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Tumors from patients with high-grade aggressive prostate cancer (PCa) exhibit increased expression of monoamine oxidase A (MAOA), a mitochondrial enzyme that degrades monoamine neurotransmitters and dietary amines. Despite the association between MAOA and aggressive PCa, it is unclear how MAOA promotes PCa progression. Here, we found that MAOA functions to induce epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and stabilize the transcription factor HIF1α, which mediates hypoxia through an elevation of ROS, thus enhancing growth, invasiveness, and metastasis of PCa cells. Knockdown and overexpression of MAOA in human PCa cell lines indicated that MAOA induces EMT through activation of VEGF and its coreceptor neuropilin-1. MAOA-dependent activation of neuropilin-1 promoted AKT/FOXO1/TWIST1 signaling, allowing FOXO1 binding at the TWIST1 promoter. Importantly, the MAOA-dependent HIF1α/VEGF-A/FOXO1/TWIST1 pathway was activated in high-grade PCa specimens, and knockdown of MAOA reduced or even eliminated prostate tumor growth and metastasis in PCa xenograft mouse models. Pharmacological inhibition of MAOA activity also reduced PCa xenograft growth in mice. Moreover, high MAOA expression in PCa tissues correlated with worse clinical outcomes in PCa patients. These findings collectively characterize the contribution of MAOA in PCa pathogenesis and suggest that MAOA has potential as a therapeutic target in PCa.

Introduction
Prostate cancer (PCa) is the second leading cause of male cancer death in the Western world (1). It can be clinically categorized into different risk groups primarily based on histological grade (Gleason score), clinical TNM stage, and levels of serum prostate-specific antigen (2). Aggressive, poorly differentiated high-grade PCa is incurable and potentially lethal, underscoring the need for a greater understanding of the molecular basis of PCa progression and improved opportunities to eliminate the development of the lethal phenotype of PCa.

Monoamine oxidase A (MAOA) is a mitochondria-bound enzyme that catalyzes the degradation of monoamine neurotransmitters and dietary amines by oxidative deamination, which produces a by-product, hydrogen peroxide, a major source of ROS (3–5). ROS can predispose cancer cells to DNA damage and cause tumor initiation and progression (6). In the last several decades, MAOA has been widely studied in the context of neuropsychiatric disorders, such as aggressive behaviors and mental depression (3, 5). Recently, a significant correlation was established between increased levels of MAOA expression and high Gleason grade or poorly differentiated human prostate tumors (7, 8). MAOA is exclusively expressed in the epithelial cells of prostatic glands with relatively low levels in stromal counterparts (9). These observations collectively suggest that MAOA may function in an autocrine manner to regulate the proliferation and differentiation of prostatic epithelial cells.

Prostate tumorigenesis and cancer development are regulated by several oncogenic cues leading to dysregulated growth and increased stemness and plasticity by which cancer cells acquire increased migratory, invasive, and metastatic potential through epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) (10). Evidence also supports the ability of cancer cells to adapt a HIF1α pathway to resist oxidative stress, which cooperatively promotes an increasingly aggressive phenotype in cancer cells (11, 12).

In this study, we proposed that increased MAOA expression in high-grade PCa may be an important contributor to its dysregulated growth and dedifferentiation of the glandular epithelial phenotype. We demonstrated the ability of MAOA to induce mesenchymal transition, with PCa cells gaining increased proliferative, invasive, and metastatic potentials. Moreover, genetic targeting of MAOA using shRNA effectively inhibited or even completely eliminated prostate tumorigenesis and cancer metastasis in mouse xenograft models. We showed mechanistically that MAOA targeted aggressive PCa behavior by converging functional interplay among EMT, hypoxia, and oxidative stress. Additionally, evidence for MAOA functionality in PCa also extended to human clinical PCa specimens. These findings establish MAOA as a viable therapeutic target in PCa and provide a rationale for the development of MAOA-targeted therapeutics.

Results
MAOA suppresses epithelial phenotype and promotes mesenchymal transition. Although MAOA expression has been previously demonstrated in human PCa tissues (7), its mode of action and potential to drive aggressive PCa phenotypes such as increased EMT-medi-
ated signaling pathways have not been pursued. Using a series of clinical specimens as the gold standards, we observed consistently that high–Gleason grade (grade 5) PCa is distinguished from low-grade (grade 3) PCa by characteristic morphological features such as the merger of neoplastic glands and cytological dedifferentiation (13). High–Gleason grade tumors also expressed diminished levels of E-cadherin (an epithelial marker) and increased expression of vimentin (a mesenchymal marker) and MAOA in the same clinical specimens (Figure 1A), which was further confirmed in a tissue microarray by quantification of the association of expression levels of these genes in different types of prostate tissues (Supplemental Figure 1, A and B; supplemental material available online with 

Figure 1
MAOA and EMT in PCa. (A) Clinical specimens of normal prostatic epithelium and Gleason grade 3 and 5 PCa were stained for E-cadherin, vimentin, and MAOA. Representative images from a tissue microarray are shown. Original magnification, ×400; scale bars: 20 μm. (B) PC-3 cells stably overexpressing an empty vector or MAOA were photographed after crystal violet staining (left), and extracts were analyzed for the expression of MAOA and EMT markers by immunoblotting (middle) and qPCR (right). Original magnification, ×40; scale bars: 200 μm. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01. (C) Stable vector- and MAOA-overexpressing PC-3 cells were transfected with either an E-cadherin promoter reporter construct (E-cad-luc, expressing Firefly luciferase) or a promoterless pGL2 vector (expressing Firefly luciferase), cotransfected with a pRL-TK (expressing Renilla luciferase) construct as an internal standard for normalization of transfection efficiency. Data represent the mean ± SEM (n = 3) of Firefly luciferase activity normalized to Renilla luciferase activity. The E-cadherin promoter activity in vector-expressing PC-3 cells was set as 100%. **P < 0.01. (D) Immunoblotting (left) and qPCR (right) analysis of LNCaP cells that express a MAOA-targeting shRNA (shMAOA) or a scrambled shRNA (shCon) for the expression of MAOA and EMT markers. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01. (E and F) Paired PC-3 and LNCaP cells as indicated were assayed for their ability to either migrate (E) or invade (F). The migration or invasion of respective control cells was set as 100%. Data represent the mean ± SEM (n = 3). **P < 0.01.
MAOA stabilizes HIF1α via ROS generation and PHD destruction. Hypoxia, a common condition found in a wide range of solid tumors including PCa, is often associated with poor prognosis and frequent expression of an aggressive phenotype promoted by EMT. HIF1α, a master mediator of hypoxia, mediates hypoxic effects by activating relevant downstream target genes involved in many aspects of tumor progression, such as increased tumor glycolysis, angiogenesis, invasion, migration, and metastasis (14). Since increased MAOA expression promotes EMT, we assessed a possible relationship between MAOA and HIF1α.

This possibility was evaluated first by investigation of whether MAOA directly regulates HIF1α stability under normoxic conditions. In the presence of physiologic levels of oxygen, HIF1α is rapidly degraded, which prevents a direct measurement in whole-cell lysates, but HIF1α is detectable from isolated nuclei (15). As shown in Figure 2A, nuclei isolated from MAOA-overexpressing PC-3 cells during normoxia revealed elevated levels of HIF1α relative to the vector-expressing control cells. Likewise, when these cells were cultured under hypoxic conditions at 1% O2, HIF1α was stabilized earlier and to a higher degree in MAOA-overexpressing cells compared with control cells in whole-cell lysates (Figure 2B). Consistently, PC-3 tumors grown as subcutaneous xenografts from MAOA-overexpressing cells demonstrated increased staining of HIF1α protein compared with control tumors by immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis (Supplemental Figure 4D). Moreover, select HIF1α target genes, including the EMT-promoting genes SNAI2 and TWIST1, and glucose transporter 1 (GLUT1), were significantly upregulated in MAOA-overexpressing cells compared with control cells under hypoxia. Enforced expression of MAOA and hypoxia further showed additive effects on the expression of these genes (Figure 2C). In contrast, stable knockdown of MAOA reduced HIF1α stabilization as well as expression of its target genes (SNAI2, TWIST1, VEGF-A, and GLUT1) in hypoxia-treated LNCaP cells, indicating that MAOA is required for HIF1α function (Figure 2, D and E). Notably, the HIF1α mRNA level was not affected by differential MAOA expression (Figure 2, C and E), suggesting that MAOA may regulate HIF1α stability via translational or posttranslational modifications. We assessed further the potential involvement of HIF1α in MAOA-induced EMT and cell behaviors by genetically knocking down HIF1α in hypoxia-treated MAOA-overexpressing PC-3 cells. We found that HIF1α knockdown attenuated MAOA-induced EMT characteristics by decreasing mesenchymal marker expression and cell migration, invasion, and proliferation (Supplemental Figure 5, A–C). These data in aggregate suggest that MAOA modulates the stabilization of HIF1α protein and the induction of crucial HIF1α target genes that coordinate EMT and cancer progression.
The regulation of HIF1α is complex and has not been fully elucidated. During normoxia, HIF1α is hydroxylated at 2 proline residues by a family of oxygen-dependent prolyl hydroxylases (PHD1–4), which enables the tumor suppressor von Hippel-Lindau (vHL) to bind to and target HIF1α for ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation (16). Since the HIFIA mRNA level remained unchanged by MAOA expression (Figure 2, C and E), we tested whether MAOA exerted a posttranslational effect on HIF1α protein stability. We ruled out the direct interaction between these 2 proteins because of their distinct cellular localization. MAOA appears
in the outer membrane of mitochondria to execute enzymatic reactions (17) (Supplemental Figure 6, A–C), whereas HIF1α, when activated, functions as a nuclear transcription factor (18).

To test the hypothesis that MAOA regulates HIF1α stability by directly affecting PHD activity, we determined PHD activity in control and MAOA-overexpressing PC-3 cells by measuring hydroxylated HIF1α level, and these cells were pretreated with the proteasomal inhibitor MG132 to prevent hydroxylated HIF1α from being degraded. More HIF1α but significantly less hydroxylated HIF1α was accumulated during MG132 treatment in MAOA-overexpressing cells (Figure 3A), suggesting lower PHD activity in the presence of MAOA. The hypothesis that MAOA regulates HIF1α through the PHDs was further validated by determination of the effect of treatment with dimethyloxalylglycine (DMOG), a potent PHD inhibitor, on HIF1α protein stability and the expression of HIF1α target genes in MAOA-overexpressing cells. If MAOA affects HIF1α stability by modulating PHD activity, DMOG treatment would overcome the effects of MAOA overexpression and produce equivalent levels of HIF1α stabilization in control and MAOA-overexpressing cells. Indeed, the control and MAOA-overexpressing cells demonstrated equal levels of HIF1α stabilized in response to DMOG treatment (Figure 3F, lane 3 vs. lane 7). Moreover, DMOG treatment relatively reduced the induction of the HIF1α target genes VEGFA and GLUT1 in MAOA-overexpressing cells compared with the control cells (Figure 3B). These data support a model in which PHD activity is already reduced in MAOA-overexpressing cells.

Figure 4
MAOA promotes VEGF-A/NRP1–mediated activation of AKT/FOXO1 signaling. (A) qPCR analysis of VEGFA mRNA expression (mean ± SEM, n = 3) in paired PC-3 and LNCaP cells as indicated (left). VEGF-A secretion (mean ± SEM, n = 3) in culture media from these cells was quantified by ELISA (right). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01. (B) Immunoblots of PC-3 (vector and MAOA-overexpression) cells for NRP1. (C) IHC analysis of PC-3 (vector and MAOA-overexpression) tumor xenografts for VEGF-A and NRP1 expression. Representative images from 5 separate samples are shown. Original magnification, ×400; scale bars: 20 μm. (D) Immunoblots of paired PC-3 and LNCaP cells as indicated for pAKT (Ser473), pFOXO1 (Thr24), total AKT, and FOXO1. (E) Immunoblots of PC-3 (vector and MAOA-overexpression) cells that express NRP1-targeting shRNAs (shNRP1) or a scrambled shRNA (shCon) for NRP1, pAKT (Ser473), and pFOXO1 (Thr24). (F) Immunoblots of nuclear and cytoplasmic extracts from different groups of paired PC-3 cells as indicated for FOXO1. Lamin B1 and GAPDH serve as nuclear and cytoplasmic protein markers, respectively. (G) Immunoblots of PC-3 (vector and MAOA-overexpression) cells treated with either anti–VEGF-A antibody or a control IgG (0.5 μg/ml, 24 hours) for pAKT (Ser473) and pFOXO1 (Thr24). (H) PC-3 cells as established in E were assessed for their ability to either migrate (left) or invade (right). Data represent the mean ± SEM (n = 3). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01. (I) Growth curves of PC-3 cells as established in E. Data represent the mean ± SEM (n = 3). **P < 0.01.
cells. Consequently, when PHD activity is potently blocked by DMOG, MAOA-overexpressing cells have a smaller change in PHD activity and, thus, a smaller fold induction of HIF1α target genes.

To determine which isoforms of PHDs are involved in MAOA regulation of HIF1α, the protein expression of all 4 PHD isoforms was measured in hypoxia-treated MAOA-overexpressing PC-3 cells. Specifically, PHD3 was repressed by MAOA overexpression at both protein and mRNA levels, whereas the expression of other PHDs remained unchanged (Figure 3C and Supplemental Figure 7A). Moreover, genetic knockdown of PHD3 but not other PHDs via siRNA recapitulated DMOG effects on HIF1α target gene expression in MAOA-overexpressing cells compared with control cells (Supplemental Figure 7, B and C). Taken together, our results suggest that MAOA-overexpressing PCa cells selectively reduced PHD3 activity, thus enhancing HIF1α stability and activity, culminating in increased downstream target gene expression.

In addition to intracellular oxygen concentration, PHD activity can also be regulated by several intracellular signals, including ROS, which have been shown to inhibit the PHDs and stabilize HIF1α (15, 16). Moreover, hypoxia enhances ROS production, a seemingly required step for the hypoxic activation of HIF1α (19). Because MAOA-mediated enzymatic reactions produce hydrogen peroxide, which is responsible for the generation of ROS as a by-product (3), we hypothesized that increased ROS in MAOA-overexpressing cells...
MAOA activates VEGF-A/neuropilin-1 signaling and its downstream AKT/FOXO1 pathway. Given that hypoxia reportedly can affect cell behavior by promoting EMT, we hypothesized that the HIF1α target gene VEGFA in conjugation with its receptor(s) may play a crucial mediating role in controlling the downstream signaling for the MAOA-induced EMT and associated increased aggressive phenotype in PCA cells. VEGF has been implicated in poor prognosis and survival in PCA patients, and an elevated level of VEGF could be the trigger for an angiogenic switch in the lethal progression of advanced PCs (20). VEGFA expression was assessed in control/MAOA-overexpressing PC-3 or control/MAOA-knockdown LNCaP cells by quantitative PCR (qPCR), and there was a positive correlation between VEGFA and MAOA expression (Figure 4A, left). We further quantified VEGF-A expression in the culture media of MAOA-manipulated PCA cells by ELISA and confirmed that MAOA upregulated expression of VEGF-A and its extracellular secretion (Figure 4A, right). By contrast, we did not observe any VEGF effect on MAOA gene expression (Supplemental Figure 9, A–C). Autocrine VEGF signaling in tumor cells can occur through a nonangiogenic cell proliferative mechanism via increased autocrine VEGF-A and interaction with its coreceptor neuropilin-1 (NRP1), and such interaction has been implicated in cancer cell autonomy and aggressive behaviors (21). We showed that overexpression of MAOA significantly increased NRP1 expression in PC-3 cells (Figure 4B), which was further demonstrated in parallel in MAOA-overexpressing PC-3 xenograft tumors with concurrently increased VEGF-A expression (Figure 4C).

To elucidate the mechanism(s) by which MAOA activation of VEGF-A and NRP1 mediates downstream signaling to promote EMT, select kinases that are implicated in PCa progression were examined in PCA cells previously subjected to MAOA manipulation. As shown in Figure 4D (left panel), there was a significant increase in the relative phosphorylation of both AKT and its downstream target FOXO1 by MAOA overexpression. AKT plays a central role by activating its many downstream target genes in regulating PCA initiation and progression (22). FOXO1, a member of the O subclass of the forkhead family of transcription factors, can be regulated via the phosphorylation of AKT upon PI3K/AKT signaling activation, and has demonstrated proapoptotic function in a variety of cancers (23). We further showed that AKT/FOXO1 signaling was conversely attenuated in MAOA-knockdown LNCaP cells (Figure 4D, right panel). These results suggest that the AKT/FOXO1 signaling pathway is downstream of MAOA.

Given that NRP1 can regulate AKT activity (24), which was reproduced in NRP1-overexpressing PC-3 cells with concurrent induction of EMT and cell proliferation (Supplemental Figure 10, A–D), we examined autocrine VEGF/NRP1-mediated signaling and evaluated the relationship between MAOA and AKT/FOXO1 signaling in PC-3 cells with stable knockdown of NRP1 using a lentiviral shRNA-mediated approach. As shown in Figure 4E, NRP1 knockdown significantly reduced both the basal and MAOA-induced phosphorylation of AKT and FOXO1 in PC-3 cells. Moreover, we demonstrated that MAOA facilitated the nuclear export of FOXO1 by altering the ratios of FOXO1 to enhanced levels in the cytoplasmic fraction (Figure 4E, left panel), and this was confirmed by the IHC staining pattern of FOXO1 and phospho-FOXO1 in control and MAOA-overexpressing PC-3 tumor xenograft samples (Supplemental Figure 4E). Conversely, genetic silencing of NRP1 increased nuclear but decreased cytoplasmic FOXO1 expression (Figure 4F, right panel). To determine whether VEGF-A acts as the major ligand to mediate NRP1 effect in the MAOA context, we treated control and MAOA-overexpressing PC-3 cells with anti-VEGF-A antibody. This targeted blockade of receptor binding of secreted VEGF-A attenuated the MAOA-induced phosphorylation of both AKT and FOXO1 toward that of the control cells (Figure 4G). Unlike VEGF-A, we found that another potential NRP1 receptor ligand, semaphorin 3A (SEMA3A), was not elevated in MAOA-overexpressing PC-3 cells (Supplemental Figure 11), further confirming the mediating role of VEGF-A in controlling the MAOA/NRP1 axis. By examining the functional roles of NRP1 in determining cell behavior, we showed that NRP1 knockdown resulted in a significant decrease of MAOA-induced migration and invasion in PC-3 cells (Figure 4H). Likewise, NRP1 knockdown also dramatically reduced the cell proliferation rate in MAOA-overexpressing cells to a level lower than that of the control (Figure 4I). Taken together, these functional characteristics indicate that the VEGF-A/NRP1 system mediates MAOA-induced EMT and cell proliferation via the downstream AKT/FOXO1 signaling.

TWIST1 expression is directly regulated by transcription factor FOXO1. We screened a spectrum of key transcription factors known to promote EMT in our present model system, and identified TWIST1 as 1 of the downstream targets of MAOA (Figure 1B). TWIST1, a basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor, is a master regulator of EMT, and its expression in tumors often correlates with aggressive disease and poor outcome (25, 26). We showed that genetic silencing of
**Figure 6**

MAOA is essential for the growth of prostate tumor xenografts by regulating EMT, hypoxia, and ROS. (A) LNCaP, C4-2, ARCaPM, or MPC3 cells that stably express a MAOA-targeting shRNA (shMAOA) or a scrambled shRNA (shCon) were injected s.c. into male nude mice \( (n = 4-7\) mice for each group; details are given in Supplemental Table 1) for the growth of tumor xenografts. Tumor growth was determined by measurement of tumor volume, tumor weight, and the frequency of tumor formation. The graphs show the mean \( \pm \) SEM tumor size and tumor-free percentages at the indicated times. * \( P < 0.05\), ** \( P < 0.01\). (B) H&E and IHC analysis of Ki-67, MAOA, E-cadherin, vimentin, HIF1α, and VEGF-A expressions in LNCaP (shCon and shMAOA) tumor xenografts. Representative images from 5 separate samples are shown. Original magnification, \( \times 400\); scale bars: 20 μm. (C) Quantification of percentage of Ki-67\(^+\) tumor cells in paired LNCaP and C4-2 tumor xenografts from 5 distinct images of each tumor sample \( (n = 5\) tumor samples for each group). Data represent the mean \( \pm \) SEM. * \( P < 0.05\), ** \( P < 0.01\). (D) Determination of MAOA enzymatic activity in paired LNCaP and C4-2 tumor xenografts. Data represent the mean \( \pm \) SEM from all tumors obtained at mouse necropsy \( (n = 5-12\) tumors for each group; details are given in Supplemental Table 1). ** \( P < 0.01\). (E) Determination of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) generation rate in intact mitochondria isolated from paired LNCaP and C4-2 tumor xenografts \( (n = 3\) tumor samples for each group) by Amplex Red hydrogen peroxide assay. Data represent the mean \( \pm \) SEM. * \( P < 0.05\).
TWIST1 reversed MAOA-induced EMT by decreasing mesenchymal marker expression and cell migratory, invasive, and proliferative behavior (Supplemental Figure 12, A–C), which suggests that TWIST1 is functional for the induction of EMT by MAOA. To assess the potential regulation of TWIST1 by MAOA, we determined both TWIST1 mRNA and its promoter activity in PCa cells with manipulated MAOA expression. Overexpression of MAOA increased TWIST1 mRNA and promoter activity in PC-3 cells, whereas these TWIST1-related activities were reduced upon MAOA knockdown in LNCaP cells (Figure 5A), suggesting that MAOA may regulate TWIST1 expression at the transcriptional level.

AKT drives EMT and cancer progression by regulating many downstream target genes, including TWIST1 (27, 28), but the specific AKT-responsive signaling pathways that regulate TWIST1 remain to be clarified. The transcription factor forkhead box (FOX) proteins capable of being phosphorylated by AKT play a dominant role in cancer progression (23). Moreover, FOX proteins have been demonstrated to mediate EMT by regulating EMT-inducing factors (29), which led us to speculate that FOXO1 may affect the transcription of target genes either through direct binding to their consensus DNA sequences in the promoter or via indirect protein–protein interactions with other transcription factors (32), we distinguished these 2 alternative mechanisms for the expression of EMT marker and HIF1α target genes. Data represent the mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.
failed to do so (Figure 5D, left panel). Hence, the FOXO1 action on the TWIST1 promoter appeared to require the intact DNA-binding activity of FOXO1. This regulation is further supported by the observation that genetic silencing of FOXO1 increased TWIST1 promoter activity in PC-3 cells (Figure 5D, right panel).

It remained unclear whether the observed repression is mediated by direct binding of FOXO1 to the TWIST1 promoter. To address this issue, we attempted to identify a FOXO1-response element in the TWIST1 promoter. Serial deletion of the TWIST1 promoter combined with sequence analysis revealed a region within the TWIST1 promoter (−56/−50) that exhibits strong sequence similarity to the canonical AT-rich FOXO1-binding site (Figure 5E, top panel) (33, 34). To test whether the potential direct regulation of TWIST1 by FOXO1 is conserved throughout evolution, we searched for the consensus FOXO1-binding site and its surrounding sequences in the human TWIST1 promoter across species. Interestingly, we found that this element (CCAAACT) is highly conserved among amniotic genomes examined, including chimpanzees, mice, rats, and cows (Figure 5E, bottom panel). Accordingly, we generated a mutant TWIST1 promoter reporter construct harboring 3 point mutations in the center of the putative FOXO1-response element. The resulting mutated TWIST1 promoter was no longer repressed by ectopic expression of FOXO1 in PC-3 cells (Figure 5F). This TWIST1 promoter reporter mutant construct was further introduced into MAOA-overexpressing PC-3 cells, and in contrast to its WT counterpart, the mutant did not respond to MAOA overexpression (Figure 5G). To confirm the direct occupancy of FOXO1 with the sequences in the TWIST1 promoter in vivo, we extended these studies by performing ChIP analysis. We isolated chromatin-nuclear protein complexes immunoprecipitated with anti-FOXO1 antibody from both control and MAOA-overexpressing PC-3 cells, and analyzed it by qPCR using primers that specifically encompass the putative FOXO1-response element in the TWIST1 promoter. We were able to detect the physical association of FOXO1 with the TWIST1 promoter sequences, and such association was reduced in the presence of MAOA overexpression (Figure 5H), which is consistent with the observation of less nuclear FOXO1 expression in MAOA-overexpressing PC-3 cells (Figure 4F, left panel). Moreover, limited signals were detected from the negative controls, in which either nonspecific IgG antibody was used in the immunoprecipitation step or the TWIST1 exon 1 was probed in order to confirm the targeting specificity of the primer set used in PCR. These results in sum provide evidence that MAOA negatively mediates TWIST1 gene activation via direct interaction of FOXO1 with a specific element located in the TWIST1 promoter. In the case of MAOA overexpression in PCa cells, increased TWIST1 gene activation that drives EMT was the result of sequestration of FOXO1 from nucleus to cytoplasm, reducing the overall suppression of TWIST1 gene expression.

MAOA function in prostate tumorigenesis and cancer metastasis. The MAOA effects on promotion of EMT, hypoxia, and ROS production described above shed light on how MAOA supports PCa growth and development. To test this hypothesis, we established multiple prostate tumor xenograft mouse models to determine the functional roles of MAOA in controlling tumor growth (Supplemental Table 1). We used 3 human PCa cell lines that show different MAOA expression levels, aggressiveness, and invasiveness as well as other innate features such as responses to androgen (35, 36). Considering the importance of the immune system in PCa growth and progression, we also extended our analysis by using a highly tumorigenic mouse prostate carcinoma MPC3 cell line in an immunocompetent mouse model. The MPC3 line was derived from mouse primary prostate tumors harboring double loss of Pten and p53 tumor suppressors. To assess MAOA function in these tumor xenograft models, we first infected these cells with a lentiviral construct expressing an shRNA directed against the mRNA encoding MAOA, or a control that targets no known mammalian genes. Stable introduction of MAOA-specific shRNAs decreased MAOA enzymatic activity by more than 50%–70% in all cell lines (Supplemental Figure 13A). In line with the observation that enforced MAOA expression significantly induced PC-3 cell proliferation (Supplemental Figure 4A), cells expressing MAOA-targeting shRNAs had consistently reduced cell proliferation rates in comparison with control cells (Supplemental Figure 13B). In these studies, we observed no significant apoptotic changes in either MAOA-overexpressing PC-3 or MAOA-knockdown LNCaP cells compared with their respective control cells (Supplemental Figure 14, A and B). These complementary results suggest that MAOA affects PCa cell growth mainly through its proliferation-enhancing functions.

After being implanted s.c. into male nude mice, LNCaP and its lineage-derived androgen-independent C4-2 cells expressing MAOA-targeting shRNAs showed slower tumor growth rates and formed fewer tumors than controls. Cells stably expressing MAOA-targeting shRNA that did form tumors formed tumors that were quite small, with an average tumor weight of 221 ± 36 mg and 132 ± 81 mg for LNCaP and C4-2 tumors, respectively, compared with larger tumors, with an average weight of 653 ± 232 mg and 888 ± 632 mg for LNCaP and C4-2 control tumors, respectively (Figure 6A). Strikingly, stable knockdown of MAOA in ARCaPM...
an aggressive human PCa cell line, and MPC3 cells completely eliminated their in vivo growth, in sharp contrast to the expected explosive tumor growth in mice inoculated with the control cells.

As a comparison, we next analyzed the protein expression patterns of select markers in tumor specimens from LNCaP and C4-2 xenograft tumors by IHC. Ki-67 staining of tumor specimens revealed a 40%–70% decrease of Ki-67+ cells in the MAOA-knockdown group of both LNCaP and C4-2 tumors (Figure 6, B and C). MAOA protein staining also showed decreased intensity in MAOA-knockdown tumor samples for both lines (Figure 6B), which is consistent with the results of reduced MAOA enzymatic activity in harvested tumors expressing MAOA-targeting shRNAs (Figure 6D), supporting the concept that genetically silencing MAOA gene expression by an shRNA-mediated protocol is highly effective and sustainable under in vivo conditions. Moreover, MAOA-knockdown xenograft tumors showed EMT reversal by increased staining of E-cadherin as well as reduced expression of vimentin, and repressed tumor hypoxia by less HIF1α and VEGF-A staining (Figure 6B and Supplemental Figure 15). These results from tumor xenograft mouse models provide further evidence that MAOA drives EMT and augments PCa cell response to hypoxia.

We further examined the levels of ROS in both control and MAOA-knockdown tumor samples. MAOA is located in the outer membrane of mitochondria and directly engaged in hydrogen peroxide production via the oxidative deamination of its substrates, which can be subsequently converted into other forms of ROS (3). We determined the rate of hydrogen peroxide generation in intact tumor mitochondria, which represents specifically the differences in MAOA-originated ROS production, from both control and MAOA-knockdown tumors. LNCaP and C4-2 tumors that expressed MAOA-targeting shRNAs showed slower rates of hydrogen peroxide generation in comparison with control tumors (Figure 6E), suggesting that increased ROS production could be a crucial factor underlying MAOA’s role in PCa development, in line with in vitro studies.

We next evaluated the therapeutic effect of small-molecule inhibitors of MAOA capable of interfering with MAOA enzymatic activity in PCa xenograft mouse models. Treatment of LNCaP cells with clorgyline, a potent MAOA inhibitor (37), decreased cell proliferation, migration, and invasion (Figure 7, A and B), and suppressed cellular ROS levels (Figure 7C). Mice bearing subcutaneously androgen-independent and aggressive C4-2 xenograft tumors (36, 38), when receiving clorgyline treatment via the i.p. route, showed reduced tumor growth by both slower growth rate and smaller tumor weight within a 3-week treatment period (Figure 7, D and E). In the meanwhile, we did not observe significant differences of

---

**Figure 9**

The HIF1α/VEGF-A/FOXO1/TWIST1 pathway is manifested in high–Gleason grade PCa. (A) Sixty specimens of human PCa including 30 Gleason grade 3 tumors and 30 Gleason grade 5 tumors were immunostained for MAOA, HIF1α, VEGF-A, FOXO1, pFOXO1, and TWIST1. Original magnification, ×400; scale bars: 20 μm. (B) Semiquantitative analysis of IHC staining was performed for all specimens to assess both the percentage of cells stained and the intensity of each staining. This analysis is reported as the quotient (Q) of these 2 parameters (mean ± SEM). Details are given in Supplemental Methods. Images representative of the mean Q for each IHC staining are shown. **P < 0.01.
mouse body weight between the control and treatment groups. The efficacy of inhibition of MAOA enzymatic activity was confirmed in tumor samples at mouse necropsy (Figure 7F). By closely characterizing tumor samples, we demonstrated less Ki-67 staining, with a 26% drop of Ki-67+ cells, in the tumor specimens of the treatment group (Figure 7G). Moreover, qPCR analysis of tumor samples further revealed consistent changes in select markers of MAOA-knockdown effects, demonstrating reduced EMT and hypoxic responses to clorgyline treatment (Figure 7H).

In addition to the participation of MAOA in determining prostate tumorigenesis and cancer growth, our accumulated evidence that MAOA induced EMT and invasiveness in multiple lines of PCa cells further led us to speculate that MAOA may mediate PCa metastasis. To directly test whether MAOA is functionally important for PCa metastasis, we used ARCaPM cells, a highly metastatic human PCa cell line (35, 39), which expressed either a control shRNA or a MAOA-specific shRNA to stably silence MAOA expression. The progression of cancer metastasis after intracardiac injection of tumor cells in mice was monitored by weekly bioluminescence imaging (BLI) using a stably expressed Firefly luciferase reporter. BLI analysis showed that MAOA knockdown significantly abrogated cancer metastasis in mice within a 10-week period after injection (Figure 8, A–C). In contrast, we observed significant metastases of control cells to various organs, including bone, adrenal gland, lymph node, lung, and liver, by BLI imaging with results confirmed by routine necrotic protocols (Supplemental Table 2). Taken together, these data strongly demonstrate that MAOA is a key determinant of tumor growth and metastasis in mice, potentially coordinating the regulation of EMT, hypoxia, and oxidative stress.

The HIF1α/VEGF-A/FOXO1/TWIST1 pathway is manifested in high–Gleason grade PCa. A critical question that arises from our in vivo data is whether the expression of HIF1α, VEGF-A, and pFOXO1/FOXO1 and the activation of TWIST1 correlate with clinical grading in human PCa, and whether the expression of these proteins correlates with MAOA in the same specimens as predicted by our hypothesis. To address this question, we used a semiquantitative analysis of IHC staining to assess the expression of these proteins in specimens from 60 PCa patients, of which 30 were Gleason grade 3 and 30 were grade 5. Expression of MAOA was significantly higher in the cytoplasm of grade 5 compared with grade 3 PCa (Figure 9A), confirming previous studies. We observed intense widespread nuclear HIF1α expression in grade 5 cells that was absent in grade 3 cells. Intense VEGF-A immunostaining was also evident in Gleason grade 5 tumor cells compared with grade 3 tumor cells (Figure 9A).

FOXO1 expression was observed in both the nucleus and cytoplasm, and there was a significant decrease of nuclear expression of FOXO1 in grade 5 tumor cells compared with grade 3 cells. pFOXO1 expression was present in both the nucleus and cytoplasm as well, but predominantly in cytoplasm. Cytoplasmic pFOXO1 showed higher staining in grade 5 cells in contrast to grade 3 cells. The differences seen in FOXO1/pFOXO1 expression patterns between Gleason grade 3 and grade 5 tumors indicate a dynamic nuclear exclusion of FOXO1 corresponding with disease progression. Moreover, intense widespread nuclear TWIST1 staining was evident in the majority of grade 5 tumor cells concurrently accompanied by a decline of nuclear FOXO1 expression (Figure 9A). These results are consistent with the hypothesis that MAOA increases TWIST1 expression by facilitating the phosphorylation and nuclear export of FOXO1 to activate the TWIST1 transcription. These IHC differences were all further confirmed by semiquantitative analysis of multiple specimens (Figure 9B).
MAOA expression was increased (Taylor 3 and Glinsky) revealed increased MAOA expression in PCa patients who had biochemical recurrence at 5 years (Figure 10B, right panels in both rows). Overall, these clinical data support the experimentally described functional roles of MAOA in PCa and further indicate its prognostic value for distinguishing aggressive from indolent PCa.

In summary, our data suggest that the increased intrinsic MAOA in high–Gleason grade PCa activates a mesenchymal transition and consequent invasive behavior by a mechanism that involves its ability to stabilize HIF1α via ROS production and activate the VEGF-A/NRP1–mediated signaling network, which drives EMT by activating AKT/FOXO1 signaling and enhancing nuclear TWIST1 expression via sequestration of FOXO1 in the cytoplasm (Figure 11). Our data further show the key features of this enhanced cell signaling network in prostate tumor xenograft samples and in clinical high–Gleason grade PCa specimens, supporting the essential roles of MAOA in prostate tumorigenesis and cancer metastasis.

Discussion
Our study explores extensively how MAOA affects PCa growth and metastasis and demonstrates, for the first time to our knowledge, that MAOA induces EMT and augments hypoxic responses to increase the migratory, invasive, and metastatic potential of PCa cells. Increased MAOA expression has been previously reported in several types of cancer, including PCa (7) and renal cell carcinoma (42). Downregulation of MAOA has also been linked with the majority of other cancer types according to a preliminary analysis of an ensemble of cancer data sets (43). The fact that MAOA is expressed differentially in various cancers suggests that MAOA may be regulated differently in the context of specific cancer types. By examining what signaling events may upregulate MAOA in PCAs specifically, we demonstrated that select oncogenic events (c-Myc overexpression and the loss of PTEN and p53) that appear to show high frequency in PCa (44–46) as well as activated androgen signaling were able to induce MAOA in PCa (Supplemental Figure 16). These regulations, particularly the induction of androgen by MAOA, may be an important underlying clue for the expression and function of MAOA in PCAs distinct from other types of cancer. Moreover, serotonin, a monoamine neurotransmitter degraded preferentially by MAOA, has been shown to act as a growth factor for several types of cancer (47, 48), including melanoma (49) and cholangiocarcinoma (50). Inhibition of MAOA by clorgyline, which elevated serotonin levels, showed protection of melanoma cells against cell death (51). In addition, a suppression of MAOA expression that correlates with worse clinical prognosis and survival was associated with abnormally high levels of serotonin.

Figure 11
A proposed working model for how MAOA regulates prostate tumorigenesis, progression, and metastasis by engaging EMT, hypoxia, and ROS. MAOA induces EMT by generating ROS that inhibit PHD activity and stabilizing HIF1α. Stimuli, such as hypoxia, can exacerbate MAOA-mediated production of ROS. MAOA further mediates the activation of VEGF-A/NRP1 signaling to upregulate the AKT/FOXO1 pathway, which results in the nuclear export of transcription repressor FOXO1 to activate nuclear TWIST1 expression. Together, increased MAOA expression promotes EMT, hypoxia, and ROS production, which in concert drive PCa tumorigenesis, progression, and metastasis.

Increased MAOA expression is associated with poor prognosis in PCa patients. To determine whether high MAOA expression exhibited by high-grade PCa is associated with poor patient outcome, we used a tissue microarray containing 74 cases with multiple disease progression (e.g., Gleason score 6–10, T2, or T3). After semiquantitative IHC analysis of these clinical samples, the Kaplan-Meier survival curves indicated that MAOA-low patients had significantly enhanced survival times when compared with MAOA-high patients (log-rank $P = 0.0267$) (Figure 10A and Supplemental Table 3). We further evaluated the prognostic value of MAOA in multiple public clinical DNA microarray data sets using Oncomine 4.4. Considering that PCa is a biologically and clinically heterogeneous disease, we investigated whether high MAOA expression was related to multiple clinical indices in a subset of cases using Cancer Outlier Profile Analysis (COPA), a methodology that has been validated for uncovering candidate oncogenes, such as ERG (40). COPA identified MAOA as significantly overexpressed in a subset of tumor samples in 15 of 27 available data sets (gene rank, top 20%; fold change, $>2$; $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$). Using the same statistical filters, MAOA displayed a COPA score comparable to or higher than that of ERG in several data sets (Supplemental Table 4).

The Gleason grading system for PCa is a key parameter for clinically assessing prognosis and choice of therapy, and cancers with a higher Gleason score are more aggressive along with a worse prognosis (13). Analysis of 2 data sets (Taylor 3 and Glinsky) indicated that high-level expression of MAOA was strongly associated with advanced Gleason score (8 to 10) (Figure 10B, left panels in both rows). We further correlated MAOA expression profiling with other clinical indices indicating poor prognosis of PCa, including metastasis (lymph node, bone, and soft tissues), seminal vesicle invasion, and biochemical recurrence. MAOA expression was increased when cancer cells formed metastatic lesions after dissemination from the primary site (Figure 10B, top middle panel). Invasion of the muscular wall of the seminal vesicles by PCa is considered as another marker for poor prognosis, metastatic disease, and quick biochemical recurrence (41). Examining the Glinsky data set, we found that PCa patients with seminal vesicle invasion demonstrated higher MAOA expression than patients with intact seminal vesicles (Figure 10B, bottom middle panel). Additionally, analysis of 2 data sets (Taylor 3 and Glinsky) revealed increased MAOA expression in PCa patients who had biochemical recurrence at 5 years (Figure 10B, right panels in both rows). Overall, these clinical data support the experimentally described functional roles of MAOA in PCa and further indicate its prognostic value for distinguishing aggressive from indolent PCa.
nin in cholangiocarcinoma, which stimulate cholangiocarcinoma cell growth, and this condition is responsive to the blockade by the inhibition of serotonin synthesis (50, 52). In contrast, serotonin by itself plays a marginal role in regulating the growth of PCa cells in general (53), and there was no significant clinical correlation of serotonin levels with PCa disease progression (54, 55).

The different effects of serotonin in diverse cancers therefore also provide insights into the differential functions of MAOA in PCa and other cancers. In addition, given the differential expressions of MAOA in multiple cancers, it would be worthwhile to examine MAOA effects on EMT and hypoxia in other types of cancer to gain more comprehensive in-depth understanding of how MAOA functions in cancer generally.

MAOA was shown to stabilize HIF1α, activate the VEGF-A/NRP1 system, and induce the expression of TWIST1, an EMT master transcription factor commonly associated with EMT promotion. These signaling components downstream from MAOA were shown to be clinically relevant, as revealed by the differential expressions of these genes in PCa specimens of different Gleason grades. The clinical relevance of EMT has been shown during tumor progression, and certain typical characteristics, including poor differentiation, correlated with aggressive and invasive behavior in high–Gleason grade PCa can result from EMT and EMT-like processes (56). We observed both increased MAOA expression and EMT in the same specimens of high-grade PCa, which, in line with our other results showing that MAOA can drive EMT (Figure 1), provides a molecular basis for the acquisition of a more aggressive phenotype in high–Gleason grade PCa. Our observation is consistent with previous studies showing that pharmacological inhibition of MAOA in PCa cells kept basal prostatic epithelial cells from differentiating into matured glandular structures by reorganizing cell structures and decreasing the expression of basal cytokeratins (9). In addition, a recent clinical survey assessing EMT marker levels in clinical samples with organ-confined PCa revealed that vimentin and TWIST1, among 13 other EMT markers, showed the induction of these 2 EMT markers, along with EMT-promoting signaling components downstream from MAOA (Figure 7). The activation of TWIST1 by MAOA (Figure 1). The activation of TWIST1 by MAOA could modulate HIF1α activity by suppressing PHD activity, without changes in HIF1α expression (Figures 2 and 3). MAAO, when catalyzing oxidative reactions in the outer membrane of mitochondria, immediately produces hydrogen peroxide as a by-product that can be further converted into other species of ROS (3). In the present system, we were able to pinpoint the intracellular hydrogen peroxide released specifically from intact mitochondria as well as extracellular general ROS regulated by MAOA in PCa cells (Figure 6 and Supplemental Figure 8). The secreted ROS in the extracellular environment may largely serve as paracrine stimuli to further enhance HIF1α activity via PHD repression in a heterogeneous tumor cell population. Subsequently, the increased HIF1α expression has the potential to further induce mitochondrial activity including the formation of specific ROS during hypoxia (19, 59), potentially programming a “vicious cycle” or feed-forward loop among MAOA, ROS, and HIF1α to further drive PCa tumorigenesis. The vigorous characterization of the role of MAOA-regulated ROS solely contributed by enzymatic reactions led us further to investigate whether MAOA enzymatic activity or the protein is the determinant for mediating MAOA function in PCa. By conducting a comparative study using WT and a mutated MAOA expression construct that is defective in MAOA enzymatic activity but maintains intact protein expression (60), we demonstrated that MAOA enzymatic activity rather than the protein is the major player driving the migration, invasion, proliferation, and colonization of PCa cells by enhanced EMT and hypoxia (Supplemental Figure 17), which reinforces the role of MAOA-regulated ROS as an important mediator for MAOA functions in PCa.

The ability of MAOA to control VEGF-A/NRP1 signaling by upregulating the expression of both establishes a connection between this system and downstream signaling pathways. Since the seminal observation that NRP1 can function as a VEGF coreceptor (21), subsequent studies have demonstrated its functional importance in angiogenesis and cancer development (61). MAOA upregulates both the ligand and receptor and mediates receptor function mainly through the angiogenic aspect, which activates the VEGF-A/NRP1 system, particularly its EMT-enhancing ability (Figure 4). These findings support the potential role of MAOA in PCa tissues with high Gleason grade, distinguished by high tumor angiogenesis activity from low-grade PCa. In addition to interactions with angiogenic factors, NRP1 also cooperates with other growth factor receptors, such as c-Met (62, 63) and TGF-β receptors (64, 65), to mediate relevant pathways that contribute to tumorigenesis, and therefore could serve as a prognostic marker as well as an attractive target for cancer therapy. This establishes an additional rationale for targeting MAOA in PCa therapy, since patients with high–Gleason grade PCa may benefit from the blockade of VEGF-A/NRP1–mediated tumor angiogenesis along with other neuropilin-dependent pathways.

One of the salient features of our study is the discovery that MAOA represses E-cadherin transcription and promotes EMT in PCa cells by activating the transcription of TWIST1 via AKT/FOXO1 signaling. We found that MAOA regulated TWIST1 most robustly among the several master EMT transcription factors we screened. Given the prominent effect of TWIST1 on the repression of the epithelial phenotype by direct suppression of E-cadherin transcription (66), the MAOA/TWIST1 axis can thus be considered an effective regulatory node for the downregulation of E-cadherin by MAOA (Figure 1). The activation of TWIST1 by MAOA is associated with the regulation of FOXO1 activity by AKT signaling, a transcription factor important for cell death and survival. The direct transcriptional regulation of TWIST1 by FOXO1, proposed here for the first time to our knowledge, involves a key response element within the TWIST1 promoter, which is highly conserved in the amniote genomes examined. This finding is supported by our mutational analysis of this element in the TWIST1 promoter as well as the observation that FOXO1 can be recruited to this site in vivo (Figure 5). Moreover, we also...
took into account other possible mechanisms that may contribute to TWIST1 activation in the MAOA context, such as HIF1α activation of TWIST1 by binding directly to a hypoxia-responsive element in the TWIST1 promoter as described in a recent study (67). We showed that MAOA was capable of inducing TWIST1 expression in response to effective knockdown of HIF1α, suggesting diverse regulations of TWIST1 in the MAOA context (Supplemental Figure 18). This result further supports our mechanistic finding on the regulation of TWIST1 by MAOA/AKT/FOXO1 signaling in a HIF1α-independent manner. Despite their all being a consequence of the activation of VEGF-A/NRP1 and AKT signaling to drive EMT, the mechanisms we elucidated for the regulation of TWIST1 by MAOA are distinct from those exerted by other genes, such as ERβ, which is engaged in the activation of GSK-3β and SNAIL1 to promote EMT (68). In our studies, we did not detect ERβ changes in the context of MAOA overexpression (Supplemental Figure 19), suggesting that MAOA’s effects in PCa are independent of ERβ signaling. Notably, the complex but select activation of different pathways for EMT initiation suggests a context-dependent regulation of EMT. In addition to the profound effect on promoting and maintaining EMT (25), TWIST1 has also been implicated in the regulation of cell growth. Recent evidence has indicated that persistent TWIST1 expression induced growth arrest in EMT-like breast cancer cells mimicked by transient TGF-β treatment, which was mechanistically associated with cooperation with SNAIL1 and a signal feedback loop involving p38 and extracellular signal–regulated kinase (ERK) (69). By contrast, we observed an opposite effect of TWIST1 on cell proliferation (Supplemental Figure 12C), which, however, is consistent with the findings reported by several other groups where genetic interference with TWIST1 expression decreased PCa cell proliferation independent of cellular androgen levels and responses (70, 71). Furthermore, we did not find any changes in SNAIL1 level and p38 and ERK1/2 activity in the context of MAOA (Supplemental Figure 20), which could be an underlying mechanism accounting for the TWIST1 effect in the present system. These controversial results suggest, once again, a potential cell context–dependent role for TWIST1 in cell growth, related possibly to cell types, relevant gene expression profiling, and the responses of cancer cells to environmental cues.

Our study shows that genetic intervention with MAOA expression, by avoiding the potential off-target effects of pharmacological inhibitors, significantly impeded PCa progression or even eliminated prostate tumorigenesis in mice, using tumor xenograft models established from multiple human and murine prostate carcinoma cell lines (Figure 6). Moreover, inhibition of MAOA enzymatic activity by the small-molecule clorgyline achieved growth-inhibitory effects on xenograft tumors (Figure 7). The mechanisms discussed here suggest that targeting MAOA blocks PCa tumor growth by disrupting or disengaging the convergent signaling network involving EMT, hypoxia, and oxidative stress (Figure 11). In addition, genetic silencing of MAOA abolished the metastasis of an aggressive androgen-independent PCa cell line in mice (Figure 8), reinforcing the critical roles of MAOA in EMT initiation and cell invasiveness.

In summary, we have uncovered the underlying molecular mechanisms contributing to MAOA-initiated PCa progression. MAOA was shown to induce EMT, stabilize HIF1α, and mediate hypoxia-elicited elevation of ROS in prostate carcinomas. Elevated MAOA signaling also increased the expression of VEGF-A and its coreceptor NRP1, which together enhance EMT by stimulating AKT/FOXO1 signaling and promoting TWIST1 expression through sequestration of FOXO1 in the cytoplasm. The molecular basis of MAOA action could serve as a prognostic biomarker for poor differentiation and increased aggressiveness in PCa. Targeting MAOA and disengaging its downstream signaling network driving EMT, hypoxia, and oxidative stress provides a promising mechanistic rationale for therapeutic development.

**Methods**

**Clinical specimens.** All tissue specimens used in this study were archived formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) PCa tissues. These FFPE primary PCa specimens of defined Gleason grades were obtained from the Department of Pathology, Xijing Hospital, Fourth Military Medical University (FMMU). PCa tissue microarrays, including a total of 74 primary adenocarcinomas with patient overall survival data, were obtained from Immunex and US Biomax. Specimens were stained with antibodies specific for MAOA (Santa Cruz), E-cadherin (Cell Signaling), vimentin (Santa Cruz), HIF1α (Novus Biologicals), VEGF-A (Santa Cruz), FOXO1 (Millipore), pFOXO1 (Millipore), and TWIST1 (Sigma-Aldrich) following our published protocol (72, 73) with minor modifications as described below. Additional details on the clinical specimens used and methods of IHC analysis are provided in the Supplemental Methods online.

**Cells and reagents.** Human PCa PC-3 and LNCaP cell lines were obtained from American Type Culture Collection. Human PCa C4-2 (36) and ARCaPα (35, 39) cell lines were established by our laboratory. The murine PCa MPC3 cell line, bearing a double knockout of Pten and p53, was provided by Neil Bhowmick (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center). For hypoxia experiments, cells were grown in a hypoxic chamber (1% O2, 5% CO2). We showed that MAOA was capable of inducing EMT, stabilize HIF1α, and mediate hypoxia-elicited elevation of ROS in prostate carcinomas. Elevated MAOA signaling also increased the expression of VEGF-A and its coreceptor NRP1, which together enhance EMT by stimulating AKT/FOXO1 signaling and promoting TWIST1 expression through sequestration of FOXO1 in the cytoplasm. The molecular basis of MAOA action could serve as a prognostic biomarker for poor differentiation and increased aggressiveness in PCa. Targeting MAOA and disengaging its downstream signaling network driving EMT, hypoxia, and oxidative stress provides a promising mechanistic rationale for therapeutic development.

**Cell Signaling.** Notably, the complex signaling network involving EMT, hypoxia, and oxidative stress provides a promising mechanistic rationale for therapeutic development.

**Biochemical analyses.** Total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) and reverse-transcribed to cDNA by M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega) as described previously (74). Details on primers and methods used for qPCR are provided in the Supplemental Methods. For immunoblot analysis, cells were harvested and protein lysates were subjected to SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. For immunohistochemistry, deparaffinized sections were blocked and incubated with the primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C. The following primary antibodies were used: E-cadherin (Cell Signaling), vimentin (Santa Cruz), N-cadherin (Santa Cruz), β-actin (Sigma-Aldrich), HIF1α (BD Biosciences), hydroxy-HIF1α (Cell Signaling), PHD1–4 (Novus Biologicals), NRP1 (Santa Cruz), phospho-ERK (Ser32) (Cell Signaling), AKT (Cell Signaling), phospho-FOXO1 (Thr24) (Cell Signaling), FOXO1 (Santa Cruz), lamin B1 (Cell Signaling), and GAPDH (Cell Signaling). Immunoblot analyses were performed using the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (LI-COR Biosciences).

**Biochemical analyses.** Total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) and reverse-transcribed to cDNA by M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega) as described previously (74). Details on primers and methods used for qPCR are provided in the Supplemental Methods. For immunoblot analysis, cells were harvested and protein lysates were subjected to SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. For immunohistochemistry, deparaffinized sections were blocked and incubated with the primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C. The following primary antibodies were used: E-cadherin (Cell Signaling), vimentin (Santa Cruz), N-cadherin (Santa Cruz), β-actin (Sigma-Aldrich), HIF1α (BD Biosciences), hydroxy-HIF1α (Cell Signaling), PHD1–4 (Novus Biologicals), NRP1 (Santa Cruz), phospho-ERK (Ser32) (Cell Signaling), AKT (Cell Signaling), phospho-FOXO1 (Thr24) (Cell Signaling), FOXO1 (Santa Cruz), lamin B1 (Cell Signaling), and GAPDH (Cell Signaling). Immunoblot analyses were performed using the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (LI-COR Biosciences).
for immunoblots were prepared with individual kits (Thermo Scientific). VEGF-A levels in culture media were quantified by ELISA (R&D Systems).

Migration and invasion assays. Assays were performed using 6.5-mm transwell inserts (8-µm pore size) coated with either collagen I or Growth Factor Reduced Matrigel (BD Biosciences) for the migration and invasion assays, respectively. Cells were serum-starved overnight before seeding to eliminate the interference of proliferative effect with cell migration or invasion. Cells were seeded inside transwell inserts containing culture medium without serum. After 18–24 hours, the cells that translocated to the lower surface of the filters were fixed in 4% formaldehyde. The fixed membranes were stained using 1% crystal violet. Assays were quantified by counting the number of stained nuclei in 5 independent fields in each transwell.

Luciferase assays. PC-3 cells were transfected with the desired Firefly luciferase reporter plasmids and the Renilla luciferase construct to normalize for transfection efficiency. Relative light units were calculated as the ratio of Firefly luciferase to Renilla luciferase activity. The protocol used for transfection and measurement of luciferase activity has been described previously (77).

ROS measurement. Cellular ROS was measured according to published protocols (78, 79). Briefly, cells were washed with PBS and incubated with 5 µM CM-H2DCFDA (Life Technologies) for 30 minutes. Cells were trypsinized, and mean FL1 fluorescence was measured by flow cytometry. Intact cellular or tumor mitochondria were isolated with a kit (Thermo Scientific) and injected s.c. into nude mice, and 1 × 10⁶ MPC3 (shCon and shMAOA), or PC-3 (vector) cells were mixed 1:1 with Matrigel and injected s.c. into nude mice, with 1 × 10⁶ LNCaP, C4-2, ARCaP M (shCon and shMAOA), or PC-3 (vector) cells were injected s.c. into nude mice. The fixed membranes were stained using 1% crystal violet. Assays were quantified by counting the number of stained nuclei in 5 independent fields in each transwell.

Analyses of Twist1 promoter. Serial deletion analysis of TWIST1 promoter was used to locate the putative FOXO1-response element. Site-directed mutagenesis was used to mutate the DAF-16 binding element (DBE) sequence from CCAAACCT to CGCCGCT. ChIP analysis was used to determine the direct association of endogenous FOXO1 protein with the native TWIST1 promoter in control and MAOA-overexpressing PC-3 cells. Details of these analyses are provided in Supplemental Methods.

Animal studies. Male 4- to 6-week-old athymic nude mice, SCID-beige mice, and immune-intact C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Taconic, housed in the animal research facility at Cedars-Sinai Medical Center (CSMC), and fed a normal chow diet. For xenograft studies, 1 × 10⁶ LNCaP, C4-2, ARCaP M (shCon and shMAOA), or PC-3 (vector and MAOA-overexpression) cells were mixed 1:1 with Matrigel (BD Biosciences) and injected s.c. into nude mice, and 1 × 10⁶ MPC3 (shCon and shMAOA) cells were injected s.c. into immune-intact C57BL/6 mice. Each mouse was injected on both flanks. Four to seven mice were used for each group. Details on the number of mice and injection sites used for each cell line to establish subcutaneous tumor xenografts are provided in Supplemental Table 1. Tumor size was measured every 2–3 days by caliper from the time of the formation of palpable tumors, and tumors were dissected and weighed after 3–6 weeks. Tumor volume was calculated by the formula of length × width² × 0.52 (81). Tumors were fixed in 4% formaldehyde and embedded in paraffin. Sections were stained with H&E in accordance with standard procedures (82).

For determining the effect of clorgyline on tumor growth, 1 × 10⁶ C4-2 cells were mixed 1:1 with Matrigel and injected s.c. into nude mice, with each mouse bearing 1 tumor on the right flank. Mice were randomly assigned to 2 groups (clorgyline treatment vs. control, n = 5 for each) when the tumors reached a measurable size of 100–200 mm³. Daily i.p. injection of clorgyline (10 mg/kg) was given to mice of the treatment group for 21 days, and saline injection was used for the control group. Tumor size was measured every 3 days by caliper, and tumors were weighed when mice were subjected to necropsy on day 21.

For metastatic studies, 1 × 10⁶ ARCaP M cells tagged with a Firefly luciferase reporter construct (Promega) were injected into the left cardiac ventricle of anesthetized SCID-beige mice as described previously (39). Development of metatases was monitored by BLI. Anesthetized mice were injected i.p. with 30 mg D-Luciferin per mouse (Gold Biotech). Bioluminescence images were acquired with a Xenogen IVIS Spectrum Imaging System (PerkinElmer). Analysis was performed with Living Image software (PerkinElmer) by measurement of photon flux in the whole bodies of mice. Data were normalized to the signal in week 1.

Immunohistochemistry. IHC analysis of tumor xenograft or mouse primary tumor samples was performed using antibodies against Ki-67 (Dako), MAOA (Santa Cruz), E-cadherin (Cell Signaling), vimentin (Santa Cruz), HIF1α (BD Biosciences), or VEGF-A (Santa Cruz) following our published protocol (72) with minor modifications. Briefly, FFPE sections (4 µm) were deparaffinized, rehydrated, and subjected to antigen retrieval. After incubation in Dual Endogenous Enzyme Block solution (Dako) for 10 minutes, the section was treated with primary antibody diluted by different folds with Antibody Diluent solution (Dako) at 4°C overnight. The section was then washed 3 times in PBST (PBS containing 0.2% Tween-20) for 5 minutes per washing. To detect specific staining, the section was treated for 30 minutes with EnVision + Dual Link System-HRP (Dako), which contained HRP-conjugated goat antibodies against mouse and rabbit IgG. The section was washed 3 times for 5 minutes each, and specific stains were developed with 3′-diaminobenzidine (Dako). Image acquisition was performed using a Nikon camera and software. Magnification was ×400 (scale bars ~20 µm).

MAOA enzymatic activity assay. MAOA enzymatic activity was determined in control and MAOA-knockdown cells and tumor samples as described previously (83). Briefly, 100 µg of total protein was incubated with 1 mM [³¹C]5-HT in the assay buffer at 37°C for 20 minutes, and the reaction was terminated by the addition of ice-cold 6 N HCl. The reaction products were extracted with benzene/ethyl acetate (1:1) and centrifuged at 4°C for 7 minutes. The organic phase containing the reaction products was extracted, and radioactivity was determined by liquid scintillation spectroscopy.

Microarray data sets. Two PCa DNA microarray data sets, Taylor 3 (84) and Glimsky (85), were downloaded directly from the Oncomine database by licensed access. Microarray data of the Taylor 3 data set are also publicly available in Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO GSE21032).

Statistics. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM as indicated in the figure legends. Comparisons between Kaplan-Meier curves were performed using the log-rank test. All other comparisons were analyzed by unpaired 2-tailed Student’s t test. A P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Study approval. All animal studies received prior approval by the IACUC of CSMC and were conducted in compliance with its recommendations. All human studies were reviewed and approved by the IRB of CSMC and FMMU, and written informed consent was provided for human samples.
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