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Uremia is a complex metabolic state marked by derangement of many signaling molecules and metabolic intermediates; of these, the massively increased levels of FGF23 are among the most striking. It has remained unclear whether FGF23 is directly implicated in the pathogenesis of chronic kidney disease (CKD) and its complications, a consequence of other dysregulated pathways, or perhaps an adaptive — and thus desirable — response. In this issue of the *JCI*, Shalhoub et al. describe the chronic effects of antibody-mediated FGF23 neutralization in a CKD mouse model, shedding new light on this complicated story and moving us one step closer to understanding the role of FGF23 in CKD.
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Uremia is a complex metabolic state marked by derangement of many signaling molecules and metabolic intermediates; of these, the massively increased levels of FGF23 are among the most striking. It has remained unclear whether FGF23 is directly implicated in the pathogenesis of chronic kidney disease (CKD) and its complications, a consequence of other dysregulated pathways, or perhaps an adaptive — and thus desirable — response. In this issue of the JCI, Shalhoub et al. describe the chronic effects of antibody-mediated FGF23 neutralization in a CKD mouse model, shedding new light on this complicated story and moving us one step closer to understanding the role of FGF23 in CKD.

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a global health problem that has a grave impact on morbidity and mortality rates. An extensive treatise published by the National Kidney Foundation in 1998 pointed out the sobering reality that a dialysis patient in his or her twenties has the same risk of dying as an 80-year-old person not on dialysis (1). Rather regrettably, the situation has not improved dramatically in the intervening years despite numerous efforts to understand the pathophysiology and improve treatment of CKD. Renal replacement therapy and organ transplant are undoubtedly life-saving implementations, but these options are reserved for end-stage renal failure and harbor their own limitations; dialysis is restricted to clearance of a limited group of molecules and therefore not a true “renal replacement,” and organ transplant is complicated by the requirement for universal suppression of the immune system. How can one devise additional strategies to significantly improve both life span and quality of life of patients with CKD?
The readouts of renal dysfunction

Uremia is a highly complex metabolic state. Since the kidney is the principal organ that preserves the constancy of the milieu intérieur, eloquently articulated by Claude Bernard (2), the impact of its dysfunction is widespread and immense. When renal function is compromised, exogenous uremic toxins accumulate and endogenous substances slip to levels incompatible with health. Secondary derangements further compound the state of affairs, cumulating in a downward unrelenting spiral to ultimate metabolic cataclysm. To realistically treat the uremic state, one must devise therapeutic interventions specifically targeted to prevent, arrest, and reverse each of the individual abnormalities of pathogenic significance.

While apparently straightforward in principle, this task is in fact extremely difficult. The derangement of a measurable parameter could merely be a biomarker (e.g., hypercreatinemia), could reflect a pathogenic factor (e.g., hyperphosphatemia, or both, and it is not always easy to distinguish between the two. A mild elevation of urea may be no more than a marker but higher elevations may in fact be deleterious (3). The current count of “uremic toxins” is in the three-digit range (4). Which should clinicians target and how should we prioritize? Does one always aim to bring a parameter back to its normal range as defined in the nonuremic state? This is certainly not true for hemocrit and parathyroid hormone (PTH) (5–7), where a “new normal” for the uremic state is yet to be identified. Even for a simple marker such as urea, the effect on clinical outcome follows a “J curve,” so “the lower-the-better” approach is not universally valid. An important factor to consider in this context is FGF23.

Troublemaker, bystander, or wrongly accused?

Since the cloning of FGF23 based on mutations in uncommon diseases (8, 9), this peptide has earned a reputation as the new “bad guy in town” in the CKD world. The current view of FGF23 is that it is one of the most important phosphate-regulatory hormones that acts on multiple organs to maintain homeostasis (10). It is quite unusual to witness an endocrine disorder in which the level of the deranged hormone reaches three orders of magnitude higher than the normal state. However, one encounters one epidemiologic study after another that links such high FGF23 levels to poor clinical outcomes (reviewed in ref. 11). Notwithstanding the fact that negative studies are less likely to be published, we are still left with an unprecedented and overwhelming collection of clinical literature linking high FGF23 levels to adverse outcomes, leaving most practitioners to conclude that FGF23 must contribute negatively to the uremic state. The power of epidemiology is of course the sample size, with data secured “in the field,” but it suffers from the perpetual limitation of mere association without the power to conclude on causality. When confronted with this body of data, one ponders whether high FGF23 is a mere marker for some underlying sinister menace or a bona fide uremic toxin yet to be caught with the smoking gun. A third paradigm — perhaps considered by some as borderline preposterous — is that the sickest CKD patients may require the highest FGF23 levels, suggesting that FGF23 could be a defensive hormone. Could FGF23 be a “good guy”? Clearly, the current body of evidence cannot proffer definitive proof, but is sufficient to spawn efforts to devise an approach to neutralize the colossally high FGF23 levels in CKD and simply see what happens.

Neutralizing FGF23

While receptor and receptor signaling antagonists are logical candidates for this approach (12–14), two groups proceeded to attempt FGF23 neutralization with therapeutic antibodies (15–17). Both groups reported that, acutely, anti-FGF23 monoclonal antibodies suppress PTH and raise vitamin D levels, likely via indirect and direct effects, respectively. These effects are nice confirmations of what we know about FGF23 biology and indeed are favorable from the viewpoint of potential therapeutics in CKD. In this issue of the JCI, one of those groups describes the chronic effects of the therapeutic antibody on the pathology in CKD in animal models (17). In this article, Shalhoub and coworkers showed several salient features of the administration of an anti-FGF23 monoclonal antibody of nanomolar affinity in rodents with an ablation model of CKD. The monoclonal antibody did not change the course of progression of CKD nor did it affect various left ventricular cardiac parameters. These findings are at odds with the proposed models of phosphotoxicity contributing to CKD progression (18) or direct “FGF23 cardiomyopathy” (12), which further illustrates the complexity of these paradigms. The improvement in static and dynamic bone histomorphometric data was impressive and may be related to the improved mineral metabolism (15). Despite the favorable changes in PTH and vitamin D levels, there was worsening hyperphosphatemia with antibody therapy with no change in phosphate excretion rate and very minor changes in fractional excretion of phosphate. Since data on external phosphate balance were not presented, one can only conjecture that the antibody may be promoting phosphate retention and phosphotoxicity.

Friend, foe, or both?
The most striking finding of Shalhoub et al. was the significant worsening of animal survival and aortic calcification and the accompanying logical — but presumptive — notion that the mice succumbed to cardiovascular deaths (17). These data may be disappointing to those anticipating emergence of a magic bullet for CKD, but the reality is that in this rodent model, the therapeutic anti-FGF23 antibody in this dosage exerts biologic effects that are far from favorable in overall survival. While the data may be unequivocal, the interpretation remains open. Does this finding permit one to conclude that the FGF23 elevation is in fact adaptive rather than pathophysiologic? Implicit in this view is the notion that one should not interfere with a natural adaptation intended to counter the metabolic disturbances in CKD. In fact, subscribers to this paradigm may wish to provide some assistance to this adaptive action by administering recombinant FGF23 to improve phosphate balance; that is, if neutralizing FGF23 is bad, perhaps should one elevate it instead. No doubt, this dichotomous view of FGF23 as either good or evil is far too simplistic. Despite the side effects of high PTH levels in CKD, we have learned that we cannot simply eliminate PTH. Likewise, if FGF23 is still one of the key phosphaturic hormones, one may need discreet levels in CKD to maintain phosphate balance. Complete obliteration would indeed be unwise, and given the findings of Shalhoub and coworkers (17), perhaps increased FGF23 levels would actually be therapeutic. Another attractive but yet unproven view is the “off-target effect” theory. If deficiency of the coreceptor protein Klotho in CKD (19) is impairing the ability of FGF23 to exert its legitimate actions, the remarkably high FGF23 levels could activate off-target effects and stir up multiple rogue pathways. Indeed, this was proposed by Faul and coworkers in describing how the activation of FGF receptor-2 may contribute to cardio-
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The molecular mapping of mutations that underlie congenital disorders of monogenic origin can result in both a broader understanding of the molecular basis of the disorder and novel therapeutic insights. Indeed, genotyping patients and then replicating the behavior of the mutant gene products in well-defined biochemical or electrophysiological systems will allow tailoring of therapy to be mutation- and protein sequence–dependent. In this issue of the JCI, Shen and colleagues describe such an approach that identified novel mutations in the α subunit of the nicotinic receptor linked to myasthenia gravis.

In this issue of the JCI, Shen and colleagues detail the discovery of novel mutations
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linked to myasthenia gravis (1), a disease characterized by skeletal muscle weakness, typically originating at the neuromuscular junction. This investigation is one of a series from the Engel and Sine groups at the Mayo Clinic that are unraveling the genetic basis of the disease. Around 15% of myasthenia gravis disorders are not of the autoimmune type and are linked to mutations in genes encoding proteins in the neuromuscular junction. These can range from the enzymes, transporters, and docking proteins found presynaptically in the motor neuron that control synthesis and release of acetylcholine, to cholinesterases of postsynaptic origin that catalyze the rapid hydrolysis of the released acetylcholine neurotransmitter. Between the synthesis and release of acetylcholine and the destruction of the neurotransmitter lies the nicotinic receptor, which has the capacity to recognize acetylcholine and respond rapidly to neurotransmitter binding through channel gating. This initiates a depolarization and postsynaptic potential, and ultimately muscle contraction and motor activity.


