Tremendous progress has been made in characterizing the bidirectional interactions between the central nervous system, the enteric nervous system, and the gastrointestinal tract. A series of provocative preclinical studies have suggested a prominent role for the gut microbiota in these gut-brain interactions. Based on studies using rodents raised in a germ-free environment, the gut microbiota appears to influence the development of emotional behavior, stress- and pain-modulation systems, and brain neurotransmitter systems. Additionally, microbiota perturbations by probiotics and antibiotics exert modulatory effects on some of these measures in adult animals. Current evidence suggests that multiple mechanisms, including endocrine and neurocrine pathways, may be involved in gut microbiota–to–brain signaling and that the brain can in turn alter microbial composition and behavior via the autonomic nervous system. Limited information is available on how these findings may translate to healthy humans or to disease states involving the brain or the gut/brain axis. Future research needs to focus on confirming that the rodent findings are translatable to human physiology and to diseases such as irritable bowel syndrome, autism, anxiety, depression, and Parkinson’s disease.
Introduction

Alterations in bidirectional brain-gut microbiota interactions are believed to be involved in the pathogenesis of well-known brain-gut disorders such as irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) and related functional gastrointestinal (GI) disorders (1, 2) and have more recently been implicated as a possible mechanism in the pathophysiology of several brain disorders including autism spectrum disorders (ASDs) (3, 4), Parkinson’s disease (5), disorders of mood and affect (3, 6), and chronic pain (7). However, there is considerable controversy over the magnitude as well as the sites, pathways, and molecular mechanisms within the gut-brain axis that are responsible for these alterations. The intestinal microbiota and its metabolites have been shown to be involved in modulating GI functions, given their ability to affect intestinal permeability (8–11), mucosal immune function (9–14), intestinal motility (15) and sensitivity (14, 16), and activity in the enteric nervous system (ENS) (reviewed in ref. 17). Additionally, preclinical evidence suggests that the microbiota and its metabolites are likely to be involved in modulating behaviors and brain processes, including stress responsiveness (reviewed in ref. 18), emotional behavior (reviewed in ref. 19), pain modulation (reviewed in refs. 3, 20), ingestive behavior (reviewed in ref. 21), and brain biochemistry (reviewed in ref. 22).

To date, there is limited high-quality evidence regarding alterations of microbial ecology or production of microbial-derived metabolic products in human patients with brain or gut-brain disorders (11). For example, there is inconclusive evidence from human studies regarding the beneficial effects of manipulating the microbiota with prebiotics and antibiotics in patients with IBS, even though meta-analyses suggest a small therapeutic effect for probiotics (reviewed in refs. 23, 24). Furthermore, it is not clear whether alterations observed in the microbiota of patients with these disorders arise from primary alterations at the gut microbial interface (bottom-up effects) and/or changes in brain-to-gut signaling (top-down effects).

Despite the limited clinical evidence, a large and growing number of review articles have appeared in the literature (3, 5, 25–27), extrapolating the preclinical findings to human diseases and even to human brain development (28). However, other than a series of case reports on the development of psychotic symptoms following broad-spectrum antibiotic intake (29, 30), there is limited clinical evidence that acute alteration of the intestinal microbiota has an effect on clinical symptoms (5, 31–34).

This article critically reviews the current preclinical literature, explores the current evidence in humans consistent with the preclinical findings, and identifies translational research areas required to identify a role of the gut microbiota in modulating the brain and the gut-brain axis.

Gut microbiota effects on the brain: preclinical evidence

Several experimental approaches have been used to study the modulatory effect of gut microbiota on gut-brain interactions, including gut microbial manipulation with antibiotics (35), fecal microbial transplantation (35, 36), and germ-free (GF) animal models (ref. 37 and Figure 1). Despite the limitations of these approaches, considerable progress has been made from the first seminal observation by Sudo and colleagues in experimental animals that the absence of a normal gut microbiota can have significant effects on adult stress responsiveness and that these alterations can be partially reversed by colonization of the gut (37). A range of microbiota-related effects have been reported in relation to anxiety-like behavior (38–45), depression-like behavior (42, 45–48), nociceptive responses (7, 49–53), stress responsiveness...
The developing organism may affect brain development and alter gut microbiota–generated short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) for changes secondary to the loss of an important source of calories. Metabolic brain regions processing such input. GF mice are leaner than control animals, despite consuming more calories (64, 65). Metabolic dilution associated with this model, could alter development of signaling of the cecum to the brain, secondary to the massive cecal dilation associated with this model, could alter development of brain regions processing such input. GF mice are leaner than control animals, despite consuming more calories (64, 65). Metabolic changes secondary to the loss of an important source of calories (gut microbiota–generated short-chain fatty acids [SCFAs]) for the developing organism may affect brain development and alter the activity of brain circuits involved in feeding behavior and metabolism. Finally, the recently reported alterations in the permeability of the blood-brain barrier in GF mice is likely to result in significantly altered access of gut microbial metabolites to the brain (66). Despite the extensive remodeling of biological systems in the GF animal, the fact that some observed behaviors and brain changes could be reversed by reconstitution of pathogen-free microbiota (conventionalization) validates some of the conclusions drawn. Nevertheless, as the GF animal has no counterpart in human brain development, premature conclusions about the relevance of these findings to humans should be avoided. Broad-spectrum antibiotics have well-documented transient effects on the composition and diversity of fecal microbiota (35) even though the effects on mucosa-associated microbial communities are not known. Furthermore, antibiotic-related effects may be mediated by the associated mucosal immune activation reported with such interventions (67).

Of reports published since 2010 using different strains of mice and rats, different strains of probiotics, and different experimental paradigms (ref. 22 and Figure 1), a range of effects of gut microbial modulation was reported on emotional behavior (38–43, 48, 68–70), learning and memory (42, 71, 72), social interactions (48, 58, 73), and ingestive behaviors (55). Results of these studies are summarized in Tables 1–4.

Emotional behavior. When viewed together, reported findings demonstrate an increase in emotional behavior associated with infection/infestation with pathogens (38–40, 70); a reduction of basal or induced anxiety-like behavior in animals with normal gut microbiota, resulting from different, orally administered probiotics (41–43, 47, 52, 53, 56, 74, 75); and both reduced (38–40, 70) and increased anxiety (72) in rodents that have been raised in the absence of a gut microbiota. A reduction in depression-like behaviors was observed in different rodent models with normal gut microbiota, following administration of a probiotic (42, 48). Depression-like behavior in these models was induced by maternal separation (47) and experimental myocardial infarction (MI) (48).
Table 1. Effects of gut microbial modulation on rodent emotional behavior

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Biological readouts</th>
<th>Behavioral readouts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neufeld et al. (40)</td>
<td>Adult female Swiss-Webster mice</td>
<td>GF vs. conventionally raised SPF mice: Locomotor activity in activity chambers, Elevated plus maze test, Serum corticosterone levels, Gene expression</td>
<td>In GF mice: Total distance traveled in activity chambers did not differ, Increased open-arm exploration in plus maze test, Increased concentrations of corticosterone levels, Decreased NMDA subunit NR2B mRNA expression in central amygdala, Decreased BDNF expression in dentate gyrus layer of hippocampus, Decreased 5-HT1A expression in dentate gyrus layer of hippocampus</td>
<td>Decreased anxiety-like behavior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarke et al. (38)</td>
<td>Adult male and female Swiss-Webster mice</td>
<td>GF vs. SPF mice: Anxiety-like behavior tested using the light-dark box test</td>
<td>GF mice had: Increased concentrations of 5-hydroxytryptamine and 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid in the hippocampus, Increased concentrations of tryptophan (serotonin precursor) in males, Decreased BDNF levels in the hippocampus</td>
<td>Decreased anxiety-like behavior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bercik et al. (41)</td>
<td>Adult male AKR mice</td>
<td>Mice with normal flora: Chronic DSS colitis, B. longum NCC3001 on the 3rd cycle of DSS, Step-down and light preference tests, BDNF mRNA measured in neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cells</td>
<td>Chronic colitis was associated with increased anxiety-like behavior, Anxiety-like behavior was normalized by B. longum NCC3001 treatment, NCC3001 treatment had no effect on BDNF mRNA expression in neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cells, but decreased excitation of enteric neurons</td>
<td>Increased anxiety-like behavior following colitis, which was normalized by B. longum NCC3001 treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bravo et al. (42)</td>
<td>Adult male BALB/c mice</td>
<td>Broth gavage with L. rhamnosus (JB-1) or without bacteria, Stress-induced hyperthermia, Elevated plus maze test, Fear-conditioning paradigm, Open-field paradigm, Forced swim test</td>
<td>Treatment with L. rhamnosus (JB-1) induced: Increased GABA (B1b) mRNA cingulate and prelimbic cortex, Decreased GABA (B1b) mRNA in hippocampus, amygdala, and locus coeruleus, Increased ABA (A2x2) mRNA in hippocampus, Decreased GABA (A2x2) mRNA expression in prefrontal cortex and amygdala</td>
<td>Mice treated with L. rhamnosus (JB-1) showed decreased anxiety- and depression-like behaviors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desbonnet, et al. (47)</td>
<td>Adult pregnant Sprague–Dawley dams and offspring</td>
<td>Mice underwent MS Administration of B. infantis Treatment with citalopram hydrobromide Forced swim test Measurement of cytokine concentrations in whole blood samples, monoamine levels in brain, central and peripheral HPA axis indicators</td>
<td>MS mice had decreased NA content in the brain, increased peripheral IL-6 release, and increased amygdala CRF mRNA levels in MS mice, B. infantis treatment resulted in normalization of the immune response and restoration of basal NA concentrations in the brainstem</td>
<td>MS mice had decreased swim behavior and increased immobility in forced swim test, Mice treated with B. infantis had decreased anxiety-like behavior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenault-Breard et al. (48)</td>
<td>Adult male Sprague–Dawley rats</td>
<td>MI was induced in anesthetized rats treated with probiotics (L. helveticus R0052 and B. longum R0175) or vehicle (maltodextrin) Forced swim test Passive avoidance step-down test Intestinal permeability (FITC-dextran)</td>
<td>Increased intestinal permeability in MI rats, Probiotics reversed/restored intestinal permeability</td>
<td>MI rats displayed depression-like behaviors (decreased social interaction, decreased performance in forced swim test, passive avoidance in step-down test) L. helveticus R0052 and B. longum R0175 reversed depression-like behavior after MI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumeyrolle-Arias et al. (44)</td>
<td>Adult female GF and pregnant SPF F344 rats</td>
<td>Open field test Social interactions Serum corticosterone (CRF) concentrations</td>
<td>GF rats exhibited: Increased CRF mRNA in hypothalamus, Decreased GR mRNA expression in hippocampus, Decreased dopaminergic turnover rate in hippocampus, frontal cortex, and striatum, Increased CRF levels after the open field stress test</td>
<td>Absence of gut microbiota in F344 rats increased reactivity to stress and anxiety-like behavior, and reduced social interactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savignac et al. (45)</td>
<td>Adult male BALB/cOlaHsd mice</td>
<td>Administration of B. longum 1714, B. breve 1205, antidepressant escitalopram, or vehicle Stress-induced hypothermia FITC- dextran Tests: marble burying, elevated plus maze, open field, tail suspension, forced swim</td>
<td>No group differences in corticosterone levels</td>
<td>Bifidobacteria strains caused decreased anxiety-like behavior in marble-burying test, B. longum 1714 associated with reduced stress-induced hyperthermia, B. longum 1714 associated with decreased depression-like behavior in tail suspension test B. breve 1205 associated with decreased anxiety-like behavior in elevated plus maze Escitalopram associated with decreased anxiety-like behavior in marble burying test</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CRF, corticotropin-releasing factor; GR, glucocorticoid receptor; 5-HT1A, 5-hydroxytryptamine 1A; MI, myocardial infarction; MS, maternal separation; NA, noradrenaline; SPF, specific pathogen free.
Learning and memory. While improvement of impaired memory function by probiotics was observed in a rodent model of diabetes (71), several studies showed a worsening with exposure to a pathogen (72), GF status (39), and administration of a probiotic (42).

Social interactions and ASD-like behaviors. Gut microbiota status was found to reduce social interactions in GF mice (58), and probiotics improved social interactions in a post-MI rat model (48, 58, 73). Gut microbiota-associated behavioral changes were reported in different ASD mouse models using valproic acid administration (59) or maternal infection (60); in the latter instance, treatment with the probiotic *Bacteroides fragilis* had a beneficial effect on some of the behavioral abnormalities (60).

Ingestive behavior. A limited number of studies suggest that gut microbial composition can influence ingestive behavior (54, 55, 57). Some of these effects are likely mediated by significant alterations in intestinal taste receptor, fatty acid receptors, intestinal transport mechanisms, and changes in the release of satiety hormones.

HPA axis responsiveness. Increased basal or stimulated HPA axis activity (measured as blood corticosterone or ACTH levels) was reported in GF Swiss–Webster and adult female C57BL/6 mice (38, 40, 72), while a probiotic-induced reduction of corticosterone levels was observed in normal mice (42). The association of increased HPA axis responses and reduced anxiety-like behaviors observed in several of the studies performed in GF mice suggests that hypothalamic (HPA axis) and nonhypothalamic (anxiety-like behavior) components of central stress circuits may be affected differentially by the GF conditions, depending on species and mouse strain, a response pattern not seen in the majority of anxiety models in which these two components of the stress response are generally congruent. These findings suggest that the increased HPA axis activity in GF animals may represent a response of the organism to the loss of microbiota-related energy sources. However, two studies have reported evidence for both increased anxiety-like behavior and HPA axis hyperresponsiveness in BALB/c mice (76) and in F344 male rats (44).

Epithelial permeability. Alterations in gut epithelial permeability have been described in IBS (77) and in some patients with autism and schizophrenia (78). Gut microbiota and probiotics play an important modulatory role on intestinal barrier function (79, 80). Recent evidence has shown that the probiotic *B. fragilis* normalizes increased intestinal epithelial permeability in an ASD mouse model (60).

Brain-signaling systems. Several studies showed reduced expression of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) in the brains of GF animals (primarily in hippocampus) (35, 38, 39, 72) and increased BDNF expression in infection models (70). Other reported regional changes in receptor expression include GABA receptor A and B subunits (which mediate the effects of the major

### Table 2. Effects of gut microbial modulation on learning and memory in rodents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Biological readouts</th>
<th>Behavioral readouts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Davari et al. (71)</td>
<td>Adult male Wistar rats</td>
<td>Control and diabetic rats received normal regimen or probiotic supplementation (mixture of <em>L. acidophilus, B. lactis</em> and <em>L. fermentum</em>) Streptozocin-induced diabetes model Morris water maze Recording of EPSPs in CA1 area of the hippocampus Measurements: serum levels of glucose, insulin, SOD, and 8-OHDG</td>
<td>Probiotic supplementation was associated with: Improved spatial memory and restored hippocampal LTP in diabetes model Increased levels of SOD Increased insulin and decreased glucose levels Decreased 8-OHDG factor Increased synaptic transmission in hippocampus</td>
<td>Probiotic mixture caused improved learning and memory in diabetes model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gareau et al. (42)</td>
<td>Adult female Swiss–Webster and adult female C57BL/6 mice</td>
<td>GF vs. SPF status Infection with <em>Citrobacter rodentium</em> Tests: Water avoidance stress test, novel object test, T-maze test (examine natural tendency to explore novel environments), light–dark box test</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>GF mice (with or without stress) showed reduced memory compared with controls C57BL/6 mice treated with <em>C. rodentium</em> showed no behavioral abnormalities, improved memory compared with controls, and reduced stress-induced memory loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diaz Heijitz et al. (39)</td>
<td>Adult male NMRI mice</td>
<td>GF mice vs. conventionally raised SPF mice Measurements: DA receptor (D1 and D2) expression DARPP-32 expression</td>
<td>Increased DA D1 receptor mRNA levels in hippocampus Decreased DA D1 receptor in striatum and nucleus accumbens No significant differences in DA D2 and DARPP-32</td>
<td>Reduced cognitive function in GF group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bravo et al. (42)</td>
<td>Adult male BALB/c mice</td>
<td>Broth gavage with <em>L. rhamnosus</em> (JB-1) or without bacteria Stress-induced hyperthermia Elevated plus maze Fear-conditioning paradigm Open-field paradigm Forced swim test</td>
<td>With <em>L. rhamnosus</em> (JB-1) treatment. Region-dependent alterations in GABA (A)1 mRNA in the brain: expression increased in cortical regions (cingulate and prelimbic), but decreased in hippocampus, amygdala, and locus coeruleus GABA (A)2 mRNA expression decreased in prefrontal cortex and amygdala, but increased in hippocampus</td>
<td><em>L. rhamnosus</em> (JB-1) treatment reduced cognitive abilities (measured by extinguishing fear conditioning)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DA, dopamine; EPSP, potentiated excitatory postsynaptic potential; LTP, long-term potentiation; 8-OHDG, 8-hydroxy-2′-deoxyguanosine; SOD, superoxide dismutase.
Table 3. Effects of gut microbial modulation on rodent social and autism-like behaviors and ingestive behaviors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Biological readouts</th>
<th>Behavioral readouts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social and autism-like behaviors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desbonnet et al. (58)</td>
<td>Adolescent and adult male GF mice</td>
<td>Conventionally colonized mice vs. GF mice that underwent bacterial colonization after weaning</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Compared with conventionally colonized mice, GF mice showed: Increased social avoidance, Reduced preference for social novelty, Decreased time engaged in social investigation, Increased time engaged in repetitive self-grooming behavior during social interaction. Behaviors were normalized following bacterial colonization of GF mice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arseneault-Breard et al. (48)</td>
<td>Adult male Sprague-Dawley rats</td>
<td>MI induced in anesthetized rats treated with <em>L. helveticus</em> R0052 and <em>B. longum</em> R0175 or vehicle (maltodextrin)</td>
<td>Increased intestinal permeability in MI rats</td>
<td>Intestinal permeability reversed/restored by probiotics. MI rats displayed reduced social interaction and performance in forced swim and step-down tests. Probiotics reversed behavioral effects of MI.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Theije et al. (59)</td>
<td>Pregnant BALB/c females and their male and female offspring</td>
<td>Autism-like behavior induced by prenatal exposure to valproic acid in pregnant females</td>
<td>Increased expression of neuroinflammatory markers in male and female brains</td>
<td>MIA offspring showed ASD-like behaviors. Treatment of MIA offspring with <em>B. fragilis</em> ameliorated defects in communicative, stereotypic anxiety and sensorimotor behaviors and did not affect social behavior deficits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsiao et al. (60)</td>
<td>Pregnant C57BL/6N mice and offspring</td>
<td>MIA model was used to evaluate the effect of maternal infection on autism-like behaviors in offspring</td>
<td>In MIA offspring; Porphyromonadaceae, prevotellaceae, unclassified bacteriodales, and lachnospiraceae were more abundant. Significant alterations in 8% of all serum metabolites, including increased 4EPS. In control animals, administration of 4EPS caused autism-like behaviors. B. fragilis treatment of MIA offspring led to significant restoration in relative abundance of bacteroidia and clostridia of the family lachnospiraceae. B. fragilis treatment of MIA offspring restored serum metabolite levels, especially 4EPS.</td>
<td>MIA offspring showed ASD-like behaviors. Treatment of MIA offspring with <em>B. fragilis</em> ameliorated defects in communicative, stereotypic anxiety and sensorimotor behaviors and did not affect social behavior deficits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ingestive behavior</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ducal et al. (54)</td>
<td>Adult male C57BL/6J mice</td>
<td>GF mice vs. NORM mice: Preference for and acceptance of fat emulsions. Changes in lingual and intestinal fatty acid receptors, intestinal peptide content, and plasma levels of gut peptides</td>
<td>Compared with NORM mice, GF mice had: Increased preference for and intake of intralipids. Increased lingual CD36 and decreased intestinal expression of fatty acid receptors GPR40, GPR41, GPR43, and GPR120 and satiety peptides CCK, PYY, and GLP-1. Number of enterendocrine cells decreased in ileum and increased in colon. Reduced levels of circulating leptin and ghrelin, altered plasma lipid metabolic markers indicative of energy deficits.</td>
<td>GF mice showed increased preference for and caloric intake of fats.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vijay-Kumar et al. (55)</td>
<td>Adult male and female C57BL/6 mice</td>
<td>Gut microbiota from TLR5-deficient mice was transferred to WT GF mice</td>
<td>Compared with GF mice, TLR5-deficient mice exhibited hyperlipidemia, insulin resistance, metabolic syndrome, adiposity.</td>
<td>TLR5-deficient mice showed hyperphagia, which was transferable to GF mice by fecal transplant.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4EPS, 4-ethylphenylsulfate; MIA, maternal immune activation.
The effects of gut microbial modulation on rodent HPA axis and stress responsiveness and epithelial permeability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4. Effects of gut microbial modulation on rodent HPA axis and stress responsiveness and epithelial permeability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reference</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gareau et al. (72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bravo et al. (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarke et al. (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neufeld et al. (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crumeyrolle-Arias et al. (44)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Epithelial permeability**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Biological readouts</th>
<th>Behavioral readouts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hsiao et al. (60)</td>
<td>Adult pregnant C57BL/6N mice and offspring</td>
<td>MIA model was used to link maternal infection to increased autism risk in offspring Measurement: intestinal permeability before and after <em>B. fragilis</em></td>
<td>MIA offspring had GI barrier defects, as reflected by increased translocation of FITC-dextran across the intestinal epithelium, into the circulation <em>B. fragilis</em> treatment of MIA offspring: Improved GI barrier defect Significantly restored relative abundance of bacteria and clostridia of the family lachnospiraceae Restored serum metabolites (especially 4EPS) to control levels</td>
<td>MIA offspring showed ASD-like behaviors <em>B. fragilis</em> treatment of MIA offspring: Ameliorated defects in communicative, stereotypic anxiety, and sensorimotor behaviors Did not affect social behavior deficits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arseneault-Breard et al. (48)</td>
<td>Adult male Sprague-Dawley rats</td>
<td>MI was induced in anesthetized rats Rats received either <em>L. helveticus</em> R0052 and <em>B. longum</em> R0175 or vehicle (maltodextrin) Intestinal permeability evaluated by FITC-dextran</td>
<td>Increased intestinal permeability in MI rats Probiotics reversed/restored intestinal permeability</td>
<td>MI rats displayed fewer social interactions and poorer performance in forced swim and step-down tests Probiotics reversed behavioral effects of MI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inhibitory neurotransmitter in the brain) (42), NMDA receptor subunits (which mediate some of the effects of the excitatory neurotransmitter glutamate) (70), serotonin 1A (40), and tryptophan and tryptophan metabolite levels (38). Some of these changes in neuroreceptor expression were correlated with altered emotional behaviors (39, 40, 42, 70), implying an interaction between microbial composition and behavior. Results of studies in which such measures were assessed are summarized in Tables 1–4.
Role of gut microbiota in brain development

Given the important role of perinatal influences on the developing nervous system and the well-documented effects of adverse early life influences on the gut/brain axis, there is a strong rationale to implicate the gut microbiota in these processes (refs. 22, 81, and Figure 2). In animal models, prenatal and postnatal stress can alter the composition and total biomass of the enteric microbiota (82, 83). The majority of studies have compared adult behaviors, brain findings, and physiological responses, such as activation of the HPA axis, between animals born into and raised in a GF environment and animals raised in a laboratory cage environment. A smaller number of studies have reported data showing a role of gut microbiota in the effect of early adverse life events on adult behavior. Support for such long-lasting consequences for adult phenotypes of early life perturbations of the gut microbiota comes from two recent studies of the effects of early life antibiotic administration on adult visceral pain sensitivity (84) and metabolism (85).

Perinatal stress models. Extensive preclinical literature has characterized the effects of perinatal stress on the adult CNS, including the HPA axis (86), and brain systems involved in emotion (63), pain modulation (87–89), and in intestinal function (87). The brain and behavioral effects of perinatal stress observed in rodent models show high translational validity for a range of human diseases, including functional GI disorders (90, 91) and psychiatric disorders (92) in which early adverse life events have been established as an important vulnerability factor. This extensive body of research, including molecular and epigenetic mechanisms, was generated without taking the gut microbiota into account. However, more evidence has been reported for the involvement of the gut microbiota in these perinatal stressors in brain and associated behavioral changes, starting with initial reports showing that both maternal stress and maternal separation had an effect on the gut microbiota (82). Monkeys subjected to maternal separation between six and nine months of age showed gut microbiota changes characterized by shedding of lactobacilli three days following separation, with the return of normal lactobacilli levels seven days later. Adult rats that had undergone maternal separation showed altered fecal microbiota composition compared with normally reared control animals (88). It remains unclear whether the reported microbiota changes following perinatal stress are simply a consequence of the well-established changes in stress reactivity and altered regional autonomic nervous system (ANS) regulation of gut motility and secretion (leading to a change in microbiota environment) or whether other factors play a role. However, in view of the reported effects of altered microbiota signaling to the brain, it is possible that an alteration in the brain/gut microbiota/brain loop during certain developmental windows contributes to the adult phenotype of these animals.

Adult stress models. Considerable evidence supports the role for stress and its mediators in modulating the intestinal microbiota in adults (refs. 82, 93, 94, and reviewed in ref. 26). In adult mice, psychosocial stress reduced the proportion of Bacteroidetes but increased the proportion of Clostridia in the cecum (95). In the same study, stress-induced increases in IL-6 and chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 2 (MCP1) were observed, and these changes were correlated with certain bacterial species. Additional studies are needed to determine whether gut microbial alterations observed in preclinical studies and some patient studies with stress-sensitive GI disorders, such as IBS, result from stress-induced acceleration of regional intestinal transit, intestinal secretion, or other effects of stress on the intestinal microbiota.

When viewed together, these studies support a role of the gut microbiota in modulating emotional, nociceptive, and feeding behaviors in rodents. Comprehensive reviews of these studies, including speculation about possible human implications, have been published (2, 4, 17–19, 22, 23, 25, 26, 96–99). The intriguing preclinical results should inform the design of human studies in the future.

Gut microbiota and human brain function and behavior

In contrast to the emergence of a rich and robust preclinical literature on various aspects of microbiota-brain interactions, limited information is available from human studies. This may be due to (a) the increased complexity of studying the human microbiota, which is affected by wide variations in diet, environmental influences, sex-related differences, and genetic variation; (b) the difficulty of measuring subtle changes in human emotional and cognitive function; and (c) underlying functional and possibly structural changes in the human CNS. Gut microbial organization patterns have been associated with two clinical phenotypes. A recent study in babies with infant colic, often thought to be a risk factor for the development of IBS and anxiety disorders, showed reduced overall diversity, increased density of Proteobacteria, and decreased numbers of Bacteroides compared with healthy babies (33). A growing number of studies in IBS patients have provided evidence
for alterations in gut microbial composition (reviewed in refs. 11, 23), even though a causal role of these microbial changes in clinical symptoms has not been established.

**Effect of interventions targeting the gut microbiota.** Another approach to determining the effects of the gut microbiota on brain function has been to use self-reporting measures as a proxy for changes in brain function after modulating the microbiota with probiotics. In a randomized, placebo-controlled study of healthy men and women, psychological distress and anxiety improved after taking a Lactobacillus- and Bifidobacterium-containing probiotic compared with those taking a matched control product, though another study using a different Lactobacillus probiotic failed to confirm these findings (69, 100). Limitations in study design, including sample size, baseline mood of the subject sample, instruments used to collect the mood symptoms, interindividual variation in terms of microbial composition, and differences between the probiotics may have accounted for the discrepancy in results. Another approach has been to use functional MRI (fMRI) to assess human brain changes in response to modulation of the gut microbiota. One study has shown that chronic ingestion of a probiotic consortium changed functional brain responses in healthy women (31). In this study, the response to an emotional face recognition task was measured with fMRI in healthy women before and after taking four weeks of active probiotic, nonfermented dairy product, or no treatment at all. The women who had ingested the probiotic had a reduced response to the emotional recognition task across a wide network of brain regions that included sensory and emotional regions. There were no differences in self-reporting of symptoms of anxiety or depression between the treatment groups; however, the fMRI alterations suggest a basic change in responsiveness to negative emotional stimuli in the environment.

**A second brain imaging study evaluated the effects of gut microbiota modulation via administration of a nonabsorbable antibiotic in patients with hepatic encephalopathy and mild cognitive impairment (32). Performance on a cognitive task improved, along with fMRI evidence for increased subcortical brain activity and improved fronto-parietal connectivity during the task. In another study using the same underlying disorder and antibiotic treatment, cognitive function was also improved after an eight-week treatment course, in conjunction with changes in serum metabolites presumed to be of bacterial origin (101). The mechanisms by which the brain changes in response to these experimental perturbations of the gut bacteria is not clear but may include some of the gut/brain signaling mechanisms shown in Figure 3.**

**Bidirectional interactions of the intestinal microbiota and CNS**

The CNS modulates the GI tract and ENS via the sympathetic and parasympathetic branches of the ANS, as well as via the HPA axis. These CNS influences can affect the enteric microbiota/brain axis. A network of specialized target/transducer cells in the gut wall functions as an interface between the microbiota and the host lumen. In response to external and bodily demands, the brain modulates these specialized cells within this network via the branches of the ANS (sympathetic and parasympathetic/vagal afferents) and the HPA axis. Such modulation can be transient, such as in response to transient perturbations, or long lasting, such as in response to chronically altered brain output. The microbiota is in constant bidirectional communication with this interface via multiple microbial signaling pathways, and this communication is modulated in response to perturbations of the microbiota or the brain. The integrated output of the gut microbial-brain interface is transmitted back to the brain via multiple afferent signaling pathways, including endocrine (metabolites, cytokines, and microbial signaling molecules) and neurocrine (vagal and spinal afferents). While acute alterations in this interceptive feedback can result in transient functional brain changes (GI infections), chronic alterations are associated with neuroplastic brain changes. Potential therapies aim to normalize altered microbiota signaling to the ENS and central nervous system. FMT, fecal microbial transplant; ICC, interstitial cell of Cajal.
ota indirectly by altering its environment and directly via a large number of signaling molecules (Figure 3 and reviewed in ref. 2). Both branches of the ANS regulate gut functions such as regional motility, secretion of acid, production of bicarbonates and mucus, maintenance of epithelial fluid, permeability of the intestine, and the mucosal immune response (Figure 3 and reviewed in ref. 102). Most of these functions, except for sympathetic- and cortisol-mediated immune regulation, are affected by sympathetic and parasympathetic influences on the circuits of the ENS. Regional and overall changes in GI transit are expected to affect the rate of delivery of nutrients (such as prebiotics, including resistant starches and certain dietary fibers) to the enteric microbiota, gas composition, and other aspects of the luminal environment (reviewed in ref. 2).

**ANS modulation of the gut microbial environment.** Impaired intestinal transit, caused by compromised migrating motor complexes (an ENS-generated motor pattern characteristic of the fasting state of the GI tract that is under parasympathetic modulation), is associated with an increase in microbial colonization (bacterial overgrowth) in the small intestine (103). A reduced number of giant migrating contractions in the colon has been reported in patients with slow-transit constipation (104) and might contribute to symptoms in some patients with IBS and constipation. Alternatively, accelerated intestinal transit, characterized by an increased number of giant migrating contractions, is observed in some patients with diarrheal disorders such as diarrhea-predominant IBS (105). The frequency of regular migrating motor complexes is influenced by the frequency of food intake, quality of sleep, and stress. Acute stress is associated with increased parasympathetic output to the small and large intestine and reduced vagal output to the stomach (102). Even though they have not been studied outside the setting of bacterial overgrowth, these alterations in gut transit are likely to have a major impact on the composition and organizational structure of the gut microbiota in different regions of the GI tract.

ANS-mediated modulation of mucus secretion is likely to have important effects on the size and quality of the intestinal mucus layer, an important habitat for the biofilm, where most enteric microbiota reside (106). The ANS also affects epithelial mechanisms involved in activation of the immune system by the gut. This activation can occur directly through modulation of the response of the gut immune cells (e.g., macrophages and mast cells) to luminal bacteria with antimicrobial peptides (107) or indirectly by altering access of luminal bacteria to gut immune cells. For example, several preclinical studies have demonstrated that stressful stimuli can increase the permeability of the intestinal epithelium, facilitating translocation of luminal organisms and inducing an immune response in the intestinal mucosa (108-113).

**Modulation of gut microbiota by host-derived signaling molecules.** In addition to CNS-induced changes in the gut environment, signaling molecules used by the host for neuronal and neuroendocrine signaling, including but not limited to catecholamines, serotonin, dynorphin, GABA, and cytokines, may also be released into the gut lumen by neurons, immune cells, and enterochromaffin cells (98, 114). This process is likely modulated by the CNS (115-117). Catecholamines are a particularly well-studied example of signaling molecules that allow for direct host-to-microbe signaling. Different types of stressors can increase not only local and plasma levels but also luminal levels of catecholamines such as norepinephrine in the gut (118, 119). Some pathogens can change their proliferative activity in response to exogenous catecholamines in vitro (120). For example, norepinephrine can stimulate proliferation of several strains of enteric pathogens (119) and increase the virulent properties of *Campylobacter jejuni* (121). However, the effect of catecholamines on nonpathogenic organisms and other microbial signaling molecules on gut microbiota composition and metabolic activity in healthy individuals and in disease is not known.

**Microbe-to-host signaling by microbial signaling molecules.** A number of signaling molecules have been identified through which the gut microbiota might communicate with host systems such as the ENS (17) and the brain (Figure 3). Quorum-sensing molecules used by microbes to communicate with each other (including metabolites and neurotransmitter homologs) are also recognized by host cells and may influence enteroendocrine cells, immune cells, and nerve endings in the gut (reviewed in ref. 2). Metabolites produced by gut microbes including SCFAs, metabolites of bile acids, and neuroactive substances such as GABA, tryptophan precursors and metabolites, serotonin, and catecholamines, including free metabolite (105) and cytokines released during the immune response to microbes (95), can signal to the host via receptors on local cells within the gut. These factors can also signal via neurocrine (afferent vagal and possibly spinal) pathways and endocrine mechanisms to targets well beyond the GI tract, including vagal afferents in the portal vein and receptors in the brain (Figure 1). A significant proportion of metabolites identified in the circulation are of gut microbial origin (122), providing the theoretical basis for a vast gut microbiota–to–brain signaling system.

Fermentable carbohydrates such as acetate, propionate, and butyrate, which enter the colon and are converted into SCFAs, are a well-studied example of microbial-derived metabolites. Primary SCFAs have a number of physiologic effects, including reduction of food intake, improvement of glucose tolerance, modulation of lymphocyte and neutrophil function, and activation of epithelial cell signaling pathways (15, 123-127). Signaling through GPCRs, as well as transport of SCFAs by SLC5A8 and the resultant physiological effects, are affected by dietary intake of fermentable fiber (128). Different types of SCFA receptors have been identified on enteroendocrine cells and on neurons of the submucosal and myenteric ganglia (129). A diet supplemented with *Bifidobacterium breve* was associated with increased fatty acid concentrations in the brain; however, the mechanisms underlying these effects are not known (75).

In summary, there are multiple mechanisms by which the microbiota can influence interactions between the gut and the nervous system (Figure 2). Regardless of the sequence of events leading to a state of dysbiosis in a particular disorder, alterations to the microbial community are likely to affect the bidirectional communication between the gut and brain. Such influences may occur early in life and affect the development of the nervous system, the brain’s interaction with the intestine, and the HPA axis; in adults, these influences may act on fully developed circuits (reviewed in refs. 3, 17, 20, and Figure 3). Some of these signaling mechanisms...
Translational implications

One may speculate that the evolutionarily conserved symbiotic relationship between a host and its gut microbiota developed in large part for metabolic reasons, providing the organism with additional energy from ingested food components that require microbial degradation prior to absorption by the host. The rapid functional adaptability of the gut microbiota to different diets, as well as the solid preclinical and clinical evidence for an important role of the gut microbiota in normal and pathological metabolic function and the extensive remodeling of signaling systems related to digestive behavior and intestinal absorption in the GF mouse, is consistent with this hypothesis. From this viewpoint, the observation of robust changes in the HPA axis in GF animals could be explained primarily by metabolic reasons rather than in terms of psychological stress responsiveness. The microbiota-related signaling molecules that communicate with the host may initially have developed in the context of this metabolic challenge. It has been speculated that the gut microbiota may influence the host’s digestive tract (motility, secretion) and digestive behavior (e.g., signaling systems in the gut and the brain), assuring an optimal supply and delivery of its required nutrients (21, 54, 57). The initial primitive gut-brain signaling system may have been greatly expanded and differentiated to the current inter-kingdom signaling system through gene transfer with host epithelial cells and development of long-distance signaling mechanisms to other brain systems that are involved in emotion regulation, cognition and memory, and pain sensitivity. Another intriguing hypothesis recently proposed by Stilling et al. posits that during evolution, microbe-brain interactions critically influenced brain evolution towards the development of the social brain (28). According to this hypothesis, epigenetic mechanisms and lateral gene transfer (132) may have played crucial roles in this process. Based on existing preclinical and clinical data, it is safe to assume that the gut microbiota form a crucial link in the bidirectional interactions between the intestine and the nervous system, and that some of the alterations that affect these interactions are likely to involve changes in the gut microbiota of patients.

Despite the initial exciting preclinical findings, skepticism is warranted when extrapolating findings to human physiology and disease. It is not known whether results obtained in very strictly controlled preclinical conditions such as GF mice are relevant to human physiology and pathophysiology. There is currently limited evidence from epidemiological or high-quality clinical studies to show major effects of the normal gut microbiota or microbiota modulation with dietary changes, prebiotics, probiotics, or antibiotics on gut-brain interactions or on brain function (i.e., affect, cognition) in healthy adult humans or in human disease. Recently published studies include data demonstrating associations between gut microbial alterations and IBS (reviewed in refs. 11, 23, 133), infant colic (5), hepatic encephalopathy (101), craving in alcohol dependence (131), depression (134), and Parkinson’s disease (135). In addition, small but consistent beneficial effects of probiotic intake have been reported in IBS (23). However, clinical observations have failed to reveal major effects of transient perturbations of the gut microbiota on human behavior.

The main effect of the gut microbiota perturbations on the brain may occur at times of lower diversity and instability of the gut microbiota (infants and the elderly) (136–138) and during brain development (perinatal and infant period) (139). During the prenatal period, the developing brain is first exposed to maternal gut-derived metabolites and may be exposed to intrauterine microbes (61). During birth, the newborn’s gut microbiota is shaped by the maternal vaginal (or skin) microbiota (reviewed in refs. 22, 81). Even though the possibility that pre- and postnatal influences on the microbiota can affect brain development is intriguing (Figure 2), there has not been any research in humans characterizing the effect of maternal microbiota modulation on fetal brain development and adult sequelae of such modulation. As shown in Figure 1, the human gut/brain axis fundamentally differs from the rodent axis primarily because of the great expansion of the prefrontal cortex and the frontoinsular regions, which play a major role in human emotional regulation.

Carefully designed translational and clinical studies are required to determine how alterations in these interactions begin and how they are sustained over time. These studies should include longitudinal characterization of microbiota and metabolomic profiles of large cohorts of carefully phenotyped patients (including host genetics [ref. 140], dietary habits, medication use, health status, and comorbid illness), compared with carefully matched individuals without the disease. Controlled interventional studies are also needed to test the effects of prebiotics, probiotics, antibiotics, dietary modifications, and possibly fecal microbial transplantation in patients with disorders in which altered gut microbiota-to-brain signaling has been implicated. These studies should include analyses of changes in intestinal microbiota and metabolomics profiles to correlate any effects on GI functions and symptoms with specific microbial changes. It will also be important to study infants to determine how alteration of the microbiota early in life affects brain development and the interactions between the gut and brain, and whether reagents designed to reduce dysbiosis can change these interactions.
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