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Gas exchange in the lung occurs within alveoli, air-filled sacs composed of type 2 and type 1 epithelial cells (AEC2s and AEC1s), capillaries, and various resident mesenchymal cells. Here, we use a combination of in vivo clonal lineage analysis, different injury/repair systems, and in vitro culture of purified cell populations to obtain new information about the contribution of AEC2s to alveolar maintenance and repair. Genetic lineage-tracing experiments showed that surfactant protein C-positive (SFTPC-positive) AEC2s self renew and differentiate over about a year, consistent with the population containing long-term alveolar stem cells. Moreover, if many AEC2s were specifically ablated, high-resolution imaging of intact lungs showed that individual survivors undergo rapid clonal expansion and daughter cell dispersal. Individual lineage-labeled AEC2s placed into 3D culture gave rise to self-renewing “alveolospheres,” which contained both AEC2s and cells expressing multiple AEC1 markers, including HOPX, a new marker for AEC1s. Growth and differentiation of the alveolospheres occurred most readily when cocultured with primary PDGFRα+ lung stromal cells. This population included lipofibroblasts that normally reside close to AEC2s and may therefore contribute to a stem cell niche in the murine lung. Results suggest that a similar dynamic exists between AEC2s and mesenchymal cells in the human lung.
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Gas exchange in the lung occurs within alveoli, air-filled sacs composed of type 2 and type 1 epithelial cells (AEC2s and AEC1s), capillaries, and various resident mesenchymal cells. Here, we use a combination of in vivo clonal lineage analysis, different injury/repair systems, and in vitro culture of purified cell populations to obtain new information about the contribution of AEC2s to alveolar maintenance and repair. Genetic lineage-tracing experiments showed that surfactant protein C–positive (SFTPC-positive) AEC2s self-renew and differentiate over about a year, consistent with the population containing long-term alveolar stem cells. Moreover, if many AEC2s were specifically ablated, high-resolution imaging of intact lungs showed that individual survivors undergo rapid clonal expansion and daughter cell dispersal. Individual lineage-labeled AEC2s placed into 3D culture gave rise to self-renewing “alveolospheres,” which contained both AEC2s and cells expressing multiple AEC1 markers, including HOPX, a new marker for AEC1s. Growth and differentiation of the alveolospheres occurred most readily when cocultured with primary PDGFRα+ lung stromal cells. This population included lipofibroblasts that normally reside close to AEC2s and may therefore contribute to a stem cell niche in the murine lung. Results suggest that a similar dynamic exists between AEC2s and mesenchymal cells in the human lung.

Introduction
The lung is a complex organ with a large and highly vascularized epithelial surface area. Efficient gas exchange and host defense rely on the integrity of this epithelium and its dynamic interaction with surrounding mesenchyme. Lung cell turnover is normally slow compared with other adult organs such as the skin and intestine. However, significant regeneration and repair are possible after physiologic insults, including pneumonectomy and severe respiratory infection (1–4). Understanding the regenerative capacity of the lung and the role of resident stem and progenitor cells is therefore of considerable practical and therapeutic interest.

Here, we focus on the maintenance and repair of the distal gas exchange region of the lung that is composed of millions of alveoli organized into hundreds of clusters or acini (5). Each alveolus contains cuboidal type 2 epithelial cells (AEC2s) expressing high levels of surfactant protein C (SFTPC) and very thin type 1 cells (AEC1s) in close apposition to capillaries. Several pathologic conditions disrupt the delicate architecture of the alveoli — with loss of numbers in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (6) and their obliteration in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) (7). Data suggest that these pathologies are triggered in part by defects in the alveolar epithelium; increased apoptosis and senescence have been described in COPD (8, 9), and mutations associated with abnormal surfactant protein processing and ER stress have been reported in IPF and hereditary fibrotic lung disease (reviewed in ref. 10). These defects are thought to promote disease by reduc-
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To further explore the clonal expansion of AEC2s, we exploited the Rosa-Confetti multicolor lineage-tracing allele (19). Adult SftpcCreER;Rosa-Confetti double-heterozygous mice (n = 3) were injected once with Tmx (0.1 mg/g) to label only a small proportion of AEC2s. After approximately 7 months (30 weeks), small clusters of lineage-labeled AEC2s of like colors were observed (Supplemental Figure 1B), providing strong evidence for clonal proliferation of AEC2s during long-term homeostasis.
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**Results**

Sftpc-CreERT2 lineage labels AEC2s in the adult lung. To lineage label adult AEC2s, Sftpc-CreERT2;Rosa26R-tdTm (where Tm indicates Tomato) double heterozygous mice (abbreviated Sftpc-CreER;Rosa-Tm) were given 4 doses of Tmx (0.2 mg/g body weight) and sacrificed at least 4 days after the final injection. As previously reported, this leads to lineage labeling of approximately 85% of SFTPC+ cells (13). Immunohistochemistry, location, and cell morphology confirmed that the great majority (99.5% ± 0.02%) of these cells were AEC2s, while 0.3% ± 0.07% were dual-positive SFTPC+;SCGB1A1+ cuboidal cells in the terminal bronchioles. Very few remaining cells (0.2% ± 0.06%) were SFTPCneg;SCGB1A1+ airway cells that were presumably labeled during development (Figure 1, A and B).

AEC2s as a population undergo long-term self renewal and give rise to small clones. To determine whether SFTPC+ AEC2s undergo long-term self renewal in the adult lung, we lineage labeled a population of these cells by injecting a cohort of 8- to 12-week-old Sftpc-CreER;Rosa-Tm mice with 2 doses of Tmx (0.25 mg/g). We then asked whether the proportion of lineage-labeled SFTPC+ AEC2s remained constant over time or decreased, as would be expected if a non–lineage-labeled population (either SFTPC expressing or not) were to expand preferentially to renew and repair the alveolar epithelium. As shown in Figure 1C, the proportion of lineage-labeled SFTPC+ AEC2s remained constant over the 48-week chase period (87.9% ± 2.7% at 1 week; 86.5% ± 4.5% at 12 weeks; 83.5% ± 8.5% at 24 weeks; 82.3% ± 8.4% at 36 weeks; 82.1 ± 7.0% at 48 weeks; P = NS for all times). We confirmed by Ki67 staining that proliferation of lineage-labeled AEC2s continued throughout the chase (Figure 1E). A few lineage-labeled AEC1s were also seen over time (Supplemental Figure 1A; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI68782DS1), supporting the conclusion that AEC2s not only self renew but slowly differentiate during steady-state tissue maintenance.

To test for Tm-independent recombination of the reporter, a cohort of adult SftpcCreER;Rosa-Tm littermates was treated with corn oil vehicle alone. At 12 to 48 weeks, recombination was observed in only a small number of SFTPC+ cells (5%–9%) (Figure 1C). Their low density enabled us to see that lineage-labeled SFTPC+ cells were often present in small clusters (Figure 1D), consistent with a slow rate of self renewal at steady state.

To further explore the clonal expansion of AEC2s, we exploited the Rosa-Confetti multicolor lineage-tracing allele (19). Adult SftpcCreER;Rosa-Confetti double-heterozygous mice (n = 3) were injected once with Tmx (0.1 mg/g) to label only a small proportion of AEC2s. After approximately 7 months (30 weeks), small clusters of lineage-labeled AEC2s of like colors were observed (Supplemental Figure 1B), providing strong evidence for clonal proliferation of AEC2s during long-term homeostasis.

SCGB1A1+ cells, but not another SftpCneg population, contribute to AEC2 replacement after bleomycin injury. Although the proportion of lineage-labeled SFTPC+ AEC2s did not change during steady state, our previous studies and those of others had shown a decline in

**Figure 1**

Long term self renewal of SFTPC lineage-labeled alveolar cells. Adult Sftpc-CreER;Rosa-Tm mice were dosed x4 with Tmx (0.2 mg/g). Tiled confocal images of lung sections 4 dpi show (A) that all Tm+ cells express SFTPC. The majority locate in alveoli and resemble AEC2s. No label is seen in bronchioles (Br), indicating that the Sftpc-Cre allele is not active in proximal epithelial precursors in the absence of Tmx. (B) Tm+ epithelial cells in the BADJ coexpress SFTPC and SCGB1A1. Insets are high magnifications of cell marked with arrow: Left (Tm, red; SFTPC, green); right (SCGB1A1, purple; SFTPC, green). (C) A cohort of 8- to 12-week-old mice was dosed x2 with 0.25 mg/g Tmx. Controls received vehicle alone. At different dpi, confocal z-stack images of lung sections (n ≥ 3 mice per point, 6 sections/mouse) were analyzed to give the proportion of SFTPC+ cells with lineage label. This does not significantly decline over the 48-week chase (red circles). Low recombination was seen without Tmx (black circles). (D) Section from a 24-week-old Tmx− control mouse from C showing small clusters of Tm+ AEC2s (arrows). (E) To confirm proliferation of lineage-labeled (Tm+) cells in C, sections of lungs (n = 3 from Tmx− group) were stained for Ki67 and percentage of dual positive cells recorded. Error bars indicate mean ± SEM. Scale bars: 250 μm (A); 100 μm (B); 50 μm (D). See also Supplemental Figure 1.
labeling index after injury of the lung by bleomycin (13, 20). This suggested that a cell type that is negative for SFTPC (or expresses only low levels of the gene) helps to restore the AEC2 population during certain types of lung repair. Potential identities of this progenitor include secretory cells expressing the gene Secretoglobin1a1 (SCGB1A1; CC10; CCSP) in the terminal bronchioles (13, 21–23), cells in the alveoli enriched for integrin α6β4 (20), AEC1 cells, which may proliferate after injury (24), or another as yet unidentified facultative stem cell population.

To address this question, we first confirmed that the proportion of lineage-labeled SFTPC + AEC2s does indeed decline in fibrotic areas of the lung at 21 days after bleomycin injury (intratracheal delivery of 1.25 U/kg). Controls received Tmx and intratracheal saline. Sections of 21-dpi lungs were stained for SFTPc. Confocal z-stack images (n ≥ 3 mice per point, 6 sections/mouse) were acquired and cells counted from fibrotic areas (high density of DAPI + nuclei) or from random alveolar areas in controls. (A) Fibrotic region of Sftpc-CreER;Rosa-Tm lung showing clusters of SFTPC− AECs that are not lineage labeled. By contrast, in a similar region of Sgb1a1-CreER;Sftpc-CreER;Rosa-Tm lung (B), significantly more AEC2 cells are lineage labeled. This is quantified in C. The smaller decline in value in the Sgb1a1-CreER;Sftpc-CreER;Rosa-Tm group compared with Sftpc-CreER;Rosa-Tm group suggests that there is no other important source of AEC2 precursors besides SCGB1A1+ cells. (D–F) Sgb1a1-CreER;Rosa-Confetti mice (n = 3) were dosed with Tmx (0.05 mg/g × 1) and sacrificed 21 days after bleomycin. Uninjured areas show random labeling of single cells in the bronchiolar epithelium (D), while injured areas display clones of labeled cells (E) especially in the BADJ (asterisks). (F) Clones occasionally extend from the BADJ (asterisks) into the alveoli, suggesting that at least some of the SCGB1A1+ lineage-labeled cells giving rise to AEC2s and AEC1s originate in the bronchioles. Scale bars: 100 μm. See also Supplemental Figure 2.
The experiments described above used a high dose of Tmx, which labels many cells. This strategy cannot be used to identify the clonal origin of the SCGB1A1 lineage–labeled AEC2s and AEC1s and to determine whether they arise in the bronchiolar epithelium, in the alveoli, or in both locations following bleomycin injury. To address this question, we carried out clonal lineage analysis using Scgb1a1-CreER;Rosa-Confetti mice (n = 3) given a single low dose of Tmx (0.05 mg/g), which triggers recombination in widely distributed single cells. Mice were sacrificed 21 days after intratracheal bleomycin (1.25 U/kg) and sections analyzed with confocal microscopy for the presence of lineage-labeled clones that span both bronchiolar and alveolar compartments (Figure 2D). In uninjured areas of the lung, single-labeled bronchiolar cells are widely distributed. However, in injured regions (as evidenced by neighboring areas of tissue with a high concentration of DAPI+ nuclei), clones of lineage-labeled bronchiolar epithelial cells are observed in some BADJ regions of all animals, and in some areas the clones extend well into the alveolar region (Figure 2, E and F). These findings strongly suggest that at least some SCGB1A1 lineage–labeled cells present in the bronchiolar epithelium can give rise to AEC2s and AEC1s in a clonal manner. New model for AEC2-specific alveolar injury and repair with no fibrosis. While intratracheal instillation of bleomycin reliably leads to alveolar injury and repair in mouse lungs, the drug causes injury and/or death to epithelial, endothelial, and some mesenchymal cells and is associated with transient fibrosis. In addition, there is significant disruption of lung architecture, complicating quantitative analysis of cell behavior during repair (14). To avoid these problems, we have developed a more cell-specific injury model compatible with high-resolution imaging. To do this, we generated Sftpc-CreER;Rosa26R-loxp-GFP-stop-loxp-diphtheria toxin A (abbreviated Rosa-DTA)/Rosa-Tm triple heterozygous mice. A single low dose of Tmx (0.05 mg/g) induced expression of the catalytic subunit of diphtheria toxin and triggers cell death in some, but not all, AEC2s. Importantly, by chance, Tmx-induced recombination occurred only at the Rosa-DTA locus in a proportion of AEC2s, thereby lineage labeling, but not killing these AEC2s. We could then trace the behavior of these AEC2s following the destruction of their neighbors. We do not expect any bystander effects of DTA expression, as the catalytic subunit alone does not bind at the cell surface (26), and furthermore, murine cells do not express diphtheria toxin receptor (27).
Two days after giving Tmx to Sftpc-CreER;Rosa-DTA/Rosa-Tm mice, we observed many TUNEL-positive cells, suggesting apoptosis and cell death (Figure 3A). This was confirmed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) showing abnormal AEC2s with clumped chromatin and disintegrating organelles as well as cells being engulfed by macrophages (data not shown). We were technically unable to quantify the precise proportion of cell death at this time by costaining for TUNEL and SFTPc. However, while recognizing that Cre-based recombination may not be the same at both Rosa loci, we estimated a value of approximately 52%, based upon the recombination of the Rosa-Tm allele with the same dose of Tmx (see below). Immunohistochemistry of tissue from DTA and control animals 2 days after Tmx revealed a significant decrease in the number of SFTPc+ AEC2s after DTA ablation compared with controls (Figure 3A); there was also a similar decrease in the number of AEC2s expressing LAMP3+ (DC-LAMP/CD208) — a member of the toll-like receptor family — in the SFTPC lineage-labeled SFTPC+SCGB1A1+ cells remaining very low (0.025 ± 0.013 dual+ cells per BADJ in controls [n = 3] vs. 0.049 ± 0.031 cells per BADJ in Tmx-treated animals [n = 3]). None of the SFTPc+SCGB1A1+ cells in either experimental group were EdU positive (50 mg/kg dosed 3 hours before sacrifice; data not shown). Furthermore, the occurrence of SFTPc+SCGB1A1+ cells in the BADJ in the DTA model to determine whether there was an increase in lineage labeling of these cells in the setting of AEC2 ablation. We found an increase in the number of SFTPc+SCGB1A1+ cells in the terminal bronchioles 7 days after DTA ablation when compared with controls (0.93 ± 0.10 dual+ cells per BADJ in DTA animals [n = 3] vs. 0.15 ± 0.04 dual+ cells per BADJ in controls [n = 3]; mean ± SEM; P = 0.002). None of the SFTPc+SCGB1A1+ cells in either experimental group were EdU positive (50 mg/kg dosed 3 hours before sacrifice; data not shown). Furthermore, the occurrence of SFTPc lineage-labeled SFTPc+SCGB1A1+ cells remained very low and was similar between DTA and control animals (0.025 ± 0.013 dual+ cells per BADJ in DTA animals vs. 0.049 ± 0.031 cells per BADJ in controls [n = 3 per group]). The most likely explanation for these findings is that SFTPc is upregulated in SCGB1A1+ cells at the BADJ following injury.
Following this assessment, we asked whether SFTPC+ cells within or around the BADJ are more likely to clonally proliferate after DTA injury compared with SFTPC+ cells located within the alveoli. To do this, we imaged multiple sections of lungs from Sftpc-CreER;Rosa-DTA/Rosa-Tm mice 7 days after Tmx injection, along with appropriate littermate controls. For each image, in a blinded manner, we placed a 300 μm × 300 μm square either at the BADJ (n ≥ 25 BADJs per mouse) or in the alveolar space (removed from the BADJ by at least 300 μm) and counted the number of clones in each square (Figure 4F). If clones preferentially arose from SFTPC+ cells in and around the terminal bronchioles (including dual-positive SFTPC/SCGB1A1+ cells in the BADJ), we would expect to see more clones in the vicinity of the BADJ than in the alveoli. Our analysis revealed the opposite, that there are significantly more clones in the alveolar space than near the BADJ (2.04 ± 0.16 [mean ± SEM] clones per square in the alveoli versus 0.62 ± 0.22 clones per square near the BADJ) (Figure 4G). This result argues that the SFTPC+ cells that clonally expand after DTA injury are not more likely to be in/near the BADJ than within the alveoli. The average number of cells per cluster was similar in each group (2.77 ± 0.17 cells per cluster in DTA group vs. 2.98 ± 0.17 cells per cluster in controls).

Figure 4
SFTPC+ cells proliferate clonally after targeted AEC2 depletion. (A) Lungs from SftpcCreER;Rosa-DTA/Rosa-Tm mice (upper panel) were fixed and cleared at different times after Tmx × 1 and 3 hours after EdU. Confocal microscopy shows single-labeled cells at 2 dpi, discrete clones at 7 dpi, and more dispersed clones at 21 dpi. Lineage-labeled AEC1s are present by 21 days (arrow and inset). Controls without Rosa-DTA (lower panels) show no change in Tm+ cells and little EdU labeling. (B) Multiphoton images of SftpcCreER;Rosa-DTA/Rosa-Tm lungs at 2 and 7 dpi. (C) Decline in EdU labeling index as repair proceeds (n = 3 mice each time). (D) To quantify increase in SFTPC+ cells during repair, total SFTPC+ cells within at least 6 random ×20 fields of view (within 2 lobes/mouse) were counted and scored for lineage label. Without injury, values remained constant. With injury, number of SFTPC+ cells increased but proportion of lineage-labeled cells remained the same, suggesting labeled cells do not proliferate preferentially. (E) An Sftpc-CreER;Rosa-DTA/Rosa-Confetti mouse was given Tmx (0.05 mg/g × 1) and 10 weeks later, lungs were fixed, cleared, and viewed by confocal microscopy. Tiled image shows clones labeled with nuclear GFP (nGFP), cytoplasmic YFP (YFP), membrane cyan (mCFP), and cytoplasmic RFP. (F and G) Quantification of clone location (representative boxes in F) 7 days after Tmx in Sftpc-CreER;Rosa-DTA/Rosa-Tm animals suggests that clones do not preferentially arise in or near the BADJ (see text for details). Scale bars: 200 μm (A); 50 μm (B); 100 μm (C). (F) Boxes are 300 μm × 300 μm. See also Supplemental Figures 4 and 5.
In order to rule out spontaneously occurring recombination events early in development as the etiology of the clonal AEC2s, we sacrificed a cohort of adult Sftpc-CreER; Rosa-DTA/Rosa-Confetti mice (n = 3), and imaged cleared lung tissue on the stereoscope. We saw no evidence of spontaneously occurring lineage-labeled AEC2 clusters (Supplemental Figure 3D).

Single lineage-labeled AEC2s form 3D alveolar-like structures (alveolospheres) when cultured with PDGFRA-H2B:GFP+ stromal cells. The results of the in vivo injury/repair model described above show that individual SFTPC+ AEC2s can clonally proliferate and give rise to AEC1s in vivo in the context of a preexisting alveolar environment, albeit one that has been specifically depleted of AEC2s. This suggests that components of the niche survive the injury, but precisely which cells, signals, and/or matrix components are important for regulating clonal expansion is not known. To begin to address these questions, we established a clonal 3D coculture system in which AEC2s were...

**Figure 5**

Self renewal and differentiation of AEC2 cells in 3D organoid culture. (A) Sftpc-CreER; Rosa-Tm mice were dosed ×4 with Tmx (0.2 mg/g) and at least 4 dpi lungs were dissociated and sorted by FACS. The Tm+ fraction (P3, top left) was seeded at a density of 5,000 cells in 90 μl of 50% Matrigel in a 24-well Transwell insert (top right) together with 1 × 10^6 PDGFRA-GFPhi stromal cells freshly sorted from lungs of a Pdgfra-H2B:GFP transgenic mouse (P3, bottom panels). (B and C) After 14 days, spheres of lineage-labeled cells are present in various sizes. (D) CFE is 2.3% ± 0.3% for primary cultures (n = 8 experiments, ≥ 2 replicates per experiment), 6.2% ± 0.7% after passage 1, and 5.1% ± 0.7% after passage 2. (E–I) Histology and immunohistochemistry of sections of spheres after 16–17 days shows (E) alveolus-like areas (asterisk) with more elongated cells, (F) that all cells are lineage labeled and those on the periphery express SFTPC, while cells in the interior express T1a, AQAPORIN 5 (Aqp5) (G and H), and HOPX (I) — markers of AEC1s (see also Supplemental Figure 7). (J and K) TEM of spheres at day 16 shows many cells with lamellar bodies at different stages of maturation and apical membranes with dense microvilli (mv). The cells release surfactant into the interior of the spheres where it accumulates in large amounts. Scale bars: 100 μm (B); 50 μm (C); 50 μm (E); 50 μm (F–I); 2 μm (J and K). See also Supplemental Figures 6 and 7.
combined with specific mesenchymal cell populations. Lineage-labeled AEC2s from Sftpc-CreER;Rosa-Tm animals that received doses of Tmx that labeled 85% of AEC2s were isolated by FACS and seeded in culture medium with 50% Matrigel in Transwell inserts (Figure 5A). Importantly, when plated alone under these conditions, there was no proliferation of the lineage-labeled cells. This showed that by 14 dpp, many cells had abundant, well-formed lamellar bodies containing surfactant. Moreover, the contents were clearly being released into the inner spaces of the spheres, where they accumulated in copious amounts.

Histological analysis and confocal microscopy (Figure 5, E–H) showed that all the epithelial cells within spheres were lineage labeled. Markedly, the peripheral cells were cuboidal, expressed SFTPC (but not SCGB1A1), and proliferated as judged by Ki67 staining (Supplemental Figure 6B). Interior cells were more elongated and expressed the AEC1 markers T1a (Pdpn) and Aquaporin 5 (Aqp5). Most of these cells also expressed homeodomain only protein x (HOPX) (Figure 5I), a new marker for AEC1s in the mouse lung. We initially identified HopX in studies comparing transcripts in SFTPC lineage-labeled cells at different times after bleomycin injury to identify potential markers or regulators of AEC2 differentiation (ref. 13 and our unpublished observations). HOPX is known to regulate both cardiac development (35) and pulmonary maturation (36) and immunohistochemistry of adult mouse lungs showed HOPX expression in AEC1s (Supplemental Figure 7).

All lineage-labeled spheres were of the morphology described above (serial sections of at least 50 spheres fixed after 14–17 days in culture from n = 3 independent experiments) and none contained SOX2+, SCGB1A1+, KRT5+, or p63+ secretory or basal cells (data not shown). This uniformity is in marked contrast to the multiple colony types described by other investigators in which distal lung cells, sorted by surface marker expression, are plated in 3D Matrigel assays (25, 37, 38).

TEM was used to investigate the phenotype of Sftpc-CreEr lineage-labeled cells in 3D culture. This showed that by 14 dpp, many cells had abundant, well-formed lamellar bodies containing surfactant. Moreover, the contents were clearly being released into the inner spaces of the spheres, where they accumulated in copious amounts. The elongated cells lacked lamellar bodies but had thin cytoplasmic projections, consistent with the morphology of AEC1s (Figure 5, J and K). Given that colonies arising from individual AEC2s have an alveolar-like structure and contain cells that resemble both mature AEC2s and AEC1s, we have termed them “alveolospheres.”

To determine whether lineage-labeled AEC2s continue to self-renew and differentiate in culture, 14 dpp spheres were dissociated and single Tm+ cells isolated by FACS and replated with freshly isolated PDGFRA-GFPhi cells. CFE was 6.2% ± 0.7% after passage 1 and 5.1% ± 0.7% after passage 2 (P = NS for P1 vs. P2) (Figure 5D). Moreover, colonies had the same expanded morphology, with or GFP+ (Supplemental Figure 6, C and D).
SFTPC+ cells on the periphery and cells with AEC1 markers inside (data not shown).

Recapitulating the AEC2 stem cell niche with Pdgfra-H2B:GFP+ cells. To address the specificity of the PDGFRA+ trophic effect, we seeded AEC2s with fibroblasts from an immortalized fibroblast cell line (MLg neonatal mouse lung fibroblasts) previously shown to support the growth of distal mouse lung epithelial progenitor cells (37, 39). Under these conditions, CFE between days 11 and 14 was only 1.5% ± 0.2% (n = 3 experiments). While there was some evidence of differentiation of AEC2s to T1a+ AEC1s after 14 days in culture, the colonies were much smaller and flatter than those grown with PDGFRA+ cells (Supplemental Figure 6E).

The observation that PDGFRA-GFP hi cells efficiently promote the self renewal and differentiation of isolated SFTPC+ AEC2s in culture suggests that these mesenchymal cells constitute a critical component of the AEC2 stem cell niche in vivo. Lung sections from normal Pdgfra-H2B:GFP mice showed that SFTPC+ AEC2s are generally located in close proximity to cells expressing high levels of PDGFRA-GFP fluorescence (PDGFRA-GFP+) (Figure 6B). The PDGFRA-GFP+ cells expressed the intermediate filament protein desmin (Figure 6D and ref. 40), while our previous studies showed that they do not coexpress PDGFRβ or αSMA (13). There are PDGFRA-GFP+ cells near the aSMA+ airways, but these cells appeared to express H2B:GFP at lower levels than in the alveoli (Figure 6A). LipidTOX staining revealed that the majority of PDGFRA-GFP+ cells in the alveoli contained lipid droplets (Figure 6, A and B) and PDGFRA-GFP+ cells were LipidTOX+ when FACS-sorted (Figure 6C), evidence supporting the conclusion that these PDGFRA-GFP+ cells are lipofibroblasts (41). TEM analysis of a normal area from Sftpc-CreER;Rosa-Tm/Rosa-DTA lung at 2 dpi (Figure 3F) confirmed previous studies showing lipidfibroblasts in close proximity to AEC2s in vivo (40). In addition, immunohistochemistry confirmed the presence of PDGFRA-GFP+ cells within and around the spheres, and TEM of alveolospheres revealed lipid-filled cells in close proximity to the lamellar body–containing cells (Figure 6, E–G).

Human AEC2s form self-renewing colonies in 3D culture. We have begun to address the question of whether AEC2s from human lungs are also long-term self-renewing stem cells able to give rise to alveolospheres in 3D culture. AEC2s were isolated using FACS based on expression of the surface marker HTII-280 (18). Immunohistochemistry of normal human lungs confirmed that HTII-280 and SFTPC colocalize, with HTII-280 being expressed on the luminal surface and SFTPC in the cytoplasm (Figure 7A). There was no evidence of HTII-280 staining in the airways except for occasional cuboidal cells in respiratory bronchioles.

To establish 3D culture, HTII-280+ cells isolated by FACS were seeded in Transwell inserts in 50% Matrigel with MRC5 cells, a fetal human lung fibroblast cell line. Spheres were seen after 5–7 days with a CFE of 4.2% ± 0.8% (n = 3 biological replicates; mean ± SEM) (Figure 7, B–D). No spheres were observed in the absence of MRC5 cells. Serial subculture resulted in CFEs of 7.4% ± 1.6% and 4.9% at passages 1 and 2, respectively (n = 3 at passage 1; n = 2 at passage 2). Histological analysis showed that the human spheres were composed of a single epithelial layer; all cells express HTII-280 on the luminal surface, and a majority, but not all, express SFTPC. TEM reveals the presence of lamellar bodies, consistent with at least some of the cells being mature AEC2s (Figure 7, E–G). No cells in the spheres morphologically resemble or express AEC1 markers.

Discussion

Important goals for the field of lung stem cell research are to define the epithelial populations that maintain the alveolar region...
and repair it after injury and to identify the signaling pathways that regulate these behaviors. Here, we have addressed some outstanding questions and opened up new opportunities for future advances. Specifically, we provide evidence that SFTPC\textsuperscript{−} AEC2s, as a population, function as alveolar progenitors and long-term stem cells in the adult lung. This evidence comes from lineage-tracing studies both during homeostasis and in a model of alveolar repair that allows us to visualize in situ clonal expansion of individual AEC2s. Finally, our new alveolosphere assay shows that a population of Pdgfra-H2B:GFPhi stromal cells, which includes lipofibroblasts that normally reside in the proximity of AEC2s, can support their clonal growth and differentiation in vitro.

Our previous lineage-tracing experiments had established that SFTPC\textsuperscript{−} AEC2s can proliferate and give rise to AEC1s in vivo (13). What was missing was evidence that the same SFTPC\textsuperscript{−} cells can maintain the AEC2 population over the long term, an important criterion for defining the population as containing stem cells. We now show that long-term self renewal does occur, at least over almost a year. In the unperturbed lung, lineage-labeled AEC2s give rise to only small clones of daughter cells, and there is a low rate of differentiation into AEC1s. However, clonal growth and differentiation are both enhanced if the number of AEC2s is depleted by selective cell ablation. Interestingly, while AEC2s do give rise to AEC1s during DTA-induced repair, the rate of differentiation still appears to be significantly lower than during repair after bleomycin-induced lung injury (13). This suggests that bleomycin injury promotes the production of local signals that enhance the conversion of AEC2s to AEC1s. In the future, clues as to the identity of these signaling pathways may come from comparing the transcriptional activities of AEC2s and stromal cells in the 2 injury/repair models.

While SFTPC\textsuperscript{−} AEC2s can maintain the alveoli during steady state and after depletion of AEC2s, other epithelial cell populations clearly contribute to alveolar repair following bleomycin-induced injury. We and others have previously shown that in the bleomycin model, epithelial cells that express SCGB1A1 can proliferate and differentiate into AEC2s (13, 21, 23). It has been suggested that other SFTPC\textsuperscript{−} cells, including those enriched for integrin α\textsubscript{6}β\textsubscript{4} (20), are mobilized to give rise to AEC2s under conditions of severe injury and inflammation. However, our studies following the populations of cells lineage labeled by both SftpC-CreER and Scgb1a1-CreER alleles in fibrotic regions of the bleomycin-treated lung suggest that any contribution of SFTPC\textsuperscript{−} cells to the repair is only a minor one. Our AEC2 cell-specific ablation model of alveolar injury has opened the possibility of using clonal analysis to follow AEC2 localization and behavior during the 21-day repair period. Immediately after AEC2 depletion, only single lineage-labeled cells were seen. These gave rise to clusters of cells that likely represent clones. These clusters were not preferentially localized to the BADJs, but were found throughout the alveoli. They were closely packed at 7 dpi, but by 21 dpi were more widely distributed beyond the diameter of a typical alveolus. Two explanations for this apparent dispersal are the expansion of portions of the lung that collapsed following loss of AEC2s and active migration of SFTPC\textsuperscript{−} cells themselves. Currently, we favor the latter hypothesis because collapse appears to be minimal and expansion would not account for the linear arrays of lineage-labeled cells seen at 7 and 21 dpi. We hypothesize that the cells travel through fenestrations in the alveoli known as pores of Kohn (42, 43) and disperse within an individual acinus, which is composed of hundreds of alveoli. Future studies will use live imaging to address the question of AEC2 migratory behavior. It will also be important to carry out statistical analysis of changes in clone size over time under different conditions, as done recently in other organ systems (17, 44). This will give critical insight into the heterogeneity of the AEC2 population in vivo and whether some cells behave as committed progenitors during repair while others behave as long-term stem cells.

The fact that we have been able to grow and serially subculture individual AEC2s in a 3D organoid culture system supports the concept that SFTPC\textsuperscript{−} AEC2s as a population contain stem cells. We are confident that alveolospheres are not derived from SFTPC\textsuperscript{−};SCGB1A1\textsuperscript{−} cells in the terminal bronchioles because these cells make up only a small percentage of the total lineage-labeled population (0.29%) and the CFE in our assay is consistently about 10-fold higher. We cannot exclude, however, that a subset of AEC2s within the alveoli is more capable of clonal growth in vitro than others; further quantitative analysis is needed to address this interesting question.

Importantly, while there was some variation in size of lineage-labeled spheres in culture, they displayed the same morphology after serial sectioning. They consistently and reproducibly contained not only well-differentiated AEC2s with mature lamellar bodies but also cells localized to the interior of the spheres that express multiple AEC1 markers, including HOPX, but not bronchiolar cell types. This homogeneity demonstrates an important characteristic of our culture system: that we are starting with a well-characterized, specific population of cells known to initially reside in the alveoli that gives rise to a homogenous population of colonies containing only alveolar cells. This is in contrast to the populations of distal progenitor cells studied by other investigators that ultimately yield mixed colony types containing both alveolar and bronchiolar cells (25, 37, 38).

The alveolosphere assay we describe here has opened up strategies for identifying the cells that make up the niche of AEC2s in vivo and the signals that they produce. The Pdgfra-H2B:GFPhi population, which appears to contain alveolar fibroblasts and lipofibroblasts, is clearly able to support both the proliferation and differentiation of AEC2s. However, we do not know whether these properties are shared by all cells in the GFP\textsuperscript{+} population or whether some subsets of cells are efficient at supporting proliferation while others promote conversion of AEC2s into AEC1s. The relatively low CFE in our assay, even in the presence of PDGFRA\textsuperscript{+} cells, suggests that there are other crucial components of the niche, for example, endothelial cells or even AEC1s, that need to be added for optimal clonal expansion.

Finally, our data show that human AEC2s are capable of clonal growth in vitro, but we have not observed the differentiation of these AEC2s into AEC1s. One potential reason for this deficiency is that MRCS cells do not adequately recapitulate the human alveolar stem cell niche in this model. Interestingly, hyperplasic AEC2s and accelerated cell proliferation are common features of IPF (45), suggesting that dysregulation of the alveolar niche may be an important mechanism in pathological remodeling of the lung parenchyma. Future experiments will test the hypothesis that stromal cells are critical regulators of alveolar homeostasis and are dysregulated in tissue remodeling.

**Methods**

*Mice*. Mice were SftpC-CreER\textsuperscript{TR2}; Scgb1a1-CreER\textsuperscript{TR2} and Rosa26R-CAG-ttdTom (Rosa-Tm) (13); Rosa26R-CAG-Confetti (Rosa-Confetti) (19) (supplied by...
Hans Clevers); Rosa26R-loxp-GFP-stop-loxp-DTA (Rosa-DTA) and B6.129S4-
Pdgfra<sup>H2B;GFP</sup>/+ (Pdgfra-H2B;GFP) from The Jackson Laboratory and Sftpc-GFP (34). Mice for the 48-week lineage trace were on a 129S/CS7BL/6 mixed background and controls matched for sex and were littermates. All other mice were at least at the N2 backcross generation to CS7BL/6. Most mice were 8–12 weeks old; Pdgfra-H2B;GFP mice were at least 6 weeks old. There was some variability in the extent of injury following TMX dosing (0.05 mg/g) of Sftpc-CreER;Rosa-DTA/Rosa-Tm mice, as approximately 20% of injected mice died between 4 and 6 dpi with no apparent sex differences. Administration of Tmx at doses higher than 0.05 mg/g led to substantial animal mortality.

**Tmx, bleomycin, and EdU administration.** Tmx (T5648; Sigma-Aldrich) was a 20 mg/ml stock solution in corn oil and given via i.p. injection. Bleomycin (1.25 U/kg in 0.9% sterile saline) was administered intratracheally as described (13). EdU (Click-iT EdU Alexa Fluor 647 Imaging Kit C10340; Invitrogen) was administered via i.p. injection (50 mg/kg).

**Histology and immunohistochemistry.** Mouse tissue was routinely fixed with 4% PFA in PBS and cryosections (12 μm) and paraffin sections (7 μm) prepared as described (13). Paraffin sections underwent 10 mM sodium citrate antigen retrieval. Antibodies were as follows: rabbit, SFTP-C (1:500; #ab3786; Millipore); goat, SFTP-C (1:100; #SC-7706; Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.); goat, secretoglobin 1a1 (SCGB1A1) (1:10,000; Barry Stripp); rabbit, aquaporin 5 (1:200; #ab78468; Abcam); hamster, Pdpn (T10e) (1:1000; clone 8.1; DSHB); rabbit, HOPX (1:250; #SC-30216; Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.); chicken, GFP (1:1000; #GFP1020; Aves Lab); rabbit, RFP (1:250; #600–401379; Rockland); rabbit, desmin (1:200; #RB-9014-P0; Thermo-Fisher); rabbit, Ki67 (1:500; #ab15580; Abcam); rat, Lamp3 (1:50; #DDX0192; Dendritics); rabbit, Sox2 (1:750; #WRAB-1236; Seven Hills Bioreagents); rabbit, Krt5 (1:1000; #PRB-160P; Covance); and mouse, p63 (1:50; #SC-8431; Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.). Alexa Fluor–coupled secondary antibodies (Invitrogen) were used at 1:500. TUNEL (Roche Applied Science) and HCS LipidTOX (Life Technologies) staining were performed on paraffin or cryosections respectively, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. EdU staining was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Human tissue and colonies were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin overnight and then placed in PBS until paraffin embedding. Mouse IgM anti-human HTII-280 (1:100 dilution of hybridoma supernatant) was generously provided by Leland Dobbs (Cardiovascular Research Institute, UCSD, San Francisco, California, USA) (18).

**qRT-PCR.** Gene expression levels were quantified by qRT-PCR on the StepOne Plus Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) as described previously (13). Primers are listed in Supplemental Table 1.

**Mouse lung dissociation and FACS.** Lungs were dissociated with a protease and as described (13). Whole-lung suspension was blocked in 1% FcX TruStain (#101320; Biologend) in 2% FBS, 2% BSA in 1x PBS. Antibodies for mouse flow cytometry were optimized with appropriate IgG isotype controls and were as follows: rat, CD31-biotinylated (1:50; #13-0311; eBioscience); rat, CD45-biotinylated (1:200; #13-0451-82; eBioscience); and rat, EpCAM-PE/Cy7 (1:800; #25-5791-80; eBioscience). Secondary antibody was as follows: PE/Cy5 streptavidin (1:500; #405205; Biolegend). Sorting was performed on FACS Vantage SE, and data were analyzed with FACS Diva (BD Biosciences).

**Confocal microscopy.** All images used for scoring cells consisted of a Z stack of multiple optical sections captured on a Zeiss 710 or Zeiss 780 inverted confocal microscope. Confocal Z stack images were analyzed and all cells counted with the Manually Count Object feature on MetaMorph (Version 7.7.10).

**Scale processing and imaging.** Scale A2 reagent (30) (4M urea [Fisher BP169-212], 0.1% wt/vol Triton X-100, 10% wt/wt glycerol in water) was allowed to stand for at least 1 day at room temperature before use. Following fixation with 4% PFA and washing with PBS, tissue was transferred to Scale A2 and kept on a rocker at 4°C for 1–2 weeks until maximal tissue clearing occurred. Whole-mount samples were imaged either on the inverted Zeiss 710 confocal microscope in a 35-mm glass-bottom microwell dish with a 10x objective or immersed in Scale A2 solution using an Olympus PV1000 Multiphoton scope equipped with a ×25/0.9 NA ScaleView immersion lens (XLPLN25XSVM PD 8 mm) and Prior H117 ProScan III encoded XY motorized stage, allowing acquisition of tiled images from large areas and volumes of tissue. Imaris software was used to reconstruct and analyze 3D images acquired from the multiphoton scope.

**Hydronaproxime assay.** Total lung collagen content was measured by the hydroxyproline method (46).

**TEM.** Tissue and cell culture insert were processed to preserve lamellar bodies as previously reported (47).

**Mouse cell culture.** Sorted cells were resuspended in MTEC/Plus (48), and mixed with 1:1 growth factor–reduced Matrigel (BD Biosciences); 90 μl was placed in a 24-well 0.4-μm Transwell insert (Falcon). 5 × 10<sup>3</sup> AEC2s and 1 × 10<sup>5</sup> PDGFRA-GFP<sup>+</sup> cells were seeded in each insert. 500 μl MTEC/Plus was placed in the lower chamber, and medium was changed every other day. ROCK inhibitor (10 μM, Y0503; Sigma-Aldrich) was included in the medium for the first 2 days of culture, which was at 37°C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. For paraffin embedding, the Matrigel disc was removed, dehydrated through ethanol, and transitioned into paraffin by standard protocols.

For passaging experiments, spheres were dissociated from Matrigel with the addition of 60 μl Dispase (catalog #354235, 5 U/ml; BD Biosciences) to the insert and incubation at 37°C for 30 minutes. Cells were washed and then incubated in 0.05% Trypsin-EDTA for 30 minutes at 37°C to create a single-cell suspension. Cells were then sorted via FACS, and Tmx<sup>+</sup> cells were replated with primary PDGFRA-GFP<sup>+</sup> cells.

**Human cell culture.** Under IRB-approved protocols, human AEC2s were isolated from lungs not used for transplantation. Parenchyma was mechanically dissociated by mincing and further dissociated using 2 U/ml dispase (BD Biosciences), 0.25% trypsin/2 mM EDTA (Cellgro), and 10 U/ml elastase (Worthington). Dissociated cells were passed through a 70-μm cell strainer and plated onto PurCol-coated (Advanced Biomatrix) culture dishes in bronchial epithelial growth medium (BEGM) (49) overnight at 37°C. The following day, adherent cells were harvested using trypsin/EDTA and labeled for FACS using PE/Cy7 mouse anti-CD45 (0.6 μg/ml 304015; BioLegend), Alexa Fluor 647 anti-EpCAM (0.6 μg/ml 324212; BioLegend), PE/Cy7 anti-CD31 (0.6 μg/ml 303117; BioLegend), mouse IgM anti-human HTII-280 (1.60 dilution), and Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-mouse IgM (20 μg/ml A-21042; Invitrogen). Single viable cells that were CD45<sup>+</sup>, CD31<sup>+</sup>, EpCAM<sup>+</sup>, and HTII-280<sup>+</sup> were mixed with MRC5 fibroblasts in 1:1 Matrigel/human ALL medium (49) and 100 μl added to 24-well (0.4-μm) Transwell filter inserts (Greiner Bio-One). 500 μl of ALL medium was placed in the lower chamber and changed every other day. Cultures were maintained in a humidified 37°C incubator in 5% CO<sub>2</sub>/air.

**Statistics.** All results are mean ± SEM. All error bars on graphs represent SEM. Statistical tests are t-tests. P ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Study approval.** Mouse experiments were performed under IACUC guidelines and approved protocols at Duke University. Human lung epithelial cells were isolated under protocols approved by the Institutional Review Boards at both Duke University and University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.
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