Cancers subvert the host immune system to facilitate disease progression. These evolved immunosuppressive mechanisms are also implicated in circumventing immunotherapeutic strategies. Emerging data indicate that local tumor-associated DC populations exhibit tolerogenic features by promoting Treg development; however, the mechanisms by which tumors manipulate DC and Treg function in the tumor microenvironment remain unclear. Type III TGF-β receptor (TGFBR3) and its shed extracellular domain (sTGFBR3) regulate TGF-β signaling and maintain epithelial homeostasis, with loss of TGFBR3 expression promoting progression early in breast cancer development. Using murine models of breast cancer and melanoma, we elucidated a tumor immunoevasion mechanism whereby loss of tumor-expressed TGFBR3/sTGFBR3 enhanced TGF-β signaling within locoregional DC populations and upregulated both the immunoregulatory enzyme indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) in plasmacytoid DCs and the CCL22 chemokine in myeloid DCs. Alterations in these DC populations mediated Treg infiltration and the suppression of antitumor immunity. Our findings provide mechanistic support for using TGF-β inhibitors to enhance the efficacy of tumor immunotherapy, indicate that sTGFBR3 levels could serve as a predictive immunotherapy biomarker, and expand the mechanisms by which TGFBR3 suppresses cancer progression to include effects on the tumor immune microenvironment.
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Cancers subvert the host immune system to facilitate disease progression. These evolved immunosuppressive mechanisms are also implicated in circumventing immunotherapeutic strategies. Emerging data indicate that local tumor-associated DC populations exhibit tolerogenic features by promoting Treg development; however, the mechanisms by which tumors manipulate DC and Treg function in the tumor microenvironment remain unclear. Type III TGF-β receptor (TGFBR3) and its shed extracellular domain (sTGFBR3) regulate TGF-β signaling and maintain epithelial homeostasis, with loss of TGFBR3 expression promoting progression early in breast cancer development. Using murine models of breast cancer and melanoma, we elucidated a tumor immunoevasion mechanism whereby loss of tumor-expressed TGFBR3/sTGFBR3 enhanced TGF-β signaling within locoregional DC populations and upregulated both the immunoregulatory enzyme indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) in plasmacytoid DCs and the CCL22 chemokine in myeloid DCs. Alterations in these DC populations mediated Treg infiltration and the suppression of antitumor immunity. Our findings provide mechanistic support for using TGF-β inhibitors to enhance the efficacy of tumor immunotherapy, indicate that TGFBR3 levels could serve as a predictive immunotherapy biomarker, and expand the mechanisms by which TGFBR3 suppresses cancer progression to include effects on the tumor immune microenvironment.

Introduction
Tumor immunotherapy has demonstrated only modest clinical efficacy, largely due to the strategies used by tumors to evade the host immune system (1, 2). Many of these mechanisms create an immunotolerant microenvironment and suppress the generation of an effective antitumor immune response, allowing tumors to ultimately escape cancer immunosurveillance (3, 4).

A key component of the tumor immune microenvironment is the sentinel LN. As a result of soluble factors released by primary tumor tissues, APC populations within these locoregional LNs, including DCs, exhibit dampened immunostimulatory properties (5, 6). Although phenotypic alteration of APCs within the tumor microenvironment may be necessary for tumor progression (7), our understanding of both the tumor-derived factors targeting these cell populations and the specific modulatory effects that they elicit remains extremely limited.

TGF-β is a potent immunosuppressive cytokine that inhibits T cell function and the antigen presentation capacity of DCs (8, 9). Recent studies have elucidated a direct role for TGF-β in upregulating the expression of the immunoregulatory enzyme indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) by plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs) and support a role for this pathway in long-term T cell tolerization (10, 11). By catalyzing the degradation of the essential amino acid tryptophan, IDO suppresses effector T cell activity and promotes Treg differentiation and activation (12–15). Interestingly, elevated tumor-draining LN (TDLN) IDO expression levels predict inferior clinical outcome in melanoma, which suggests that IDO is an important negative regulator of antitumor immunity (16, 17). In addition to activating Tregs via IDO, some tumors also use the DC-derived CCL22 chemokine to promote CCR4-dependent recruitment of these cells to the tumor microenvironment and to establish an immunoprotected sanctuary allowing for disease progression (18, 19). Despite this understanding, however, the mechanisms used by tumors to manipulate DC function to ultimately generate local immunosuppression remain unclear.

Type III TGF-β receptor (TGFBR3) is a coreceptor for the canonical TGF-β signaling pathway, with emerging roles in mediating both SMAD-dependent and SMAD-independent downstream signaling (20, 21). We have previously shown that TGFBR3 expression is downregulated during the early stages of progression of many human cancers, including breast cancer, compared with patient-matched normal tissue controls (22–24). Furthermore, we have shown that TGFBR3 inhibits cell migration and invasion in several tumor models, in support of a role for TGFBR3 as a suppressor of cancer progression and metastasis (25, 26). Mechanistically, TGFBR3 undergoes ectodomain shedding from the cell surface to generate a soluble form of TGFBR3 (sTGFBR3) that sequesters...
TGF-β superfamily ligands and inhibits their downstream signaling (22, 27, 28). Here, we investigated the effects of tumor TGFBR3 downregulation on TGF-β signaling within the immune microenvironment and its potential implications in cancer immunotherapy.

Results
Loss of TGFBR3 expression generates an immunotolerant tumor microenvironment. To determine whether TGFBR3 regulates antitumor immunity, we orthotopically implanted 4T1 murine mammary carcinoma cells expressing TGFBR3 (referred to herein as 4T1-TGFBR3 cells; Supplemental Table 1 and Supplemental Figure 1A; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI65745DS1) and an empty vector control cell line (4T1-NEO cells) into the right mammary fat pad of either syngeneic female immunocompetent WT BALB/c mice or female immunocompromised IL-2 γ-chain–deficient NOD.Cg-PrkdcscidIl2rgtm1Wjl/Szj mice.

Figure 1
Loss of TGFBR3 expression promotes tumor progression only in immunocompetent hosts. (A) In vivo growth of 4T1-NEO and 4T1-TGFBR3 primary tumors in NSG and WT hosts. 15 mice/group. Red, 4T1-NEO WT; blue, 4T1-TGFBR3 WT; green, 4T1-NEO NSG; purple, 4T1-TGFBR3 NSG. (B) 4T1-NEO/4T1-TGFBR3 tumor burden ratios, based on in vivo bioluminescence monitoring. Representative images are also shown. (C) 4T1-NEO-Her2 and 4T1-TGFBR3-Her2 tumor incidence and volume in NSG and WT hosts. 6 mice/group. Representative of 3 individual experiments. (D) CD3 IHC of 4T1-NEO-Her2 and 4T1-TGFBR3-Her2 tumors. 10 random ×40 fields/condition (representative ×40 images are also shown). Representative of 2 independent experiments. (E) TUNEL analysis of 4T1-NEO-Her2 and 4T1-TGFBR3-Her2 tumors resected from WT and NSG hosts. 10 random ×40 fields/condition. Representative of 2 independent experiments. (F) Annexin-V/PI flow cytometry analysis of resected 4T1-NEO-Her2 and 4T1-TGFBR3-Her2 tumors from WT hosts. Data are mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.005, 2-tailed Student’s t test.
mice (NOD/SCID gamma; referred to herein as NSG mice). Similar to previous findings, TGFBR3 expression was consistently found to suppress 4T1 metastasis in WT mice (Supplemental Figure 2, A–C). However, 4T1-TGFBR3 and 4T1-NEO tumors grew more rapidly in the NSG strain than in WT hosts (Figure 1A), demonstrating an important role for the immune system in regulating the primary tumor growth of this breast cancer model. While the ratio of overall tumor burden in 4T1-NEO tumor-bearing mice to that in 4T1-TGFBR3 tumor-bearing mice approached unity in NSG hosts after primary tumor resection, the 4T1-NEO/4T1-TGFBR3 ratio exceeded 3 in WT hosts (Figure 1B). These data supported a role for an intact immune system in TGFBR3-mediated suppression of breast cancer progression. To monitor tumor-associated antigen–specific T cells, we generated HER2/NEU-expressing 4T1-NEO and 4T1-TGFBR3 tumor cell lines (4T1-NEO-HER2 and 4T1-TGFBR3-HER2, respectively; Supplemental Figure 1, B and C) and implanted them into syngeneic female WT and NSG mice. While 4T1-NEO-HER2 and 4T1-TGFBR3-HER2 tumor incidence was uniformly high in NSG hosts, 4T1-TGFBR3-HER2 tumor incidence in WT mice was significantly reduced compared with 4T1-NEO-HER2 control tumors (Figure 1C). Consistent with the results obtained with the parental cell lines, whereas the 4T1-NEO-HER2/4T1-TGFBR3-HER2 primary tumor volume ratio was elevated in WT mice, this ratio was approximately 1 in the NSG strain (Figure 1C). We subsequently investigated potential crosstalk between the TGFBR3 and HER2/NEU signaling pathways and failed to observe any changes in cell proliferation in vivo or apoptosis in vitro (Supplemental Figure 3). However, 4T1-TGFBR3-HER2 tumors demonstrated increased CD3+ T cell infiltration compared with 4T1-NEO-HER2 tumors (Figure 1D), which suggests that the differences in tumor growth may be secondary to the enhanced generation of antitumor immunity in 4T1-TGFBR3 tumors. Consistent with our hypothesis that TGFBR3 regulates the antitumor immune response, there were no significant differences in tumor cell apoptosis in NSG hosts, whereas tumor cell apoptosis in vivo was increased in 4T1-TGFBR3-HER2 versus 4T1-NEO-HER2 tumors in WT mice (Figure 1, E and F). These findings suggested that TGFBR3 elicits a proapoptotic T cell–mediated immune response. Indeed, 4T1-TGFBR3 tumor tissues exhibited both increased CD3+CD8+ cytotoxic T cell infiltration and diminished CD4+FOXP3+ Treg infiltration compared with 4T1-NEO tumors (Figure 2, A and B). 4T1-NEO tumors also demonstrated TH2 polarization relative to 4T1-TGFBR3 tumors, with elevated levels of Il4, Il13, and Il10 mRNA along with a modest decrease in Ifng (Supplemental Figure 4), further indicating that the loss of TGFBR3 supports the development of an immune microenvironment conducive to tumor growth. TDLNs serve a critical role in the generation of host antitumor immunity. Similar to the results in primary tumor tissues, TDLNs of 4T1-TGFBR3 tumors contained increased numbers of CD8+ T cells, and 4T1-TGFBR3-HER2 tumors demonstrated increased CD3+ T cell infiltration compared with 4T1-NEO-HER2 tumors (Figure 1D), which suggests that the differences in tumor growth may be secondary to the enhanced generation of antitumor immunity in 4T1-TGFBR3 tumors. Consistent with our hypothesis that TGFBR3 regulates the antitumor immune response, there were no significant differences in tumor cell apoptosis in NSG hosts, whereas tumor cell apoptosis in vivo was increased in 4T1-TGFBR3-HER2 versus 4T1-NEO-HER2 tumors in WT mice (Figure 1, E and F). These findings suggested that TGFBR3 elicits a proapoptotic T cell–mediated immune response. Indeed, 4T1-TGFBR3 tumor tissues exhibited both increased CD3+CD8+ cytotoxic T cell infiltration and diminished CD4+FOXP3+ Treg infiltration compared with 4T1-NEO tumors (Figure 2, A and B). 4T1-NEO tumors also demonstrated TH2 polarization relative to 4T1-TGFBR3 tumors, with elevated levels of Il4, Il13, and Il10 mRNA along with a modest decrease in Ifng (Supplemental Figure 4), further indicating that the loss of TGFBR3 supports the development of an immune microenvironment conducive to tumor growth. TDLNs serve a critical role in the generation of host antitumor immunity. Similar to the results in primary tumor tissues, TDLNs of 4T1-TGFBR3 tumors contained increased numbers of CD8+ T cells, and 4T1-TGFBR3-HER2 tumors demonstrated increased CD3+ T cell infiltration compared with 4T1-NEO-HER2 tumors (Figure 1D), which suggests that the differences in tumor growth may be secondary to the enhanced generation of antitumor immunity in 4T1-TGFBR3 tumors. Consistent with our hypothesis that TGFBR3 regulates the antitumor immune response, there were no significant differences in tumor cell apoptosis in NSG hosts, whereas tumor cell apoptosis in vivo was increased in 4T1-TGFBR3-HER2 versus 4T1-NEO-HER2 tumors in WT mice (Figure 1, E and F). These findings suggested that TGFBR3 elicits a proapoptotic T cell–mediated immune response. Indeed, 4T1-TGFBR3 tumor tissues exhibited both increased CD3+CD8+ cytotoxic T cell infiltration and diminished CD4+FOXP3+ Treg infiltration compared with 4T1-NEO tumors (Figure 2, A and B). 4T1-NEO tumors also demonstrated TH2 polarization relative to 4T1-TGFBR3 tumors, with elevated levels of Il4, Il13, and Il10 mRNA along with a modest decrease in Ifng (Supplemental Figure 4), further indicating that the loss of TGFBR3 supports the development of an immune microenvironment conducive to tumor growth. TDLNs serve a critical role in the generation of host antitumor immunity. Similar to the results in primary tumor tissues, TDLNs of 4T1-TGFBR3 tumors contained increased numbers of CD8+ T cells, and 4T1-TGFBR3-HER2 tumors demonstrated increased CD3+ T cell infiltration compared with 4T1-NEO-HER2 tumors (Figure 1D), which suggests that the differences in tumor growth may be secondary to the enhanced generation of antitumor immunity in 4T1-TGFBR3 tumors. Consistent with our hypothesis that TGFBR3 regulates the antitumor immune response, there were no significant differences in tumor cell apoptosis in NSG hosts, whereas
cytotoxic T cells and diminished Treg populations compared with 4T1-NEO TDLNs (Figure 2, C and D, and Supplemental Figure 5). These properties were consistent with the enhanced T cell proliferative capacity of APCs observed in 4T1-TGFBR3 TDLNs (Figure 2E). Further illustrating the locoregional effects of tumor-mediated immunosuppression in this tumor model, we also observed an increase in T cell proliferative responses in distant LN tissues relative to TDLN tissues (Supplemental Figure 6A). Finally, 4T1-TGFBR3 TDLNs also consistently generated more robust HER2/NEU antigen-specific T cell responses than did 4T1-NEO TDLNs (Figure 2, F and G, and Supplemental Figure 6B). To further test our hypothesis, we silenced TGFBR3 expression in a different murine mammary carcinoma cell line in the absence of an exogenous antigen (66CL4 cells) and examined the effects on the local immune microenvironment after implanting these cells in the mammary fat pad of BALB/c mice (Supplemental Figure 7, A and B). Consistent with our previous data, we observed a significant decrease in the CD8/FOXP3 tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte ratio upon TGFBR3 downregulation.

Figure 3
Loss of TGFBR3 occurs during melanoma progression and suppresses the development of antitumor immunity. (A) Oncomine microarray TGFBR3 expression analysis in human benign nevi and primary melanoma tissues. See Supplemental Table 2. (B) DNA hybridization blot analysis of TGFBR3 mRNA levels in normal human skin (N) and melanoma tumor tissues (T). (C) TGFBR3 IHC of human benign nevi, primary melanoma, and metastatic melanoma tumor tissues. 100 core tissues were evaluated. Representative ×20 fields are also shown. (D) B16-mOVA-TGFBR3 tumor growth relative to B16-mOVA control (ctrl) and B16/F10 (B16) tumors in syngeneic hosts. 5–6 tumors/condition. Representative of 3 independent experiments. (E) qRT-PCR of Cd8 and Foxp3 in B16-mOVA-TGFBR3 versus B16-mOVA tumors. 3 tumors/condition. Representative of 2 independent experiments. (F) CD8 and FOXP3 IHC of B16-mOVA-TGFBR3, B16-mOVA, and B16/F10 tumors. 10 fields/tumor, 3 tumors/condition. (G) K~b/-OVA257–264-specific CD8~+~ T cell tetramer analysis of resected splenic and TDLN tissues from B16-mOVA-TGFBR3, B16-mOVA, and B16/F10 tumor-bearing mice. 3–6 mice/group. Representative of 2 independent experiments. Data are mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05, 2-tailed Student’s t test (A, E, and F), 1-way ANOVA (C, D, and G).
Overall, these data suggest that loss of tumor-expressed TGFBR3 during cancer progression dampens the T cell antitumor immune response, thereby promoting the development of an immunotolerant microenvironment.

Figure 4
Sequestration of TGF-β by sTGFBR3 modulates the tumor microenvironment. (A) Left: sTGFBR3 inhibited downstream TGF-β–mediated signaling in tumor cells in an autocrine manner. Right: sTGFBR3 also inhibited TGF-β signaling in local stromal cells in a paracrine manner. (B) Tumor growth measurements with and without TGF-β–targeted blockade. 2G7, anti–pan–TGF-β mAb. 8 mice/group. (C) Immunofluorescence analysis of pSMAD2 in TDLN tissues. 5 random ×10 fields across 3–4 LNs/condition (representative ×10 and ×50 images are also shown). Representative of 2 independent experiments. (D) CD4⁺/FOXP3⁺ Treg flow cytometry of TDLN tissues. 4 mice/group. (E) Doxycycline (Dox) treatment was initiated at different times after 4T1-sTGFBR3 Tet tumor implantation, and mice were monitored for tumor progression. (F) Cd8 qRT-PCR analysis of primary 4T1-sTGFBR3 Tet tumors with or without doxycycline. Flow cytometry analysis of activated CD8⁺ T cells in 4T1-sTGFBR3 Tet TDLNs is also shown. (G) Foxp3 qRT-PCR analysis of primary 4T1-sTGFBR3 Tet tumors with or without doxycycline. Flow cytometry analysis of CD4⁺/FOXP3⁺ Tregs in 4T1-sTGFBR3 Tet TDLNs is also shown. (H) CD8 and FOXP3 IHC of 4T1-sTGFBR3 Tet tumors. 20 ×40 fields/tumor, 2–3 tumors/group. Flow cytometry data are expressed as a percentage of viable total tumor cell number. Data are mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.005, 2-tailed Student's t test (B and E–G), 1-way ANOVA (C and D), Mann-Whitney U test (H).
pathogenesis. TGF-β signaling is known to promote melanoma metastasis, and elevated TGF-β expression during melanoma progression correlates with a poor clinical prognosis (29, 30). Similar to our findings in breast cancer, we observed downregulation of TGFBR3 during human melanoma progression at both the mRNA and protein levels (Figure 3, A–C, Supplemental Table 2, and Supplemental Figure 8A), with loss of TGFBR3 expression by both tumor and associated stromal tissues. To understand the potential role of TGFBR3 in regulating the antitumor immune response in melanoma, we implanted TGFBR3-expressing B16–membrane ovalbumin (mOVA) melanoma tumor cells (referred to herein as B16-mOVA-TGFBR3 cells) and TGFBR3-nonexpressing B16-mOVA control cells into syngeneic C57BL/6 mice and monitored tumor growth (Supplemental Figure 8B). TGFBR3 expres-

Figure 5
Tumor-derived sTGFBR3 inhibits expression and enzymatic activity of IDO by local pDC populations within the tumor microenvironment. (A) Ido qRT-PCR analysis of 4T1-NEO and 4T1-TGFBR3 tumors and TDLNs. (B) IDO immunofluorescence of TDLNs. Representative ×20 images are also shown. (C) TDLN pDC Ido qRT-PCR. Pooled from 3 independent experiments. (D) TDLN pDC IDO immunofluorescence. Representative ×100 images of pDCs isolated in 2 independent experiments are also shown. Isotype controls showed no staining. (E) Ido qRT-PCR analysis of 4T1-NEO and 4T1-TGFBR3 TDLNs after 2G7 treatment. 6 mice/group. (F) In-cell Western blot (left; pooled from 3 independent experiments) and traditional Western blot (right; representative of 2 independent experiments) of pDC IDO expression in the presence or absence of 4T1-NEO or 4T1-TGFBR3 CM. IFN-γ served as a positive control. (G) pDC-derived IDO enzymatic activity, measured after coincubation with 4T1-NEO or 4T1-TGFBR3 CM. sTGFBR3 served as a positive control. Pooled from 3 independent experiments. (H) Whole 4T1-sTGFBR3 Tet TDLN IDO enzymatic assay with or without doxycycline. (I) 4T1-NEO and 4T1-TGFBR3 TDLN-derived pDC mixed lymphocyte proliferation assay. Representative of 2 independent assays. Data are mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.005, 2-tailed Student’s t test.
sion significantly diminished tumor incidence (0% vs. 80%) and tumor growth in B16-mOVA-TGFBR3 versus B16/F10 and B16-mOVA tumors (Figure 3D). Similar to our findings in the 4T1 and 66CL4 breast cancer models, B16-mOVA-TGFBR3 tumors exhibited enhanced CD8+ T cell and suppressed FOXP3+ Treg infiltration compared with B16/F10 and B16-mOVA tumors (Figure 3, E and F, and Supplemental Figure 8D). Importantly, Kls-SIINFEKL tetramer analysis of the spleen and TDLNs revealed increased numbers of OVA257-264-specific T cell populations in mice bearing B16-mOVA-TGFBR3 versus B16-mOVA tumors (Figure 3G). Together, these data support TGFBR3 as a tumor-expressed modulator of the tumor immune microenvironment in both breast cancer and melanoma.

Loss of TGFBR3 primarily modulates TGF-β signaling and results in enhanced expression of IDO and CCL22 by local DC populations within the tumor microenvironment. TGFBR3 is shed from the tumor cell surface to generate sTGFBR3, which in turn sequesters TGF-β (41). TGFBR3 is shed from the tumor cell surface to generate sTGFBR3, which in turn sequesters TGF-β. TGFBR3 is shed from the tumor cell surface to generate sTGFBR3, which in turn sequesters TGF-β. TGFBR3 is shed from the tumor cell surface to generate sTGFBR3, which in turn sequesters TGF-β. TGFBR3 is shed from the tumor cell surface to generate sTGFBR3, which in turn sequesters TGF-β (21), we sought to determine the contribution of the TGF-β ligands in TGFBR3-mediated immune regulation. We administered the anti-pan-TGF-β mAb 2G7 into the mammary fat pad of female BALB/c mice every 2–3 days after implantation of the 4T1-NEO tumor line (31). Compared with control 4T1-NEO tumors, inhibition of TGF-β with the 2G7 mAb recapitulated the diminished 4T1-NEO-TGFBR3 tumor size (Figure 4B). These results suggested that loss of TGFBR3 expression may promote cancer progression by enhancing TGF-β signaling within the tumor microenvironment. Consistent with this hypothesis, 2G7 delivery inhibited TGF-β signaling in 4T1-NEO TDLNs to an extent similar to that in 4T1-TGFBR3 TDLNs (Figure 4C). Notably, both the 2G7 mAb and TGFBR3 expression were also associated with decreased levels of CD4+FOXP3+ Tregs within the TDLNs (Figure 4D). To confirm that sTGFBR3 was indeed responsible for modulating the immunologic responses observed in the 4T1 and B16 tumor models, and that this effect was independent of an exogenous antigen, we generated a tetracycline-responsive 4T1 tumor cell line expressing the ectodomain of TGFBR3 (referred to herein as 4T1-sTGFBR3) in vivo. Mice were transplanted into the mammary fat pads of syngeneic mice before doxycycline was administered at different time points prior to their water supply. In line with our previous data, doxycycline induction of tumor-mediated sTGFBR3 expression effectively suppressed the growth of this breast cancer model (Figure 4E and Supplemental Figure 2D). Furthermore, the upregulation of sTGFBR3 expression also corresponded with enhanced CD8+ T cell and diminished FOXP3+ Tregs within the 4T1-sTGFBR3 tumor microenvironment (Figure 4, F–H, and Supplemental Figure 9, D and E).

DCs orchestrate the activation and modulate the function of different populations of effector T cells. Given that tumor-derived TGFBR3 regulates both CD8+ T cell and FOXP3+ Treg populations, we postulated that TGFBR3 tumor expression may modulate local DC function. Consistent with this hypothesis, CD11c+ DCs within 4T1-TGFBR3 TDLNs demonstrated a phenotype consistent with enhanced maturation relative to CD11c+ DCs within 4T1-NEO TDLNs (Supplemental Figure 10). Since the phenotype of DCs directly affects DC-dependent T cell stimulation, these data suggest that the enhanced T cell activation we observed in 4T1-TGFBR3 TDLNs (Figure 2, F and G) may be partially due to diminished
TGF-β signaling within these local DC populations. To determine whether tumor-expressed sTGFBR3 can interfere with paracrine DC-specific TGF-β-mediated signaling, we cocultured 4T1-NEO and 4T1-TGFBR3 tumor conditioned media (CM) with both a murine splenic DC line and purified bone marrow–derived myeloid DCs (mDCs). Similar to the anti–pan–TGF-β mAb 2G7 and recombinant sTGFBR3, 4T1-TGFBR3 CM significantly decreased TGF-β–induced SMAD2 phosphorylation (pSMAD2) in these DC populations compared with DCs cocultured with 4T1-NEO CM (Supplemental Figure 11, A and B). 4T1-TGFBR3 CM also reversed TGF-β–induced suppression of phenotypic DC maturation based on CD40 surface expression (Supplemental Figure 11C). Furthermore, in DC coinubation studies, CM from sTGFBR3-expressing COS7 cells recapitulated these findings (Supplemental Figure 11, D and E), thereby establishing tumor cell–expressed sTGFBR3 as an inhibitor of the TGF-β signaling pathway in DCs. Collectively,
these results suggest that the production of sTGFBR3 by tumor tissues is capable of suppressing paracrine TGF-β-mediated signaling in local APCs (Figure 4A, right).

Consistent with the hypothesis that loss of tumor TGFBR3 expression during cancer progression enhances downstream TGF-β signaling in local DCs compared with tumors expressing TGFBR3, the stromal cells of 4T1-NEO tumors, 4T1-NEO TDLNs, and B16-mOVA tumors expressed higher mRNA and protein levels of the TGF-β-responsive immunoregulatory enzyme IDO (Figure 5, A and B, and Supplemental Figure 12). Similar to a previous report (16), IDO was expressed primarily by pDCs within these TDLN tissues (Supplemental Figure 13A). pDCs isolated from 4T1-NEO TDLNs expressed higher mRNA and protein levels of IDO compared with those from 4T1-TGFBR3 TDLNs (Figure 5, C and D). Furthermore, TGF-β treatment of murine bone marrow–derived pDCs and splenic pDCs, but not mDCs, robustly upregulated mRNA and protein levels of IDO (Supplemental Figure 13, B and C). In support of the observed relationship between TGFBR3 and IDO expression in the 4T1 and B16 tumor models, delivery of 2G7 into the 4T1 tumor bed of syngeneic mice also decreased IDO expression in nearby TDLN tissues (Figure 5E). In addition, 4T1-TGFBR3 CM suppressed IDO expression by purified splenic pDCs (Figure 5F). Since the enzymatic activity of IDO is critical for its immunoregulatory role, we also investigated the effects of 4T1-TGFBR3 CM on the functional activity of this enzyme. Reflecting the changes observed in IDO expression, 4T1-TGFBR3 CM also suppressed the enzymatic activity of IDO (Figure 5G). Importantly, we also observed a modest but significant suppression of IDO enzymatic activity in whole TDLN tissues resected from 4T1-sTGFBR3Tet tumor-bearing mice upon doxycycline-induced sTGFBR3 expression (Figure 5H). Finally, to demonstrate the differential immunosuppressive function of pDCs in 4T1-TGFBR3 and 4T1-NEO TDLNs, we tested the ability of these TDLN-derived purified pDCs to inhibit T cell proliferation in a mixed lymphocyte response assay. We found that pDCs isolated from 4T1-NEO TDLNs, but not 4T1-TGFBR3 TDLNs, robustly suppressed T cell proliferation (Figure 5I). Moreover, the T cell–suppressive effect of pDCs was reversed with the IDO inhibitor 1-methyl-dl-tryptophan (1-MT), which suggests that IDO activity plays a critical role in pDC-dependent inhibition of T cell activity. These results demonstrate that tumor-derived TGFBR3 regulates the immune microenvironment through its modulation of pDC-derived IDO.

In addition to IDO, tumors use other Treg-dependent mechanisms to suppress the generation of host immunity. The CCL22 chemokine is primarily expressed by myeloid APCs and promotes the recruitment of CCR4-expressing Treg populations (32, 33). Consistent with our observation of increased tumor and TDLN-resident Tregs upon loss of TGFBR3 in the murine breast cancer and melanoma models, Ccl22 mRNA levels were increased in both tumor and TDLN tissues in the absence of tumor-derived TGFBR3 (Figure 6A). These alterations in Ccl22 expression were mediated by sTGFBR3, since doxycycline delivery suppressed Ccl22 mRNA expression in 4T1-sTGFBR3Tet tumors (Figure 6B). We also found reciprocal increases in Ccl22 expression upon TGFBR3 silencing in the 66CL4-TGFBR3-KD tumor model (Figure 6C). These findings were further supported at the pro-
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Figure 9
Translational implications of TGFBR3-dependent regulation of antitumor immunity in melanoma. (A) Stage III melanoma TGFBR3 expression levels were determined by microarray analysis. Complete response rates to ILI therapy by patients with the highest 20% TGFBR3 expression (TGFBR3 hi) and lowest 20% expression (TGFBR3 lo) are shown. 103 patients. (B) sTGFBR3 plasma levels in nonresponding (NR) versus responding (R) stage III melanoma patients. 52 patients. (C) Multivariate survival analysis of stage III melanoma patients according to sTGFBR3 plasma levels. Data are mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05, ***P < 0.0005, Spearman correlation calculation (A), Mann-Whitney U test (B), multivariable analysis (C).

tein level by CCL22 immunohistochemistry (IHC; Figure 6D and Supplemental Figure 14A). Previous work has shown mDCs to be the predominant source of CCL22 expression (32). TGF-β-mediated dose-dependent upregulation of CCL22 expression in mDC but not pDC populations, similar to IL-4 (Figure 6, E–G, Supplemental Figure 14, B and C, and ref. 33). To better understand the mechanism of CCL22 upregulation, we conducted a promoter sequence analysis, which revealed evidence of a SMAD3/4 binding element (−256/−247), prompting additional luciferase reporter assays that confirmed the ability of TGF-β to activate the Ccl22 promoter (Supplemental Figure 14D and data not shown). These data suggested that TGFBR3 regulates TGF-β–induced CCL22 expression in mDCs, resulting in an alternative mechanism for tumor-mediated recruitment of Tregs.

Together, our present findings support a model in which progressive loss of TGFBR3 during cancer progression enhances TGF-β–mediated upregulation of both IDO and CCL22 by distinct DC populations within the tumor microenvironment. We hypothesize that this mechanism, coupled with the elevated levels of TGF-β observed in many human cancers, generates an immunotolerant state, further facilitating tumor progression and metastasis.

TGF-β–mediated suppression of DC function is critical for melanoma tumorigenesis. To determine the importance of DC-specific TGF-β signaling in the process of tumor-mediated immunosuppression, we investigated B16 melanoma development in the previously developed Cd11c– TGFBR2 transgenic mouse strain, which expresses dominant-negative TGFBR2 (dnTGFBR2) under control of the Cd11c promoter to ablate the TGF-β signaling pathway specifically in DC populations (34–36). As expected, purified bone marrow–derived and splenic pDCs from Cd11c– TGFBR2 mice exhibited diminished TGF-β signaling compared with DCs of WT mice (Figure 7, A and B, and Supplemental Figure 15A). Consistent with dampened TGF-β signaling, Cd11c– TGFBR2 splenic and bone marrow–derived mDCs also exhibited decreased TGF-β–dependent expression of IDO and CCL22, respectively (Figure 7, A and B). In contrast, the TGF-β–signaling pathway remained mostly intact in splenic CD8+ T and NK cells from Cd11c– TGFBR2 mice (Figure 7B, Supplemental Figure 15B, and Supplemental Figure 16), which suggests that TGF-β–mediated suppression of antitumor immunity is predominantly restricted to direct T cell and NK cell inhibition in this transgenic host. We next monitored B16-mOVA tumor growth, and found it to be decreased in Cd11c– TGFBR2 versus WT hosts (Figure 7C). Although the number of DCs within the tumor microenvironment of Cd11c– TGFBR2 mice was not significantly different from the number of TDLN-derived DCs of WT mice (Supplemental Figure 17), TDLN-derived pDCs from Cd11c– TGFBR2 mice were compromised in their ability to respond to TGF-β, based on decreased expression of Il6 and increased expression of Myc (Figure 7D). The primary B16-mOVA tumors resected from Cd11c– TGFBR2 hosts also demonstrated reduced infiltration of FOXP3+ Tregs and enhanced numbers of CD8+ T cells (Figure 7, E and F). These findings were further corroborated by the enhanced generation of OVA-specific CD8+ T cells in the spleens of Cd11c– TGFBR2 tumor–bearing hosts (Figure 7G). Together, these data support our previous work in both the 4T1 and B16 tumor models and suggest that the DC-specific TGF-β signaling pathway is critical for tumor-mediated immunosuppression.

Translational implications of TGFBR3-dependent regulation of antitumor immunity. In human breast cancers, decreased TGFBR3 expression was also accompanied by increased ILD, CCL22, and FOXP3 expression (Figure 8A and Supplemental Table 3), suggesting a role for the loss of TGFBR3 expression and enhanced TGF-β signaling in driving tumor-mediated immunosuppression in human breast cancer. Comparable gene expression associations were also detected in human melanoma tissues (Supplemental Figure 18 and Supplemental Table 4). Overall, our data suggest that downregulation of TGFBR3 during cancer progression is accompanied by increased ILD, CCL22 expression by local DCs, promoting both the development and the recruitment of FOXP3+ Tregs in the tumor microenvironment (Figure 8B). To further test this hypothesis, we explored whether inhibiting TGF-β signaling potentiates the efficacy of cancer vaccine approaches. Treating murine HER2/NEU-expressing 4T1 tumors with either an adenoviral vector expressing a kinase-inactive form of HER2/NEU (AdHER2ki) or with the TGFBR1 serine/threonine kinase inhibitor SM16 had no effect on tumor growth (Figure 8C and refs. 37–40). However,

Table 1
Melanoma TGFBR3 expression and clinical response to ILI therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TGFBR3 hi</th>
<th>TGFBR3 lo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete response (n)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive disease (n)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P = 0.0046, χ² analysis.
the combination of AdHER2ki with SM16 synergistically inhibited tumor growth (Figure 8C). The therapeutic benefit derived from the combination of an antigen-specific vaccine with a TGF-β signaling inhibitor was primarily mediated by an enhanced antitumor T cell response (Figure 8D). These results support the proposed mechanism involving the TGFBR3/TGF-β axis, while providing additional evidence that inhibiting the TGF-β signaling pathway in the tumor microenvironment could synergistically enhance the efficacy of tumor-targeted vaccines (41).

These data suggested that TGFBR3 modulates the immune response to melanoma. Therefore, we used gene microarray analysis of resected human tumor tissues as well as ELISA analysis of the corresponding plasma samples of in-transit stage III melanoma patients to investigate the relationship between TGFBR3/ sTGFBR3 and clinical outcome in this patient population (42). After isolated limb infusion (ILI) therapy, patients in the highest quintile of tumor TGFBR3 expression exhibited a significantly higher complete response rate than those within the lowest quintile (Figure 9A and Table 1). Furthermore, plasma sTGFBR3 levels were significantly higher in stage III melanoma patients responding to ILI therapy than in the nonresponding population (Figure 9B). Finally, multivariate analysis showed that patients with plasma sTGFBR3 levels higher than the median demonstrated significantly improved overall survival after treatment (hazard ratio 0.66, P < 0.0001; Figure 9C, Table 2, and Supplemental Figure 19). These data suggest that the loss of TGFBR3 during melanoma progression may suppress antitumor immunity and that plasma levels of sTGFBR3 may serve as a useful prognostic and/or immunotherapeutic predictive biomarker in melanoma patients.

### Discussion

Here, we describe a novel mechanism by which loss of TGFBR3 expression effectively dampens the host antitumor immune response. As we have previously demonstrated, TGFBR3 is frequently downregulated during cancer progression and suppresses tumor cell invasion and metastasis in a cell-autonomous manner (25, 26). Our present observations supported our prior data while expanding on these findings, as loss of TGFBR3 expression also resulted in enhanced TGF-β-dependent signaling within the tumor microenvironment, thereby suppressing the development of tumor antigen-specific immune responses in both breast cancer and melanoma.

Specifically, we have shown that diminished TGFBR3 expression by progressing breast and melanoma tumors was associated with a decrease in CD8+ T cell and an increase in FOXP3+ Treg populations in the tumor microenvironment, based on quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis, flow cytometry, and IHC. Furthermore, decreased tumor-dependent TGFBR3 expression correlated with suppressed tumor-associated antigen–specific T cell responses, based on T cell proliferation assays, IFN-γ ELISPOT studies, and tetramer flow analysis.

Given the critical role of DCs in directing T cell activation and differentiation, we focused our studies on local DCs within the tumor microenvironment and found that loss of TGFBR3 expression significantly affected the function of local DC populations within both the primary tumor and TDLN tissues. A decrease in tumor-associated TGFBR3 expression augmented TGF-β–dependent upregulation of IDO expression and enzymatic activity in pDCs and CCL22 expression in mDCs. These mechanistic studies further highlighted the substantial differences in TGF-β responsiveness and TGF-β signaling between mDC and pDC populations. Both of these alterations in the tumor immune microenvironment supported enhanced Treg infiltration within the tumor bed and contributed to the development of an immunotolerant site. Tumors suppressed the generation of local immune responses by commandeering the IDO- and CCL22-dependent mechanisms of Treg generation and recruitment, which suggests that loss of TGFBR3 expression is an important, relatively early mechanism for establishing the equilibrium phase of the proposed cancer immunoediting model (3, 22, 43).

Our data demonstrated that IDO expression levels and enzymatic function were enhanced in tumor tissues exhibiting TGFBR3 downregulation. These results were consistent with previous studies suggesting that TGF-β is a major regulator of IDO-mediated long-term immunotolerance in the tumor microenvironment (11). Although other factors have been shown to induce the upregulation of IDO expression by specific DC subsets, such as CD40-CD40L and CTLA-4–CD80/CD86 ligation in the context of CD4+ T cells, the elevated TGF-β levels within the tissue microenvironment of the tumor may dominate local IDO signaling, given that the TGF-β cytokine can downregulate many of these pathways (8, 13, 44–46). This further emphasizes the potential importance of tumor-mediated regulation of TGF-β signaling.

In addition, we showed that pDC-dependent expression of IDO was critical for suppressing local T cell responses and that this effect was enhanced upon loss of TGFBR3. Our demonstration that TGF-β induced the upregulation of CCL22 expression was consistent with recently published findings in hepatocellular carcinoma (47). However, our present data suggest that TGF-β is also capable of directly activating the Ccl22 promoter via a SMAD-dependent mechanism. Although the roles of these factors have been described in tumor immunoevasion, the regulatory pathway by which cancers manipulate their expression and function in the immune microenvironment have remained unclear. Our present study provides insight into a single unifying mechanism that modifies the function of both IDO and CCL22, while also promoting the intrinsic property of tumor cell migration and invasion.

While this work is largely based on murine cancer models that have been genetically altered to restore TGFBR3 expression, the 4T1-TGFBR3 tumor cell line expresses only modest levels of TGFBR3 relative to the endogenous TGFBR3 expression of other murine breast cancer cell lines (Supplemental Figure 20), which argues against overexpression artifacts (22). Furthermore, silencing endogenous TGFBR3 expression in the related 66CL4 murine mammary carcinoma cell line induced reciprocal changes in CD8+ T cell and FOXP3+ Treg tumor infiltration (Supplemental Figure 7), consistent with our observations in the 4T1 tumor model. These findings argue that physiological downregulation

### Table 2

Plasma sTGFBR3 levels and overall survival in stage III melanoma patients after ILI therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sTGFBR3</td>
<td>0.66 (0.52–0.83)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.99 (0.87–1.13)</td>
<td>0.893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage</td>
<td>1.17 (0.53–2.61)</td>
<td>0.695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor burden</td>
<td>2.83 (1.26–6.38)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*High, >10 lesions, or 1 lesion >3 cm diameter; low, <10 lesions.*
of TGFBR3, which has been shown to occur at relatively early time points in human breast cancer development (22), is capable of modulating the immune microenvironment.

In addition to demonstrating the effect of TGFBR3 on local T cell responses, we also showed that DC-specific TGF-β signaling was critical for melanoma progression and implicated this pathway as an important modulator of antitumor T cell responses (Figure 7). These results were consistent with recent findings showing that DC-specific ablation of TGFBR2 results in multiorgan autoimmunity (48). Using both in vitro and in vivo data, we demonstrated that stTGFBR3 was essential for sequestering TGF-β-β within the tumor bed and suppressing both IDO and CCL22 expression by local pDC and mDC populations, respectively (Figures 4–6). Further supporting the validity of these studies, our microarray data derived from human breast cancer (Figure 8A) and melanoma tissues (Supplemental Figure 1B) as well as clinical data from melanoma patients (Figure 9) showed additional evidence for a mechanistic connection among TGFBR3, the expression of IDO in melanoma patients (Figure 9) showed additional evidence for a mechanistic connection among TGFBR3, the expression of IDO and CCL22 as well as clinical data from melanoma patients (Figure 9), and plasma sTGFBR3 levels above the median exhibit superior overall survival. sTGFBR3 levels correlated with clinical response rates to ILI therapy and sTGFBR3 was critical for melanoma progression and implicated this pathway as an important modulator of antitumor T cell responses. Importantly, this work suggests that DC modulation is a critical component of tumor-mediated immunosuppression and that DC-specific TGF-β signaling pathways may represent key targets for the development of tumor immunotherapies. In addition, our findings indicate that clinical trials combining a TGF-β inhibitor with a targeted immunologic approach have great promise and that plasma stTGFBR3 may serve as an effective biomarker for selecting cancer patients for immunotherapy management.

In conclusion, we have elucidated a novel mechanism for tumor-mediated immunoevasion that involves the downregulation of TGFBR3, a previously characterized suppressor of cancer progression. Our current data indicate that the loss of tumor-expressed TGFBR3 effectively suppressed the generation of tumor-specific immunity in both breast cancer and melanoma model systems by modulating the local TGF-β signaling pathway in the tumor microenvironment. These findings further indicated that this alteration of local TGF-β signaling included DC populations within the primary tumor and local TDLN tissues. As key orchestrators of the antitumor immune response, these modified DCs became capable of promoting both recruitment and activation of Tregs. Importantly, this work suggests that DC modulation is a critical component of tumor-mediated immunosuppression and that DC-specific TGF-β signaling pathways may represent key targets for the development of tumor immunotherapies. In addition, our findings indicate that clinical trials combining a TGF-β inhibitor with a targeted immunologic approach have great promise and that plasma stTGFBR3 may serve as an effective biomarker for selecting cancer patients for immunotherapy management.

Methods

Mice. BALB/c mice (H-2d) and C57BL/6 mice (H-2b) (6–8 weeks of age) were obtained from Harlan Laboratories. NSG mice were purchased from Jackson Labs (stock no. 005557). CD11cDTR/TgR3 transgenic mice (H-2b) (gift from R. Flavell, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, USA) were maintained on the C57BL/6 background and screened by PCR genotyping (34, 35).

Tissue procurement and clinical evaluation. In-transit melanoma biopsies and plasma samples were obtained as previously described from 103 and 52 patients, respectively, prior to isolated limb infusion therapy (42). Clinical responses were evaluated 3 months after ILI therapy based on RECIST1.1 criteria. Responders were defined as patients with stable disease, partial response, or complete response. Date of death was assessed based on the Social Security Death Index, and overall survival from the time of ILI therapy was calculated. Subsequent treatment regimens for patients undergoing ILI were recorded.

Cell lines. Previously described 4T1-NEO and 4T1-TGFBR3 murine mammary carcinoma cell lines (H-2d) (22) were maintained in DMEM, 10% FBS, and 200 μg/ml G418. The 4T1-HER2 (H-2d) cell line was cultured as previously described (37). The 66CL4 murine mammary carcinoma cell line (H-2b) (gift from F. Miller, Wayne State University School of Medicine, Detroit, Michigan, USA) was cultured in DMEM, nonessential amino acids, 1-glutamine, and 10% FBS (52). The B16/F10 and B16-mOVA murine melanoma cell lines (H-2d) (gift from T.F. Tedder and D.J. Dillilo, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, USA) were maintained in IMDM, 10% FBS, and 400 μg/ml G418 (53). The D2SC/1 DC line (gift from S.-M. Kang, UCSF, San Francisco, California, USA) was maintained in IMDM, 10% FBS, and 20 μM β-mercaptoethanol. COS7 (ATCC no. CRL-1651) and 293T (ATCC no. CRL-11268) cells were purchased from ATCC and cultured in DMEM with 10% FBS. All cell lines tested negative for mycoplasma contamination.

Reagents. The following antibodies were used for Western blot assays: pSMAD2(S465/467) (Cell Signaling, catalog no. 3101L), total SMAD2 (Cell Signaling, catalog no. 3103S), I-DO (Biolegend, catalog no. 122402), CD11c (Abcam, catalog no. ab53002), PARP (Cell Signaling, catalog no. 9542), and HA (Covance, catalog no. MMS-101P). The following antibodies were used for flow cytometry (from BD Pharmingen, unless otherwise specified): B220 (catalog no. 553087), CD3 (catalog no. 551163), CD4 (catalog no. 553047), CD8 (catalog no. 553031), CD11c (catalog no. 553802), CD16/CD32 (catalog no. 553141), CD40 (catalog no. 553790), CD45 (catalog no. 550994), CD80 (catalog no. 553769), CD86 (catalog no. 553691), CCR7 (catalog no. 560766), FOXP3 (catalog no. 560408), HER2/NEU (catalog no. 3103S), PDCA-1 (Miltenyi biotec, catalog no. 130-091-962), and TGFBR3 (R&D, catalog no. FAB5034A). A PE-labeled Kb-SIIN-FKLE tetramer was purchased from Beckman Coulter for antigen-specific T cell flow analysis (catalog no. T0145). IHC and immunofluorescence was performed using antibodies specific to CD3 (Sigma-Aldrich, catalog no. C7930), CD8 (Abcam, catalog no. ab22378), CD11b/e (ThermoScienc-
tific, catalog no. PA1-46162), FOXP3 (Biologend, catalog no. 126402), IDO (Biologend, catalog no. 122402), PDCA-1 (Miltenyi biotec, catalog no. 130-091-962), pSMAD2 (Cell Signaling, catalog no. 3108S), and the TGFBR3 cytoplasmic domain (prepared as previously described; ref. 22). For assays requiring TGF-β treatment, TGF-β1 (R&D, catalog no. 240-B-010) was incubated with cells for 40 minutes for pSMAD2 Western blots and for 16–18 hours for IDO and CCL22 analysis. IFN-γ (200 U/ml; R&D, catalog no. 485-M1-100) was used as a positive control for IDO upregulation; IL-4 (20 ng/ml) was used as a positive control for CCL22 upregulation.

**[125I]TGF-β binding and crosslinking.** [125I]TGF-β binding and crosslinking were performed as previously described (22). Briefly, cell lysate or cell CM was incubated with 100 pM [125I]TGF-β1 (Perkin-Elmer, catalog no. NEX267010UC) for 3 hours at 4°C. The [125I]TGF-β1-(s)TGFBR3 complex was crosslinked with disuccinimidyl suberate and immunoprecipitated with an antibody recognizing the extracellular domain of TGFBR3 (R&D, catalog no. AF-242-PB). SDS-PAGE was performed, and the complex was visualized by autoradiography.

**Stable cell line generation.** 4T1-NEO-HER2 and 4T1-TGFBR3-HER2 cell lines were generated by transfecting 4T1-NEO and 4T1-TGFBR3 cell lines, respectively, with the pLTR2-HER2/NEU-HygroR plasmid followed by selection in hygromycin (400 μg/ml). SDS-PAGE was performed, and the complex was visualized by autoradiography.

**[125I]TGF-β binding and crosslinking.** [125I]TGF-β binding and crosslinking were performed as previously described (22). Briefly, cell lysate or cell CM was incubated with 100 pM [125I]TGF-β1 (Perkin-Elmer, catalog no. NEX267010UC) for 3 hours at 4°C. The [125I]TGF-β1-(s)TGFBR3 complex was crosslinked with disuccinimidyl suberate and immunoprecipitated with an antibody recognizing the extracellular domain of TGFBR3 (R&D, catalog no. AF-242-PB). SDS-PAGE was performed, and the complex was visualized by autoradiography.

Stable cell line generation. 4T1-NEO-HER2 and 4T1-TGFBR3-HER2 cell lines were generated by transfecting 4T1-NEO and 4T1-TGFBR3 cell lines, respectively, with the pLTR2-HER2/NEU-HygroR plasmid followed by selection in hygromycin (400 μg/ml). Cell lines were screened by flow cytometry for surface HER2/NEU expression and by anti-HA Western blot for TGFBR3 expression. B16-mOVA and B16-mOVA-TGFBR3 cell lines were generated by subcloning rat TGFBR3 from BamHI/EcoRI-digested pcDNA3.1-rTGFBR3 into the BamHI/EcoRI restriction sites of the pMX retroviral vector. The subcloning rat TGFBR3 from BamHI/EcoRI-digested pcDNA3.1-rTGFBR3 surface HER2/NEU expression and by anti-HA Western blot for TGFBR3 in hygromycin (400 μg/ml). SDS-PAGE was performed, and the complex was visualized by autoradiography.

Stable cell line generation. 4T1-NEO-HER2 and 4T1-TGFBR3-HER2 cell lines were generated by transfecting 4T1-NEO and 4T1-TGFBR3 cell lines, respectively, with the pLTR2-HER2/NEU-HygroR plasmid followed by selection in hygromycin (400 μg/ml). Cell lines were screened by flow cytometry for surface HER2/NEU expression and by anti-HA Western blot for TGFBR3 expression. B16-mOVA and B16-mOVA-TGFBR3 cell lines were generated by subcloning rat TGFBR3 from BamHI/EcoRI-digested pcDNA3.1-rTGFBR3 into the BamHI/EcoRI restriction sites of the pMX retroviral vector. The pMX-TGFBR3 and pMX retroviral vectors were transfected along with gag/pol and VSVG helper plasmids into 293T cells for the generation of viral CM, which was cocultured with the B16-mOVA cell line. Cell lines were screened by [125I]TGF-β binding and crosslinking. The 4T1-sTGFBR3 cell line was engineered by amplifying the rat TGFBR3 ectodomain from a pcDNA3-sTGFBR3 plasmid using a PCR primer pair encoding the AgeI and PCR amplified, and labeled by random primed DNA using [α-32P]dCTP.

**Microarray analysis.** Microarray data sets publicly available on the Oncomine Cancer Profiling Database (Oncomine 4.4, http://www.oncomine.com) were used to investigate TGFBR3 gene expression in human benign nevi and melanoma tissues as well as TGFBR3, IDO, CCL22, and FOXP3 relative gene expression in normal mammary and malignant breast cancer tissues (56). Tissue RNA preparation and microarray analysis was performed as previously described (42). Gene expression data of melanoma tumor specimens were deposited in GEO (accession no. GSE19293).

**qRT-PCR.** cDNA was synthesized from total RNA using the iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad, catalog no. 170-8881) before qRT-PCR was performed in the presence of the SYBR Green SuperMix (Bio-Rad, catalog no. 170-8882) using a Bio-Rad iCycler. See Supplemental Table 5 for primer sequences. Relative transcript levels were calculated according to the 2^-ΔΔCt method, with all data normalized to Gapdh.

**IHC and immunofluorescence.** Tumor and TDLN tissues were resected, and paraffin-embedded tissue sections were processed according to standard protocol. Heat-induced antigen retrieval was performed in either a sodium citrate buffer or a Tris-EDTA buffer prior to blocking with 10% goat

**In vivo tumor experiments.** 4T1-NEO and 4T1-TGFBR3 tumor cells (75 × 10^5 cells) were injected into the right axillary mammary fat pad of 6- to 7-week-old female BALB/c mice, and tumor growth was measured by caliper. Tumor volumes were calculated as (l × w^2)/2. B16 tumor cells (1 × 10^6 cells) were implanted subcutaneously over the shaved right anterior hemithorax of 6- to 7-week-old female C57BL/6 mice, and tumor growth was measured by caliper. Tumor, TDLN, and splenic tissues were resected between days 14 and 21 of tumor growth. A similar protocol was followed for B16 tumor implantation in CD11cΔ^mAb27G transgenic mice; however, tissues were resected up to day 30 of tumor growth. For TGF-β inhibitor studies, 4T1-HER2 tumor cells were implanted into the right mammary fat pad of syngeneic female BALB/c mice (7 weeks of age), and growth was allowed to progress until tumors became palpable on day 11. Mice were either initiated on chow formulated with the type I TGF-β1 serine/threonine kinase inhibitor SM16 (Biogen Idec) or on regular drug-free chow (Research Diets) for 3 days, before tumor-bearing mice were vaccinated and boosted 5 days later via intradermal fat pad injection either with AdHER2ki or with AdLacZ as negative control (2.6 × 10^10 viral particles/mouse) (37, 38, 40). Tumor growth was monitored by caliper, and spleens were removed on day 30 after implantation for further ELISPOT analysis. For TGF-β mAb studies, the anti–pan–TGF-β mAb ZG7 (Genentech) was delivered into the tumor bed of mice bearing 4T1-NEO tumors every 2-3 days after tumor implantation into the right axillary mammary fat pad (100 μg/dose). For the in vivo Tet-on tumor experiments, 4T1-sTGFBR3 tumors-bearing mice were exposed to doxycycline (up to 200 μg/ml) along with 10% sucrose in their water supply starting at various time points after tumor implantation.

**In vivo tumor bioluminescence analysis.** Primary 4T1 tumors were resected under sterile conditions 21 days after implantation. On day 25, tumor burden was assessed by in vivo bioluminescence every 3 days for the next 12 days. Briefly, d-luciferin (Gold Biotechnology Inc., catalog no. LUCNA-1G) was administered to tumor-bearing mice by intraperitoneal injection at 150 μg/g. After 8 minutes, images were recorded and analyzed using an IVIS camera (Xenogen) as previously described (22).
serum and probing for the following antigens, according to the antibody manufacturer’s recommended protocol: CD3 (1:200), CD8 (1:50), FOXP3 (1:100), CCL22 (1:50), IDO (8 μg/ml), and TGFBR3 (1:300). HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies and the DAB substrate system was used for detection. All washes and antibody incubations were performed in TBS plus 0.1% Triton-X100. Tissue microarrays for human benign nevi and primary and metastatic melanomas (US Biomax Inc., catalog no. ME1003) and both 4T1-tSGFBR3e10 and 66CL4 tumor tissues were deparaffinized, rehydrated, and blocked with Peroxidized 1 (Biocare Medical, catalog no. PX968G) and Background Punisher (Biocare Medical, catalog no. BP974G) before incubation with the TGFBR3 cytoplasmic domain antibody, as previously described (22). This was followed by sequential treatment with an alkaline phosphatase polymer system and the Warp Red chromogen (both Biocare Medical, catalog nos. M3R533G and WR806H), FACS-sorted LN-derived pDCs were spun down on poly-L-lysine-coated slides, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, permeabilized with 0.1% Triton-X100, and stained with the IDO-specific primary antibody.

**TUNEL and PCNA staining.** TUNEL analysis (TumorTACS in situ Apoptosis Detection Kit, Trevigen, catalog no. 4815-30-K) and PCNA immunostaining (Santa Cruz, catalog no. sc-9857) was performed on paraffin-embedded tumor tissue sections according to the manufacturers’ instructions.

**Flow cytometry.** Single-cell suspensions of tumor tissues were prepared using enzymatic digestion with collagenase IV (Sigma-Aldrich, catalog no. C5138), hyaluronidase (Sigma-Aldrich, catalog no. H6254), and deoxyribonuclease (Sigma-Aldrich, catalog no. D5025) at 37°C for 3 hours, followed by mechanical disaggregation and red blood cell lysis. LN single-cell suspensions were prepared by mechanical disaggregation. Cells were washed with PBS containing 0.1% BSA, Fc blocked with the anti-CD16/CD32 antibody, and the resulting supernatant was incubated with protein A/G sepharose beads along with 5 μg of the CCL22 polyclonal antibody at 4°C overnight on a rotator. Sepharose beads were spun down and washed repeatedly with lysis buffer, and sample buffer was added prior to loading on a 12.5% polyacrylamide gel for separation by electrophoresis.

**Western blot and immunoprecipitation.** Total cell lysates were harvested, boiled in sample buffer, separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes, blocked in 5% BSA or 5% milk in PBS with 0.1% Tween, and incubated overnight with the primary antibody of interest. For immunoprecipitation of CCL22, cell lysates were prepared at 4°C in the presence of protease inhibitors and spun down at maximum speed, and the resulting supernatant was incubated with protein A/G sepharose beads along with 5 μg of the CCL22 polyclonal antibody at 4°C overnight on a rotator. Sepharose beads were spun down and washed repeatedly with lysis buffer, and sample buffer was added prior to loading on a 12.5% polyacrylamide gel for separation by electrophoresis.

**In-cell Western blot.** Primary pDCs were plated in a round-bottomed 96-well plate, spun down, fixed in 3.7% paraformaldehyde, permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100, blocked by incubation in Odyssey Blocking Buffer (Licor Biosciences, catalog no. 927-40000), and incubated with the anti-IDO antibody (8 μg/ml) overnight at 4°C. The plate was washed with PBS with 0.1% Tween and incubated with the secondary antibody goat anti-rat IRG BRDye 800CW (Licor Biosciences, catalog no. 926-32219). Cells were stained with Sapphire700 (Licor Biosciences, catalog no. 928-40022) at 1:1,000 dilution and DRAQ5 (eBioscience, catalog no. 65-0880-96) at 1:1,000 dilution for 1 hour at room temperature, and data were collected and normalized to background wells using an Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (Licor Biosciences).

**ELISA.** Capture antibody for sTGFBR3 (R&D Systems, catalog no. AF-242-PB) was immobilized onto an EIA/RIA plate (Corning, catalog no. 3590) overnight. After washing, patient plasma samples were loaded onto the plate and incubated at room temperature for 2 hours, followed by sequential incubations with a detection antibody to sTGFBR3 (R&D systems, catalog no. BAF-242) and Streptavidin-HRP (R&D systems, catalog no. DY998). Finally, Fast OPD substrate (Sigma-Aldrich, catalog no. P9187) was added, 3M HCl was applied to stop the reaction after 30 minutes, and optical absorbance at 490 nm was recorded. CCL22 levels in the CM collected from treated bone marrow–derived DCs were analyzed using a murine CCL22 ELISA system (R&D systems, catalog no. MCC220) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Luciferase reporter assays.** The pGL3-CCL22prom-luc luciferase reporter construct (Ccl22 promoter -722/-11, gift from K. Hieshima, Kinko University School of Medicine, Osaka, Japan), was transfected into COS7 cells and treated with IL-4 and TGF-β before luciferase activity was measured using a dual-luciferase reporter assay system based on the manufacturer’s instructions (Promega, catalog no. E1910).

**IDO enzymatic assay.** The enzymatic activity of IDO was monitored using a previously established colorimetric assay (57). Briefly, treated DCs were incubated with HBSS and 500 μM tryptophan at 37°C for 4 hours, and 100 μl of the conditioned buffer was added to 50 μl trichloroacetic acid, vortexed, and centrifuged at 9,000 g for 5 minutes. The resulting supernatant (75 μl) was added to 75 μl of 2% paraaminobenzoic acid in glacial acetic acid. The optical density of the resulting solution was measured at 492 nm using a Tecan monochromatic microplate reader.

**Resting and T cell proliferation assays.** Distant LNs and TDLNs resected from BALB/c 4T1 tumor-bearing mice were dissociated into a single-cell suspension and coincubated 1:1 with allogeneic LN cells isolated from tumor-free C57BL/6 mice in a round-bottomed 96-well plate. [3H]thymidine (1 μCi, Perkin Elmer, catalog no. NET027W) was added after 3 days of incubation, and the cells were harvested 18 hours later onto a Filtermat A (Perkin Elmer, catalog no. 1450-421) using a MACHIII automated cell harvester (Tomtec). [3H]thymidine uptake was measured using a liquid scintillation counter (Wallac). For tumor antigen–specific T cell proliferation assays, TDLN cells were pulsed with increasing concentrations of a HER2/NEU intra–cytoplasmic domain peptide mix (IPT Innovative Peptide Solutions, catalog no. PE-ERR_ICD). After 3 hours, these cells were coincubated with autologous splenocytes resected from the same HER2/NEU-expressing tumor-bearing mouse for 5–6 days before being pulsed with [3H]thymidine. Uptake was measured as described above. ConA was used as a positive control. pDC suppression assays were performed by coincubating purified TDLN-derived pDCs (~2.5%) in an allogeneic mixed lymphocyte reaction in the presence or absence of the IDO inhibitor 1-MT at 200 μM (Sigma-Aldrich, catalog no. 86046). 1-MT was prepared as previously described by dissolving in 0.1N NaOH and adjusting the pH to 7.5 prior to the assay (16). [3H]thymidine was added after 3 days of incubation, and the cells were harvested 4 hours later for measurement of [3H]thymidine uptake.

**IFN-γ ELISPOT assays.** Isolated LN cell cultures were coincubated with 1 μg/ml HER2/NEU peptide mix and murine IL-2 (BioAbChem, catalog no. 0412-L2) 3–10 days prior to performing an IFN-γ ELISPOT assay according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Mabtech Inc., catalog no. 3321-2AW-Plus). Influenza A HA peptide was used as an irrelevant peptide control, which generated no response. IFN-γ ELISPOT analysis for the vaccination study was performed according to manufacturer’s instructions (Mabtech Inc., catalog no. 3321-2H) using a HER2/NEU peptide mix as the stimulating antigen and HIV-irrelevant peptide mixes as negative controls (BD Biosciences). The nonspecific stimulators phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate and ionomycin were used as positive controls. Spot numbers are presented per 1 × 10⁶ LN cells.

**Statistics.** Data obtained from animal experiments were not assumed to be normally distributed, and nonparametric 2-tailed Mann-Whitney U tests were performed to determine statistical significance. Multiple-comparison analyses were performed using nonparametric 1-way ANOVA (Kruskal-Wallis) followed by a Dunn’s test. TGFBR3 expression levels were correlated with FOXP3 expression levels in the Riker human melanoma database (Oncomine 4.4) based on a nonparametric 2-tailed Spearman correlation calculation (56). Downregulation in TGFBR3 mRNA levels in human mela-
nominal DNA based on hybridization blot analysis was quantified by densitometric analysis (Image J) and compared using a Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test (SB). Correlation between TGFBR3 expression by melanoma tumor tissues based on microarray analysis and response to therapy was performed using a 2-tailed Fischer’s exact test. Multivariate analysis was performed by the Biostatistical Core Resource at Duke University Medical Center to establish TGFBR3 levels as a variable independently associated with overall survival after therapy. Overall patient survival was assessed based on data derived from the Social Security Death Index. Subsequent treatment strategies after IIL were also recorded. Association of plasma sTGFBR3 with overall survival was examined by the method of Kaplan-Meier, and statistical significance was calculated based on log-rank tests. All other comparisons were performed using 2-sided Student’s t-tests to determine statistical significance. In all cases, a P value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Study approval. All mice were maintained in pathogen-free conditions at Duke University, and all experiments were performed in accordance with Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee protocols. Tissue and plasma samples were collected from patients with stage III in-transit melanoma, according to a protocol approved by the Duke University Medical Center Institutional Review Board (Duke IRB protocol Pro0000389). Written informed consent was obtained.
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