Sympathetic activity–associated periodic repolarization dynamics predict mortality following myocardial infarction
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Background. Enhanced sympathetic activity at the ventricular myocardium can destabilize repolarization, increasing the risk of death. Sympathetic activity is known to cluster in low-frequency bursts; therefore, we hypothesized that sympathetic activity induces periodic low-frequency changes of repolarization. We developed a technique to assess the sympathetic effect on repolarization and identified periodic components in the low-frequency spectral range (≤0.1 Hz), which we termed periodic repolarization dynamics (PRD).

Methods. We investigated the physiological properties of PRD in multiple experimental studies, including a swine model of steady-state ventilation (n = 7) and human studies involving fixed atrial pacing (n = 10), passive head-up tilt testing (n = 11), low-intensity exercise testing (n = 11), and beta blockade (n = 10). We tested the prognostic power of PRD in 908 survivors of acute myocardial infarction (MI). Finally, we tested the predictive values of PRD and T-wave alternans (TWA) in 2,965 patients undergoing clinically indicated exercise testing.

Results. PRD was not related to underlying respiratory activity (P < 0.001) or heart-rate variability (P = 0.002). Furthermore, PRD was enhanced by activation of the sympathetic nervous system, and pharmacological blockade of sympathetic nervous system activity suppressed PRD (P ≤ 0.005 for both). Increased PRD was the strongest single risk predictor of 5-year total mortality (hazard ratio 4.75, 95% CI 2.94–7.66; P < 0.001) after acute MI. In patients undergoing exercise testing, the predictive value of PRD was strong and complementary to that of TWA.

Conclusion. We have described and identified low-frequency rhythmic modulations of repolarization that are associated with sympathetic activity. Increased PRD can be used as a predictor of mortality in survivors of acute MI and patients undergoing exercise testing.

Trial registration. ClinicalTrials.gov NCT00196274.

Funding. This study was funded by Angewandte Klinische Forschung, University of Tübingen (252-1-0).

Introduction

Sudden cardiac death (SCD) is the single most common cause of death in the industrialized world (1). A substantial proportion of SCD cases occur in patients after myocardial infarction (MI). Randomized trials have demonstrated that in high-risk patients after MI, mortality can be effectively reduced by prophylactic implantation of a cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD) (2). Consequently, identification of high-risk individuals is a major objective in cardiology. Current guidelines recommend the assessment of left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) as the gold standard risk predictor (3, 4); however, this approach lacks both sensitivity and specificity (1, 5). Therefore, development of novel risk markers is of great clinical interest.

Assessment of repolarization instability may more directly estimate the risk of fatal cardiac arrhythmias (6). It is well known from experimental and clinical studies that enhanced sympathetic activity is a key factor leading to the destabilization of myocardial repolarization (7–14). However, without directly recording neural activity, which is impractical in the clinical setting, assessment of the sympathetic effect on myocardial repolarization has not been possible to date. As sympathetic activity is organized in a series of low-frequency bursts (15–19), we postulated that repolarization changes induced by the sympathetic nervous system would exhibit low-frequency periodic features.

In the present study, we propose what we believe is a novel way to assess the sympathetic effect on cardiac repolarization. We developed a technology and uncovered periodic components of repolarization in the low-frequency spectral range (≤0.1 Hz), which we termed periodic repolarization dynamics (PRD). The first part of
this article focuses on the physiological properties of PRD, including activation and blockade of the sympathetic nervous system. In the second part of this investigation, we assess the prognostic meaning of enhanced PRD in patients surviving acute MI (post-MI cohort; Figure 1A) and patients undergoing clinically indicated exercise testing (stress-test cohort; Figure 1B). In the stress-test cohort we also tested the prognostic meaning of exercise-induced T-wave alternans (TWA), which is presently considered to be the strongest existing marker of repolarization instability.

Results

Repolarization is subject to low-frequency periodic modulations. We developed a technique to dynamically track repolarization dynamics and to quantify their periodic components. Details of the methodology are reported in Methods. Briefly, we used standard, high-resolution, surface ECG recorded in or converted to the orthogonal Frank lead configuration. As electrocardiographic repolarization is a phenomenon occurring in both space and time, we integrated the spatiotemporal information of each T-wave into a single vector, $T°$. We used the angle $dT°$ between successive repolarization vectors as an estimate of the instantaneous repolarization instability (Figure 2, A–C). We observed characteristic low-frequency oscillations in $dT°$ in health and disease (Figure 2D). In order to quantify these low-frequency ($\leq 0.1 \text{ Hz}$) periodic patterns, we employed wavelet analysis (Figure 2E).

PRD is not an epiphenomenon of underlying heart rate variability. We tested whether PRD was present in the absence of heart rate variability (HRV). We studied 10 individuals (median age 52 [interquartile range (IQR) 32] years, 5 females), who underwent a clinically indicated electrophysiological (EP) study at our institution. Patient characteristics are provided in Methods. We compared 5-minute episodes of spontaneous sinus rhythm to 5-minute episodes during fixed atrial stimulation, which was set above the spontaneous heart rate. Fixed atrial pacing almost abolished HRV ($P < 0.001$; Supplemental Table 1; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI70085DS1), but exerted only minimal, non-significant effects on PRD (ratio of PRD after provocation to PRD before provocation [PRD ratio] 0.75, 95% CI 0.50–1.17, $P = 0.193$; Figure 3A, Supplemental Figure 1A, and Supplemental Table 1).

PRD is not an epiphenomenon of underlying respiratory activity. To test whether PRD was present in the absence of spontaneous breathing, we performed an experimental study in a swine model. Seven female domestic pigs were mechanically ventilated and sedated with α-chloralose, which has been shown to induce only minimal effects on the cardiac autonomic nervous system (20). Respiratory frequency and tidal volume were maintained constant by means of volume-controlled ventilation. Details of the experimental design are provided in Methods. PRD occurred independently of respiratory activity, as illustrated in Figure 4A. There was no interference between respiratory activity and PRD in any animal, as confirmed by spectral and crossspectral analysis (Figure 4, B and C; median coherence 0.044 [IQR 0.026]; $P < 0.001$ for the difference from the threshold of 0.5).

PRD is enhanced by sympathetic activation and suppressed by sympathetic blockade. We tested the effects of sympathetic activation on PRD in 11 healthy male volunteers (median age 24 [IQR 3] years). Sympathetic activation was achieved by means of head-up tilt testing and low-intensity exercise. Both tilt-table testing (PRD ratio 1.80, 95% CI 1.35–2.58, $P = 0.005$; Figure 3B, Supplemental Figure 1B, and Supplemental Table 1) and low-intensity exercise (PRD ratio 3.85, 95% CI 2.49–5.61, $P = 0.001$; Figure 3C, Supplemental Figure 1B, and Supplemental Table 1) led to substantial enhancement of PRD.
Conversely, we tested the effects of antiadrenergic intervention in 10 patients (median age 57 [IQR 21] years, 7 females) undergoing an EP study at our institution. Antiadrenergic intervention was achieved by pharmacological beta blockade. The diagnostic protocol is described in Methods. Beta blockade caused a striking suppression of PRD in all patients (PRD ratio 0.41, 95% CI 0.28–0.61, \( P = 0.002 \); Figure 3D, Supplemental Figure 1C, and Supplemental Table 1).

For comparison, the effects of sympathetic activation and blockade on the low-frequency component of heart-rate variability are shown in Supplemental Table 1.

Increased PRD predicts total and cardiovascular mortality after MI.

We tested the prognostic significance of PRD in a cohort of 908 patients from the Autonomic Regulation Trial (median age 61 [IQR 17] years, 174 females) who survived an acute MI (Figure 1A and Table 1) (21, 22). Sixty-nine patients died within the first 5 years of follow-up. Representative resting \( dT^\circ \) signals in a patient who survived the follow-up period and in a patient who suddenly died 8 months after index MI are depicted in Figure 5, A and B, respectively. Although low-frequency oscillations in \( dT^\circ \) were evident in both patients, the amplitudes of PRD were much higher in the nonsurviving patient. The level of PRD was significantly associated with 5-year mortality (6.67 [IQR 8.58] deg\(^2\) vs. 2.66 [IQR 3.93] deg\(^2\); \( P < 0.001 \)). For subsequent survival analyses, we dichotomized PRD at the upper quartile of the study population. The 227 patients with PRD greater than or equal to 5.75 deg\(^2\) (Figure 5C) had a 5-year risk of death of 18.2% compared with 4.1% in the 681 patients with PRD of less than 5.75 deg\(^2\) (\( P < 0.001 \)). Both uni- and multivariable analyses for the prediction of 5-year total mortality indicated that PRD greater than or equal to 5.75 deg\(^2\) was the strongest single risk predictor in the study cohort (Table 2 and Supplemental Figure 2). The predictive value of PRD greater than or equal to 5.75 deg\(^2\) was independent of that of established risk markers, including reduced LVEF of 35% or less (3, 4), the Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events (GRACE) score (23), the presence of diabetes mellitus, elevated mean heart rate, reduced HRV, and increased QT variability index (QTVI) (24). Subsequently, we assessed the incremental prognostic value of PRD to established risk-prediction models (Supplemental Table 2). PRD significantly improved all tested risk-prediction models based on the combination of LVEF, GRACE score, respiratory rate, and HRV parameters. Subgroup analyses revealed that increased PRD was a particularly strong predictor of mortality in the 179 post-MI patients who also suffered from diabetes mellitus, identifying a group of 179 patients with a cumulative 5-year mortality rate of 38.8% (Figure 5D).

Finally, we also investigated whether PRD predicted cardiovascular mortality. Of the 69 deaths, 36 were cardiovascular deaths. As shown in Table 2, PRD greater than or equal to 5.75 deg\(^2\) was also a strong and independent predictor of 5-year cardiovascular mortality.

**Figure 2**
Assessment of PRD. (A) Illustration of the weight-averaged vector of repolarization \( (T^\circ) \) for each T-wave from surface ECG recorded in the Frank leads configuration. (B) Three-dimensional visualization of successive \( T^\circ \) vectors projected into virtual spheres. The angle \( dT^\circ \) between successive repolarization vectors was used as an estimate of instantaneous repolarization instability. (C and D) The \( dT^\circ \) signal exhibits characteristic low-frequency oscillations. C shows \( dT^\circ \) values for beats #219-223, corresponding to the spheres in B. (E) Quantification of PRD using wavelet analysis. PRD was defined as the average wavelet coefficient corresponding to frequencies of 0.1 Hz or less.
cle ergometer. As expected, PRD levels in this cohort were higher than those in the post-MI cohort, where PRD was estimated in the supine resting position (9.2 [IQR 13.37] deg² vs. 2.82 [IQR 4.34] deg², respectively; \( P < 0.001 \)). Both PRD and TWA were significantly associated with mortality (8.96 [IQR 13.23] deg² vs. 12.04 [IQR 16.32] deg², \( P < 0.001 \), and 23.00 [IQR 15] \( \mu V \) vs. 26.00 [IQR 17] \( \mu V \), \( P < 0.001 \), respectively). Univariable Cox regression analysis showed that both markers were strong predictors of total mortality (standardized coefficients 0.203, 95% CI 0.113–0.293, \( P < 0.001 \) for PRD; and 0.256, 95% CI 0.164–0.348, \( P < 0.001 \) for TWA). This remained true on multivariable analysis, which also included age, sex, previous MI, presence of diabetes mellitus, and treatment with beta-blockers (Table 3). The significant crossterm between TWA and PRD (TWA \( \times \) PRD) indicated that the relationship between the outcome and one predictor was dependent on the levels of the other predictor. We therefore tested the additive prognostic value of PRD for different levels of TWA. As illustrated in Supplemental Figure 3, PRD provided incremental prognostic information at all levels of TWA.

Of the 309 deaths, 138 were cardiovascular deaths. Increased preexercise PRD was also a significant predictor of cardiovascular mortality in univariable (standardized coefficient 0.256, 95% CI 0.126–0.385; \( P < 0.001 \)) and multivariable analysis (Table 3).

**Discussion**

In the present study, we identified periodic oscillations of repolarization that were localized in the low-frequency spectral range and were detectable by conventional surface ECG. PRD was evident in health and disease without provocations and occurred autonomously from underlying HRV and respiratory activity. PRD was augmented by physiological provocations leading to activation of the sympathetic nervous system and was suppressed by pharmacological adrenergic blockade. Increased PRD obtained under resting conditions was a very strong predictor of total and cardiovascular mortality in survivors of acute MI and patients undergoing a clinically indicated exercise test. The prognostic value of PRD was incremental to that of established risk markers, including LVEF and TWA.

---

**Figure 4**

Effect of respiration on PRD in a volume-controlled ventilated swine. (A) Signals of respiratory activity (green) and \( dT^\circ \) (blue). Respiratory activity was recorded by a piezoelectric thoracic sensor. The \( dT^\circ \) signal exhibits typical low-frequency oscillations occurring independently from respiratory activity. (B) Spectral analysis of respiratory activity and the \( dT^\circ \) signal. Power spectra were normalized by their maximum value. (C) Cross-spectral analysis of respiratory activity and the \( dT^\circ \) signal showing a lack of interference between both signals.
Noninvasive assessment of the sympathetic effect on myocardial repolarization is of great clinical interest. A wealth of evidence supports the widely held belief that increased sympathetic nervous system activity is associated with increased cardiac vulnerability (11–14). In human subjects, noninvasively measured parameters, including HRV and baroreflex sensitivity, have been employed to study sympathetic activity under routine clinical conditions (28). This approach is based on the principle that activation of the sympathetic nervous system evokes several physiological effects, including increasing systolic contractility rate and vasomotor tone as well as accelerating heart rate and atrioventricular conduction (10). However, these measurements provide only an indirect probe of the sympathetic effect on repolarization; they reflect influences on the sinoatrial node and blood vessels, not on the ventricular myocardium.

At the level of cardiomyocytes, stimulation of β-adrenergic receptors alters intracellular calcium dynamics (28) and shortens action potential duration (10). Importantly, the effect of sympathetic stimulation on the 3 cell types of the ventricular myocardium (epicardial cells, M cells, and endocardial cells) is nonuniform (29, 30). Adrenergic activation abbreviates the action potential duration of low-frequency bursts (15–19). We therefore assumed that phasic sympathetic activity at the level of myocardial repolarization may provide more accurate information on cardiac risk. Post-MI patients with increased PRD had a very poor prognosis when they also suffered from diabetes mellitus. Both MI (32) and diabetes mellitus (33) are characterized by spatially heterogeneous sympathetic innervation, which is associated with negative prognosis (10).

We tested the prognostic significance of PRD in a large cohort of patients undergoing clinically indicated exercise testing. Increased PRD was a strong predictor of total and cardiovascular mortality and provided incremental prognostic information to that of exercise-induced TWA. This indicates that PRD can be used to detect high-risk patients who are not identified by TWA. The complementary prognostic information provided by PRD and TWA implies that these 2 markers capture different aspects of repolarization instability. While PRD most probably reflects low-frequency oscillations related to sympathetic activity, TWA is mainly caused by high-frequency action potential oscillations provoked by abnormal calcium handling (34). TWA is an important predictor of cardiovascular mortality, including sudden death (6, 27, 35, 36). However, it needs to be provoked by exercise (37) or invasive procedures (38). Assessment of PRD is inexpensive, easily obtainable under resting conditions, and noninvasive and significantly improves available risk-stratification strategies.

Our study has several limitations. First, high-resolution ECG is required in order to measure PRD. It remains to be demonstrated whether our results are reproducible with lower-resolution tracings. Second, in both cohorts, risk markers were only assessed at enrollment. Therefore, we cannot comment on the immediate and long-term reproducibility of PRD as well as the effect of treatment on PRD. Third, the prognostic value of PRD needs to be validated in independent cohorts. Fourth, as PRD is dependent on the patient’s body position and activity level, the proposed cutoff value is only valid for recordings obtained in the supine resting position. Fifth, we confirmed the prognostic value of PRD for prediction of total mortality and cardiovascular mortality. Although it is plausible to assume that increased levels of PRD are also associated with arrhythmic mortality, this needs to be tested in future studies. Finally, although we have shown that increased PRD is a powerful risk predictor, we have no data to show that specific treatments based on the use of this predictor will improve patient outcome.

In conclusion, PRD constitutes an electrocardiographic phenomenon that most likely reflects the myocardial response to sympathetic activation. Increased PRD is a potent risk predictor of total and cardiovascular mortality, which is in line with the results of many studies showing that enhanced sympathetic activity is associated with an increased risk of death (11–14). In particular, increased PRD was identified as the strongest single risk predictor of total and cardiovascular mortality in a large cohort of post-MI patients. The predictive value of PRD was independent of established risk markers. PRD substantially improved several multivariable models in prediction of total mortality, confirming its incremental prognostic value. The mechanism by which PRD identifies high-risk patients significantly differs from that of structural markers such as LVEF. Directly estimating sympathetic activity at the level of myocardial repolarization may provide more accurate information on cardiac risk.
of total and cardiovascular mortality, and its use significantly improves established risk-stratification concepts. Future studies are needed to test whether high-risk patients identified by PRD benefit from prophylactic therapies.

**Methods**

**Participants.** The physiological properties of PRD were studied in 3 cohorts at the University Hospital of Tübingen. We tested the effects of fixed atrial pacing (atrial-pacing cohort) in 10 individuals (median age 52 [IQR 42] years, 5 females) undergoing a clinically indicated diagnostic EP study. Indications for EP studies were paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia in 7 patients and evaluation of unexplained syncope in 3 patients. We investigated the effect of beta blockade in 10 patients (median age 57 [IQR 21] years, 7 females) undergoing an EP study for paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia (adrenergic-blockade cohort). In both EP studies, all patients were in sinus rhythm, had normal LVEF, were not suspected of suffering from coronary artery disease, and had no significant valve stenosis or insufficiency on echocardiography. We also studied the effects of passive head-up tilt and low-intensity exercise in 11 healthy male volunteers (median age 24 [IQR 3] years, adrenergic-activation cohort).

**Figure 5**

PRD in post-MI patients. (A) Typical $dT^\circ$ signal (blue line) obtained from a 50-year-old post-MI patient who survived the 5-year follow-up period. The signal shows characteristic low-frequency oscillations. For better illustration of these oscillations, a low-pass filter was applied and plotted on top of the original signal (black line). (B) Typical $dT^\circ$ signal (red line) from a 75-year-old post-MI patient who suddenly died 8 months after MI. Compared with the survivor, the amplitude of PRD was substantially enhanced. (C) Cumulative mortality rates of patients stratified by PRD of 5.75 deg$^2$ or more. (D) Cumulative mortality rates of patients stratified by PRD of 5.75 deg$^2$ or more and presence of diabetes mellitus.
Univariable and multivariable association of risk markers with 5-year all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in 908 survivors of acute MI (post-MI cohort)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk variable</th>
<th>All-cause mortality</th>
<th>Cardiovascular Mortality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Univariable Cox regression</td>
<td>Multivariable Cox regression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</td>
<td>P-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF ≤ 35%</td>
<td>3.81 (2.23 to 6.51)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRACE score ≥120</td>
<td>5.54 (3.24 to 9.46)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>2.61 (1.61 to 4.23)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean HR &gt; 75 bpm</td>
<td>1.98 (1.11 to 3.55)</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDNN ≤ 70 ms</td>
<td>2.01 (1.22 to 3.33)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTVI &gt; –0.47</td>
<td>2.54 (1.55 to 4.19)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRD ≥ 5.75 deg^2</td>
<td>4.75 (2.94 to 7.66)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF ≤ 35%</td>
<td>4.69 (2.32 to 9.50)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRACE score ≥120</td>
<td>5.82 (2.75 to 12.33)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>2.72 (1.40 to 5.31)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean HR &gt; 75 bpm</td>
<td>2.22 (1.02 to 4.86)</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDNN ≤ 70 ms</td>
<td>1.89 (0.93 to 3.82)</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTVI &gt; –0.47</td>
<td>1.99 (1.02 to 3.88)</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRD ≥ 5.75 deg^2</td>
<td>4.50 (2.33 to 8.69)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^AThe GRACE score combines several clinical risk factors, including patient age, history of previous MI and congestive heart failure, ST-segment deviation, elevated cardiac enzymes, renal impairment, systolic blood pressure and HR upon admission, and percutaneous coronary interventions during the hospital stay. HR, heart rate.

1B and Table 1). Patients in the post-MI cohort were enrolled between May 2000 and March 2005 at 2 university centers in Munich, Germany: the German Heart Centre and the Klinikum Rechts der Isar (21, 22). Eligible patients had survived acute MI (<4 weeks), were aged 80 years or more, had sinus rhythm, and did not meet the criteria for secondary prophylactic implantation of ICD before hospital discharge. Patients in the stress-test cohort were included between October 2001 and December 2008 at the Tampere University Hospital (Finnish Cardiovascular Study) (25). Eligible patients were aged 30–80 years, were in sinus rhythm, and underwent a clinically indicated exercise test.

**Procedures.** We performed EP studies according to the hospital’s standard operating procedures. No study-specific invasive procedures were performed on any patient. We did not sedate the patients. All EP studies required placement of a pacing electrode in the right atrium. Attrial pacing was performed at a fixed cycle length (CL), below sinus rhythm CL and slightly above Wenckebach CL. In the atrial-pacing cohort, we compared a 5-minute recording during undisturbed sinus rhythm to a 5-minute recording during fixed atrial stimulation. In the adrenergic-blockade cohort, we compared 5-minute tracings before and after i.v. administration of 0.1 mg/kg metoprolol. Fixed atrial pacing during the entire procedure was used to ensure constant heart rate.

Subjects in the adrenergic-activation cohort were not allowed to eat or drink coffee for 12 hours before the tests. Vigorous exercise and alcohol were forbidden for 48 hours before the tests. All healthy volunteers lay in a supine position in a quiet room for at least 15 minutes before data collection. We used 2 provocations: 2-minute passive head-up tilt-test at 45° and 5-minute low-intensity exercise using a bicycle ergometer. For the latter test, the individual workload was set to achieve a constant heart rate of 110 bpm. For all physiological studies, we used high-resolution (2.048 Hz) digital ECG (TMS; Porti System) recorded in Frank leads configuration throughout the entire procedure.

For the post-MI cohort, we used 30-minute high-resolution (1,600 Hz) digital ECG (TMS; Porti System) recorded in Frank leads configuration. Recordings were performed within the second week after MI in resting conditions in the morning hours and in a supine position. We additionally performed a 24-hour Holter recording (Oxford Excel Holter System, Oxford Instruments; Pathfinder700, Reynolds Medical; and Mortara Holter System, Mortara Instrument) within the second week after MI. For the stress-test cohort, an upright bicycle was used for the exercise test. The workload was increased from 20 to 30 W in a step-wise manner (10 to 30 W/min). For calculation of PRD, a preexercise period of at least 2.5 minutes was recorded using 12-channel digital ECG (500 Hz), which was converted into the Frank leads configuration by means of the inverse Dower matrix (39).

**Assessment of PRD.** The technique used to calculate PRD is illustrated in Figure 2 and in Supplemental Figures 4–6. The prerequisite for computing dT° is an ECG tracing recorded in or converted to the 3 orthogonal axes X, Y, and Z. The time positions of the T-waves were identified using previously published algorithms (40, 41). The end of each T-wave was set as the reference point (amplitude = 0 mV). The first step in calculating the new parameter was to transform the Cartesian coordinates X, Y, and Z (Supplemental Figure 4A) into a time series of polar coordinates defined as the reference point (amplitude = 0 mV). The second step in calculating the resultant-force amplitude XYZ (Supplemental Figure 4B). For example, we selected a time point t1 (Supplemental Figure 4B) and decomposed the XYZ vector into 2 orthogonal vectors on the y axis and the transverse (XZ) plane. The angle between the vector and the y axis was termed the elevation (42) (Supplemental Figure 4D), with an angle of 0° defined as the vector pointing to the caudal direction. The angle between the vector on the transverse plane and the x axis was termed the azimuth (42).

On the basis of the 3 new time signals of the polar coordinates, we defined the weight-averaged direction of repolarization, which can be
The angle $\theta$ in Equations 1 and 2 was multiplied by the corresponding values for azimuth and elevation at the same time point. The angle $\theta$ is measured by means of the same units (deg rad) as the angle, which is measured by means of the same units (deg rad) as the angle in Equations 1 and 2. Using the repolarization wave of Supplemental Figure 5 as a backbone, exemplary WAA and WAE values were calculated as illustrated in Supplemental Figure 5.

$$\sum_{t = t_{\text{start}}}^{t_{\text{end}}} (\text{Amp}(t) \times \text{Azimuth}(t))$$

(Equation 1)

$$\sum_{t = t_{\text{start}}}^{t_{\text{end}}} (\text{Amp}(t) \times \text{Elevation}(t))$$

(Equation 2)

We used the angle $dT^o$ between successive repolarization vectors as an estimate of the instantaneous degree of repolarization instability (Figure 2, A–C). The angle $dT^o$ was calculated using the dot product (scalar product) equation (43), which by 2 vectors of the same length $r$ can be simplified to Equation 3 as illustrated in Supplemental Figure 6. The $dT^o$ signal was linearly interpolated with a sampling rate of 2 Hz and filtered using a low-pass filter to remove artifacts. In order to quantify the periodic components of $dT^o$, we employed continuous wavelet transformation (Figure 2D). The continuous wavelet transformation provides wavelet coefficients for each scale at each time point. For each scale, the average wavelet coefficient was computed. Finally, scales were converted to pseudofrequencies using an established algorithm (44). PRD was defined as the average wavelet coefficient in the frequency range of 0.1 Hz or less (Figure 2E).

$$dT^o = a \cos (\sin(WAE_{x}) \times \cos(WAA_{x}) \times \sin(WAE_{y}) \times \cos(WAA_{y})$$

$$+ \cos(WAE_{x}) \times \cos(WAE_{y})$$

$$+ \sin(WAE_{x}) \times \sin(WAA_{x}) \times \sin(WAE_{y}) \times \sin(WAA_{y})]$$

(Equation 3)

### Assessment of TWA

**Multivariable Cox regression analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk variable</th>
<th>All-cause mortality</th>
<th>Cardiovascular mortality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta (95% CI)</td>
<td>P value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, continuous</td>
<td>0.055 (0.042 to 0.068)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (yes, no)</td>
<td>-0.535 (-0.790 to -0.280)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus (yes, no)</td>
<td>0.393 (-0.675 to -0.111)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous MI (yes, no)</td>
<td>0.205 (-0.049 to 0.459)</td>
<td>0.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta blocker (yes, no)</td>
<td>0.175 (0.007 to 0.284)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWA, continuous</td>
<td>0.198 (0.103 to 0.292)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRD, continuous</td>
<td>-0.091 (-0.160 to -0.022)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWA $\times$ PRD</td>
<td>-0.019 (-0.160 to -0.022)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Beta, standardized coefficients.
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