Metabolic reprogramming is implicated in macrophage activation, but the underlying mechanisms are poorly understood. Here, we demonstrate that the NOTCH1 pathway dictates activation of M1 phenotypes in isolated mouse hepatic macrophages (HMacs) and in a murine macrophage cell line by coupling transcriptional upregulation of M1 genes with metabolic upregulation of mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation and ROS (mtROS) to augment induction of M1 genes. Enhanced mitochondrial glucose oxidation was achieved by increased recruitment of the NOTCH1 intracellular domain (NICD1) to nuclear and mitochondrial genes that encode respiratory chain components and by NOTCH-dependent induction of pyruvate dehydrogenase phosphatase 1 (Pdp1) expression, pyruvate dehydrogenase activity, and glucose flux to the TCA cycle. As such, inhibition of the NOTCH pathway or Pdp1 knockdown abrogated glucose oxidation, mtROS, and M1 gene expression. Conditional NOTCH1 deficiency in the myeloid lineage attenuated HMac M1 activation and inflammation in a murine model of alcoholic steatohepatitis and markedly reduced lethality following endotoxin-mediated fulminant hepatitis in mice. In vivo monocyte tracking further demonstrated the requirement of NOTCH1 for the migration of blood monocytes into the liver and subsequent M1 differentiation. Together, these results reveal that NOTCH1 promotes reprogramming of mitochondrial metabolism for M1 macrophage activation.
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Meta talk: In vivo monocyte tracking further demonstrated the requirement of NOTCH1 for the migration of blood monocytes into the liver and subsequent M1 differentiation. Together, these results reveal that NOTCH1 promotes reprogramming of mitochondrial metabolism for M1 macrophage activation.

Introduction

In response to environmental cues, innate monocytes or macrophages (Macs) undergo proinflammatory (M1) or alternative (M2) activation. M1 Macs, induced by Th1 cytokines and bacterial LPS, mediate host defense against microorganisms; whereas M2 Macs, under the influence of Th2 cytokines, render antiinflammatory effects and promote wound healing (1). Besides distinct functions and gene expression profiles of M1 and M2 Macs, they exhibit contrasted metabolic activities (2). M1 Macs are associated with increased glycolysis and production of NO from arginine by inducible NO synthase (NOS2). On the other hand, the M2 Macs exhibit indolic activity through activation of the PI3K/AKT pathway (15).

Metabolic reprogramming is implicated in macrophage activation, but the underlying mechanisms are poorly understood. Here, we demonstrate that the NOTCH1 pathway dictates activation of M1 phenotypes in isolated mouse hepatic macrophages (HMacs) and in a murine macrophage cell line by coupling transcriptional upregulation of M1 genes with metabolic upregulation of mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation and ROS (mtROS) to augment induction of M1 genes. Enhanced mitochondrial glucose oxidation was achieved by increased recruitment of the NOTCH1 intracellular domain (NICD1) to nuclear and mitochondrial genes that encode respiratory chain components and by NOTCH-dependent induction of pyruvate dehydrogenase phosphate 1 (Pdp1) expression, pyruvate dehydrogenase activity, and glucose flux to the TCA cycle. As such, inhibition of the NOTCH pathway or Pdp1 knockdown abrogated glucose oxidation, mtROS, and M1 gene expression. Conditional NOTCH1 deficiency in the myeloid lineage attenuated HMac M1 activation and inflammation in a murine model of alcoholic steatohepatitis and markedly reduced lethality following endotoxin-mediated fulminant hepatitis in mice. In vivo monocyte tracking further demonstrated the requirement of NOTCH1 for the migration of blood monocytes into the liver and subsequent M1 differentiation. Together, these results reveal that NOTCH1 promotes reprogramming of mitochondrial metabolism for M1 macrophage activation.
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Mac M1 activation is implicated in the pathogenesis of chronic inflammatory diseases, such as alcoholic steatohepatitis (ASH) (16), nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (17), and insulin resistance and diabetes (18). Chronic alcohol consumption or obesity due to high-fat diet causes dysbiosis and increased gut permeability to PAMPs such as LPS, which enters portal circulation and activates hepatic Macs (HMacs) in ASH or nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (16, 17). We previously reported a mouse model of advanced ASH produced by a combination of high-fat diet overfeeding and alcohol intake (OF+Alc mouse) (19) — a condition often seen in obese alcoholic patients (20–22). In the present study, we used HMacs...
isolated from OF+Alc mice and murine Raw 264.7 cells stimulated with LPS with or without IFN-γ as in vivo- and in vitro–activated M1 Macs to investigate the role of NOTCH in the metabolic basis of M1 activation. Our results demonstrate that the NOTCH1 pathway is activated and the NOTCH1 intracellular domain (NICD1) is recruited to promoters of Nos2 and pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH) phosphatase 1 (Pdp1) genes to mediate M1 activation and mitochondrial glucose oxidation, respectively. NICD1 is also recruited to mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA), and mtDNA-encoded respiratory chain components are upregulated in the manner dependent on NOTCH. The increased glucose oxidation and mitochondrial respiration lead to enhanced mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation and ROS (mtROS), which in turn positively regulates M1 genes, establishing what we believe to be a novel positive regulatory loop mediated by NOTCH-dependent reprogramming of mitochondrial metabolism. Inhibition of myeloid NOTCH1 pathway in OF+Alc mice diminishes blood monocyte migration into the liver, HMacs M1 activation, and hepatic inflammation.

**Results**

*NOTCH1-dependent M1 activation and Nos2 expression.* HMacs isolated from OF+Alc mice (M1 HMacs) had significantly upregulated M1 genes (Nos2, Tnfa, and Il1b) (Figure 1A); modestly increased M2 Arg1; a 4-fold higher Nos2/Arg1 ratio; and a 60% reduction in M2 Il10 (Supplemental Figure 1A; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI76468DS1), depicting a shift toward M1 activation. In these cells, Notch1, but not Notch2, was upregulated, along with the NOTCH target Hes1 (Figure 1B), and NOTCH1 activation was evident by the increased NICD1 protein (Figure 1C). Expression of these genes was suppressed by ex vivo treatment with DAPT (Figure 1D), a γ-secretase inhibitor that blocks NOTCH activation (23). We also tested the role of NOTCH1 in LPS-stimulated M1 gene induction by examining HMacs isolated from chow-fed myeloid-specific LysM-Cre:Notch1fl/fl (Notch1 KO) mice and littermate WT mice. As shown in Figure 1E, expressions of both basal and LPS-induced M1 genes (Nos2, Tnfa, and Il1b) in WT HMacs were attenuated by Notch1 KO (Figure 1E).
Production of NO in M1 Raw 264.7 cells was also diminished by DAPT treatment (Supplemental Figure 1H).

Notch1 activates Nos2 transcription. The most proximal region of the mouse Nos2 promoter (–258/–1) is critical for the activity induced by LPS or LPS plus IFN-γ (LI) (25). This region contains the response elements for the NICD partner CSL, NF-κB, and HIF-1α (Figure 2A). NICD1 binding to the Nos2 promoter detected by ChIP-seq (Figure 1G) was confirmed by ChIP–quantitative PCR (ChIP-qPCR), which shows enrichments of NICD1 and NF-κB at their respective elements in M1 HMacs compared with the cells from the controls (Figure 2A). Exposure of the cells to hypoxia, the condition commonly seen in ASH (26, 27), further increased the enrichments of NICD1 and HIF-1α (Figure 2A). In Raw 264.7 cells, ChIP-qPCR showed both NICD1 and CSL (RBP-Jκ) binding. LPS stimulation and myeloid Notch1 KO have minimal effects on the expression of M2 genes (Arg1, Il10, Mrc1, and Chi3l3) (Supplemental Figure 1B). As these primary cells likely represent heterogeneous populations of Macs, we examined LPS-stimulated Raw 264.7 cells as a more homogeneous model of M1 Macs (M1 Raw 264.7 cells) (24). Using these cells, we confirmed the selective upregulation of NOTCH1 and M1 genes (Supplemental Figure 1, C and D). Genome-wide ChIP sequencing (ChIP-seq) analysis of M1 Raw 264.7 cells showed NICD1 enrichments mapping near the transcription initiation sites of 453 nuclear genes (Figure 1F), of which, 18 genes function in antimicrobial/proinflammatory responses, including M1 genes Nos2 (Figure 1G), Tnf, Il15, and Iil7r (Supplemental Table 1), suggesting a global role of the NOTCH1 pathway in M1 activation. NOTCH1-dependent Nos2 expression was confirmed in the M1 Raw 264.7 cells treated with DAPT (Supplemental Figure 1E) or with lentiviral Notch1-shRNA (Supplemental Figure 1F). Conversely, NICD1 overexpression increased Nos2 protein expression (Supplemental Figure 1G). Production of NO in M1 Raw 264.7 cells was also diminished by DAPT treatment (Supplemental Figure 1H).

Figure 2. NOTCH directly activates Nos2 transcription. (A) Schematic response elements for HIF-1α (HRE), NF-κB (κB), and NOTCH partner CSL in murine Nos2 promoter. ChIP-qPCR on these sites in cultured HMacs under either 21% (normoxia) or 2% (hypoxia) oxygen for 16 hours. Values are fold enrichment relative to control after normalization with IgG (n = 3). *P < 0.05 vs. control under normoxia, t test. (B) ChIP-qPCR for NICD1 and CSL (RBP-Jκ) in Raw 264.7 cells treated with or without LI. Results are representative of 2 separate experiments. (C) Luciferase Nos2 promoter activity in Raw 264.7 cells treated with vehicle, DAPT, or LPS plus DAPT under 21% (NOMO) or 2% (HYPO) O2. Values are percentage change of Firefly over Renilla luciferase activity as compared with control under NOMO (n = 4). *P < 0.05 vs. normoxic control, **P < 0.05 vs. hypoxic control, *P < 0.05 with LPS treatment under respective conditions, 2-way ANOVA. (D) Nos2 promoter activity in Raw 264.7 cells transduced with nothing (WT) or scrambled (Scr) or Notch1 (N1) shRNA, with or without LPS for 4 hours (n = 5–8). *P < 0.05 vs. WT, #P < 0.05, 1-way ANOVA. (E) Nos2 promoter activity in Raw 264.7 cells overexpressing 3xflag-YFP or 3xflag-NICD1 (n = 5). *P < 0.05, t test. (F) Western blots of nuclear proteins NICD1, p65, and HIF-1α. (G and H) Effects of HRE, NF-κB, or CSL site mutation on Nos2 promoter activity under (G) normoxia and (H) hypoxia. Raw 264.7 cells transfected with WT or mutant Nos2 promoter luciferase reporters were treated with or without DAPT or LPS for 4 hours (n = 5–8). *P < 0.05 vs. untreated WT, #P < 0.05 between the treatments, t test.
to the same CSL site, and the enrichments were further induced by L1 stimulation (Figure 2B). The Nos2 promoter luciferase activity induced by LPS and hypoxia was attenuated with DAPT (Figure 2C). Notch1 silencing diminished Nos2 promoter activity induced by scrambled lentiviral vector and LPS (Figure 2D), whereas overexpression of NICD1 increased the promoter activity (Figure 2E). These results suggest that direct activation of the Nos2 promoter by NICD1 mediates NOTCH1-dependent Nos2 transcription. LPS activates NF-κB (6, 8) and/or HIF-1α (14, 28) to mediate inflammatory responses of Macs. In Notch1 KO Macs and in Raw 264.7 cells with Notch1 silencing, both basal and LPS-induced accumulation of nuclear p65 and HIF-1α proteins was decreased (Figure 2F), suggesting their activation by LPS is partially dependent on NOTCH1. To understand the relative contribution of CSL, NF-κB, and HRE sites to the Nos2 transcription, we performed site-directed mutagenesis to each site. The mutation on the CSL site increased the basal promoter activity but abrogated the promoter responsiveness to LPS stimulation and DAPT inhibition under both normoxia and hypoxia (Figure 2, G and H). This is consistent with the repressor role of CSL in transcriptional regulation (5) and suggests that NICD1 converts CSL to a coactivator complex at the Nos2 promoter. The promoter activity was decreased with a NF-κB mutation under normoxia (Figure 2G) and with a HRE mutation under hypoxia (Figure 2H). In both NF-κB and HRE mutants, however, the Nos2 promoter retained its responsiveness to LPS stimulation and DAPT suppression (Figure 2, G and H). Together, these results demonstrate that LPS-stimulated full Nos2 transcriptional activity requires NICD1 binding to the proximal CSL site and the cooperative activities of adjacent NF-κB and HRE sites.

**Figure 3. NOTCH reprograms M1 Macs to glucose mitochondrial oxidation through upregulation of PDP1.**

(A) Increased glucose uptake and lactate production by M1 Hmacs is attenuated with DAPT (n = 6). *P < 0.001, *P < 0.05, 1-way ANOVA. (B) Primary HMacs were cultured with 99.9% [U-13C6]-glucose (1 g/l), with or without DAPT. Percentage glucose flux to the TCA cycle was determined by mass spectrometry (n = 6). *P < 0.001, *P < 0.05, 1-way ANOVA. (C) Seahorse analysis of OCR in HMacs. ATP synthase inhibitor oligomycin (Oligo), mitochondrial uncoupling agent FCCP, and ETC inhibitors antimycin and rotenone (AR) were given at indicated times (n = 5). *P < 0.05 vs. control or OF+Alc+DAPT, t-test. (D) Immunoblot of PDP1, PDK, and total and phospho-PDH-E1α (pSer293) in HMacs isolated from WT and Notch1 KO mice with OF+Alc feeding. Image) quantification of the pPDH-E1α/Phos-PDH-E1α ratio is shown (n = 3). *P < 0.05, t-test. (E) PDH activity in Raw 264.7 cells infected with lentiviral scrambled or Notch1 shRNA, treated with or without LPS. Values are relative activity to the control (n = 6). *P < 0.05 vs. sh-Scr, *P < 0.05 vs. sh-Scr + LPS, 2-way ANOVA. (F) ChIP-qPCR for NICD1 (N1) at the CSL site of Pdp1 promoter in Raw 264.7 cells stimulated with or without LPS for 4 hours. Values are fold enrichments relative to IgG (n = 3). *P < 0.05 vs. control NICD1, t-test. (G) Expression of Pdp1 in Raw 264.7 cells infected with scrambled or Notch1 shRNA, stimulated with or without LPS for 24 hours (n = 3). *P < 0.05 vs. scrambled control, *P < 0.05 vs. scrambled LPS, 2-way ANOVA.

**Notch reprograms glucose metabolism to mitochondrial oxidation in M1 Macs.** Enhanced glycolysis is seen in LPS-stimulated Macs (29) and dendritic cells (30) and in cancer cells overexpressing NICD1 (15). Indeed, M1 HMacs from the OF+Alc model exhibited increased glucose uptake and lactate production, depicting increased glycolysis, which are blocked by ex vivo DAPT treatment (Figure 3A). However, they also exhibited a 23.4% increase in glucose flux to the TCA cycle compared with the control cells, as determined by stable isotope [U-13C6]-glucose flux analysis (Figure 3B). These M1 HMacs had increased basal and FCCP-induced oxy-
Gen consumption rates (OCRs) (Figure 3C), suggesting enhanced oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) and spared respiratory capacity (31, 32). These metabolic changes were all attenuated with DAPT (Figure 3, B and C). The NOTCH-dependent glucose metabolism and OCR in M1 HMacs were confirmed in M1 Raw 264.7 cells (Supplemental Figure 2, A and B). Collectively, these results demonstrate that NOTCH1 activation, which is essential for Mac M1 activation, promotes glucose flux to OXPHOS, while concurrently stimulating glycolysis.

Notch activates PDH through upregulation of PDH phosphatase. PDH (E1), composed of a heterotetramer of α and β subunits, is the key component of PDH complex that shunts glucose flux to the TCA cycle. The PDH activity is suppressed via phosphorylation of the PDH-E1α subunit at 3 serine sites, including Ser293, by PDH kinase (PDK) and conversely enhanced via dephosphorylation of these sites by PDH phosphatase (PDP) catalytic subunit 1 (PDP1) (33, 34). In HMacs isolated from Notch1 KO mice with OF+Alc feeding, PDP1 proteins were significantly decreased, while PDK proteins were unaffected, compared with those isolated from WT mice (Figure 3D). Both pPDH-E1α (pSer293) and PDH-E1α proteins were decreased in the Notch1 KO HMacs, and densitometric analysis revealed an increased ratio of pPDH-E1α/PDH-E1α (Figure 3D), suggesting decreased PDH activity. The NOTCH1-dependent changes in protein levels of PDP1, pPDH-E1α, and PDH-E1α as well as the ratio of pPDH-E1α/PDH-E1α were also confirmed in Raw 264.7 cells with Notch1 silencing (Supplemental Figure 2C). As expected from these results, Notch1 silencing reduced LPS-induced PDH activity in M1 Macs (Figure 3E).

To understand the molecular mechanism for the NOTCH1-dependent upregulation of PDP1, we screened the mouse Pdp1 gene and identified the putative CSL site (–522 GCGGGAA –516) within its proximal promoter. ChIP-qPCR revealed increased enrichment of NICD1 on this site in M1 Raw 264.7 cells (Figure 3F). NOTCH1 silencing diminished the basal and LPS-induced Pdp1 mRNA levels (Figure 3G) but had no effects on expression of Pdha1 (Supplemental Figure 2D), which encodes PDH-E1α. Collectively, these results suggest that NOTCH1 increases PDH activity via its transcriptional activation of PDP1 to reduce the relative abundance of pPDH-E1α, resulting in increased PDH-E1α activity and glucose flux to the TCA cycle for OXPHOS in M1 Macs. Furthermore, the NOTCH1-dependent expression of the total PDH-E1α protein that we have observed may also be related to PDP1 regulation, because the stability of PDH-E1α is negatively correlated with its phosphorylation levels (35).
Notch regulates mitochondrial DNA transcription. Mitochondrial OXPHOS produces ATP through sequential reduction of respired oxygen via the electron transport chain (ETC) complexes, which are composed of subunits encoded by both nuclear genes and mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA). In M1 Raw 264.7 cells, our genome-wide ChIP-seq analysis also showed NICD1 enrichments at nuclear genes encoding ETC components (Atp5k, Ndufs7, Ndufs8, Uqcr2) and proteins involved in ETC assembly (Ecsit), mtDNA replication (Dna2), and mitochondrial protein synthesis (Hemk1, Mrpls, Dars2) (Supplemental Table 1). Supplemental Figure 3A shows an example of the NICD1 enrichment at the ETC complex I subunit Ndufs7. We screened the gene expression of ETC components in both M1 HMacs and M1 Raw 264.7 cells using TaqMan array and showed NOTCH-dependent expression of mtDNA-encoded NADH dehydrogenase, cytochrome b, cytochrome c oxidase, and ATP synthases (Supplemental Table 2).

These changes in mtDNA expression are unlikely to have resulted from changes in mitochondrial size and numbers (Supplemental Figure 3, B and C). In testing the role of NICD1 in mtDNA transcription, we revealed that NICD1 translocates to mitochondria in M1 Macs by immunoblotting of mitochondrial proteins (Figure 4A), by fluorescent confocal microscopy demonstrating NICD1-mitochondria colocalization (Figure 4B), and by electron microscopy showing immunogold-NICD1 in mitochondria (Figure 4C and Supplemental Figure 3D). Further, ChIP-seq performed with mtDNA fragments prepared from isolated mitochondria showed NICD1 enrichments at several sites within the mitochondrial genome (P < 0.05), including the noncoding region of the displacement loop (D-loop) (Figure 4D), which contains promoters responsible for mtDNA transcription (36). The recruitment of NICD1 to the D-loop was confirmed by ChIP-qPCR using isolated mtDNA fragments (Figure 4E). These results suggest the
novel positive regulatory role of the NOTCH1 pathway in mtDNA transcription and mitochondrial respiration in M1 Macs.

Mitochondrial ROS–mediated M1 gene expression depends on Notch1 and glucose oxidation. OXPHOS via ETC is a major source of mtROS (37). Increased mtROS is seen in M1 Macs and implicated in innate immune function and cytokine expression (38, 39). Indeed, mtROS was increased in LPS-induced M1 HMacs (Figure 5A) and M1 Raw 264.7 cells (Supplemental Figure 4A) and attenuated by pretreatment with mitochondrial-specific superoxide scavenger MitoQ (37). More importantly, LPS-induced mtROS was abrogated in NOTCH1 KO HMacs (Figure 5B) and in Raw 264.7 cells with NOTCH1 silencing (Supplemental Figure 4B) or DAPT pretreatment (Supplemental Figure 4C), demonstrating Notch1-dependent mtROS generation in M1 Macs.

The observed NOTCH1-dependent increases in glucose oxidation, mitochondrial respiration, and mtROS in M1 Macs suggest the causal relationships among them. To address this question, we first used the hexokinase inhibitor 2-deoxyglucose (2-DG) to examine its effects on mtROS generation and M1 gene expression. In M1 HMacs, 2-DG abrogated the increased mRNA of M1 genes (Figure 5C) and production of TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-12, and MIP-1α cytokines (Supplemental Figure 4D). Etomoxir (ETO), an inhibitor of fatty acid oxidation, had no effect on this cytokine production (Supplemental Figure 4D). These results with 2-DG and ETO were confirmed in M1 Raw 264.7 cells (Supplemental Figure 4E). In these cells, 2-DG also reduced mtROS (Figure 5D) and MitoQ attenuated M1 gene expression (Figure 5E), suggesting that 2-DG's inhibitory effect on M1 genes is mediated via reduced mtROS.

To validate the notion that M1 gene expression is dependent on glucose influx to mitochondrial TCA facilitated by NOTCH1-mediated Pdp1 upregulation, we knocked down Pdp1 in Raw 2647 cells. Indeed, this manipulation diminished basal and LPS-induced glucose uptake and mitochondrial respiration (Figure 5F). These results support the idea that glucose metabolism is critical for M1 Mac activation and function.
induced M1 gene expression (Figure 5F) and mtROS (Figure 5G).
In WT HMacs cultured in glucose/pyruvate-free media, supplemental
mentation with pyruvate increased M1 gene expression (Figure 5H).
Collectively, these results support the critical role of a glucose/pyruvate/mitochondria metabolic axis for mtROS generation
and Mac M1 activation.

Notch inhibition in myeloid cells attenuates HMacs M1 activation and liver inflammation. Our ex vivo and in vitro studies
described above demonstrate NOTCH1-dependent pathways
for Mac M1 activation. To evaluate in vivo the causal role of
NOTCH1 in M1 activation and inflammation in ASH, we inhib-
ited the NOTCH pathway in OF+Alc mice using two approaches.
First, we administered DAPT (10 mg/kg, i.p.) (23) to the OF+Alc
mice, and this treatment had minimal effect on portal LPS levels
but significantly decreased plasma ALT — the biochemical
index of liver injury (Supplemental Figure 5A). DAPT did not prevent
the histological grading of steatosis but attenuated mononuclear
cell infiltration (Supplemental Figure 5B) and reduced NICD1,
OS2, and F4/80 in the liver (Supplemental Figure 5C). To off-
set the global and off-target effects of DAPT and validate that the
above findings were due specifically to NOTCH1 inhibition
in myeloid cells, we fed myeloid Notch1 KO mice the OF+Alc diet.
Like DAPT, Notch1 KO did not prevent steatosis but reduced mononuclear
cell infiltration (Figure 6A) and Mac marker proteins
CD68 and F4/80 in the liver (Figure 6B). In isolated
HMacs, Notch1 KO downregulated OS2 protein (Figure 6C)
and M1 genes (Figure 6D) but not M2 genes (Supplemental Figure
5D). These results demonstrate that repressed HMAC M1 acti-
vation secondary to NOTCH1 deficiency does not enhance the
M2 phenotype. Interestingly, myeloid Notch1 KO also decreased
liver ROS by 40% (Supplemental Figure 5E), indicating the contri-
bution of NOTCH1-dependent M1 activation to hepatic oxida-
tive stress in ASH. Last, we extended our studies to test the
protective role of NOTCH1 deficiency in liver injury caused by
excessive HMAC M1 activation, using the galactosamine-sensi-
tized, LPS-induced fulminant hepatitis model, which results in
high lethality (40). As shown in Figure 6E, the 48-hour mortal-
ity rate of 90% in the WT mice was reduced to 50% in myeloid
Notch1 KO mice, demonstrating the causal role of NOTCH1 in
M1 HMAC-dependent lethality in the model. Taken together,
these results demonstrate the in vivo role of the NOTCH1 path-
way in Mac M1 activation as what we believe to be a novel molec-
ular mechanism of liver inflammation and injury.

The amelioration of hepatic inflammation seen in Notch1 KO mice
may be a result of reduced migration of blood monocytes into
the liver for subsequent generation of M1 HMacs or suppressed M1
activation of preexisting resident Kupffer cells. To clarify this issue,
we purified blood monocytes from Notch1 KO and WT mice, labeled
them with PKH26 fluorescent dye, and intravenously injected them
into WT recipient mice subjected to OF+Alc feeding to track the
migration of the donor monocytes into the liver (41). Migration of
PKH26-labeled WT monocytes, but not Notch1 KO monocytes, to the
livers of OF+Alc recipient mice was increased as compared with that
of the controls (Supplemental Figure 5F). We isolated HMacs from
the recipient mice and separated them into CD45+PKH26+ donor
(P1) monocytes and unlabeled CD45+PKH26- recipient (P3)
monocytes/Macs (Figure 6F). The P1 and P2 populations were further separated
into F4/80+OXCR1b (P3) and F4/80+OXCR1b (P4) popula-
tions, respectively (Figure 6F), which clearly demonstrate that P3
represents infiltrating monocytes/Macs and P4 represents resident
Kupffer cells (42). As shown in Figure 6F, FACS analysis shows a 3- to
5-fold increase in migration of both WT donor P1 and recipient P3
monocytes/Macs to the livers of OF+Alc mice compared with that
in the controls, while migration of Notch1 KO donor P1 monocytes/
Macs was comparable to that of the controls, and transplantation
of Notch1 KO donor P1 cells had minimal effect on the migration of
recipient P3 monocytes/Macs. Interestingly, neither OF+Alc feeding
nor transplantation of Notch1 KO monocytes had a significant impact
on the Kupffer cell population (Figure 6F). These results show that
NOTCH1 is required for monocyte migration into the liver under-
going ASH. Further, the expression of M1 genes was upregulated in
the OF+Alc mice receiving WT donor monocytes but significantly
decreased in those with the Notch1 KO donor monocyte transplanta-
tion. The expression of M2 genes was not significantly different
(Figure 6G). Expression of both M1 and M2 genes in resident Kupffer
cells was not significantly affected by OF+Alc feeding and by mono-
cyte transplantation (Figure 6G). Collectively, these results highlight
the importance of NOTCH1-dependent migration of monocytes and
subsequent M1 differentiation in determining the extent of HMac
expansion and inflammation in the livers of OF+Alc mice.

Discussion
The NOTCH pathway is conserved across species and promotes
cell fate specification and differentiation of various cell types, such
as skin (43) and biliary (44) epithelial cells, cardiac (45) and skel-
etal (46) myocytes, neuronal cells (47), and T cells (48). Based on
the findings from the present study, M1 Mac differentiation may be added to this list of NOTCH-mediated cell fate regulation. Most notably, our study demonstrates the ability of the NOTCH pathway to stimulate glucose oxidation, OXPHOS, and mtROS generation and to couple this metabolic shift with NOTCH-dependent transcriptional activation of M1 genes to augment M1 activation. These metabolic effects are associated with NICD1 recruitment to the regulatory regions of both nuclear and mitochondrial genes that control glucose-pyruvate entry to the TCA cycle and mitochondrial respiration and with NOTCH-dependent upregulation of such genes, suggesting the role of NICD1-mediated transcriptional regulation in stimulating mitochondrial metabolism in M1 Macs (Figure 7). Further, our monocyte-tracking studies demonstrate that the NOTCH1 pathway is essential for migration of blood monocytes into the liver and subsequent M1 differentiation.

In our study, the Nos2 gene was studied as a prototypic M1 gene, which plays a key role in nitrosative tissue damage in inflammation. The association of Nos2 expression with metabolic switch in M1 Macs and Arg1 expression with metabolic switch in M2 Macs has been discussed previously (49); however, the underlying mechanisms for such association were not clear. The roles of NF-κB (50) and HIF-1α (14, 51) in Mac M1 activation and Nos2 expression are well-established. Cooperative interactions of the NOTCH pathway with these transcription factors have also been reported. For instance, the NOTCH pathway contributes to LPS-stimulated and NF-κB-mediated Mac M1 activation (6, 8). Hypoxia-induced HIF-1α causes inflammation and Nos2 upregulation (14, 51), and NOTCH and HIF-1α interact to regulate cell differentiation and survival (10, 52). NOTCH activation upregulates IRE8 and promotes TLR-induced inflammatory polarization of Macs (53). In our study, NOTCH1 silencing attenuated both basal and LPS-induced nuclear accumulation of p65 and HIF-1α, suggesting that activation of NF-κB and HIF-1α is at least partially dependent on NOTCH1. NICD1 converts the CSL corepressor complex to the NICD–CSL transcriptional activator, and such a derepression mechanism (54) appears required for NF-κB and/or HIF-1α to fully activate Nos2 transcription. As these 3 cis elements reside within a 158-bp span on the proximal promoter, their cooperative interactions can be easily assumed to be as proposed for NICD and NF-κB (8, 55) or HIF-1α (56). As NICD1 is enriched at other M1 genes and these genes are coordinately repressed by NOTCH inhibition, similar NICD-mediated cross-regulation of the other transcription factors may exist for a broader range of inflammatory genes.

Our study has identified Pdp1 as a target of the NOTCH pathway, which is at least in part responsible for increased mitochondrial glucose oxidation, mtROS, and M1 gene expression via reduced relative abundance of pPDH-E1α and increased PDH activity. Inhibition of PDH-E1α phosphorylation by dichloroacetate, a PDK inhibitor, decreases the E1α degradation and maximizes the PDH activity (35). In contrast, phosphorylation of PDH-E1β at tyrosine residues is associated with enhanced E1β degradation via ubiquitination and decreased PDH activity (57). Indeed, the most notable change in M1 Macs is NOTCH-dependent expression of the PDH-E1α protein. In fact, the increased relative abundance of pPDH-E1α (pSer293) over PDH-E1α by Notch1 KO and silencing is largely attributable to depletion of total PDH-E1α (Figure 3D and Supplemental Figure 2C). As PDH subunit mRNA expressions are not regulated, NOTCH1 must render translational or posttranslational control of PDH-E1α protein turnover through an unknown mechanism.

Activated phagocytes are generally thought to be glycolytic cells (58). The glycolysis in M1 Macs in our study is indeed increased, as evident by enhanced lactate production. ATP generation through glycolysis is 19-fold less efficient than that by glucose oxidation. Although the rate of ATP production by glycolysis can be approximately 100 times faster than the rate of oxidation (59), we and others (30, 60) only observed a 2-fold increase in glucose utilization in M1 Macs. Thus, the high velocity of the glycolysis cannot adequately support required ATP, supporting the notion that metabolic shift to glycolysis is energetically unfavorable for the phagocytic activity (61). On the other hand, we observed a 23.4% increase in glucose flux to the TCA cycle (Figure 3B), which will yield 4.2-fold more ATP based on the presumption that 2 ATP is generated through glycolysis and 36 ATP is generated through oxidation per glucose molecule. In fact, during phagocytosis Macs rely partly on glucose oxidation and OXPHOS, whereas neutrophils and monocytes may solely depend on glycolysis as a source of metabolic energy (58). Using 13C-labeled glucose, Karnovsky and colleagues have shown that conversion of 6-[13C]-glucose to 14CO2, the index for glucose oxidation in the TCA cycle, is about 4-fold higher in thioglycollate-elicited peritoneal Macs during phagocytosis than in resting Macs (62). Similarly, peritoneal Macs from mice challenged with Listeria monocytogenes exhibit increased glucose oxidation (63). Collectively, these results support enhanced glucose oxidation by mitochondria as a key metabolic feature of M1 Macs. Our results not only validate this notion but also establish the causal link between mitochondrial glucose oxidation and OXPHOS with M1 activation via demonstration of mtROS-enhanced M1 gene expression.

Recent studies also suggest that glutamine metabolism is important in Mac inflammatory response (64). LPS-induced HIF-1α stabilization and M1 activation are dependent on the accumulation of TCA intermediate succinate derived from glutamine pathway, suggesting glutamine as an anerplorotic source for TCA activity required for HIF-1α and M1 activation (28). Interestingly, this glutamine-dependent effect is abrogated by 2-DG, demonstrating the requirement of glucose metabolism for this mechanism to function. This is due likely to the fact that the 2-carbon metabolite acetyl-CoA produced by PDH via glucose-pyruvate flux is critical for the TCA activity, and without it the glutamine pathway alone is not sufficient to drive the TCA cycle for succinate generation. Thus, the succinate–HIF-1α link may be coupled with a NOTCH-dependent increase in glucose flux to TCA via enhanced PDH activity, as demonstrated by our study.

Our observation of NICD1 localization within mtDNA provides what we believe to be the novel molecular basis for the increase in mitochondrial respiration and mtROS. Mitochondria localization of NICD has been reported previously (65). However, the mechanism for NICD1 translocation to mitochondria remains unknown. Nuclear DNA-encoded mitochondrial proteins contain mitochondrial targeting signals (MTSs) with an amphipathic helix structure at their N-terminus. NICD1 has a putative MTS within the 20 amino acids at its N-terminus, as predicted by a bioinformatics program PSORT (ref. 66,
http://psort.hgc.jp) and as suggested by a previous study (67). Mitochondrial intermediate peptidase may cleave the N-terminal MTS of NICD1 and release a truncated NICD1 in mitochondria in vitro (67). Although ChIP-seq and ChIP-qPCR showed NICD1 recruitment to the mtDNA D-loop, we could not identify a consensus CSL site [G(t)TGGGAAA(c); lowercase letters in parentheses represent letters that can be substituted for the preceding capital letters] in this region. In a recent genome-wide analysis of NOTCH1/CSL binding in lymphoblastic leukemia cells, only 36% of NICD1-binding events are shown to overlap with the CSL sites (68). In addition, Demehri et al. demonstrate the existence of CSL-independent NOTCH1-mediated regulation in keratinocytes and epidermal differentiation (69). Thus, we speculate that the putative MTS on NICD1 guides its translocation to mitochondria, in which NICD1 binds to the D-loop and regulates mtDNA expression through interaction(s) with DNA-binding protein(s) other than CSL.

The mitochondrial ETC is the major source for cellular ROS, which is known to activate NF-κB and stabilize HIF-1α (70–72), the two transcriptional factors we proposed to cooperate with NICD1 in regulation of Nox2 expression. Although ROS generated by NADPH oxidase is considered a major defense mechanism against bacterial infection (73), evidence suggests that mtROS also contributes to bactericidal activity (74, 75). In LPS-stimulated Raw 264.7 cells, TLR signaling enhances the generation of mtROS through the TRAF6/ECSIT pathway to augment intracellular bactericidal activity of M1 Macs (39). ECSIT is implicated in mitochondrial ETC complex I assembly (76) and identified as a NOTCH1 target by our genome-wide ChIP-seq analysis (Supplemental Table 1), suggesting a potential cross-interaction between the TRAF6/ECSIT pathway and the NOTCH pathway. Nos2 gene upregulation and enhanced production of NO are known factors for antimicrobial actions of Macs (50). Excessive formation of NO may inhibit ETC activity through posttranslational modification of ETC component proteins (77). Yet, our data generated with Seahorse analysis show a significant increase in OCR after M1 Macs were treated with the uncoupler FCCP, indicating that these cells have higher spare respiratory capacity for survival. This may be related to the ability of NOTCH1 to support antiapoptotic activity and cell survival through AKT and the mitochondrial remodeling protein Mitofusin (65). In addition, NO may also enhance a cGMP-dependent mechanism in support of ATP generation (78). However, our morphometric results failed to support increased mitochondrial biogenesis in M1 HMac.

In conclusion, using in vivo- and in vitro-activated M1 Macs, we demonstrate that NOTCH1 activation plays a key role in M1 gene expression and reprogramming of mitochondrial metabolism to augment M1 activation (Supplemental Figure 1). The NOTCH pathway links cell metabolism to the M1 fate of Macs via its ability to orchestrate coordinated stimulation of the two major components of OXPHOS, the TCA cycle and the ETC. The in vivo relevance of NOTCH1-dependent M1 Mac1 activation is demonstrated by attenuated liver inflammation by pharmacologic or genetic inhibition of the NOTCH1 pathway in the mouse model of ASH as well as in mice with galactosamine/LPS-induced fulminant hepatitis. Using ex vivo monocyte labeling and in vivo tracking methods, we further demonstrate that hepatic inflammation in ASH was mediated by infiltration and M1 activation of peripheral blood monocytes facilitated by the NOTCH1 pathway. Our results imply a therapeutic role of NOTCH1 in M1 Mac1-dependent inflammation.

Methods

**Animals.** Notch1-floxed mice (007181) and myeloid cell–specific LysM-Cre mice (004781) from The Jackson Laboratory were crossed to generate the myeloid-specific Notch1 KO mice.

**Intragastric feeding ASH mouse model.** The WT and myeloid Notch1 KO mice were fed control, high-fat (40% fat calories), alcohol (32 g/kg/d), or combined OF+Alc diet through intragastric tubing using the protocols described previously (19, 79). (Details of the model are described in the Supplemental Methods.) For global inhibition of the NOTCH pathway, the OF+Alc mice were administrated DAPT (10 mg/kg in 5% alcohol plus 95% corn oil, i.p.) or a vehicle (5% alcohol plus 95% corn oil), with a 3-day-on and 4-day-off schedule in the last 4 weeks of the 7-week feeding regimen (23).

**Cell culture.** The HMac were isolated by The Southern California Research Center for ALPD and Cirrhosis nonparenchymal liver cell core using collagenase perfusion and digestion, followed by low-speed centrifugation (50 g) to remove parenchymal cells. The nonparenchymal cells were subjected to discontinuous gradient ultracentrifugation using OptiPrep (Axis-Shield PoC As.) to collect the HMac fraction and cultured for 16 hours (for details, see the Supplemental Methods). The Abelson leukemia virus–transformed murine Mac Raw 264.7 cells were purchase from ATCC (TIB-71). In vitro activation of M1 Raw 264.7 cells was all carried out by treating the cells with LPS (100 ng/ml) with or without IFN-γ (20 ng/ml) for 4 or 24 hours, as specified in figure legends. NOTCH1 inhibition was achieved by pretreatment of the cells with DAPT (20 μM, 16 hours) unless otherwise specified or by lentiviral sh-Notch1 silencing. For experiments under hypoxia, both HMac and Raw 264.7 cells were cultured in an incubator and shuttle glove box (BioSpherix) set at 2% O2. For inhibition of glycolysis or fatty acid oxidation, the cells were pretreated with 2-DG (5.5 mM, 1 hour) and ETO (500 μM, 1 hour), respectively, before other treatments were initiated.

**Mitochondrial fractionation and purification.** Mitochondrial fractionation was carried out using 2-step Percoll gradient centrifugation to ensure purity (for details, see the Supplemental Methods).

**ChIP and ChIP-seq.** Detailed procedures and bioinformatics analysis can be found in the Supplemental Methods. The ChIP-seq data have been deposited in the NCBI GEO database (accession GSE65151).

**Other methods.** Other experimental procedures, including materials, antibodies, primers, qRT-PCR, immunoblotting, monocytes purification, ex vivo labeling and in vivo tracking, galactosamine/LPS lethality test, isolation and culture of primary HMac, ChIP and ChIP-seq, immunofluorescence and confocal microscopy, immunogold staining of NICD1 and electron microscopy, lentiviral shRNA silencing, morphology evaluation, cytokine measurement, site-directed mutagenesis and dual-luciferase reporter assay, Seahorse XF-24 metabolic flux analysis, stable isotope-based glucose flux analysis, and PDH activity assay, are described in detail in the Supplemental Methods.

**Statistics.** All numerical data are presented as mean ± SD. Statistical significance was analyzed with 2-tailed distribution and 2-sample unequal variance Student’s t test, 1-way ANOVA followed by Newman-Keuls multiple comparison test, or 2-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post tests, as indicated in the figure legends. For survival studies, statistical analysis was determined by log-rank test using.
researCh article

We thank Jianghong Wang and Raul Lazaro for their technical assistance. This study was supported by NIH grants P50AA011999 (to H. Tsukamoto), R24AA12885 (to H. Tsukamoto), KO1AA020524 (to J. Xu), and DK048522-19 (pilot project grant to J. Xu) and by ABMRF/The Foundation for Alcohol Research (to J. Xu), the Medical Research Service of Department of Veterans Affairs grant 510 BX001991-02F (to H. Tsukamoto), the pilot project from USC Research Center for Liver Diseases (to J. Xu), and Suntory Global Innovation Center Ltd. (to H. Tsukamoto).

Address correspondence to: Jun Xu, 1333 San Pablo Street, MMR 410, Los Angeles, California 90089-9141, USA. Phone: 323.442.3120; E-mail: juxn@usc.edu.

36. Kitamura T, et al. A FoxO/Notch pathway controls myogenic differentiation and fiber type specifici...


