Discoveries revealing the molecular basis of innate immune responses, particularly the identification of Toll-like receptors (TLRs) as the major recognition sensors for microbial and even self-molecules, have provided new insights into the pathogenesis of both systemic and organ-specific autoimmune diseases. These insights will permit the development of novel treatment modalities for these disorders.
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For three decades, my laboratory has investigated the cause of autoimmune diseases, specifically of systemic autoimmunity as exemplified in lupus, the prototypic autoimmune disease. Why are pathogenic antibodies synthesized in lupus? Why are these antibodies so often directed against the same antigens, mostly components of nuclei, but sometimes other proteins as well? Foremost, what are the genetic defects that permit lupus to develop? These questions, first raised more than 50 years ago, have begun to give way to modern methods of molecular genetics. We now foresee an era in which the treatment of autoimmune diseases will truly target the inciting cause.

Early on, our research inclined toward analysis of the visible endpoints of disease, such as injury of the kidneys and other parenchymal organs. Our efforts focused on immune complexes as the major mediators of inflammation, and our publications in the JCI demonstrating that signaling by IFN-γ was an important contributor to disease pathogenesis. We found that lupus-prone MRL-Fas<sup>−/−</sup> mice lacking the IFN-γ-encoding gene (6), or treated with intramuscular injections of a vector encoding an IFN-γR/IgG1Fc fusion protein to block IFN-γ function (7), showed significant reduction in all disease parameters and had extended survival. Notably, these effects were observed even when this treatment was initiated at relatively late stages of disease. Others described similar disease-reducing effects in this and additional lupus-predisposed strains lacking Ifng or Ifngr1 (8–10) or treatment with recombinant soluble IFN-γR (11) or anti–IFN-γ antibody (11, 12).

Overall, these and other findings, such as the reduced disease incidence and severity in predisposed mice that lacked MHC class II or TCRβ expression, clearly established the role of the adaptive immune system in lupus pathogenesis. But the central questions remained unanswered: What was the origin of the pathogenic process, and what was the primary trigger for this disease? A congruence of findings recently implicated the innate immune system as the culprit. Retrospectively, an initial hint for a role of innate sensors, specifically nucleic acid–sensing TLRs and production of type I IFNs, was the early finding that sera of lupus patients had high levels of type I IFNs (13, 14). Moreover, IFN-α in lupus sera promoted maturation of monocytes to efficient antigen-presenting cells (15), and there was a predominance of type I IFN-inducible genes in microarray profiles of PBMCs from lupus patients (16, 17). More direct evidence of the role of type I IFNs was obtained in our study in which NZB mice homozygous, and even heterozygous, for Ifnar1 deletion showed significant disease reduction (18). Within this context, early studies of Rommel and colleagues were of high relevance. These authors showed that sera of lupus patients or complexes of autoantibodies with plasmid DNA or apoptotic toxic materials induced strong production of type I IFNs by plasmacytoid DCs (19). A major advance in this area was the subsequent finding of Marshak-Rothstein and colleagues that chromatin-antichromatin immune complexes mediated proliferation of B cells expressing a BCR with rheumatoid factor activity, and that this effect was dependent on the uptake of such complexes and engagement of endosomal TLR9 (20). This finding, together with extraordinary developments in the characterization of TLRs and other sensors for pathogen-derived molecules, particularly nucleic acids, opened up a new chapter in our understanding of autoimmune disease initiation (20–22).

Importantly, it became clear that not only foreign nucleic acids, but also self-nucleic acids, can provoke an endosomal TLR-mediated inflammatory response, and both DNA- and RNA-containing materials may elicit such responses (20, 22). Accordingly, Thr7 deletion in MRL-Fas<sup>−/−</sup> mice, and particularly in severe lupus-developing male BXSB mice with a Thr7 gene duplication, led to disease reduction. Paradoxically, however, Thr9 deletion in MRL-Fas<sup>−/−</sup> mice resulted in enhanced disease despite decreases in anti-DNA autoantibodies, suggestive of a protective role of this TLR. The apparent protective effect of TLR9 was questioned by our observation that B6-Fas<sup>−/−</sup> and BXSB mice congenic for the 3d mutation of the UNC93B1 protein — in which signaling by all nucleic acid–sensing endosomal TLRs (TLR3, TLR7, and TLR9) is extinguished — showed significant reductions in all disease parameters and the diverse autoantibodies associated with this disease (23). Similarly, other studies showed that mice carrying double deletion
of Tlr7 and Tlr9 had stronger disease reduction than those carrying the single Tlr7 deletion. These results suggested that both TLR7 and TLR9 exert disease-promoting effects and that TLR7 engagement is more pathogenic than TLR9 engagement (24). The differential effects of these TLRs may be attributed to increased availability of TLR7-engaging, RNA-containing particles and/or increased downstream signaling by TLR7 compared with TLR9. In this regard, it should also be noted that TLR9 has higher affinity than TLR7 for UNC93B1, which traffics nucleic acid–sensing TLRs from the ER to endolysosomes in which ligand binding occurs. Therefore, deficiency of TLR9 would allow higher availability of UNC93B1 for trafficking of the more pathogenic TLR7. This provides a potential explanation for the disease-promoting effect of Tlr9 deletion.

In lupus, self–nucleic acids may evade the various mechanisms that normally prevent engagement of endosomal TLRs due to defective function of nucleases, protection from nucleases after complexing with autoantibodies and uptake by plasmacytoid/conventional DCs through FcγR, and high frequency of autoreactive B cells with specificity for nucleic acids, nucleoproteins, and related immune complexes (20, 22). Some nucleic acid–containing particles may be contributed by enhanced apoptosis or defective removal of apoptotic materials. Indeed, numerous defects in molecules involved in clearance of apoptotic debris are associated with antinuclear autoantibodies and clinical features of SLE in humans and mice (22, 25). Taking all these findings into consideration, we posited that systemic autoimmunity in predisposed individuals may proceed through a two-step process (Figure 1): an initiation step, in which uptake of apoptotic materials and nucleic acids induces an innate response and production of proinflammatory cytokines, especially type I IFNs, leading to activation of antigen-presenting DCs and B cells, followed by an amplification step, in which engagement of previously quiescent low-affinity autoreactive helper T cells leads to production of autoantibodies, formation of immune complexes, and uptake by plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs), DCs, and B cells, thereby perpetuating the inflammatory process.

The long journey to defining the pathogenesis of autoimmune syndromes has not yet been completed, but the end is clearly in reach. The findings discussed in relation to lupus are likely applicable to the pathogenesis of almost every other systemic and organ-specific autoimmune disease, in which evidence of the involvement of TLRs and IFNs has begun to emerge (26, 27). The evidence cited above strongly implies that endosomal TLRs and recognition of self–nucleic acids under sterile conditions are intimately involved in eliciting autoimmune responses. However, in some instances, microbial nucleic acids — alone or in combination with self–nucleic acids released from infected or damaged tissues — may also contribute, as might be the case with non-TLR cytosolic nucleic acid sensors (22, 28). Overall, definition of the diverse innate immune sensors and their trafficking and signaling pathways are likely to provide novel molecular targets for interventions in autoimmune/inflammatory disorders, and these treatments are likely to be far more specific and efficacious than the relatively crude cytoreductive methods that are presently applied.
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