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Introduction

Lymph nodes (LNs) are secondary lymphoid organs that serve as integral sites for the control of immunity and tolerance. These encapsulated organs consist of a stromal reticular network that forms the framework for the outermost cortex, middle paracortex, and innermost medulla (1, 2). B cells, follicular dendritic cells, and macrophages reside in the follicles of the cortex. In the middle paracortex, the T cells, fibroblastic reticular cells (FRCs), and dendritic cells (DCs) reside in the T cell zone. The innermost medullary layer contains the lymphatic medullary cords, lined by lymphatic endothelial cells and separated by the medullary sinuses.

Appropriate leukocyte trafficking is necessary for the induction of alloantigen-specific tolerance (3–8). Tregs migrate through the allograft, where they locally suppress alloantigen acquisition by inflammatory DCs. Tregs then migrate to the LNs, where they suppress alloantigen-specific CD4+ T cell priming (5, 7–11). Tolerance-inducing plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs) also circulate through the allograft, acquiring antigen and transporting it to the LNs, where they induce antigen-specific Treg differentiation (3–5, 12). Within the LNs, alloantigen-presenting pDCs and Tregs associate with the high endothelial venules (HEVs) in the cortical ridge (CR), exposing naive alloreactive cells to alloantigen and regulation almost immediately upon LN entry (3, 13–15). The timing of alloantigen presentation to alloreactive CD4+ T cells is important to their fate, as alloreactive cells that are present at the induction of tolerance become transiently activated and differentiate into Tregs, whereas naive alloreactive cells transferred at later times after initiation of tolerization become anergic and apoptotic (4).

The colocalization of naive alloreactive cells with Tregs, alloantigen, and pDCs within the LNs is integral to the induction of allograft tolerance, although the mechanisms regulating these movements are not known.

T cells enter the LNs via blood through the HEVs in the paracortex (16). These specialized vessels are lined abuminally with basement membrane stromal fibers. HEVs are luminally lined with blood endothelial cells (BECs) expressing the CD62L ligand peripheral node addressin (PNAd), which mediates the tethering and rolling of T cells (5, 17). T cell arrest on the endothelium is mediated by CCR7 and CXCR4 recognition of CCL21 and CXCL12, respectively, and these chemokines decorate the luminal surface of the HEV. These interactions result in the upregulation of T cell integrins that allow for the arrest of T cells within the HEV. Lymphocytes then migrate either between or through endothelial cells before crossing the HEV basement membrane to the abluminal side. Pockets form between the endothelial cells and basement membrane fibers and serve as a malleable checkpoint structure that controls LN cellularity (18). Following HEV extravasation, T cells remain in the abluminal perivascular space. They then interact with a CCL19 and CCL21 gradient and migrate along stromal fibers produced by and intertwined with FRCs toward the T cell zone (16). The regulation of the checkpoints into, between, and beyond the HEV endothelial cells and basement membrane is poorly understood.

LN structure is integral to the generation of an appropriate immune response (19–21). Lymphoid tissue remodeling (22–25), and remodeling of the HEVs themselves (26, 27), are common themes following immune challenge. The stomal fibers ER-TR7 (14, 28, 29) and laminin (30, 31) are made by a variety of cell types and form both HEV basement membranes and the LN reticular network. Lymphocytes induce FRCs to produce ER-TR7 in the CR, a region of the paracortex between the T and B cell zones through
which T cells enter the LN via HEVs (14, 28, 29). The CR forms a structural scaffolding seeded by DCs; this region is integral to bringing T cells and antigen-presenting cells (APCs) together (14). ER-TR7 fibers and FRCs encase the HEV, where they both aid in maintaining the HEV basement membrane and controlling T cell travel from the HEV into the LN parenchyma (20). The mechanisms regulating fiber structure and remodeling are incompletely defined.

The laminins are a family of heterotrimeric glycoproteins with a variety of adhesive and stimulatory functions. Their α chains contain integrin-binding sites and therefore affect cell migration. Two isoforms are particularly associated with LN and blood vessel structure and function (30, 31). Produced by vascular endothelial cells and lymphocytes, laminin-411 is an endothelial basement membrane protein essential for the maturation and structural integrity of microvessels (32). Laminin-411 contains the laminin α4 chain and promotes T cell migration (33). Laminin-511 and laminin-521 are also present in the endothelial basement membrane (34) and are produced early during embryogenesis (35). Laminin-511 contains the laminin α5 chain and fails to promote T cell migration, although T cell costimulatory properties have been reported (33). Recent work suggests the presence and ratio of these laminin isoforms may dictate inflammatory responses and maturational niches for T and B cells (36, 37), although how they are regulated has not been investigated.

We investigated the dynamics of CD4+ T cell entry into LNs, LN structural remodeling, and their relation to T cell fate. We found that, following the induction of tolerance versus immunity, T cells differentially enter the LN via the HEVs and localize in distinct domains in the LN. These dynamics are established acutely within minutes of immune stimulation. Movements of CD4+ T cells, Tregs, and alloantigen-specific pDCs are dictated by the stromal fiber components laminin α4 and laminin α5. Manipulation or inhibition of

Figure 1
Distinct trafficking patterns of antigen-specific CD4+ T cells through HEVs following the induction of immunity and tolerance. CD4+ TEa cells and CD4+ OT-II cells were isolated, differentially labeled, and transferred at the time of DST with or without anti-CD40L injection to naive (untreated, n = 2), immune (DST, n = 3), or tolerized (DST + anti-CD40L, n = 3) C57BL/6 mice. Dextran revealed blood vessels. Total cells were categorized. Inguinal LNs imaged 20 to 180 minutes after cell transfer, on a single plane, for 800 frames (~12 minutes). (A) Representative images of inguinal LNs; original magnification, ×200. (B) Total number of cells detected 20 minutes after cell transfer quantified and set as 100% (horizontal dashed line). The percent increase or decrease of the total number was calculated for each time point. Bars represent the average cumulative percent change per group from 20 to 180 minutes after cell transfer. (C–F) Left column, line graphs: The number of cells circulating (C), rolling (D), rolling and tethered (E), or adherent (F) detected at 20 minutes after cell transfer set as 100%. Percent change calculated for each time point. Right column: Bars represent the average cumulative percent of total cells detected per group from 20 to 180 minutes after cell transfer. Data presented as mean SEM. Dependent on time: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.005, ***P < 0.0005. Dependent on treatment: ##P < 0.005, ###P < 0.0005.
Fiber expression or function alters the localization of these cells and acts as a molecular switch for tolerance and immunity.

Results
Distinct trafficking of antigen-specific CD4⁺ T cells to tolerant LNs. Multi-photon microscopy was used to image antigen-specific cells as they entered the LN vasculature and interacted with the HEVs during the induction of alloantigen immunity or tolerance. C57BL/6 mice were made immune via administration of donor-specific transfusion (DST; 10⁷ BALB/c splenocytes) or tolerant via administration of DST plus anti-CD40L mAb (250 μg/dose). This protocol of tolerance induction by use of DST in the context of costimulatory blockade is well established and widely used (3–5, 38–46). Simultaneously with DST with or without anti-CD40L administration, CD4⁺ T cells that recognize BALB/c donor I-Ed antigen presented by C57BL/6 recipient I-Ab from TEa TCR transgenic mice were labeled with CFSE and adoptively transferred. To evaluate antigen specificity, antigen-irrelevant OT-II TCR Tg CD4⁺ cells specific for ovalbumin were labeled with Qtracker 655 and cotransferred. TRITC-labeled 70-kDa dextran was administered to visualize blood vessels. Mice were imaged for 800 frames (about 12 minutes), every 20 minutes, from 20 to 180 minutes after cell transfer (Figure 1, A–F, Supplemental Videos 1–6, and Supplemental Figure 1A; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI73683DS1). Accumulation of CD4⁺ TEa cells detected in the LN vasculature and HEVs over the entire 20-to-180-minute imaging timeframe was quantified (Figure 1B). The total number of cells detected in naive and immune mice initially increased but returned to baseline levels by 60 minutes after cell transfer. In contrast, the total number of cells detected in tolerant LNs decreased immediately and continued to decrease throughout the experiment (Figure 1B). Although these differences failed to reach significance, these trends were also apparent when the cumulative change in the number of cells observed over the total 3-hour time frame was quantified (Figure 1B). These results showed that in naive and immune mice, antigen-specific cells were present at higher numbers, whereas in tolerant mice, antigen-specific cells progressively failed to be detected in the LN vasculature and on the HEVs. The detection of fewer cells in tolerant mice was likely not due to anti-CD40L mAb directly depleting activated alloantigen-reactive cells (47, 48), as we and others have demonstrated anti-CD40L to modulate the differentiation of, but not acutely deplete, activated alloreactive T cells (4, 49, 50).

To quantify the changes in cell movements in the LN vasculature and HEVs, cells were assigned to 1 of 4 groups to categorize their migratory activities as follows: (a) circulating (cells present for 1 frame only), (b) rolling (cells present for 2–6 frames before being released into circulation), (c) rolling and tethered (cells rolled along the luminal surface of the HEV and adhered during imaging), and (d) adherent (cells that remained stagnant for the entirety of imaging time). Imaging of naive and immune LNs revealed an initial increase in the number of cells in the circulation and rolling in blood vessels, while the number of cells in circulation and rolling in the tolerant LNs progressively decreased (Figure 1, C and D). Over 3 hours, cumulatively 95.8% of detected cells remained in the circulation in the naive LNs, and this percentage was reduced to 88.9% and 83.4% in immune and tolerant LNs, respectively (P < 0.0005, naive vs. immune/tolerant; P < 0.005, immune vs. tolerant; Figure 1C).

Figure 2
Distinct trafficking patterns of antigen-specific CD4⁺ T cells through LNs following the induction of immunity and tolerance. (A) Representative images of inguinal LNs, 4 hours after cell transfer; original magnification, ×200. (B–E) Percent of total number of cells detected per time point, starting 3.5 hours after cell transfer, that were adherent (B), transmigrating (C), extravasated (D), or migrating (E). Data presented as mean ± SEM. Comparison of indicated time points: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.005, ***P < 0.0005. Comparison of cumulative differences: ##P < 0.005, ###P < 0.0005.
Antigen-specific CD4+ T cells home to the cortical ridge following the induction of tolerance. CD4+ TEa cells were labeled with CFSE and transferred to naive, immune (DST), or tolerized (DST + anti-CD40L) C57BL/6 mice. Recipients were euthanized 4 hours (B) or 24 hours (C) after cell transfer. LNs were harvested, and 5-μm cryosections were analyzed for cell migration and location by fluorescent immunohistochemistry of structures identified by ER-TR7+ stromal fibers and PNAδ+ HEVs. Cells were categorized as intraendothelial, within endothelium/basement membrane, within basement membrane, outside HEV, within cortical ridge, and beyond cortical ridge. (A) Representative fluorescent confocal immunohistochemistry of antigen-specific CD4+ T cells. Arrows denote cells present in designated locations. Original magnification, ×1,000 (leftmost 3 panels), ×100 (right panel). (B and C) Quantitation of T cell distribution 4 hours after cell transfer from ×100 images (B) and 24 hours after cell transfer from ×100 images (C). Data presented as mean ± SEM. n = 3–5 mice per treatment; 1–4 LNs per mouse; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.005, ***P < 0.0005.

Cumulatively, rolling cells accounted for 3.7% of cells in naive LNs, compared with 4.9% and 8.5% of cells in immune and tolerant LNs, respectively (P < 0.0005, naive vs. tolerant; P < 0.005 immune vs. tolerant; Figure 1D). The number of cells rolling and tethered to the blood vessels initially increased in naive and immune LNs and then steadily decreased in tolerant LNs (Figure 1E). Cumulatively, 0.05% of cells in naive LNs, 0.69% of cells in immune LNs, and 0.82% of cells in tolerant LNs were rolling and tethered to the vascular endothelium (P < 0.0005, naive vs. immune/tolerant; Figure 1E). The percentage of cells adherent to the vascular endothelium in naive LNs transiently increased, and in immune and tolerant LNs increased from 20 to 60 minutes, plateaued from 60 to 100 minutes, and then returned to baseline levels by 180 minutes after cell transfer (Figure 1F). Cumulatively, 0.49% of cells in naive LNs, 6.8% of cells in immune LNs, and 9.3% of cells in tolerant LNs were adherent to the vascular endothelium (P < 0.0005, naive vs. immune/tolerant; P < 0.05, immune vs. tolerant; Figure 1F).

These data showed that antigen-specific cells in naive mice remained in circulation, with few cells rolling, rolling and tethered, or adhering to the vascular endothelial bed in the LNs. Antigen-specific cells in immune mice largely remained in circulation, although some interacted with the vascular endothelium to the extent that rolling occurred. These cells were often released into the circulation, and the later events of cell extravasation into the LNs occurred less frequently. Conversely, the cells detected in the circulation in tolerant LN HEVs quickly decreased. This finding was in conjunction with the observation that events of T cell LN entry including rolling, tethering, and adherence occurred more frequently. The presence of fewer circulating cells in tolerant than in naive and immune LNs was therefore the result of greater LN entrance and retention. These findings were antigen specific, as antigen-irrelevant OT-II cells remained in circulation and rarely rolled, tethered, or adhered to the HEVs of naive, immune, or tolerant LNs.

By 4 hours after the induction of immunity or tolerance, further differences in antigen-specific cell accumulation and location were observed. The majority of cells visualized in both treatment groups were no longer moving within the LNs and were stagnant within or surrounding the HEVs or in the LN parenchyma. LNs of naive mice were not analyzed further, as very few transferred cells were detectable. Cells were assigned as (a) adherent (located in the HEV lumen with blood around all sides of the cell), (b) transmigrating (between the endothelial cells and the basement membrane of the HEV), (c) extravasated (lining the abluminal basement membrane of the HEV), and (d) migrating (cells that have left the HEV and traveled into the LN parenchyma; Figure 2, A–E). At individual time points, the percentage of detected cells that were adherent to the vasculature were similar in immune and tolerant LNs. Cumulatively, during the 30 minutes of observation, adherent cells accounted for 14.6% and 7.7% of the total cells detected in immune and tolerant LNs, respectively (P < 0.005, immune vs. tolerant; Figure 2B). An increased cumulative percentage of cells was observed transmigrating in tolerant HEVs in comparison with immune HEVs (26.3% vs. 14.9%, respectively; P < 0.0005, immune vs. tolerant; Figure 2C). An increased percentage of cells that had extravasated through the HEV, yet remained adherent to the abluminal side of the vascular wall, was also observed in tolerant versus immune LNs, from 600 to 1,800 seconds of the observation period, and cumulatively (50.7% vs. 29.5%, respectively; P < 0.0005, immune vs. tolerant; Figure 2D). Relative to cells in immune LNs, significantly fewer cells migrated away from tolerant HEVs and into the LN parenchyma at each time point observed, and cumulatively (14.6% in tolerant LNs, 40.1% in immune LNs; P < 0.0005, immune vs. tolerant; Figure 2E).
immune vs. tolerant; Figure 2E). These data showed that antigen-specific cells interacted for an extended time with the HEV in tolerant versus immune or naive LNs. Cells in tolerant LNs were found actively transmigrating or extravasated after becoming adherent to the HEV. In immune LNs, cells were more often detected in the LN parenchyma, suggesting that the few cells that did adhere to the HEV quickly traversed the vascular basement membrane. Taken together, these findings showed that tolerance induction resulted in a more protracted intimate contact between antigen-specific cells and the HEV.

Antigen-specific and regulatory CD4+ T cells home to the CR following tolerance induction. Since antigen-specific CD4+ T cells acutely entered the LNs and migrated to discrete regions following the induction of immunity versus tolerance, fluorescent confocal immunohistochemistry was used to characterize more precisely their location. Discrete microdomains were defined as (18): (a) intraendothelial (lymphocytes completely surrounded by PNAd+ BECs that line the luminal side of the HEV); (b) endothelial/basement membrane (lymphocytes occupy spaces defined by PNAd+ BECs on one side and ER-TR7+ basement membrane proteins on the other); (c) intra–basement membrane (completely surrounded by the ER-TR7+ basement membrane); (d) outside HEV (cells in contact with the ER-TR7+ HEV basement membrane on the abluminal side of the HEV); (e) in CR (cells present in optical field containing the HEV but not in contact with the abluminal ER-TR7+ basement membrane); and (f) beyond the CR (located in the LN parenchyma, beyond the HEV and ER-TR7+ dense fiber region; Figure 3A).

Four hours after the induction of immunity or tolerance, differences in the distribution of antigen-specific TEa T cells within and surrounding the HEV were characterized (Figure 3B). In the naive LNs, the majority of cells were either entering the endothelium (intraendothelial) or within the CR. Similarly, in the immune LNs, the majority of cells were entering the endothelium or within the CR and not in contact with the HEV. In contrast, in the HEVs of tolerant LNs, TEa T cells were located primarily between the endothelium and basement membrane (tolerant vs. immune; $P < 0.005$). These findings indicated that antigen-specific TEa T cells followed distinct pathways of migration to enter a tolerant versus immune LN. As a control, ovalbumin-specific CD4+ TCR Tg OT-II cells were cotransferred with TEa cells to tolerant and immune mice and their locations determined. The distributions of these antigen-irrelevant CD4+ cells were similar between tolerant and immune HEVs and mirrored the distributions observed with TEa cells in naive LNs (Supplemental Figure 2).

We next examined the distribution of TEa T cells across the various regions of the entire LN (in contact with HEV, in CR yet not touching HEV, or not in CR) 24 hours after tolerance induction (Figure 3C). The majority of TEa T cells resided in the CR after tolerance induction ($P < 0.005$ vs. immune). In contrast, there was an increase in TEa T cells located outside the CR in the immune LNs ($P < 0.05$ vs. tolerant). These findings further supported the notion that antigen-specific T cells had distinct pathways of migration into the LN, as well as designated areas within the LN where they resided, potentially affecting the outcome of the immune response.
We previously demonstrated accumulation and differentiation of naive antigen-specific cells to induced Tregs (iTregs) within the CR of tolerant mice (4). The observed differences in antigen-specific cell migration to and location in the HEV and CR may correlate with differences in cell fate. Therefore, Foxp3+ cells were quantified, and an increased number was noted on the abluminal surface of the HEV 24 hours after the induction of tolerance (P < 0.005 vs. immune; P < 0.0005 vs. naive; Figure 4, A and B). Furthermore, Foxp3+ Tregs accumulated in the CR following the induction of tolerance, whereas immunity was associated with an accumulation of Foxp3+ Tregs located in the non-CR region (Figure 4C). TEa mice possess very few natural Tregs (4, 51). As a result, detected TEa Foxp3+ Tregs must by definition be iTregs. The number of alloantigen-specific iTregs (TEa+Foxp3+, TEaTregs) was quantified in the CR. Four hours after the induction of tolerance, more TEaTregs were in the CR of the tolerant LNs (P < 0.05 vs. immune; Figure 4D). The induction and accumulation of TEaTregs in the CR of the tolerant LNs persisted for at least 24 hours after treatment (P < 0.005, immune vs. tolerant; Figure 4E). Taken together, these findings demonstrated that following tolerance induction, antigen-specific cells and Foxp3+ Tregs migrated to and accumulated within and around the HEV and CR, and thereafter a subset of these cells differentiated into antigen-specific iTregs.

Specific alloantigen-presenting pDCs migrate to the CR after tolerance induction. We previously demonstrated that specific alloantigen-presenting pDCs accumulated in the CR of tolerant LNs following cardiac allograft transplantation (3). We next determined the total number of alloantigen-presenting cells detected by the YaE antibody (recognizes I-Ek presented by I-Ab; YaE+; Figure 5A) and tolerance-inducing alloantigen-presenting pDCs (YaE+ pDCs; Figure 5B) located in LNs 4 hours to 6 days after the induction of immunity or tolerance. In the tolerant LNs, the number of YaE+ cells was significantly greater within 4 hours of treatment, and YaE+ cell numbers persisted over time as compared with those in the immune LNs. A similar change in YaE+ pDCs in the tolerant LNs was observed, with a significant increase in their numbers by 24 hours compared with those in immune or naive LNs (P < 0.05). YaE+ cells in contact with HEVs or in the CR were increased following tolerance induction (Figure 5C). In addition, the total number of pDCs in the CR of the tolerant LNs was significantly higher (P < 0.05; Figure 5D). These results showed that alloantigen presentation in the tolerant LNs was distinct in comparison with the immune LNs; during tolerization alloantigen-presenting pDCs, alloantigen-specific CD4+ T cells, and alloantigen-specific iTregs migrated to and/or accumulated in the same areas within or near the HEV and CR.

Unique LN structural changes occur following the induction of immunity versus tolerance. Differences in the migration and location of T cells and pDCs associated with HEVs and the CR were evident 4 to 24 hours after the induction of immunity versus tolerance. Stromal fibers regulate lymphocyte movement through both the HEV and the CR and signify structural changes associated with LN remodeling. ER-TR7 identifies structural components of the LN, and laminins dictate lymphocyte movement and activation within the LN (14, 28–31). We hypothesized that changes in stromal components dictated the distinct movements and location of pDCs, CD4+ T cells, and Tregs. The total amounts of ER-TR7 and laminin were quantitated by fluorescent immunohistochemistry 24 hours after the induction of tolerance or immunity. After tolerance induction, the percentage of the tolerant LNs positive for ER-TR7 increased significantly compared with immune LNVs (P < 0.005, immune vs. tolerant; Figure 6B). Conversely, the percentage of the LNs positive for total laminin increased significantly after the induction of immunity versus tolerance (P < 0.005; Figure 6C). Together these findings showed that LN stromal fiber remodeling specific to the induction of tolerance versus immunity occurred rapidly after immune stimulation.

Laminin function is largely determined by α chain expression. Laminins containing the α4 and α5 chains have been implicated in lymphocyte migration, egress from blood vessels, and T cell costimulation; and these laminins are particularly abundant and prominent in the LN compared with other laminin α chains (32, 36, 52). The expression of laminin α4 and α5 chains in the CR was assessed (Figure 7A). The total area of laminin α4 was increased in the CR of tolerant LNs compared with naive and immune LNs. Laminin α4 expression in the CR of tolerant LNs was significantly different from that in the CR of immune LNs at 24 hours and
6 days and was different from that in naive LNs at all times (Figure 7B). Conversely, the amount of laminin α5 expressed in tolerant and immune CRs increased similarly, peaking 72 hours after treatment (Figure 7B). The ratios of laminin α4 to laminin α5 in the CR were also higher in the tolerant group (Figure 7C).

The expression of laminin α4 and laminin α5 associated with the HEV was also measured. There was an increase in laminin α5 in the immune HEV, a relative decrease in laminin α4 in the immune versus the tolerant HEV, and a large difference in the ratio of the fibers between immune and tolerant HEVs (P < 0.05; Figure 7D). These findings suggested that increased laminin α4 relative to laminin α5 was associated with the induction of tolerance, and increased laminin α5 relative to laminin α4 was associated with immunity.

Laminin α4 and laminin α5 differentially modulate CD4+ T cell motility and transendothelial migration. The migration and location of CD4+ T cells, Foxp3+ Tregs, and pDCs in LNs were unique and indicative of tolerance, and these cell movements were accompanied by unique changes in LN structure. The contributions of laminin α4 and laminin α5, alone and in combination, to T cell migration were assessed in a variety of assays that measured random, chemokine-driven, and chemokine-plus-shear-driven migration of CD4+ T cells across vascular endothelial cells. Transwell inserts were coated with laminin α4, laminin α5, or laminin α4 plus laminin α5 at different ratios before addition of MS-1 vascular endothelial cells on top of the fibers. This assembly was used to model the laminins constituting the abluminal basement membrane of the HEV. Enriched CD4+ T cells were added to the top chamber and migrated toward CCL21 in the bottom chamber, and migration characteristics were quantified.

High concentrations of laminin α5 on the abluminal side of the vascular endothelial cells significantly reduced CD4+ T cell migration toward CCL21 in comparison with laminin α4 and PBS alone (Figure 8A). This effect was only observed when laminin α5 was present in excess of laminin α4. The presence of laminin α4, in amounts either equal to or in excess of laminin α5, did not affect Transwell migration and permitted migration despite the presence of laminin α5. Thus laminin α4 permitted T cell migration while laminin α5 inhibited migration across vascular endothelial cells; the effect of laminin α4 was dominant.

The path of CD4+ T cells during migration across the endothelial cell layer was observed with real-time microscopy, quantifying the track length (distance cells traveled) and velocity (speed of travel) over 30 minutes (Figure 8, B and C). The track length and velocity were greatly reduced as the T cells migrated across endothelial cells overlaying laminin α5-coated inserts. The track length and velocity of CD4+ T cells migrating across endothelial cells were not as significantly reduced in the presence of laminin α4 alone or in combination with laminin α5. These data again showed that T cell movement over endothelial cells was hindered by laminin α5 but not α4. Further, the ratio of laminin α4 to α5 was important, as laminin α4 promoted CD4+ T cell migration across and through endothelial cells, dominantly counteracting the inhibitory effects of laminin α5.

To approximate the interactions of blood vascular endothelial cells, laminins, and T cells in vivo, CD4+ T cell adherence to and migration through endothelial cells in a laminar flow apparatus were examined. In this model, shear stress was applied by laminar flow to channels lined with endothelial cells over laminin coating treated with CCL21. Rolling and cell adherence were apparent almost immediately after addition of the CD4+ T cells to the channels. Five minutes after the addition of CD4+ T cells, increased numbers of T cells were adherent to the channels coated with laminin α4 only or in combination with laminin α5, as compared with channels coated with laminin α5 only (Figure 8D). As expected, there were significantly more adherent T cells in the presence of CCL21 as compared with no chemokine, showing that movements were chemokine dependent. Transmigration was greatly increased with laminin α4 as compared with laminin α5 (Figure 8E). The percentage of adherent cells that had or had not migrated over time was also examined. CD4+ T cells migrated earlier through
laminin α4–coated channels, and under this condition almost all adherent cells had gone through the endothelial cell layer at the latest time point examined (Supplemental Figure 3). Transmigration was significantly reduced in the channels coated with laminin α5 alone. Taken together, these data showed that the presence and ratio of laminin α4 and laminin α5 underlying the endothelial cells regulated how T cells adhered to and migrated across and through endothelial cells, under both static and shear conditions. Laminin α4 was more permissive for movement on and into the endothelial cell layer, while laminin α5 prevented such movements, and laminin α4 was dominant in its effects. These data are particularly notable in relation to the in vivo data for tolerance, where increased laminin α4 and α4:α5 ratios were coincident with increased movement of antigen-specific CD4+ T cells, Tregs, and pDCs through the HEV and into the CR.

Targeting the LN stromal fiber laminin α5 interferes with the induction of allograft rejection. The induction of tolerance was associated with LN remodeling and an increased ratio of laminin α4 to laminin α5, while immunity was associated with a decreased ratio of laminin α4 to laminin α5. We hypothesized that blocking the integrin-binding site of laminin α5 would interfere with immunity. Mice were injected in the footpad with 1 μg anti–laminin α5 in conjunction with i.v. administration of DST and adoptive transfer of TEsa T cells. Preliminary experiments demonstrated that this quantity of anti-laminin Ab was rapidly transported to the draining LN and bound the laminin α5 without being distributed systemically (not shown). Importantly, preliminary experiments revealed that larger i.v. doses of Ab and smaller footpad doses of Ab yielded the same results in terms of cell trafficking and LN remodeling (data not shown). Neither systemic nor footpad administration of anti-laminin Ab resulted in detectable toxicity. Mice appeared healthy, and all observed organs were of normal size and appearance. T cell, B cell, and DC distribution patterns within the spleen were normal (data not shown). As compared with antigen-specific T cell migration in immune HEVs, the distribution of cells within the HEVs of anti–laminin α5–treated LNs appeared more similar to the pattern observed in tolerant LNs (Figure 9A). In particular, the cells migrated more readily beyond the HEV and the surrounding basement membrane ER-TR7+ fibers into the CR. These data were commensurate with the in vitro migration results whereby laminin α5 impeded, while laminin α4 permitted, migration through the endothelium and associated basement membrane.

The accumulation of tolerance-inducing Tregs (Figure 9B), total YAE+ cells (Figure 9C), and YAE+PDCA-1+ pDCs (Figure 9D) was assessed in the CR of anti–laminin α5–treated mice. All of these cell types accumulated in the CR to a greater degree than in immune mice, and the percentages of accumulated cells were similar to the percentages observed in tolerant LNs. Hence, blocking the integrin-binding site of laminin α5 resulted in an accumulation of both
Tregs and APCs in the CR, and in cell migration and localization that were highly similar to those observed in tolerance.

As the ratio of laminin α5 to laminin α4 was reduced in tolerant versus immune recipients, we hypothesized that blocking the integrin-binding activities of laminin α5 would have a similar impact and favor the generation of immune suppression and tolerance. To test this hypothesis, otherwise untreated allograft recipients received 100 μg anti–laminin α5 Ab i.v. on the day of transplantation. Graft function was monitored via abdominal palpation until function ceased. The addition of anti–laminin α5 resulted in a small but significant prolongation of graft survival (median survival time, 11.5 days vs. 8 days for unmodified recipients, *P* < 0.001; Figure 9E). These data suggested that laminin α5–integrin interactions affected immunity and that inhibiting this interaction impaired immunity.

Targeting the LN stromal fiber laminin α4 interferes with the induction of allograft tolerance. We further hypothesized that interfering with laminin α4 function or decreasing the ratio of laminin α4 with respect to α5 would interfere with the establishment of tolerance. To test this hypothesis, mice were treated with a matrix metalloproteinase inhibitor (MMPi; 200 μg i.v.) that targeted MMP-14, responsible for the degradation of laminin α5, along with DST plus anti-CD40L (tolerant + MMPi). Fluorescent immunohistochemistry revealed an increased amount of laminin α5 associated with the HEVs of tolerant + MMPi LNs (Figure 10A). Alternatively, the integrin-binding activities of laminin α4 were blocked with 1 μg anti–laminin α4 injected into the footpad of tolerogen-treated mice. MMPi and anti–laminin α4 both prevented tolerant-type migration of T cells into the HEVs (Figure 10B). Indeed, the patterns of antigen-specific T cell migration within the HEVs of tolerant + MMPi and tolerant + anti–laminin α4 mice were similar to the pattern of antigen-specific cell migration observed in immune HEVs.

**Figure 8** Laminins α4 and α5 differentially modulate CD4+ T cell motility and transendothelial migration. Transwell membrane inserts (A–C) or laminar flow channels (D and E) were coated with indicated concentrations of laminin α4 and/or α5 overnight (A–C) or for 1 hour (D and E). MS-1 cells were added and grown to confluence in each apparatus. 0.5 μg CCL21 was added to the bottom chambers (A–C) or passed through laminar flow channels (D and E) immediately before each migration assay. (A) Percentage of CD4+ cells located in the top chamber (black bars) and bottom chamber (white bars) 4 hours after their addition to upper Transwell chambers. (B and C) Track length (B) and velocity (C) of CD4+ T cell migration over MS-1 cells acquired at 1-minute intervals for 30 minutes after addition of CD4+ T cells to the top Transwell chamber. (D) Adherence of CD4+ T cells to endothelial cells with (left panel) or without (right panel) CCL21 in laminar flow channels, imaged up to 50 minutes. (E) Transmigration of CD4+ T cells across endothelial cells in laminar flow channels with (left panel) and without (right panel) CCL21. Data presented as mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.005, ***P < 0.0005. Lama 4/5, laminin α4/α5.
These data suggested that targeting the ratio of laminin α4 to laminin α5 or the integrin-binding function of laminin α4 altered the migration and location of antigen-specific cells within the HEVs. Similarly, the migration of antigen-specific TEa T cells, Tregs, total YAE cells, and YAE-PDCA-1⁺ pDCs in the CR was reduced by anti–laminin α4 Ab or MMPi (Figure 10, C–F). Hence, inhibition of laminin α4 integrin-binding function or its relative expression with respect to laminin α5 during tolerance induction altered migration of the relevant T cells and pDCs away from the CR. These data again were commensurate with the in vitro migration results whereby laminin α5 impeded while α4 permitted migration through the endothelium and associated basement membrane.

The impact of changing the laminin α4:α5 ratio or laminin α4 function on transplant survival was assessed. Tolerogen-treated mice were treated with 200 μg MMPi on days −7 and 0 relative to transplantation, or with 100 μg anti–laminin α4 Ab i.v. on days −7 and 0 relative to transplantation. Grafts were monitored for function until 40 days after transplantation (Figure 10G). Grafts placed in tolerant + MMPi mice had abnormal histology, with increased mononuclear cell infiltration and myocyte death (Figure 10H). Sixty percent of the anti–laminin α4 recipients rejected their grafts before day 40, while the remaining grafts had extensive mononuclear cell infiltrates and vascular edema (Figure 10H). These data suggest that interfering with laminin α4 function or the ratio of laminin α4 to laminin α5 prevented tolerization.

**Discussion**

LNs and HEVs undergo structural and functional changes in response to antigenic challenge. LN hypertrophy and the concurrent increase in cellularity allow for increased opportunities for lymphocytes to encounter cognate antigen presented by APCs (53). To support the increase in LN size and influx of cells, the size of the arteriole that feeds the LN and the number and density of HEVs increase (54, 55). Angiogenesis is supported by BEC proliferation (26, 56) and promoted by DC and FRC interactions, resulting in FRC proliferation and VEGF production (56, 57). Subsequently, HEV structural modulation affects the types of cells permitted into the LN (58–60) and the subsequent immune response. HEVs upregulate E-selectin, CXCL12, CCL21, and CXCL9 in response to inflammation to attract both lymphocytes and pDCs (61). Activated HEV endothelial cells also express CD73, further controlling the migration of lymphocytes into the LN (62). Once lymphocytes establish firm interactions with the BECs, HEVs form pocket-like structures in which lymphocytes are maintained within the endothelium until sufficient space is available within the LN (18). Thus, through structural and functional changes, HEVs support LN remodeling to dictate the number and types of cell interactions that occur within the LN.

Our work demonstrated that alloantigen-reactive CD4⁺ T cells traffic uniquely through tolerant versus immune HEVs in an antigen-dependent manner. This observed acute antigen specificity was not confirmed in HEVs, it may contribute to the antigen specificity of differences in T cell trafficking. We also observed that T cells that had extended interaction with HEVs following
antigenic challenge, more so in tolerant than in immune LNs. In tolerant LNs, this prolonged contact manifested in an increased percentage of alloreactive cells adherent to the HEV, both within the lumen and in contact with the basement membrane. This interaction with the luminal side of the HEV may affect T cell fate, since endothelial cells lining the HEV express FasL, suggesting they have the potential to affect T cell survival at very early stages in T cell LN entry (64). The fate of T cells may also be affected by their remaining closely associated with the HEV following extravasation. Once lymphocytes exit the HEV, they are almost immediately exposed to the tolerance-inducing pDCs, alloantigen, and Tregs that home to and encircle HEVs following the induction of allograft tolerance (3). In these ways, very early interactions between alloreactive T cells and the HEV may have long-reaching impact on T cell fate and the subsequent immune response.

The correct structure of the LN is important for the generation of appropriate immune responses. For example, following HIV infection, Tregs induce the production and deposition of collagen in the LN CR. This collagen effectively walls off the T cells entering the LN from the T cell zone, depriving the T cells of the IL-7 survival signal while depriving T-cell-zone FRCs of lymphocyte contact that is necessary for their survival. T cells and FRCs are both depleted, and the virus flourishes (19). Lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus targets FRCs, destroying the LN structure and inducing a state of...
immunodeficiency in the infected host (65). Restoration of the LN structure is necessary for regaining immunocompetence (66). Chronic inflammation in tumor-draining LNs results in a disruption of the stromal network and loss of T-cell-zone FRCs, leading to a decrease in CCL21 and a reduced T cell influx to the LNs (67). Our work demonstrated that LN remodeling also occurred acutely following tolerance induction. CR fibers became denser and were seeded with a combination of Tregs, pDCs presenting alloantigen, and antigen-specific TEa cells. One theory suggests that a dense FRC network, while perhaps not increasing the chances of naive T cells entering their APC, does slow down T cell migration within and through the CR (68). Our findings couple a dense FRC network with an increased density of tolerance-inducing alloantigen-presenting pDCs and Tregs, suggesting that, following the induction of tolerance, not only do naive T cells move more slowly through the CR, but they also have an increased chance of encountering antigen and Tregs. Inhibition of fiber remodeling resulted in the abrogation of allograft tolerance, further demonstrating the importance of these structural changes. Interestingly, these and other structural changes were less pronounced, yet still detectable, 6–7 days after alloantigen exposure (data not shown), further demonstrating the plasticity of LN structure. Taken together, our data demonstrate that LN remodeling is an integral step in the generation of transplant tolerance, creating a microenvironment suitable for tolerogenic interactions among DCs and T cells.

The HEV basement membranes and the structural fibers of the CR contain both laminin α4 and α5 (69). These proteins have highly conserved functions and can serve as anchors for endothelial cells under shear stress conditions (70). Laminin α4 is permissive for transmigration of neutrophils, T cells, and even parasites through blood vessels, whereas laminin α5 is inhibitory (71–73). Laminins have a variety of structural, adhesive, and stimulatory functions, and their importance is underlined by the findings that laminin α4–null mice experience extensive hemorrhage and impaired microvascular growth (32), and that laminin α5 deficiency results in embryonic lethality (52). The G domains of both laminin α4 and α5 serve as ligands for T cell integrins, promoting and affecting T cell trafficking both as T cells leave the HEV and within the LN parenchyma (74–76). We observed laminin α5 but not laminin α4 to have a modest impact on T cell proliferation and activation, and negligible impact on Treg differentiation, following coculture with anti-CD3, IL-2, and APC stimulation (data not shown). In contrast, we observed major differences in T cell migration across laminin proteins, even in the absence of endothelial cells (Figure 8 and data not shown). Laminin α4 and laminin α5 were differentially remodeled following the induction of tolerance versus immunity and heavily influenced T cell migration along, and transmigration through, HEVs. Inhibition of T cell binding to laminin α5 was sufficient to alter T cell migratory patterns and prolong graft function in rejecting allograft recipients. Leukocytes preferentially exit blood vessels at points in the basement membrane where laminin α5 expression is low (77, 78). By blocking laminin α5 we may have artificially created laminin α5–low regions in the HEVs, allowing for the transmigration of alloreactive T cells and the accumulation of pDCs and Tregs within the CR. These findings suggest remodeling of laminin α5 as a molecular control driving the generation of immunity versus tolerance.

Increased laminin α4 was observed following the induction of tolerance and was permissive for the transmigration of T cells. Both blockade of laminin α4 and overexpression of laminin α5 were sufficient to alter T cell migratory patterns and induce graft inflammation in tolerant allograft recipients. Blockade of laminin α4 could potentially affect 2 steps of tolerance induction, LN restructuring and T cell trafficking. As discussed above, HEV angiogenesis occurs concurrently with LN hypertrophy (54). Treating tolerant mice with anti-laminin α4 may inhibit this restructuring, as endothelial cell interactions with the G domain of laminin α4 are necessary for angiogenesis (79, 80). Blockade of laminin α4 and overexpression of laminin α5 also likely affected the ability of T cells to exit the HEVs and traffic through the CR, as we observed less association of alloreactive T cells and tolerance-inducing pDCs and Tregs encircling the HEVs and within the CR. These changes in cell positioning potentially reduced the necessary interactions to induce allograft tolerance. These results suggest laminin α4 remodeling as a necessary step in tolerance induction.

Our study demonstrates specific remodeling of the HEVs and LNs correlated with allograft tolerance or with rejection. Laminin α4 and α5 were differentially regulated following the induction of tolerance versus immunity, and these differences were associated with differential kinetics of alloreactive T cell trafficking within and extravasation through HEVs. These differences in laminin expression were also reflected by the localization of alloantigen-reactive T cells within the CR, and the colocalization of alloantigen-reactive T cells, alloantigen, pDCs, and Tregs following the induction of tolerance but not immunity. As intervention in these structural modulations resulted in lasting impacts on graft survival, laminin proteins represent a target for acutely generating transplant tolerance or enhancing immunogenicity of antigens.

Methods

Mice. C57BL/6 (H-2b), BALB/c (H-2d), and OT-II-Tg (B6.Cg-Tg (TcrαTcrb) 425Cbn/J) mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratory. T cell Tg mice expressing the TEa TCR (recognizes I-Ad antigen in I-A^d complex) were acquired from A.Y. Rudensky (Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, ref. 81). Mice were used at 8–12 weeks of age, and all experiments were performed with age- and sex-matched mice. Animals were housed under specific-pathogen-free conditions.

Tolerance induction. DST cells were obtained from BALB/c spleens that were homogenized and passed through a 70-μm cell strainer and treated with ACK lysis buffer (Lonza). Seven days before cardiac allograft transplantation, mice were tolerized i.v. with 1 × 10^7 DST cells and anti-CD40L mAb (clone MR1; 0.25 mg i.v.; Bio X Cell). Anti-CD40L was administered again at day −4, day 0, and day +4 relative to transplantation. Immune mice were left untreated before cardiac allograft transplantation.

Cardiac allograft procedure and mouse treatments. C57BL/6 mice (10–12 weeks) received heterotopic cardiac allograft transplants from sex-matched donor BALB/c mice (6–8 weeks) as previously described (82). Tolerized mice were treated with anti–laminin α4 Ab (100 μg i.v., days −7 and 0; Novus Biologicals) or MMP-14 inhibitor (MMPI) (200 μg i.v., days −7 and 0; GenScript). Immune mice were treated with anti–laminin α5 Ab (100 μg i.v., day 0; Novus Biologicals) after surgery (Supplemental Table 1, in vivo). Graft function was monitored daily by abdominal palpitation. At either time of rejection or 40 days after transplantation, mice were euthanized and the donor heart was excised, fixed with 10% paraformaldehyde, and embedded in paraffin. Graft parenchymal and perivascular inflammation and cellular infiltration were determined using H&E staining. Masson’s trichrome staining was used to determine the degree of fibrosis in graft tissue sections. Parenchymal rejection and graft arterial disease (PR/GAD) scoring was based on a modified protocol published by the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation (83–85).
Cell preparations and T cell adoptive transfer. LNs and spleen were collected from TEs Tg or OT-II Tg mice, and tissue was passed through a 70-μm cell strainer for homogenization. CD4+ T cell isolation was completed using the EasySep Mouse CD4+ T cell Enrichment Kit according to the manufacturer’s recommendations (StemCell Technologies). Purity of 95%–99% was confirmed by flow cytometry for each experiment. Cells were labeled with CFSE or Qtracker 655 according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). Mice were given 20 × 10^6 cells i.v. at the time of tolerance or immune induction. As indicated, tolerized mice were treated with anti-laminin α4 mAb (1 μg via footpad injection, day –8) or MMPi (100 μg i.v., day 0). Anti–laminin-α5 Ab was administered (1 μg via footpad injection, day –8).

Multiphoton intravital microscopy. Mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and abdominal fur removed with depilatory. Mice were immobilized to a restraint apparatus and maintained on a 37°C microscope stage. The abdominal cavity surrounding the right inguinal LN was opened and fixed to the restraint apparatus. The LN was exposed, with careful attention to avoid severing blood and lymphatic vessels. Mice received a single injection containing 20 × 10^6 CFSE+ CD4+ TEs TCR Tg T cells, 20 × 10^6 Qtracker 655+ OT-II TCR Tg T cells, 10^7 DST cells, and 2 mg TRITC-conjugated 70-Kd dextran (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.), with or without 0.25 mg i.v. anti-CD40L mAb. Maintaining a liquid column of 1× PBS between the LN and submersible x200 optic, LNs were imaged from 20 to 240 minutes after cell transfer using a Zeiss 710 NLO OPO multiphoton microscope and ZEN 2009 software (Carl Zeiss). Images were analyzed using Velocity image analysis software (PerkinElmer). Circulating and rolling cells (Figure 1, C and D) were manually quantified in 200 frames per 800-frame sequence and normalized for total events per sequence.

Immunohistochemistry. LNs, spleen, and heart were excised and immediately submerged in OCT compound (Sakura Finetek) or fixed using paraformaldehyde. Tissues in OCT were quickly frozen using dry ice, then kept at –80°C for long-term storage. Whole organs fixed in paraformaldehyde were subsequently embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and stained using H&E. LN cryosections were cut in triplicate at 5 μm using a Microm HM 550 cryostat (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). Sections were fixed with cold acetone for 5 minutes, then washed in PBS or left unfixed (Lonza) for fluorescent microscopy. Primary Ab’s were diluted between 1:20 and 1:200 in PBS and incubated for 1 hour in a humidified chamber (Supplemental Table 2). Sections were washed 1 time with PBS, and secondary Ab was applied at a 1:50–1:400 dilution for 30 minutes (Supplemental Table 3). Slides were washed in PBS for 5 minutes, coverslipped, and imaged under a fluorescent microscope. Slides for confocal microscopy were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and treated with 1% glycerol in PBS before coverslapping and imaging. Images were analyzed with Velocity image analysis software (PerkinElmer).

Transmigration assay. Laminin α4 (R&D Systems Inc.) and laminin-511 (containing the α5 chain; BioLamina) proteins were diluted in PBS and coated onto 3-μm porous Transwell inserts set in a 24-well plate (Costar; Corning Inc.) at 4°C overnight. MS-1 cells (American Type Culture Collection) were removed from liquid nitrogen, suspended in media, and propagated onto a 75-cm² tissue culture flask for 2–3 days in 10% DMEM. Two to three days before the transmigration assay, MS-1 cells were added into the top of the laminin-coated Transwell insert. MS-1 cells were added at a dose of 75,000 to 150,000 cells per insert and incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ for 1–2 days. To confirm MS-1 cell confluence, H&E staining was performed. CD4+ T cells were isolated from C57BL/6 mice as described above. CD4+ T cell concentration was adjusted to 1 × 10^6 cells per milliliter, and cells were fluorescently labeled with CFSE (2.5 μg/ml) for 10 minutes. Six hundred microliters of fresh 0.5% BSA media plus 0.5 μg/ml of CCL21 was added to the bottom well; 5 × 10^5 CFSE-labeled CD4+ T cells were added to the top of the insert, and the plate was incubated at 37°C in 5% CO₂ for 3 hours. Cells were quantified in the top and bottom wells of the chamber to assess migration across inserts.

Cellular perfusion assay. In vitro perfusion experiments were performed using a BioFlux 1000 system (Fluxion Biosciences) coupled with an automated Axio Observer Z1 microscope (Carl Zeiss). Perfusion and imaging sequences were controlled using system software from a standard desktop computer. Twenty-four-channel, 0- to 20-dyne, BioFlux 1000 plates were initially coated with laminin α4, laminin-511, or laminin α4 plus laminin-511 (50 μg/ml) for 1 hour at 37°C in 5% CO₂. Channels were washed once with HBSS plus 0.5% RSA and seeded with MS-1 cells suspended in DMEM plus 10% FBS. The plate was then placed in a 37°C, 5% CO₂ incubator for 2 days or until MS-1 cells reached confluence, determined by visual examination of each channel. CCL21 (0.5 μg/ml) was added to selected channels for 6–12 hours before the addition of CFSE+ CD4+ T cells. One hundred microliters of CD4+ T cells (2.5 × 10^6 to 3.0 × 10^6 cells/ml) were perfused through channels (0.5 dynes/cm², 37°C). Bright-field and fluorescent images (≥200, 10-ms and 50-ms exposures, respectively) were obtained from 4 representative positions in each channel for a minimum of 30 minutes. Adherence and transmigration were determined using Velocity image analysis software (PerkinElmer).

Statistics. Data were analyzed with Prism 4.0c software (GraphPad Software Inc.) using Student’s unpaired 2-tailed t tests (for single variable differences), or 1- or 2-way ANOVA (for multiple variable differences) with Tukey’s or Bonferroni post-tests, as appropriate. P values of 0.05 or less were considered statistically significant.

Study approval. All mouse procedures were performed in accordance with protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Utilization Committee at the University of Maryland School of Medicine.
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