The term epigenetics refers to stable patterns of gene expression that are seen during differentiation or X chromosome inactivation and are not dependent on dynamic changes in coding DNA. These gene expression states are encoded in the epigenome — a collection of marks on DNA or on histone tails that are established during embryogenesis. Genome-wide studies in aging cells and tissues have uncovered stochastic DNA methylation drift (gradual increases or decreases at specific loci) that reflects imperfect maintenance of epigenetic marks. Drift creates epigenetic mosaicism in aging stem cells that could potentially restrict their plasticity and worsen phenotypes such as stem cell exhaustion and focal proliferative defects that can lead to cancer.
The term epigenetics refers to stable patterns of gene expression that are seen during differentiation or X chromosome inactivation and are not dependent on dynamic changes in coding DNA. These gene expression states are encoded in the epigenome—a collection of marks on DNA or on histone tails that are established during embryogenesis. Genome-wide studies in aging cells and tissues have uncovered stochastic DNA methylation drift (gradual increases or decreases at specific loci) that reflects imperfect maintenance of epigenetic marks. Drift creates epigenetic mosaicism in aging stem cells that could potentially restrict their plasticity and worsen phenotypes such as stem cell exhaustion and focal proliferative defects that can lead to cancer.

Epigenetics

The term epigenetics arose from a need to explain cellular pheno-
typic diversity in the face of a shared genetic make-up, as observed during differentiation in multicellular organisms (1). This is an extreme form of gene expression regulation, in which stability is key. Just as a lymphocyte does not spontaneously become an epithelial cell, an epigenetic pattern is stable through multiple rounds of cell division. While the concept arose to explain differentiation, epigenetic phenomena soon expanded to include other stable forms of gene expression regulation such as X chromosome inactivation in females (2) and imprinting, whereby a few hundred genes are expressed from only one of the two inherited alleles in a parent-of-origin manner (3). Our understanding of epigenetics has grown by leaps and bounds in the past decades as the mystery of stable gene expression in the absence of genetic change was solved through the discovery of DNA methylation—and chromatin-based gene regulation.

DNA methylation refers to the covalent addition of a methyl group (CH3) to a DNA base (4). In mammals, only cytosine can be normally methylated, and this most often happens in the context of the symmetrical dinucleotide CG (often referred to as CpG). About half of human promoters and transcription start sites are embedded in CpG islands (discrete regions rich in CpG sites and about 0.5 to 2 kilobases in length), and about half of all CpG islands are gene promoter associated. The 5-methylcytosine base can be further modified through sequential carboxylation by the ten-eleven translocation (TET) family of enzymes (5). These recently discovered modifications (e.g., 5-hydroxymethylcytosine) are present at low levels in the genome and are intermediates in a reaction that eventually leads to demethylation.

A link between DNA methylation, gene expression, and epige-
etics was proposed more than 30 years ago (6), and considerable evidence has since accumulated to confirm this link. DNA methylation shows unique patterns associated with physiologic epige-
etic states; it is tissue specific, distinctly different on the inactive X chromosome compared with the active X chromosome, and dif-
ferent between expressed and silenced imprinted alleles. Genetic (7) or pharmacologic (8) disruption of DNA methylation inter-
feres with proper epigenetic regulation, demonstrating that the link is causal; however, that link is also complex, in that the role of DNA methylation in gene expression depends on the CpG context (9, 10). Promoter methylation is associated with gene silencing, and gene body methylation has variable effects on gene expression, and intergenic methylation may also affect expression through enhancer regulation. There is no doubt that promoter CpG island methylation is a true epigenetic mark—it is stable and self-perpet-
uated through cell division by the maintenance methylase DNA methyltransferase 1 (DNMT1) (4). DNA methylation in other contexts can be more dynamic and sometimes follows rather than causes gene expression changes, and thus may not always have the stability required to maintain a true epigenetic state.

Posttranslational modification of histone tails provides another level of gene expression control (11). Long thought to be inert structural proteins around which DNA is wrapped, histones have emerged as key players in both transient and long-term gene expression regulation. Histone modifications are established by “writers” that catalyze the transfer of acetyl groups, methyl groups, or other moieties to a limited set of amino acids that protrude from the nucleosome and serve as signaling molecules. These modifications trigger binding by various proteins (readers) that interpret the signal and either repress or activate gene expression, generally by inducing local compaction or relaxation of chromatin through movement of nucleosomes, respectively. Long-term gene silencing is associated with distinct histone modifications (12), leading to the idea of epigenetic regulation by these modifications. However, enzymes that reset posttranslational modifications (edi-
tors) are abundant in adult cells, and histone modifications can change rapidly in response to cellular environments (13). Some have argued that the dynamic nature of histone modifications make them poor candidates to explain epigenetic states (14).

Mechanisms that mediate epigenetics have generated consid-
erable interest in the past decade, and some confusion has arisen over the definition of epigenetics. The term has sometimes been used to encompass all chromatin states, including some unrelated to gene expression (e.g., DNA repair) (15). It is also used to indicate pathway deregulation by gene expression (as opposed to mutations), regard-
less of the ultimate mechanism (16). These expanded uses of the word move away from an essential component of epigenetics—stability.

Gene expression induction by a changed cellular state such as pro-
iferation or a stress response may involve chromatin remodeling or even DNA demethylation, but it is fundamentally different from gene expression changes during differentiation. Epigenetic silenc-
ing for genes on the inactive X chromosome or for imprinted genes occurs despite adequate cellular levels of the transcription factors that regulate the target genes. Mechanistically, it is important to distinguish stable expression (epigenetic) from dynamic expres-
sion (often transcription factor based), but there is an incomplete
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understanding of which cellular mechanisms other than CpG island promoter methylation are truly unique to stable epigenetic states. In the following sections, I will use the term epigenetics to refer to stable gene expression regulation.

DNA methylation changes in aging cells and tissues

DNA methylation was first measured globally using biochemical methods, and early studies showed progressive depletion of 5-methylcytosine associated with in vitro senescence in normal fibroblasts (17). Similar changes were observed in aging mouse tissues and human cells (18), though the changes were quite small (from ~4.05% to ~3.95% over seven decades) (19). Another estimate of global methylation can be derived by studying normally methylated repetitive elements such as Alu or LINE1 elements. These studies have yielded inconsistent results (20, 21), and differences may be tissue specific. The methylation state of individual genes can be studied by taking advantage of two properties of methylated CpG sites: methylation blocks the activity of many restriction enzymes, and a methylated cytosine is relatively resistant to deamination by treatment with bisulfite. Both methods allow the quantitative interrogation of individual CpG sites (22). Early studies focused on promoter CpG islands, particularly those that showed a marked increase in methylation associated with cancer. Southern blot analysis showed that the estrogen receptor α (ERα) promoter is unmethylated in tissues of young individuals, but gains methylation at a rate of 1% every 3 years in human colon (23). Many genes were subsequently shown to behave similarly (24), including 70%–80% of promoter CpG islands that show hypermethylation in cancer (25). In tissue collected at autopsy, hypermethylation was observed in most tissues, with substantial tissue-to-tissue variability (26). In the intestine, microdissection and analysis of individual crypts showed considerable variation in age-related methylation, with much higher intercrypt than intracrypt heterogeneity (27). Given that each colonic crypt is derived from a single or very few stem cells, the data suggest that age-related hypermethylation is a property of stem cells.

Genome-wide technologies to study DNA methylation have facilitated studies of aging and revealed striking and reproducible changes that are dependent on genomic context (summarized in Figure 1). In the mouse, almost a quarter of the queried CpG sites showed age-related methylation changes that could be found in all tissues, with the most prominent changes observed in the most proliferative organs such as the gastrointestinal tract and spleen (28). Similar replication-dependent changes were seen in hematopoietic stem cells (29). Precise quantitation revealed that methylation changed progressively and linearly with age, and that hyper- and hypomethylation occurred at comparable rates. Thus, the changes are most consistent with drift (a gradual change away from baseline) rather than an abrupt or programmed phenomenon. Studies of human tissues also revealed numerous changes (both hyper- and hypomethylation) (30–33). It has been proposed that one can estimate a person’s age by looking at DNA methylation in peripheral blood or in other tissues (34). Although tissue specific overall (34), some genes show conserved patterns in different tissues, and it might be possible to use drift in peripheral blood as a surrogate for drift in other tissues (35).

As described above, a methylation change is simply defined as a difference between two states, in this case old versus young. It does not imply primary pathology or functional consequences. To address this issue, one has to consider the particular CpG sites involved and other physiologic changes that accompany aging. Given that methylation is tissue specific, shifts in cellular composition or differentiation state of a tissue can be associated with alterations in methylation. Genome-wide studies have shown shifts in global methylation associated with stem cell differentiation (9), and it is possible that shifts in 5-methylcytosine content are related to physiologic changes in proliferation (36, 37). Thus, some of the age-related methylation drift could in fact be a secondary consequence of small differences in differentiation or proliferation states.

Histone modification changes

Posttranslational histone modifications are both dynamic and somewhat difficult to measure quantitatively. This poses a special
Mechanisms of DNA methylation drift

In the effort to understand mechanisms that underlie age-related DNA methylation drift, with a special focus on those changes that are clearly pathologic (e.g., drift in promoter CpG island methylation), it is important to remember the global patterns described above: drift is not directional (both hyper- and hypomethylation is seen), is not uniform across the genome, and is quite variable between individuals of the same age. These observations seem to negate a simple unifying hypothesis such as a programmed methylation code. On the other hand, clear patterns also emerge, such as conservation between species and a significantly higher drift in the most proliferative tissues. This raises the issue of the fidelity of replication-associated copying of DNA methylation. Using selectable markers over relatively short periods, a spontaneous error rate in methylation maintenance introduce epigenetic mosaicism. Exposures and/or chronic inflammation accelerate this process by promoting stem cell replication (e.g., for tissue repair) or by working directly on the epigenome. Continued epigenetic mosaicism results in restricted differentiation in some stem cells, leading to stem cell exhaustion and a selective growth advantage in other stem cells, which then leads to clonal expansion and local hyperproliferation. The combination of stem cell exhaustion and clonal expansion contributes to phenotypes and diseases of aging. In turn, these proliferative and restricted differentiation phenotypes promote epigenetic drift, creating a vicious cycle that exponentially increases the rate of some diseases such as cancer.

Figure 2

A model of the effects of the aging epigenome on stem cell function. Young stem cells have relatively uniform epigenomes. During aging, stem cells replicate and stochastic errors in DNA methylation maintenance introduce epigenetic mosaicism. Exposures and/or chronic inflammation accelerate this process by promoting stem cell replication (e.g., for tissue repair) or by working directly on the epigenome. Continued epigenetic mosaicism results in restricted differentiation in some stem cells, leading to stem cell exhaustion and a selective growth advantage in other stem cells, which then leads to clonal expansion and local hyperproliferation. The combination of stem cell exhaustion and clonal expansion contributes to phenotypes and diseases of aging. In turn, these proliferative and restricted differentiation phenotypes promote epigenetic drift, creating a vicious cycle that exponentially increases the rate of some diseases such as cancer.
multiple loci in older twin pairs, consistent with stochastic drift that is at least partially independent of genotype (56).

Chronic inflammation is the best-characterized acquired modifier of methylation. In the colon (57), esophagus (58), stomach (59), lung (31), and liver (60), chronic inflammation is associated with substantial methylation drift in apparently normal tissues. In a gerbil model of Helicobacter pylori infection, CpG island methylation drift required chronic inflammation (61), and while bacterial eradication reduced drift, it did not return to baseline. A high degree of drift was also seen in a mouse model of inflammatory bowel disease (62), and smoking was associated with accentuated drift in lung epithelium as well as in blood (31). The mechanism linking inflammation to drift remains unresolved. It has recently been reported that transient exposure to hypoxia (63) or reactive oxygen species (64) targets silencing complexes to DNA and could potentially promote increased DNA methylation. On the other hand, drift is bi-directional (increases at some genes, decreases at others) and cannot be accounted for solely by silencing complexes. A possible explanation for the link is indirect — it is likely that inflammation accelerates the mitotic clock by stimulating stem cell proliferation during cycles of tissue injury and repair.

Other than inflammation, acquired determinants of methylation variation remain poorly understood. There is much interest currently in a dietary epigenetic link. One carbon metabolism influences the availability of the methyl donor S-adenosyl-methionine, which could theoretically drive enzymatic DNA methylation (65). Indeed, gestational exposure to high levels of folate and vitamin B12 can increase locus-specific DNA methylation patterns in newborn mice (66), and population variation at specific sites in newborns has been linked to month of conception in a study that shows marked seasonal variation in dietary habits (67). It is not yet known how much gestational and developmental diets influence DNA methylation drift in adults. A recent study showed that folate levels in rbc, a measure of chronic intake, was positively correlated with methylation drift at promoter CpG islands (68) but not at repetitive sequences (21). There is also considerable interest in a potential link between environmental chemical exposures and altered epigenetics. Several naturally occurring compounds have unquestioned epigenetic effects (69), though the evidence for others is inconclusive (70). It seems likely that we are exposed to chemicals that influence epigenetic drift, but the precise nature of these chemicals and the magnitude of their effects are yet to be determined.

Age-related methylation drift and physiology: altered plasticity?

The functional consequences of age-related methylation drift remain a matter of speculation. Promoter CpG island methylation silences transcription of the gene in affected cells (4). Methylation changes elsewhere have variable, site-dependent effects on gene expression. To fully appreciate the impact of aging, one also has to consider the degree of methylation changes. Quantitative measurements reveal differences between young and old mammals on the order of 5%–25% at susceptible genes (28). The effects of such partial methylation depend on whether it is uniformly altered to a small degree in every cell or greatly altered in a small proportion of cells. Data suggest that, for promoter CpG islands, the latter is correct — that aged stem cells are epigenetic mosaics, with some showing no methylation at a given gene and others having a very high degree of methylation at the same gene (27). These remarkable differences would be expected to result in large differences in gene expression between different stem cells in the same tissue, assuming that the affected gene is actually active in that tissue. If one considers the thousands of genes that show age-related drift, a picture emerges in which tissues in older individuals have considerable mosaicism in gene expression profiles (summarized in Figure 2). Epigenetic mosaicism could profoundly affect the function of aged stem cells. The loss of actual or potential gene expression limits the differentiation potential of a stem cell. As mentioned earlier, many of the genes that show age-related methylation drift are polycomb targets in embryonic stem cells and are enriched for genes functionally involved in differentiation and development (28, 62, 71). It is likely that promoter CpG island methylation at these loci limits the plasticity of stem cells, which compromises their ability to renew healthy differentiated cells and thus contribute negatively to aged tissue function. Indeed, aged hematopoietic stem cells are an example of this plasticity defect, in that they show skewed differentiation, with more myeloid and fewer lymphoid progeny (72). There are also other ways by which epigenetic drift may compromise stem cell function. Hypermethylation may silence genes essential for renewal, leading to age-related depletion of the stem cell pool. This depletion can also result from hypomethylation and activation of genes that normally show germ cell–restricted expression (40) and are not fully recognized as self by the immune system, thus triggering autoimmune destruction of the stem cells. Overall, compromised stem cell function is a likely consequence of age-related epigenetic drift, and there is some evidence that stem cell aging is a key mechanism regulating life span (73).

A major physiologic consequence of age-related drift is the appearance of tissue-level epigenetic mosaicism. On the surface, mosaicism is not necessarily deleterious for tissue function; however, epigenetic mosaicism equates to stable gene expression variation, which in turn is a powerful engine for natural selection (74). Imagining millions of stem cells with variation affecting hundreds to thousands of genes, it is easy to see how competition would result in the extinction of some stem cells and in the expansion of others that have a selective growth advantage compared with neighboring cells (Figure 2). Evidence for this comes from the gastrointestinal tract, in which each crypt is formed by several stem cells in young individuals but only by one or two stem cells in aged mice and humans (75). Indeed, aging is accompanied by crypt expansion, and one can then observe multiple adjacent crypts all arising from the same stem cell. This is exactly what would be predicted from a model of epigenetic variation plus natural selection, and the extension of this process results in time-dependent emergence of hyperproliferative clonal lesions in affected tissues.

Methylation drift and disease

A reduced stem cell function is one of the hallmarks of aging in mammals, from loss of specific cell types to reduced capacity for tissue repair (76). It is easy to see how methylation drift can contribute to declining tissue health by constraining the plasticity of aged stem cells. Another feature of aging is the emergence of autoimmune diseases and, as discussed earlier, it is possible that age-related methylation drift contributes to this phenomenon by unmasking hidden tissue antigens or altering lymphocyte function through hypomethylation (77). It is also possible to imagine other situations in which alterations in a small number of cells could result in significant pathology, such as through induction of local inflammation or fibrosis. Thus, several age-related pathologies might have an epigenetic component.
review series

One of the most profound effects of epigenetic variation at the tissue level may well be aberrant focal stem cell proliferation. The two most common causes of death in humans, atherosclerotic heart disease and cancer, are both focal proliferative events. In tissues with relatively little cellular turnover and in which carcinogen exposure is relatively low and spontaneous mutations relatively few, focal proliferation itself leads to pathology, as in coronary atherosclerosis. Interestingly, aberrant methylation of genes such as ERs and EBR has been observed in atherosclerotic plaques (78, 79). In tissues regularly exposed to carcinogens, such as lung or gastrointestinal tract, the age-related clonal expansion might allow oncogenic mutations to transform cells, resulting in full-blown malignancy. Keeping in mind that most oncogenic mutations (e.g., activating KRAS mutation) lead to senescence or death of normal cells, the convergence of age-related epigenetic drift and carcinogen-induced genetic change may be the key factor in explaining the rise in incidence of cancer as humans age. Indeed, genome-wide studies have now shown that cancers are characterized by coincident genetic and epigenetic damage (80).

Cancer provides a dramatic example of the interactions between aging, epigenetic drift, and disease. Cancer cells have a high degree of aberrant DNA methylation, as well as other epigenetic alterations (81). Increased promoter CpG island methylation in aging normal cells was discovered by studying normal-appearing tissues adjacent to cancer, and aging changes were suggested to be an early step in carcinogenesis (23). Approximately 70%–80% of the DNA methylation abnormalities in cancer can be traced to aging defects (25), and cancer itself can be seen as an accelerated aging phenomenon if one considers stem cell replication as the ultimate mark of the biologic clock. In addition to these descriptive studies, there are direct convincing data linking epigenetic defects and oncogenesis. First, some of the genes altered epigenetically in cancer are bona-fide tumor suppressor genes that cause familial cancer clusters when mutated, and there is an inverse correlation between genetic and epigenetic defects at these loci, suggesting an equivalent growth advantage regardless of the molecular defect (81). Second, writers, editors, and readers of the epigenetic code are often mutated in cancer (82), suggesting that the downstream epigenetic defects are functionally responsible for the neoplastic phenotype. Finally, in mouse models, reducing methylation in normal colon by partial deletion of Dnmt1 prevents the development of polyps (83), while increasing methylation by overexpression of Dnmt3b increases polyp formation (84). These data support the model that predicts that age-related methylation defects are rate limiting for the development of some preneoplastic lesions. In human carcinogenesis, cancers are often found to arise in fields of aberrant DNA methylation (85), which is also consistent with the model.

Conclusions: a vicious cycle

DNA methylation and epigenetic inheritance show a significant drift with age in mammals, most likely because of stochastic errors in epigenetic copying during stem cell replication. This drift results in gene expression mosaicism and has the potential to substantially contribute to aging phenotypes, including disease. Aging pathologies in turn accelerate methylation drift by promoting chronic inflammation and uncontrolled proliferation, thus creating a vicious cycle (Figure 2) that may explain why some diseases such as cancer increase exponentially rather than linearly with age. In addition to its potential as a physiologic marker of disease risk, it is worth considering whether the prevention of epigenetic drift might alleviate disorders and diseases associated with aging in humans.
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