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While murine-based systems to identify cancer-promoting agents (carcinogens) are established, models to identify compounds that promote aging (gerontogens) have not been described. For this purpose, we exploited the transcription of **p16**<sup>INK4a</sup>, which rises dynamically with aging and correlates with age-associated disease. Activation of **p16**<sup>INK4a</sup> was visualized in vivo using a murine strain that harbors a knockin of the luciferase gene into the Cdkn2a locus (**p16**<sup>LUC</sup> mice). We exposed **p16**<sup>LUC</sup> mice to candidate gerontogens, including arsenic, high-fat diet, UV light, and cigarette smoke and serially imaged animals to monitor senescence induction. We show that exposure to a high-fat diet did not accelerate **p16**<sup>LUC</sup> expression, whereas arsenic modestly augmented, and cigarette smoke and UV light potently augmented, activation of **p16**<sup>LUC</sup>-mediated senescence. This work provides a toxicological platform to study mammalian aging and suggests agents that directly damage DNA promote molecular aging.

Introduction

Murine models have been critical to the identification of carcinogens. For example, commercially available models (i.e., BigBlue, MutaMouse, and the p53<sup>−/−</sup> strain; refs. 1–3) represent core tools in cancer toxicology. These reagents increase the efficiency and sensitivity of carcinogen identification, while reducing animal requirements and costs. In 1987, Martin proposed the concept of gerontogens (4): environmental agents that accelerate molecular aging, analogous to carcinogens promoting neoplasia. While murine models for carcinogen testing are advanced, in vivo tools for gerontogen testing do not exist.

Mammalian aging is complex, with distinct molecular processes contributing to age-related tissue dysfunction. While no single process underpins aging, several lines of evidence suggest senescence activation is an important contributor. Markers of cellular senescence dramatically increase with aging in humans and mice, including expression of the **p16**<sup>INK4a</sup> tumor suppressor gene (5–8). Moreover, activation of **p16**<sup>INK4a</sup> and senescence has been linked to replicative hypofunction in neural stem cells (9), hematopoietic progenitors (10), lymphocytes (11, 12), and pancreatic β cells (13). Altered regulation of the senescence-promoting CDKN2a/b locus, which encodes **p16**<sup>INK4a</sup>, **p15**<sup>INK4b</sup>, and ARF, has been linked to aging phenotypes, including atherosclerotic disease, type II diabetes, glaucoma, and several malignancies (14). Finally, pharmacologic and genetic approaches to manipulating the number of senescent cells in vivo can ameliorate age-associated phenotypes such as sarcopenia, kyphosis, and hyporeplication of T lymphocytes and pancreatic β cells (15–17). Here, we sought to determine whether in vivo activation of **p16**<sup>INK4a</sup>, measured using the recently described **p16**<sup>LUC</sup> reporter allele (18), could effectively assess the gerontogenic effects of environmental agents.

Results and Discussion

The **p16**<sup>LUC</sup> allele features firefly luciferase knocked in to exon 1 of the murine Cdkn2a locus (18), with expression under the control of the endogenous **p16**<sup>INK4a</sup> promoter and distant cis-regulatory elements (19, 20). Importantly, **p16**<sup>LUC</sup> expression is negligible in healthy cells from young mice, but increases more than 300-fold on a per-cell basis with age-promoting stresses (7, 18). The large, dynamic range of **p16**<sup>LUC</sup> expression makes **p16**<sup>LUC</sup> a valuable tool for in vivo imaging of senescence induction. To assess the effect of candidate gerontogens — high-fat diet (HFD), UV light, arsenic, and cigarette smoke (CS) — on senescence, we performed serial analysis of **p16**<sup>LUC</sup> cohorts.

Prior studies on the effects of obesity and HFD on **p16**<sup>INK4a</sup> expression in vivo have yielded inconsistent results. HFD has been reported to increase senescence and/or **p16**<sup>INK4a</sup> expression in vascular cells (21, 22), whereas **p16**<sup>INK4a</sup> mRNA expression in peripheral blood T lymphocytes (PBTLs) does not correlate with body mass index, a marker of obesity, in humans (8). To examine the effects of HFD on whole-body senescence, littermate **p16**<sup>LUC</sup> mice were placed on a 42%-fat HFD or 4%-fat normal diet (ND) for 18 months starting at 8–10 weeks of age. HFD feeding produced a clear pharmacodynamic effect, causing significant weight gain and hepatic steatosis, although no differences in overall survival were noted (Supplemental Figure 1, A–C; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI70960DS1). Consistent with prior reports (7, 18), we observed an exponential increase in **p16**<sup>INK4a</sup> expression with aging; however, the rate of increase, as assessed by total-body luciferase imaging (TBLI), was not different between cohorts (Figure 1, A and B). Due to the wide range of weight gain among HFD-fed mice, we analyzed the effects of obesity and HFD on **p16**<sup>LUC</sup> expression in vivo.
spondingly, expression of $p16^{INK4a}$ mRNA was not altered in liver and spleen harvested from 18-month-old mice fed HFD versus ND (Supplemental Figure 1E). These data suggested that HFD does not accelerate $p16^{INK4a}$ expression in vivo, at least within the sensitivity of luciferase detection.

Arsenic is an environmental toxicant linked to human aging-associated phenotypes (i.e., several cancers, type II diabetes, and atherosclerosis; ref. 23). While not a direct clastogen, arsenic may indirectly affect DNA damage and repair (24–26). We examined the effect of chronic arsenic exposure on $p16^{INK4a}$ expression in vivo by administering 0 or 50 ppm arsenic to littermate $p16^{LUC}$ mice in the drinking water for 12 months starting at 8–10 weeks of age. After 48 weeks of exposure, arsenic-treated mice exhibited significant hepatic accumulation (Supplemental Figure 2A). Consistent with known effects of arsenic (27), treated mice showed small, structurally deranged pancreatic islets with an extreme decrease in total islet mass (Supplemental Figure 2, B and C). Overall survival rates were not different between cohorts (Supplemental Figure 2D). A modest but significant increase in $p16^{INK4a}$ expression was observed by 24 weeks of exposure using TBLI ($P = 0.023$; Figure 1, C and D). Additionally, expression of $p16^{INK4a}$ mRNA was higher in spleen, but not liver, of 1-year-old mice administered 50 versus 0 ppm (Supplemental Figure 2E). These data suggest that chronic arsenic exposure modestly accelerates the accumulation of $p16^{INK4a}$-expressing cells.

CS promotes DNA damage (28, 29) and age-related diseases such as emphysema, atherosclerosis, and several cancers (30, 31). Chronic CS exposure in humans is associated with increased $p16^{INK4a}$ expression in PBTLs (8, 32). To determine the effects of moderate CS exposure on cellular senescence in vivo, littermate $p16^{LUC}$ mice were exposed to CS or ambient air (AA) for 1 hour per day, 5 days per week for 6 months starting at 10–12 weeks of age. After 6 months of exposure, mice were further observed without CS up to 1 year of age. At the end of the study, histological analysis of the sinus cavity of CS-exposed mice showed particulate deposits along the epithelial layer of the nasal papilla, whereas no differences were noted in lung architecture between CS and AA cohorts at this tobacco dose (Figure 2A), which

---

**Figure 1**

HFD does not increase $p16^{INK4a}$ expression, while arsenic moderately increases $p16^{INK4a}$ expression. $p16^{LUC}$ mice were (A and B) fed ND or HFD or (C and D) exposed to 0 or 50 ppm arsenic. (A and C) Luciferase intensity, normalized to mean luciferase levels of the entire cohort at day 0 and graphed as a function of time. Exposure duration is shown by the orange bar on the x axis. Error bars indicate SEM. $P$ values were determined by linear regression analysis. (B and D) Representative TBLI of experiments in A and C, respectively.
was also not associated with accelerated mortality (Supplemental Figure 3A). A significant increase in p16\textsubscript{INK4a} induction between the CS and AA cohorts, as measured by TBLI, was readily noted within weeks of CS exposure and persisted after CS was discontinued (Figure 2B). Consistent with histologic examinations of the nasal cavities and lungs (Figure 2A), luciferase induction was noted in the head and neck region of mice, but not the thoracic and abdominal regions (Figure 2C). Correspondingly, p16\textsubscript{INK4a} mRNA levels in the liver, lung, axillary lymph nodes, and spleen were similar in CS- and AA-exposed mice (Supplemental Figure 3B). These data suggest that low-dose CS exposure accelerates accumulation of senescent cells in tissues that receive the highest exposure (i.e., nasal epithelium).

Chronic UVB exposure causes photoaging and skin cancer (33, 34). To directly assess whether chronic UVB induces senescence in vivo, the dorsal surface of p16\textsubscript{LUC} mice was exposed to 350 J/m\textsuperscript{2} UVB light 3 times a week for 6 months starting at 10–12 weeks of age. Littermate control mice were contemporaneously aged in the absence of UVB. After 6 months of exposure, mice were observed without further UVB exposure until 1 year of age. After 6 months of exposure, UV-exposed mice showed hyperkeratosis and metaplasia and inflammatory infiltration of the dermis and hypodermis compared with the nonexposed cohort (Figure 3A). The DNA damage marker phospho-H2AX was substantially increased in the epithelium of UV-exposed mice (Figure 3A and Supplemental Figure 4A), suggesting a persistent DNA damage response. Additionally, UV-exposed mice developed skin neoplasms within 5 months of exposure, which accelerated mortality (Figure 3, B and C). Luciferase expression was higher in p16\textsubscript{LUC} animals treated with UV than in unexposed controls prior to development of visible neoplasms, and TBLI was almost 8 times greater in UV-treated animals after 32 weeks of exposure (Figure 3D). In accord with the TBLI results (Figure 3E), p16\textsubscript{INK4a} mRNA levels from abdominal and dorsal skin from both cohorts confirmed the site-specific induction of p16\textsubscript{INK4a} expression (Figure 3F). Additionally, the UV-exposed dorsal skin exhibited higher expression than unexposed abdominal skin from the same mouse (Figure 3F).

To strengthen the association of p16\textsubscript{INK4a} induction with senescence, additional markers were analyzed in UV-exposed and unexposed skin, including mRNA of the senescence-associated (SA) cytokines Cxcl1 and Il6 and SA-β-galactosidase (SA-β-gal) staining. Cxcl1 and Il6 expression increased in UV-exposed versus nonexposed mice. Additionally, increased expression only occurred in skin directly exposed to UV light (back) and not in unexposed skin (abdomen) from the same animals (Figure 3, G and H). We observed a similar pattern of SA-β-gal staining (Supplemental Figure 4B). These data suggest that chronic DNA damage from UV light accelerates senescent cell accumulation.

Here, we demonstrated that p16\textsubscript{LUC} mice can detect the senescence-promoting effects of environmental exposures. Direct DNA-damaging agents (CS and UV light) appeared potently gerontogenic, and arsenic exposure produced a lesser, but significant, effect. We also identified anatomic gerontogenic effects of these exposures, in agreement with historical reports of CS and arsenic associated with increased mortality and cancer risk.
Figure 3
UVB increases \( p16^{INK4a} \) expression. \( p16^{Luc} \) mice were exposed to 0 J/m\(^2\) (\( n = 13 \)) or 350 J/m\(^2\) (\( n = 11 \)) UVB light. (A) Representative histopathological images of skin stained with H&E or phospho-H2AX. Original magnification, \( \times 20 \) (top), \( \times 40 \) (middle and bottom), \( \times 200 \) (top, insets), \( \times 120 \) (middle and bottom, insets). (B) Representative dorsal images. (C) Kaplan-Meier survival curves. Exposure duration is shown by the orange bar on the x axis. \( P \) value was determined by Gehan-Breslow-Wilcoxon test. (D) Luciferase intensity, normalized to mean levels of the entire cohort at day 0 and graphed as a function of time. Exposure duration is shown by the orange bar on the x axis. Inset highlights initial 20 weeks of exposure. Error bars denote SEM. \( P \) value was determined by linear regression analysis. (E) Representative TBLI of experiments in D. (F–H) Quantitative real-time PCR of \( p16^{INK4a} \) (F), \( Cxcl1 \) (G), and \( Il6 \) (H) expression in back and abdominal skin at sacrifice. Error bars denote SEM. *\( P < 0.05 \), **\( P < 0.01 \), ***\( P < 0.005 \), Student’s t test.
agents, including a stronger effect of passively inhaled CS on nasal epithelia than lung parenchyma (Figure 2C) and effects of UVB on exposed rather than unexposed skin (Figure 3, E and F).

This system has some important limitations. First, although luciferase imaging is considerably more sensitive than optical methods, the p16LUC allele may be less useful for detecting senescence in deeper organs or in rare cellular subtypes. Therefore, while we observed no effect of HFD on TBL1, it is possible that HFD activates senescence in important cellular compartments (e.g., pancreatic β cells, ref. 35; and vascular cells, refs. 21, 22), but not to a level detectable using this system. Similarly, while we showed that gerontogen-induced p16INK4a expression occurred spatially near senescent cells, we have not explicitly demonstrated that p16INK4a-expressing cells are in all cases senescent. Finally, the p16LUC allele cannot be used to identify p16INK4a-independent gerontogens or aging processes.

In summary, we demonstrated using modest-sized cohorts of serially analyzed animals that the p16LUC allele reported in vivo activation of senescence markers and uncovered gerontogenic effects of environmental toxicants. This resource can supplement other rodent platforms used for toxicological assessments; specifically, it represents an in vivo system with which to assess a compound’s age-promoting activity. We believe this resource will provide an important tool for understanding the relationship between environmental exposures and molecular aging.

Methods
Further information can be found in Supplemental Methods.

Received for publication May 8, 2013, and accepted in revised form October 7, 2013.

Address correspondence to: Norman E. Sharpless, The Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, CB #7295, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599, USA. Phone: 919.966.1185; Fax: 919.966.8212; E-mail: nes@med.unc.edu.

Hairy SKH1-E p16INK4a mice were used for all experiments (18). Genotyping was performed as previously described (18). For histologic analysis, tissues were fixed with 10% formalin overnight, then transferred to 70% ethanol for paraffin blocking and staining. Quantitative TaqMan RT-PCR strategies for detection of p16INK4a were performed as previously described (7, 13).

Statistics. Statistical significance was determined using 2-tailed Student’s t test or linear regression analysis for all comparisons, except survival analysis (Gehan-Breslow-Wilcoxon test). A P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Study approval. Experiments were performed under protocols approved by the University of North Carolina IACUC.
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