (H) Tumors from F were fractionated into the nuclear (N) and cytoplasmic (C) fractions followed by IB with anti-ND or anti-CD antibody.
(Supplemental Figure 12). In cancer cells with elevated expression of ΔNp63α, the transactivities of TA isotypes, which induce cell cycle arrest and apoptosis, would be suppressed by dominant-negative action of ΔNp63α. This suppression makes cells resistant to apoptosis, causing uncontrolled cell proliferation and tumor formation. Upon treatment with doxorubicin, ΔNp63α would be ISGylated and cleaved by caspase-2. The N-terminal fragment of ΔNp63α, which no longer has TI domain, would be unable to suppress the transactivation by TA isotypes. The C-terminal fragment of ΔNp63α, although retaining TI domain, could not show its suppressive function, since it is exported to the cytoplasm. Under the same conditions, TAp63α would also be ISGylated and cleaved by caspase-2, followed by release of its C-terminal TI domain to the cytoplasm. Thus, the N-terminal fragment of TAp63α having TA domain, but no longer containing TI domain, would be relieved from self-suppression and thereby capable of inducing expression of its downstream apoptotic genes. The transactivities of other TA isotypes that constitutively lack TI domain (e.g., TAp63γ) would also be promoted, as the nucleus is devoid of TI domain. These findings indicate that ISGylation and caspase-2–mediated cleavage of ΔNp63α in response to doxorubicin serve as a critical mechanism in the ablation of the antiapoptotic and oncogenic functions of ΔNp63α as well as in the promotion of the transactivities of TA isotypes.

In contrast to doxorubicin and camptothecin, cisplatin—a well-known anticancer drug—did not induce ISGylation or cleavage of ΔNp63α. However, it has been shown that cisplatin induces c-Ab1–mediated phosphorylation of TAp73, which leads to dissociation of the ΔNp63α/TAP73 protein complex and promotion of TAp73-dependent transactivity and apoptosis (37). Thus, cisplatin, like doxorubicin, impairs the dominant-negative function of ΔNp63α toward TA isotypes, although it shows no effect on ΔNp63α stability, unlike doxorubicin.

ISG15 has been suggested to be a tumor suppressor, based on the findings that chemotherapeutics such as camptothecin increase ISG15 levels (17–19, 55). In addition, shRNA-mediated knockdown of either ISG15 or UbcH8 in breast cancer cells decreases their sensitivity to camptothecin (66). UBE1L has also been suggested to act as a tumor suppressor. Retinoic acid induces UBE1L and subsequent ISGylation of the PML/RARα fusion protein (16, 67, 68), whose accumulation is a characteristic of acute promyelocytic leukemia. ISGylation of PML/RARα results in its destabilization by proteasome-mediated degradation, thus overcoming oncogenic potential of the fusion protein, although it is unclear whether ISGylation acts in the same way that ubiquitin signals to proteasome. UBE1L also promotes cyclin D1 ISGylation, and this modification leads to the destabilization of cyclin D1, which indicates that UBE1L confers growth suppression by targeting cyclin D1 (19) and suggests that the tumor-suppressive role of UBE1L could also operate in tumor cells that do not necessarily express high levels of ΔNp63α. However, it is unknown how the stability of cyclin D1 is affected by its ISGylation. In addition, UBE1L and p53 double-deficient mice are prone to develop thymic lymphomas, compared with p53 single-deficient mice (69). Here we demonstrated that ISGylation plays a critical role in conferring chemosensitivity to epithelial tumors with elevated expression of ΔNp63α. Doxorubicin, in addition to camptothecin, induced increased expression of ISG15, UBE1L, and UbcH8, and this induction led to ISGylation and caspase-2–mediated cleavage of ΔNp63α, thus abrogating its ability to promote anchorage-independent cell growth and tumor growth. Our findings provide the first unequivocal evidence to our knowledge for involvement of ISGylation of a specific target protein, ΔNp63α, in tumor suppression.

An important unanswered question is how doxorubicin upregulates expression of ISG15, UBE1L, and UbcH8. It is known that ISG15 is a target gene of p53 (70). However, it is unlikely that doxorubicin-mediated upregulation of ISG15, UBE1L, and UbcH8 is under direct control of p53, because doxorubicin leads to an increase in the transcript levels of ISG15-conjugating machinery in HNSCC013 and HCC1937 cells, both of which are known to express mutated nonfunctional p53 (37, 71). An alternative possibility is the involvement of Notch signaling, given that this pathway plays an important role in tumor suppression in cells like keratinocytes (72). ΔNp63α is highly expressed in proliferating keratinocytes (33), and its expression is suppressed with differentiation (72–75). On the other hand, Notch signaling promotes keratinocyte differentiation, in part through the activation of IKKε and NF-κB–mediated transcription (76–78). Moreover, Notch inhibits ΔNp63α expression in differentiating keratinocytes (75). In addition, p63 is negatively regulated by NF-κB (75), which is induced in differentiating keratinocytes (79, 80), suggesting that suppression of p63 by Notch involves NF-κB activation. Importantly, ISG15 is a target gene of NF-κB (6). Therefore, it seems possible that Notch-mediated NF-κB activation promotes the induction of ISG15-conjugating machinery in doxorubicin-treated epithelial cancer cells as well as in differentiating keratinocytes, thereby leading to downregulation of ΔNp63α.

Caspase-2 is known to be cleaved and activated by a protein complex called PIDDosome, which contains caspase-2, PIDD, and adaptor protein RAIDD (59). Caspase-2 has also been shown to form a large nuclear protein complex called DNA-PKcs-PIDDosome, which contains caspase-2, PIDD, and DNA-PKcs, but not RAIDD (81). Moreover, DNA damage induced by γ-irradiation triggers the phosphorylation of caspase-2 within its proxidomain by the kinase, leading to caspase-2 activation. However, specific target-mediated cellular functions of caspase-2 remained unclear, since only a few substrates of the protease—including Golgin-160, αII-spectrin, PKCδ, and Bid—have been identified so far (62, 82–85). In the present study, we demonstrated that doxorubicin induced caspase-2 activation and that ΔNp63α was a specific substrate of activated caspase-2. This proteolytic event led to cytoplasmic export of TI domain of ΔNp63α and in turn abrogated the dominant-negative function of the oncogenic protein. Importantly, loss of caspase-2 has been shown to increase the ability of cells to acquire a transformed phenotype and become malignant (86), implicating the role of caspase-2 as a tumor suppressor. Recently, it was shown that DNA damage triggers caspase-2 activation and that the activated caspase-2 cleaves Mdm2, resulting in p53 stabilization (87). Thus, it seems likely that the tumor-suppressive function of caspase-2 is mediated by its ability to cleave ΔNp63α as well as Mdm2.

It remains unknown how caspase-2 is activated in response to doxorubicin. Neither is the mechanical basis for preferential interaction of ISGylated ΔNp63α with activated caspase-2 over its unmodified form yet clear. It may be possible that, like γ-irradiation (28), doxorubicin treatment triggers the phosphorylation of caspase-2 by DNA-PKc for its activation. With respect to the preferential interaction of ISGylated ΔNp63α, the conjugated ISG15 may provide a better structural environment for interaction of ΔNp63α with activated caspase-2 or recruit unknown proteins.
that could help the recognition of activated caspase-2. Further studies are required to resolve these important questions.

**Methods**

**Materials.** Polyclonal anti-ISG15 antibody was raised in rabbits by injecting purified ISG15 protein. Antibodies against TAp63α, ΔNp63α, ΔNp63α (Santa Cruz), caspase-2 (R&D Systems), caspase-9, caspase-3 (Cell Signaling), and Noxa (Abcam) were used. Monoclonal anti-Myc antibody was produced from 9E10 hybridoma. Doxorubicin (Sigma-Aldrich), camptothecin, cisplatin (Calbiochem), Z-VDVA-fmk (Biovision), Z-DEV-fmk, and Z-VAD-fmk (R&D Systems) were used. cDNAs of ΔNp63α, TAp63α, and TAp63γ were provided by H.S. Lee (Seoul National University). cDNAs for ΔNp63α and its deletion mutants were cloned into pcDNA4-HisMax. Human ISG15 cDNAs were amplified from total RNA of IFN-β−treated A549 cells and subcloned into pFlagCMV10.

**ΔNp63α shRNA sequences.** shCasp-2, shISG15, and shΔNp63α as well as shRNAs specific to their empty vectors were purchased from Openbiosystems; shCasp-3 was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Sequences were as follows: shCasp-2, CGGCGCTAGTCACCCTCTTCAAGCTTCTCGAGAAGCTTGAGAGGTTGACTAGTTTCT; shISG15, CCGGCGACAGCTTGGCCCACTGAAGCTTGGGTGGCCAGCCGCGCTT; shΔNp63α, CTCCTCCAGATGTCGACGTTATATAGTGAAAGCACCAGATGTTAAACAGTGTACCTCTGGGTGAT; shCasp-9, AATGCAAGTTTGGCTTACA; shCasp-3, CCGGCGCATTCTGGGACTCTGGTCGCTCAGAGCTTATCGTCTC.

**Cell culture and transfection.** UBE1L MEFs were provided by D.E. Zhang (UCSD, San Diego, California, USA), and HN SSC013 cells were provided by D. Sidransky and E. Ratovotski (Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA). HN SSC013, T47D, HCC1937, and ME180 cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 containing 10% FBS. DaFu cells (ATCC) were maintained in MEM containing 10% FBS. HeLa, H1299, A549, and Hep3B cells were cultured in DMEM (JBI) supplemented with 10% FBS. MCF10A cells were maintained in DMEM/F12 (Invitrogen) supplemented with 5% horse serum, 20 ng/ml EGF, 10 μg/ml insulin, 1 ng/ml cholaer toxin, and 100 μg/ml hydrocortisone. Plasmids and shRNAs were transfectioned to cells using Lipofectamine with PLUS reagent (Invitrogen). Protein knockdown efficiency ranged 80–100%, as verified by IB analysis. Primary human keratinocytes were cultured in growth medium with added human keratinocyte growth supplements (Lonza). Cells were kept constantly subconfluent to avoid triggering of differentiation. Cells were transfected using Cytofect transfection reagents (Cell applications).

**IP and nitrocellularic acid (NTA) pulldown analysis.** Cells were lysed in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8) containing 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100 or 0.5% NP-40, 1 mM PMSF, and 1× protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). Cell lysates were incubated with appropriate antibodies for 2 hours at 4°C and then with 50 μl of 50% slurry of protein A-Sepharose for 1 hour. For pull-down analysis, cell lysates were prepared as above and treated with Ni²⁺-NTA agarose (Qagen).

**Immunocytochemistry.** Cells were grown on coverslips. 2 days after transfection, they were fixed by incubation for 10 minutes with 3.7% paraformaldehyde in PBS. Cells were washed 3 times with PBS containing 0.1% Triton X-100, permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 minutes, and treated with 3% BSA in PBS for 1 hour. They were then incubated for 1 hour with appropriate antibodies. After washing with PBS containing 0.1% Triton X-100, cells were incubated for 1 hour with FITC-conjugated secondary antibody in PBS containing 3% BSA. Cells were observed using a confocal laser scanning microscope (Carl Zeiss-LSM510). Images were processed using Photoshop (Adobe).

**Immunohistochemistry.** Dissected xenograft tumors were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight at 4°C, paraffin embedded, and sectioned (4-μm thickness). Paraffin sections were incubated for 1 hour at 37°C with anti-ND (4A4; Santa Cruz) or anti-CD (H129, Santa Cruz) antibodies. Sections were incubated with horseradish peroxidase–conjugated goat anti-mouse or goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody. Signals were detected using 3,3-diaminobenzidine as a substrate. Slides were then counterstained with hematoxylin.

**Senescence-associated β-galactosidase assay.** Cells were grown in 6-well culture plates, washed with PBS, fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde and 0.25% glutaraldehyde for 10 minutes, and stained for 24 hours at 37°C with β-galactosidase staining solution (40 mM citric acid/sodium phosphate, pH 6.0; 150 mM NaCl; 2 mM MgCl₂; 5 mM potassium ferrocyanide; 5 nM potassium ferricyanide; and 1 mg/ml 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactopyranoside; Cell Signaling). The reaction was stopped by replacing the staining solution with 70% glycerol.

**CIMP.** ME180 cells that had been treated with doxorubicin (0.1 μM) for 24 hours were subjected to ChIP analysis (88). Briefly, TAp63α- and ΔNp63α-DNA complexes were subjected to IP using antibodies against TAp63α (D-20; Santa Cruz) and ΔNp63α (N-16; Santa Cruz), respectively. DNAs were extracted from the precipitates, and aliquots of the extracts were subjected to PCR. Primers used for Noxa were as follows: 5′ primer, ACCATTGTTCCTTGCGTGGG; 3′ primer, GCTTTGACCCTCGGAAAC.

**Luciferase assay.** H1299 cells seeded in 12-well plates were transfected with appropriate expression vectors and reporter plasmids. After treatment with doxorubicin for 12 hours, lysates were analyzed using Luciferase Assay System (Promega) as recommended by the manufacturer.

**Subcellular fractionation.** Cells suspended in buffer A (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.9; 1 mM DTT; 350 mM sucrose; PMSF; and inhibitor cocktail) were incubated for 20 minutes on ice with swirling. They were lysed using a dounce homogenizer and centrifuged at 3,000 g for 5 minutes. The soluble fraction was again centrifuged at 15,000 g for 30 minutes, and the supernatant was used as the cytoplasmic fraction. The pellets were resuspended in 5 volumes of buffer A containing 0.3% NP-40 and mixed with equal volume of buffer B (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0; 2.2 mM sucrose; 5 mM magnesium acetate; and 0.1 mM EDTA). Purified nuclei were collected by centrifugation at 15,000 g for 20 minutes and resuspended in buffer C (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.9; 1 mM EDTA; 1 mM EGTA; 1 mM DTT; 400 mM NaCl; 1 mM PMSF; and inhibitor cocktail). They were then lysed by vigorous vortexing and dilution with buffer C. In the case of subcellular fractionation of dissected xenograft tumors, tumors were treated with papain (20 μg/ml) and DNase (10 μg/ml) for 40 minutes at 37°C and then dissociated mechanically by titration with a glass Pasteur pipette.

**Cell growth, colony formation, and tumorigenesis assay.** Hep3B cells stably expressing HisMax-tagged ΔNp63α, KR, or ΔDA were selected by incubation with zeocin (50 μg/ml). Individual clones were picked and verified by Western blot analysis (data not shown). For cell growth assay, they were seeded in 12 wells in triplicate, harvested, and stained with trypan blue. For colony formation assay, cells (1 × 10⁴) were resuspended in 0.33% agar in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and then overlaid on 0.7% agar in the same medium in 6-well plates. The plates were incubated at 37°C in 5% CO₂ for 5 weeks. Colonies were stained with 0.005% crystal violet and counted. BALB/c nude mice were purchased from Orient Bio Inc. For in vivo tumorigenesis assay, A549 cells (5 × 10⁴) stably expressing ΔNp63α, KR, or ΔDA or ME180 cells (6.5 × 10⁴) stably expressing shNS or shISG15 were subcutaneously injected into the upper thigh of one or both legs of 6-week-old BALB/c nude mice. On the third day after injection, mice began receiving twice weekly i.p. injections of PBS or doxorubicin (1.25 mg/kg) for 3 weeks. They were monitored regularly for tumor growth. Tumor volumes were calculated as (axaxb)/2, in which a is the smallest diameter and b the largest. At the end of the experiments, mice were killed, and tumors were dissected.
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