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Many remarkable advances have improved our understanding of the cellular and molecular events in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis. Chief among these is the accumulating knowledge of how the immune system contributes to all phases of atherogenesis, including well-known inflammatory reactions consequent to intimal trapping and oxidation of LDL. Advances in our understanding of the innate and adaptive responses to these events have helped to clarify the role of inflammation in atherogenesis and suggested new diagnostic modalities and novel therapeutic targets. Here we focus on recent advances in understanding how adaptive immunity affects atherogenesis.

Introduction: innate immunity informs adaptive responses in atherogenesis

There have been remarkable advances in understanding immune contributions to the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis, and especially the inflammatory reactions consequent to generation of oxidized LDL (oxLDL) within the intima (1–6). Because of the explosion of new knowledge and the brevity of this Review, it is not possible to discuss or cite much of the relevant literature, for which we apologize. We mainly focus on recent advances in understanding how adaptive immunity affects atherogenesis. However, because innate recognition of disease-specific antigens is a prerequisite for adaptive immune responses to occur, we begin by briefly introducing atherosclerosis-relevant antigens recognized by innate immunity.

Innate immunity plays a fundamental role in initiating and modulating atherogenesis, as reviewed in depth elsewhere (3, 5, 7). It recognizes signature molecules, either pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) of microbial origin or danger-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), which are “self” molecules that become accessible to the immune system following cell injury or death, or are “altered-self” molecules that generate neoepitopes. The innate immune system utilizes germ line-encoded pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) to recognize PAMPs and DAMPs, effecting responses that are usually protective, such as killing of the inciting microbe or maintenance of homeostasis by stimulating removal of damaged or dead tissue. Importantly, these initial responses are accompanied by secretion of chemokines and cytokines that recruit and activate leukocytes, and by presentation of antigens, which together initiate definitive adaptive responses. The major type of response to innate immune recognition is inflammation.

Identifying the antigens to which innate immune responses occur is central to understanding the role of immunity in atherogenesis. The possibility that infectious agents provoke relevant immune responses has been considered, but little evidence supports a primary role, though contributory roles are possible (reviewed in refs. 8, 9). Similarly, DAMPs generated as a result of tissue injury, such as heat shock proteins (HSPs) (10), require pre-existing injury, and although they may contribute to chronic inflammation, they are not likely to initiate the primary inflammatory cascade.

Although other antigens may also be important, much evidence suggests that major atherosclerosis-relevant antigens consist of neoepitopes generated as a consequence of oxidative reactions, as occur when oxLDL is formed or when cells undergo apoptosis (1, 7). Innate immunity has apparently evolved multiple mechanisms to mediate removal of these oxidatively modified molecules, cells, and debris, which would otherwise be proinflammatory and immunogenic (2, 7, 12). Analogous to recognition of PAMPs on pathogens, recognition of oxidation-damaged molecular complexes occurs via the detection of oxidation-specific epitopes (OSEs), which constitute common motifs of oxidative damage that are ligands for a common set of innate PRRs, including macrophage scavenger receptors, natural Abs (NAbs), and innate plasma proteins. Maintenance of homeostasis against OSEs has led to evolutionary pressure for PRRs against such epitopes, and consequently, OSEs are a major target of innate immunity (reviewed in ref. 7). This not only provides a conceptual framework for the involvement of innate immunity in atherogenesis, but because innate responses are prerequisites for adaptive responses, it explains the compelling data that OSEs are also immunodominant, disease-specific antigens that activate adaptive responses in atherogenesis.

Understanding adaptive immunity in atherogenesis

Adaptive responses occur following recognition of an antigen by membrane Ig on B cells and TCRs on T cells. Unlike PRRs of innate immunity, the genes encoding Ig receptors and TCRs are formed by somatic recombination, which generates tremendous diversity of receptor specificities, each unique to a single lymphocyte clone. Antigen recognition drives lymphocyte proliferation and differentiation into effector cells with a variety of pro-inflammatory properties that protect from infection, but which can also cause tissue damage and disease, especially when the inciting antigens are persistent or when intrinsic defects occur in lymphocyte regulation. Because adaptive immunity can randomly generate lymphocytes that recognize self-molecules as well as harmless foreign molecules, various tolerance mechanisms exist to prevent the survival or activation of these dangerous B and T cells. One essential tolerogenic mechanism is that T cells must see molecules called costimulators, which are induced by innate immune responses.
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Theoretical events in initiation and effector phases of a proatherogenic T cell response. Modified self-proteins generated in early atherosclerotic lesions (or systemically), such as the oxidatively modified apoB-100 component of LDL, are processed by DCs and presented as peptide/MHC complexes to naive T cells in secondary lymphoid tissues, leading to T cell clonal expansion and differentiation into effector T cells, such as Th1 or Th17 cells. The effector T cells migrate into arterial lesions, where resident macrophages or DCs present the same peptide-MHC antigens, leading to effector T cell activation and expression of pro-inflammatory effector molecules, such as secreted IFN-γ and IL-17 and membrane-bound CD40 ligand. These molecules promote lesion growth and/or destabilization.

T cells in atherosclerosis

Beginning with seminal studies in the 1980s that demonstrated activated CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in human atheromata (5, 24), extensive data now support that T cells mediate proinflammatory and proatherogenic immune responses. A key unresolved question is the identity of the relevant activating antigens. Candidate antigens include oxLDL and native LDL (25) as well as modified or even native fragments of apoB-100 (the major protein of LDL) (26, 27) and HSP60 (10). The mechanisms of loss of T cell tolerance in the case of self-proteins may arise due to strong innate responses to these same molecules and/or the oxidatively driven covalent modification of apoB-100 to form OSE neoepitopes. Effector T cell responses within arterial lesions are likely initiated to PAMPs or DAMPs, in addition to seeing antigen, in order to become activated. Thus, naive T cells specific for normal self-antigens will not be costimulated, and tolerance, rather than effector responses, will be induced.

Adaptive immunity exerts diverse and profound effects on atherosclerosis (3, 5, 13). In humans, the evidence is largely correlative data from analysis of blood and atherosclerotic lesions obtained surgically or at autopsy. Plasma Ab titers against OSEs of oxLDL and immune complexes with oxLDL in human lesions were demonstrated more than two decades ago (14, 15), and Ab titers to HSP60 correlate with cardiovascular disease (CVD) (10). Studies have found correlations between acute coronary syndromes (ACSs) and the numbers of various subsets of T cells in the blood and changes in Ab titers to oxLDL. The strikingly elevated risk for atherosclerotic disease in patients with systemic autoimmune diseases (16–18) also supports the hypothesis that adaptive immune responses promote atherosclerosis.

Studies of atherosclerosis in hypercholesterolemic Apoe−/− or Ldlr−/− mice in which adaptive immunity is deleted (e.g., Rag-null mice or mice on a SCID background) demonstrate that while adaptive immunity is not a prerequisite for atherogenesis, its presence profoundly affects lesion formation and on balance is proatherogenic (19–23). However, a total absence of adaptive immunity leads to a lack of both protective and proatherogenic influences. Atherosclerosis is the result of a complex outcome of the balance between subsets of immune cells and their products, between T effector cells and Tregs, between costimulatory and coinhibitory molecules, and between B-1 cells and B-2 cells and their respective IgM and IgG Abs, as well as between proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory subsets of macrophages. Much progress has been made in understanding this balance through selective interventions and genetic manipulations of murine models.
by antigen activation of naive T cell precursors in secondary lymphoid organs (see Figure 1). Many of the putative driving antigens can be present systemically, such as lipoproteins that may be in excess and oxidized in dyslipidemic individuals, and may activate lymphocytes within lymph nodes or the spleen. Therefore, proatherogenic T cell responses can be considered systemic in nature. Below we review the major T cell subsets involved. We refer the reader to recent reviews for discussions of minor subsets such as INKT cells (28) or CD4+CD28null effector T cells preferentially found during chronic inflammatory diseases (29, 30), for which less information is known.

**CD4+ Th cells.** In response to combined stimulation with antigen, costimulators, and particular cytokines, naive CD4+ Th cells differentiate into distinct effector or Th subsets distinguished from one another by the cytokines they produce. The three best-characterized Th are Th1, which secretes IFN-γ, Th2, which secretes IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13, and Th17, which secretes IL-17 and IL-22. Chronic or repeated antigen exposure, which likely occurs in human atherosclerosis, often results in the emergence of a dominant polarized Th subset. Identification of the Th subsets that enhance atherosclerosis provides a therapeutic opportunity to block either the cytokines produced or factors driving specific subset differentiation.

Compelling data implicate Th1 cells and IFN-γ in promoting atherogenesis and inflammation. These data include identification of IFN-γ in human lesions and the expression of IFN-γ by T cells cloned from human plaques (5, 25). In addition, genetic ablation of the IFN-γ receptor or its receptor (31, 32) or the Th1 lineage–defining transcription factor T-bet (33) reduced atherogenesis in murine models, while exogenous IFN-γ enhanced lesion development (34). IFN-γ has a variety of biological effects predicted to promote lesion development and destabilize established lesions (reviewed in ref. 35). Of importance, oxLDL and LDL activated human plaque T cells to secrete IFN-γ in a class II MHC-restricted manner (25), documenting Th1 activation by these antigens. Other studies revealed a proatherogenic role for IL-12 (36), which drives Th1 differentiation, and for IL-18, which enhances IFN-γ production by T cells (37). These may be of relevance for humans, as monoclonal Abs to the p40 subunit receptor for IL-12/IL-23 are used for psoriasis, and may be paradoxically associated with elevated IL-12 levels, and concerns have been raised about a possible increase in CVD (38, 39).

Both murine and human studies demonstrate that both Th17 and Th1 cells contribute to pathogenesis of many of the same diseases (40). However, reports of the role of Th17 cells or IL-17 in atherogenesis are inconsistent, likely reflecting poorly understood relationships between Th1 and Th17 responses (41). Although IL-17 has been detected in human lesions (42, 43), IL-17–expressing T cells appear to be rare (44). Data on the frequency of Th17 cells or IL-17 in the blood of patients with ACS or acute myocardial infarction also provide an unclear message (45–50). Several murine studies support a proinflammatory, proatherogenic role for the IL-17/IL-17 receptor axis (51–56), but others failed to find IL-17 to be proatherogenic, and some even found it to be atheroprotective (43, 57–59). Manipulations of mice that indirectly enhanced Th17 differentiation, including genetic deletion of suppressor of cytokine signaling 3 (Socs3) or anti-CD20–mediated B cell depletion, were atheroprotective (43, 60). The inconsistencies in the data may relate to the existence of IL-17–producing CD4+ T cells that also produce IFN-γ (61, 62), or to plasticity of Th17 cells, which can redifferentiate into Th1 cells (63). In light of the open questions about IL-17 and atherogenesis, it will be important to determine the impact on CVD in autoimmune patients treated with anti–IL-17 Abs (64–66).

There are limited and inconsistent data on the influence of Th2 cells on atherosclerosis. Ldlr–/– mice that lack the Th1–driving transcription factor T-bet have enhanced systemic Th2 responses and decreased lesion development (33). However, in severely hypercholesterolemic mice, advancing atherosclerosis is associated with a switch to Th2 cells (67). The Th2 cytokine IL-4 has been reported to be proatherogenic (36, 68) or have no effect (69). On the other hand, IL-13 was recently reported to be atheroprotective (70), as was IL-5 (71). Because inhibition of IL-5 activity in humans is under study for a variety of conditions associated with eosinophilia (72), long-term follow up for the impact on CVD should be informative.

**CTLs.** CD8+ CTLs, which are generally less numerous than CD4+ T cells in human lesions, may nevertheless constitute up to 50% of the T cells in advanced lesions (73, 74). In murine atherosclerosis, few lesional CD8+ T cells are present, except when immunoregulatory molecules are also deficient (75), although systemic CD8+ T cell responses to hypercholesterolemia are detectable (76). Genetic deficiencies in either CD8 or TAP-1, required for class I MHC antigen presentation, did not significantly affect lesion development (77, 78). Although not apparently contributing to early lesion development, CTLs are activated in the context of hypercholesterolemia and within lesions can promote plaque inflammation and instability.

**B cells and Ab responses in atherosclerosis**

**B-1 cells and IgM NAbs.** In mice, B-1a cells are more properly regarded as an arc of innate immunity. They respond to T cell–independent antigens by secretion of IgM and IgA NAbs, whose repertoire results from natural selection. Importantly, OSEs such as those found on oxLDL and apoptotic cells are a major target of NAbs in both mice and humans (reviewed in ref. 7). Considerable evidence supports an atheroprotective role for IgM specific for OSEs in mice. Immunization of mice with heat-killed *S. pneumoniae*, which shares molecular identity with oxidized phospholipids of oxLDL, leads to marked increases in IgM specific for oxLDL, which blocks oxLDL uptake by macrophages and inhibits atherosclerosis (79). T-bet deficiency and CD74 deficiency, both associated with reduced atherogenesis, result in marked increases in IgM NAbs specific for oxLDL (33, 80). Ldlr–/– mice deficient in the ability to secrete IgM (sIgM–/– mice) have dramatically increased atherosclerosis (81). Splenectomy was shown to enhance atherosclerosis (82), and recent studies have shown that this can be rescued by transfer of B-1 cells but not B-2 cells (83). Furthermore, transfer of B-1 cells from sIgM–/– mice were incapable of such rescue, demonstrating that the IgMs were atheroprotective. In humans, IgM titers to epitopes of oxLDL are inversely associated with CVD in univariate analysis, also consistent with an atheroprotective role (84). The recent identification in humans of CD20+CD27+CD43+ B cells that spontaneously secrete IgM NAbs suggests an equivalent human B-1 cell population (85). As with B-2 cells, B-1 cells express CD20, which is currently being targeted with anti-CD20 monoclonal Abs in autoimmune diseases and therefore could be depleted.

**B-2 cells and IgG-adaptive Abs.** Conventional, adaptive B-2 (or follicular) B cells respond to T cell–dependent antigens by secretion of IgG isotype Abs. IgG Abs specific for OSEs are found in blood and lesions of both normal and atherosclerotic patients, and in experimental animals (reviewed in refs. 7, 86, 87). In murine mod-
els, IgG titers to oxLDL epitopes increase and decrease in parallel with lesion progression and regression, respectively (88). Many IgG Abs to oxLDL inhibit the uptake of oxLDL by macrophages, at least in culture, suggesting that they should inhibit atherogenesis. Indeed, the seminal observation more than two decades ago that immunization of animal models with MDA-LDL, a model epitope of oxLDL, could inhibit atherosclerosis was an important stimulus to the study of adaptive immunity in atherogenesis (89). However, such immunizations increase both IgG1 from B-2 cells and IgM from B-1 cells, the latter resulting from an induced Th2 response with IL-5 release, which is a known B-1 cell stimulant (71). Subsequent studies have shown that elevations of titers of OSE Abs in murine models, whether by immunization, passive infusions, or adenoviral-mediated expression, can inhibit atherogenesis (7, 86, 90, 91). This includes use of fragment, antigen-binding (Fab) or even single-chain variable fragment (scFv) anti-oxLDL Ab fragments that have no effector functions (92), demonstrating the inherent ability of such OSE Abs to inhibit foam cell formation. These data suggest the possibility that passively or therapeutically raising the titers of such OSE Abs in humans may be beneficial.

In humans, IgG titers to oxLDL are much more heterogeneous than in the murine models but in general correlate positively with CVD manifestations in univariate analyses (84, 87). This has led some investigators to suggest that such IgGs are proatherogenic. However, these associations are lost when adjusted for common covariates such as age, likely reflecting the fact that the ambient IgG titers present in disease are biomarkers rather than disease modifiers.

Studies to determine the role of B-2 cells in atherosclerosis are complicated by potential roles of the B-2 cells themselves versus the roles of the Abs they secrete. Bone marrow deficiency of B cells supported an atheroprotective role for B cells (93). On the other hand, use of anti-CD20 to deplete B-2 cells in mice, which spared B-1 cells in the peritoneum, reduced atherosclerosis, as well as activation and proliferation of DCs and CD4+ T cells, suggesting that B-2 cells were proatherogenic by several mechanisms (60, 94). Furthermore B cell–activating factor receptor (BAFF-R) deficiency, which causes a reduction in B-2 but not B-1 cells, also reduced lesion development and macrophage and T cell infiltration (95, 96). However, recent data demonstrated a role for the Id3-CCR6 pathway in mediating aortic B cell homing, and demonstrated that resident adventitial B cells mediated protection from early atherosclerosis in part through inhibiting intimal macrophage accumulation (97). Indeed subsets of regulatory B cells, including B cells that secrete IL-10, are well known (98). These data indicate a complex role for B cells and B cell subsets in atherogenesis, suggesting that they affect lesion formation both systemically via secretion of Abs and locally within the aorta, by affecting macrophage and T cell biology.

**Figure 2**

Costimulatory and coinhibitory molecules and their receptors. Costimulatory molecules, expressed on APCs, engage receptors on T cells concurrent with antigen recognition and induce signals that are required for naive T cell activation or that enhance effector/memory T cell responses. Coinhibitory receptors (CTLA-4 and PD-1) are expressed on activated T cells and, upon binding ligands on APCs, inhibit T cell responses. The major costimulatory and coinhibitory molecules, which belong to the B7/CD28 families or TNF/TNFR superfamilies, are shown in the table.
FDA-approved anti-CD20 and anti-BAFF Ab drugs are being used in patients with autoimmune disease. Both selectively target B-2 cells in mice, but their impact on the newly described B-1 cell population in humans is unknown and clearly should be an important topic of study. This is particularly important because of a recently described human CD20+ B-1 subset that secretes IL-10 and regulates T cell activity (99).

In response to T cell help and germinal center reactions, B-2 cells undergo isotype switching and differentiation into Ab-secreting cells that produce IgG, IgA, or IgE Abs. The effector functions of some IgG subtypes and IgE Abs depend in part on isotype-specific Fc receptors expressed on various cell types. Genetic ablation studies in mice indicate that CD16 (FcγRIII) (100) or the common γ chain of activating Fcγ receptors (101) are proatherogenic, while the inhibitory FcγRIb receptor decreases atherosclerosis (102, 103). Because IgG isotypes bind to these Fc receptors with different affinities, they may differentially affect atherogenesis through this mechanism, independent of other effector functions. Furthermore, loss of the IgE receptor FcεRIα reduced atherosclerotic plaque development and inflammation in apoE−/− mice (104). Some IgG isoforms also activate the classical complement cascade. Although complement activation occurs in murine atherosclerotic lesions, the impact of this is controversial (reviewed in ref. 105), and in particular, the influence of Ab-dependent complement activation on atherosclerosis is unknown.

**Regulation of proatherogenic adaptive immune responses**

Adaptive immune responses are highly regulated by multiple mechanisms. One can view atherosclerosis as a disease in which there is inadequate regulation of adaptive immune responses to normal or altered components of lipoproteins that accumulate in lymphoid organs and in the intima of arteries. In this discussion, we focus mainly on two interrelated mechanisms of adaptive immune regulation: Tregs and costimulatory and coinhibitory molecules.

Tregs. T cell subsets whose major functions are to inhibit immune responses, rather than to promote protective immunity against pathogens, are called Tregs (106). Most studies of rodent and human Tregs focus on CD4+ αβ TCR+ CD25+ cells that also express the transcription factor Foxp3. These Foxp3+ Tregs include natural Tregs, which develop in the thymus and constitute the majority of circulating Tregs in human blood, and induced Tregs (also known as adaptive or peripheral Tregs), which differentiate from conventional CD4+ T cells in peripheral tissues in response to antigens, cytokines, and other cues. Tregs suppress immune responses by multiple mechanisms including CD25-mediated competition for IL-2 (107), CTLA-4-mediated competitive binding (and perhaps removal) of B7-1 and B7-2 costimulators on APCs (refs. 108, 109, and see Figure 2), and secretion of the suppressive cytokines IL-10, IL-35, IL-9, and TGF-β (110). The targets of suppression of Tregs include naive and effector CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, DCs, and even endothelial cells.

An atheroprotective role for Tregs in murine atherosclerosis has been supported by studies in which Tregs have been depleted, induced, or injected, leading to diminished disease (13). Impaired Treg development or function due to hematopoietic deficiency of B7-1 and B7-2, CD28, or inducible T cell costimulator (ICOS) also results in enhanced lesion development (13, 111). Furthermore, Treg numbers decrease and effector T cells increase as atherosclerotic lesions progress in mice (112), which is consistent with the ultimate failure of Tregs to keep up with proatherogenic effecter T cells in human disease. Many publications have reported that various manipulations that result in reduced lesion size or inflammation (113–116) are also associated with increased Treg numbers.

Tregs are detectable within human arterial lesions, and changes in the numbers of circulating Tregs correlate with changes in disease activity and/or with effective therapy. Only low numbers of CD4+Foxp3+ T cells have been identified in human atherosclerotic plaques at various stages of development (117), but increased numbers of carotid plaque Foxp3+CD3+ T cells have been associated with symptomatic disease (118). The enumeration of Foxp3+CD4+ T cells in the blood reveals a generalized trend toward decreased numbers of blood Tregs in patients with clinically active CVD compared with controls (48, 119, 120) and increased Treg numbers in the blood or lesions in response to various therapeutic interventions including statins (121–123). The effects of statins on Treg development may contribute to the drugs’ well-documented pleiotropic anti-inflammatory effects and are in need of further study (124). Overall, these studies suggest that pharmacologically increasing Tregs may be a feasible goal for patients with vulnerable plaques.

APCs and costimulatory and coinhibitory molecules. T lymphocyte activation at the initiation and effector phases of adaptive immune responses requires the presentation of antigenic peptide-MHC complexes displayed on the cell surface by APCs, which also provide costimulatory molecules (described below) and cytokines required for T cell activation. DCs are the most important and perhaps only type of APC that can efficiently activate naive T cells, while effector and memory CD4+ T cells can be activated by other APCs that express class II MHC, including macrophages and B cells (125). CTLs can be activated by almost any cell type that displays the right class I MHC-associated peptide.

CD11c+ myeloid DCs are detectable in the arterial intima in atherosclerosis-prone areas (126) and in the adventitia (127), even in arteries without lesions, and DCs increase in number in both sites as lesion grow (128, 129). Although proatherogenic T cell responses could plausibly occur in any secondary lymphoid tissue, effector T cells must migrate into the arterial wall and be locally activated by APCs to influence lesion development. Both DCs and lesional macrophages, including cholesterol-loaded foam cells (130, 131), likely participate in the local activation of effector CD4+ and CD8+ T cells. In addition, stimulation of plasmacytoid DCs also plays an atherogenic role (132).

Costimulatory and coinhibitory molecules expressed on the surface of APCs engage receptors on T cells at the same time that the TCR recognizes an antigen (see Figure 2), triggering signaling that enhances or inhibits T cell activation, respectively. Naïve T cell activation requires both antigen and costimulation, and activation of effector and memory T cells is enhanced by costimulation. Costimulatory and coinhibitory pathways have significant effects on atherosclerotic lesion development, as recently reviewed (133). The costimulators CD80, CD86, CD275, and CD252 can be detected on lesional macrophages in human and mouse lesions (134–138), as can their receptors on lesional T cells. A proatherogenic effect has been demonstrated for CD80, CD86, and CD252 (136, 137, 139) as well as for CD137, a costimulatory receptor expressed on T lymphocytes (138, 140). Human CD4+CD28null T cells, which are associated with ACS, express high levels of the costimulatory receptors CD134 and CD137 compared with conventional CD4+CD28+ T cells, and in vitro studies show that the ligands for these receptors can costimulate these T cells (141).
The coinhibitor programmed cell death ligand 1 (PDL1) is expressed on macrophages and DCs within mouse aortic lesions (142). Combined deficiency of PDL1 and PDL2 or of their receptor PD-1 in Ldlr−/− mice results in increased atherosclerosis and lesional CD4+ and CD8+ T cells (75, 142). Blood T cells and myeloid DCs from patients with coronary artery disease express less PD-1 and PDL1 compared with cells from healthy individuals (143). B7 CD28 family–mediated costimulation is not only required for effector T cell differentiation, but also for the development of Tregs (144). Furthermore, coinhibitory molecules expressed by Tregs may in part mediate Treg function. In mice, transplantation of Cd80−/−Cd86−/− or Cd28−/− or Icos−/− bone marrow into Ldlr−/− recipients resulted in Treg deficiency and increased atherosclerosis with increased inflammation in lesions (111, 145). Therefore, the net effect of costimulatory or coinhibitory deficiencies in experimental models, and perhaps in patients treated with costimulatory blockers, may be complicated by the simultaneous loss of both effector and regulatory responses.

**Induction of tolerance to treat atherosclerosis.** Investigators have explored methods to induce peripheral tolerance for the treatment and prevention of autoimmune disease and allograft rejection. In preclinical models, nasal or oral administration of HSP65 reduced atherosclerosis in Ldlr−/− mice (146, 147). Similar findings are reported for nasal administration of oxLDL (148, 149) or β2-glycoprotein I (150). Another approach is to deliver the relevant antigens via tolerogenic DCs that have suppressed costimulatory functions but maintain antigen-presenting functions. Atherosclerosis was attenuated and Tregs induced by treatment of hypercholesterolemic Ldlr−/− mice transgenic for human apoB-100 with DCs that had been pulsed with apoB-100 in combination
with the immunosuppressive cytokine IL-10 (151). Alternatively, an immunogenic peptide fragment of human apoB, which when conjugated to human albumin and given subcutaneously, induced Tregs and reduced atherosclerosis in ApoE-/- mice (152). A major challenge to developing effective tolerance-inducing therapies in humans will be the identification of the relevant antigens provoking inflammatory responses.

Translational aspects of new understandings

The intense study of immunological mechanisms in atherogenesis has yielded not only novel insights into its pathogenesis, but novel reagents useful as biomarkers, novel imaging agents, and even therapeutics. For example, as shown in Figure 3, Abs to OSEs have been used to develop biomarkers that provide independent prognostic value of CVD outcomes, to noninvasively image atherosclerotic lesions, or — when expressed in vivo in high titers — to inhibit atherogenesis. Another more widely applicable approach to enhancing titers of such Abs is a vaccine approach (7, 90). This is attractive because if the relevant antigen could be identified to produce high-titered oxLDL-neutralizing Ab titers, it could be widely applied in a cost-effective manner. Various OSEs of oxLDL have been shown to work in murine models, such as oxidized phospholipid epitopes and MDA, although whether these would be equally immunogenic in humans is unknown.

Targeted blockade of immune cytokines and receptors, such as the use of Abs to TNF-α, the p40 subunit of IL-12/IL-23, or IL-17, are ongoing for inflammatory diseases, and prospective observation of these treated patients for the impact of the interventions on CVD outcomes may provide important insights for future therapy. Similarly, modulation of costimulatory and coinhibitory pathways is currently in use or in advanced stages of development for the treatment of autoimmune disease and cancer. CTLA-4 Ig is a CD80/CD87 blocker, which is approved for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. Blocking Abs specific for CTLA-4 or PD-1 are both being used to enhance T cell–mediated antitumor responses in cancer patients (153). Therapeutic blockade of TNF/TNFFR superfamily members such as the OX40 ligand/OX40 pathway may be effective for inhibiting CD4+CD28+ T cells in the setting of ACS (141). In addition, B cell–depletion strategies are being investigated as well, and their impact on B-2 and B-1 cells and on CVD needs to be determined. Finally, we await with great interest the outcome of an ongoing trial of the ability of canakinumab, a human monocomb antibody that neutralizes IL-1β, to reduce CVD in high-risk patients with existing CVD. This therapy has minimal effects on lipoprotein levels but does inhibit CRP levels, indicative of a generalized ability to inhibit inflammation (157). This placebo-controlled study in approximately 17,000 patients should be a key test of the hypothesis that inhibition of inflammation will be an important new strategy to reduce the burden of CVD (158).

The recognition that both innate and adaptive immunity due intimately involved in atherogenesis has provided novel targets to reduce the proinflammatory milieu of the developing atherosclerotic lesion, as discussed throughout this Review. Above all, one should not forget that hypercholesterolemia per se appears to be the primary mechanism driving the entire inflammatory cascade, and numerous experimental and clinical studies document that lowering plasma cholesterol effectively reduces inflammation. Because such hypolipidemic therapy most often only begins in midlife in the developed world, when atherosclerotic lesions are almost universal and already established as chronic inflammatory lesions, direct interventions to inhibit inflammation will be needed to provide the maximal opportunity to reduce progression and even effect regression. Thus, targeting one or more of the immunological mechanisms that control inflammation may be of great value. However, it is important to recognize the complexity of immunological control, and any immunotherapeutic strategies utilized for the specific purpose of reducing atherogenesis, be it therapeutic use of Abs, vaccination, or targeted inhibition of adaptive proinflammatory responses, should be approached with great care. The new opportunities to examine the impact of interventions aimed at autoimmune diseases should be utilized to examine biomarkers, imaging modalities of CVD, and CVD outcomes. Such knowledge may provide important clues to the role of adaptive immunity in human atherosclerosis.
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