Patients with BRAFV600E/K-driven melanoma respond to the BRAF inhibitor vemurafenib due to subsequent deactivation of the proliferative RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK pathway. In BRAF WT cells and those with mutations that activate or result in high levels of the BRAF activator RAS, BRAF inhibition can lead to ERK activation, resulting in tumorigenic transformation. We describe a patient with malignant melanoma who developed chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) in the absence of RAS mutations during vemurafenib treatment. BRAF inhibition promoted patient CLL proliferation in culture and in murine xenografts and activated MEK/ERK in primary CLL cells from additional patients. BRAF inhibitor–driven ERK activity and CLL proliferation required B cell antigen receptor (BCR) activation, as inhibition of the BCR-proximal spleen tyrosine kinase (SYK) reversed ERK hyperactivation and proliferation of CLL cells from multiple patients, while inhibition of the BCR-distal Bruton tyrosine kinase had no effect. Additionally, the RAS-GTP/RAS ratio in primary CLL cells exposed to vemurafenib was reduced upon SYK inhibition. BRAF inhibition increased mortality and CLL expansion in mice harboring CLL xenografts; however, SYK or MEK inhibition prevented CLL proliferation and increased animal survival. Together, these results suggest that BRAF inhibitors promote B cell malignancies in the absence of obvious mutations in RAS or other receptor tyrosine kinases and provide a rationale for combined BRAF/MEK or BRAF/SYK inhibition.
BRAF inhibitor–associated ERK activation drives development of chronic lymphocytic leukemia
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Introduction

BRAF kinase inhibition has revolutionized the treatment of melanoma with somatic V600E or V600K mutations and led to improved overall survival (1). However, in tumors and normal cells with WT RAF, drug-bound BRAF cooperates with GTP-loaded, activated RAS proteins in eliciting paradoxical activation of the MEK/ERK pathway by stimulating drug-free RAF molecules via dimerization, in particular with the RAF1 isoform (2–5). This paradoxical ERK activation underlies the occurrence of keratoacanthomas, squamous cell carcinomas, and even de novo melanomas in the context of RAF inhibitor treatment (6, 7). Compatible with the concept that increased RAS signaling mediates paradoxical ERK activation under BRAF inhibition, activating mutations in RAS genes were found in the majority of cutaneous squamous lesions (8), as secondary events in previously vemurafenib-responsive BRAF mutant melanoma (9), in a chronic myelomonocytic leukemia (CMML) (10), and in a pancreatic carcinoma (11) progressing under BRAF inhibition. Overexpression, mutation, and microenvironment-mediated hyperactivation of RTKs were identified as drug-resistance mechanisms in melanoma. In any scenario, RTK hyperactivity is very likely to increase RAS activity and thereby could contribute to paradoxical ERK activation as well (12, 13).
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Results

Exacerbation of CLL during vemurafenib treatment. A 49-year-old patient with stage IV (pT2b2pN3pM1a, AJCC classification 2009; ref. 14) BRAF V600 mutant melanoma presented to our dermatology outpatient clinic. Six years earlier, the patient had been diagnosed with melanoma located on the left lower extremity (tumor thickness 1.2 mm according to Breslow with ulceration, Clark’s level of invasion IV, sentinel node biopsy inguinal left without evidence of metastasis). Following surgical resection, the patient received adjuvant immunotherapy with IFN-α-2a 3 times, 3 million IU per week, subcutaneously for 18 months. Relapse with a subcutaneous metastasis of the left lower extremity and in the inguinal and iliacal lymph nodes (LNs) had been documented 4 years after primary diagnosis, and the tumor manifestation was surgically removed twice and irradiated (60 Gy) at the site of the subcutaneous metastasis due to RI-resection status. Ten months later, new LN metastases occurred at the same locations, and surgery and radiotherapy could not adequately control disease. To treat progression of inoperable inguinal, iliacal, and paraaortal LN metastases, the patient received 960 mg of vemurafenib twice a day (study ID number MO2551S; ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT01307397; Hoffmann-La Roche). At the time vemurafenib was initiated, his white cell and lymphocyte counts were in the normal and upper normal ranges, respectively. The patient developed significant leukocytosis and lymphocytosis during vemurafenib treatment (Figure 1, A and B).

Investigation of the peripheral blood smear revealed lymphocytes with a mature phenotype (Figure 1C). A BM biopsy specimen and aspirate were obtained, which revealed increased numbers of mature lymphocytes (Supplemental Figure 1A; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI76539DS1). Immunophenotyping of BM aspirate (Supplemental Figure 1B) and peripheral blood (Figure 1D) with the use of flow cytometry identified a lymphocyte population characterized by expression of CD19, CD5, CD23, and CD200, which was also CD10 and FMC7 negative. Together, the clinical and pathological findings were diagnostic of CLL. Fluorescence in situ hybridization revealed del13q14 and gain on 2p23 (Supplemental Figure 1C), which was previously described in CLL (15), and PCR-based immunoglobulin V_{H} (IgV_{H}) gene analysis revealed an unmutated IgV_{H} status, which is associated with an adverse prognosis (16) and chronic BCR signaling (17, 18).

Although the manifestation of the melanoma in inguinal and parailiacal LN responded well to vemurafenib treatment, as documented by PET-CT scan 6 weeks after vemurafenib had been discontinued (Figure 1E), the drug was discontinued based on the previous report on its growth-promoting effects in CMML (10). Three weeks after vemurafenib was discontinued, the patient’s white cell and lymphocyte counts had decreased to normal ranges (Figure 1, A and B), but a monoclonal B cell population still persisted on day 732 after discontinuation of vemurafenib (Figure 1F). Since the iliacal melanoma metastasis had responded well and because vemurafenib was not considered a treatment option over the next months, the iliacal tumor manifestation was surgically removed. After surgery, the patient’s white cell count increased and then dropped again into the normal range, while the lymphocyte counts remained normal (Figure 1, A and B). To determine whether BRAF inhibition can trigger the development of lymphatic malignancies, we screened 7 patients with melanoma treated with BRAF inhibition, but found no other patients with CLL under BRAF inhibitor treatment (Supplemental Table 1).

In four of these patients, we detected significant leukocytosis and lymphocytosis during vemurafenib treatment (Figure 1, A and B). These data indicate that the CLL was most likely preexisting in our patient, possibly in the form of monoclonal B cell lymphocytosis (MBL). At present, the patient has been off vemurafenib for 12 months, and no melanoma progression has occurred.

BRAF inhibition leads to primary CLL expansion in vivo, which can be antagonized by SYK inhibition. As vemurafenib treatment was paralleled by the expansion and subsequent decrease of the peripheral lymphocyte population, we suspected paradoxical ERK activation as a basis for exacerbation of preexisting CLL or MBL. Therefore, PBMCs were obtained from the patient 2 days after vemurafenib withdrawal, and highly enriched CLL cells (Supplemental Figure 1D) were cultured in the presence of the RAF inhibitors vemurafenib or dabrafenib and the MEK inhibitor trametinib, either singly or in combination. Trametinib was used because it has been successfully applied in clinical trials as a single agent or in combination with the BRAF inhibitor dabrafenib (19, 20). The rationale for this combination is based on the observation that most secondary drug-resistance phenomena observed in BRAF mutant melanoma, including those triggered by paradoxical ERK activation, are MEK dependent (12). Consistent with a growth-promoting effect of BRAF inhibitor, metabolic activity of CLL cells was increased in the presence of vemurafenib (Figure 2A). Levels of phosphorylated ERK (pERK) and total ERK (tERK) in enriched CD19+CD5+ CLL cells or CD14+ monocytes were measured by Western blot analysis.

CLL cells exposed to dabrafenib or vemurafenib had an elevated pERK/tERK ratio as compared with vehicle (P < 0.01; Figure 2B). When CLL cells were isolated at later time points from the same patient (more than 5 days), the activation of ERK by BRAF inhibitor treatment was still detectable but less strong. These effects were only observed in the isolated CD19+CD5+ cells, but not in CD14+ myeloid cells (Figure 2C). Trametinib reduced ERK activation, despite BRAF inhibition, below the levels of activation in BRAF inhibitor–treated cells or cells treated with neither inhibitor (Figure 2D). Transfer of patient-derived CD19+CD5+ cells into immunodeficient RAG2<sup>−/−</sup>C<sup>−/−</sup> mice treated with vehicle or vemurafenib led to engraftment of the CLL cells, which was followed by increased CLL numbers in the vemurafenib-treated group (Figure 2E) and reduced survival of mice in the vemurafenib compared with the vehicle group (Figure 2F). Because of the previously reported case of RAS-driven CMML under vemurafenib (10) and rare occurrences of RAS mutations in CLL (21), we investigated whether the CLL in our patient harbored an activating mutation upstream of RAF. However, 454 targeted resequencing of specimens derived from highly enriched CD5<sup>−</sup>CD19<sup>+</sup> cells into immunodeficient RAG2<sup>−/−</sup>C<sup>−/−</sup> mice treated with vehicle or vemurafenib led to engraftment of the CLL cells, which was followed by increased CLL numbers in the vemurafenib-treated group (Figure 2E) and reduced survival of mice in the vemurafenib compared with the vehicle group (Figure 2F). Because of the previously reported case of RAS-driven CMML under vemurafenib (10) and rare occurrences of RAS mutations in CLL (21), we investigated whether the CLL in our patient harbored an activating mutation upstream of RAF. However, 454 targeted resequencing of specimens derived from highly enriched CD5<sup>−</sup>CD19<sup>+</sup> cells (>97% purity) from PBMCs revealed no mutations in NRAS or KRAS as well as in other genes recurrently mutated in CLL (Supplemental Table 2). Furthermore, whole-exome sequencing (WES) identified no alterations that could be linked to aberrant RAS activity or paradoxical RAF/MEK/ERK signaling (Supplemental Figure 2). We also identified 4 recurrent and characteristic copy number alterations by WES, including a small deletion at band 13q14 (Supplemental Figure 2). There-
Paradoxical ERK activation and prolonged survival upon BRAF inhibition are found in primary CLL cells derived from multiple patients. In order to understand whether the observed effects were only seen in primary CLL cells of this particular patient, we next turned to primary CLL cells from 10 other patients. The characteristics of the patients and the CLL cells are detailed in Supplemental Table 3. Levels of pERK and tERK in primary CLL cells were measured by Western blot analysis. Consistent with inhibition of the paradoxical ERK phosphorylation promoting effects of BCR activation by SYK inhibition, we observed higher pERK/tERK ratios in primary CLL cells when exposed to vemurafenib or dabrafenib compared with vehicle, as shown for 2 representative patients (Figure 4A), and for vemurafenib compared with vehicle in all analyzed patients (Figure 4B). The paradoxical ERK activation upon BRAF inhibition was abrogated by either SYK inhibition (Figure 4, C and D) or MEK inhibition (Figure 4, E and F). To analyze the effects of inhibiting more distal nodes of the BCR on RAS signaling and ERK activation, we blocked Bruton’s tyrosine kinase (BTK). In contrast with SYK inhibition, BTK inhibition did not reduce levels of pERK/tERK (Supplemental Figure 3B). As an additional readout of feedback activation of ERK signaling, we next studied pAKT levels in the presence of BRAF and SYK inhibition. We observed that vemurafenib caused increased pAKT/tAKT levels (Supplemental Figure 3C). Addition of SYK inhibition reduced the pAKT/tAKT ratio (Supplemental Figure 3C), which is consistent with the reduced pERK/ERK levels we observed under SYK inhibition. Consistent with the
The amount of CLL cells in the peripheral blood of mice receiving primary CLL cells and treatment with vemurafenib was increased compared with that of mice treated with vehicle when CLL cells were derived from patients 1 and 2, and there was a comparable trend when CLL cells from patient 3 were used (Figure 5D). Consistently, survival of those mice receiving primary CLL cells from 1 of the 3 different patients and treatment with vemurafenib was significantly reduced compared with survival of mice treated with vehicle (Figure 5E).

In order to determine whether the in vivo promoting effects of vemurafenib on primary CLL cells could be reversed, we additionally treated a group with a MEK (trametinib) or SYK inhibitor (fostamatinib). We observed that the viability of primary CLL cells from different patients decreased upon addition of MEK inhibitor \((n=7)\) or SYK inhibitor \((n=5)\) to vemurafenib (Figure 6, A and B). The amount of CLL cells in the peripheral blood of mice receiving pri-
induced ERK activation (Figure 4B) was variable and could not be correlated with known risk factors of CLL, such as unmethylated IgVH status or unfavorable cytogenetics (del 17p, del 11q). However, the extent of BCR signaling, which represents a major driver of CLL (17, 26), can be modulated by many mechanisms that are not genetically hard-wired, such as feedback loops and crosstalk with other signaling pathways (27). Consequently, one can expect a certain donor-to-donor variability of BCR signaling independent of known risk factors. We therefore hypothesize that different ground states, e.g., the degree of BCR signaling at the time point of BRAF inhibitor exposure, determine the strength of paradoxical ERK activation. However, given the relatively small number of patients analyzed in our studies (n = 10), further studies with more primary CLL samples and a correlation of BCR activity and BRAF inhibitor–induced ERK activation will be needed to identify the modulators of this event.

In vitro exposure of the CLL cells to the BRAF inhibitor caused a dose-dependent, reversible activation of ERK selectively in the primary CLL cells and treatment with vemurafenib and trametinib, or vemurafenib and fostamatinib, was decreased compared with that of mice treated with vemurafenib alone on days 5 and 7 after the start of treatment (Figure 6C). Consistently, survival of mice receiving primary CLL cells and treatment with vemurafenib and trametinib, or vemurafenib and fostamatinib, was improved compared with survival of mice treated with vemurafenib alone (Figure 6D).

Based on our findings, we propose the concept that BCR/SYK-activated RAS and drug-bound BRAF cooperatively induce paradoxical ERK activation in CLL cells (Figure 6E).

Discussion

We describe the effects of BRAF inhibition on primary CLL cells from multiple patients, based on a case of CLL developing during vemurafenib treatment in a patient with melanoma. In this patient and in the multiple investigated patients with CLL, we observed dependence of CLL cells on paradoxical, BRAF inhibitor–induced ERK signaling. The differential of vemurafenib-induced ERK activation (Figure 4B) was variable and could not be correlated with known risk factors of CLL, such as unmethylated IgVH status or unfavorable cytogenetics (del 17p, del 11q). However, the extent of BCR signaling, which represents a major driver of CLL (17, 26), can be modulated by many mechanisms that are not genetically hard-wired, such as feedback loops and crosstalk with other signaling pathways (27). Consequently, one can expect a certain donor-to-donor variability of BCR signaling independent of known risk factors. We therefore hypothesize that different ground states, e.g., the degree of BCR signaling at the time point of BRAF inhibitor exposure, determine the strength of paradoxical ERK activation. However, given the relatively small number of patients analyzed in our studies (n = 10), further studies with more primary CLL samples and a correlation of BCR activity and BRAF inhibitor–induced ERK activation will be needed to identify the modulators of this event.

In vitro exposure of the CLL cells to the BRAF inhibitor caused a dose-dependent, reversible activation of ERK selectively in the
CLL, but not in myeloid cells. We observed that CLL cells isolated more than 5 days after vemurafenib discontinuation in the melanoma patient still displayed activation of ERK by BRAF inhibitor treatment, but the effect was less pronounced. We hypothesize that the CLL cells that were best suited to react to vemurafenib with paradoxical ERK activation and clonal expansion lost their selective advantage after vemurafenib discontinuation and were subsequently overgrown by less vemurafenib-dependent subclones. Also, in vitro and in vivo expansion of CLL cells was specifically induced on treatment with vemurafenib. This effect was not restricted to the in vitro studies, but increased the in vivo expansion of CLL cells, while CLL-related death was also seen when primary CLL cells from multiple patients were implanted into immunodeficient mice. Our observation that the longevity of primary CLL cells can be markedly extended by BRAF inhibitors also represents a technical advance for the long-term cultivation of otherwise very short-lived CLL cells.

Importantly, our melanoma patient with a secondary vemurafenib-promoted CLL differs from the previously reported mutant RAS-driven CMML case (10) because no mutations in RAS genes were found. We recapitulated the effect of the RAF inhibitor on the CLL cells in the patient in vitro and a patient-derived xenograft mouse model. We found enhanced ERK signaling in the CLL cells exposed to BRAF inhibition, which was abrogated by combinatorial treatment with a MEK inhibitor and enhanced CLL progression upon vemurafenib treatment in vivo. Similar to what was seen in this melanoma patient, we also observed increased CLL progression due to vemurafenib treatment in patient-derived xenograft mouse models when using CLL cells from 3 different additional patients.

In light of these findings and because MEK inhibitors have recently been shown to prolong the survival of patients with BRAF V600E-mutated melanoma, alone (19) or in combination with dabrafenib (20, 28), we predict that if melanoma progression required systemic anti-melanoma treatment again, combined BRAF and MEK inhibition would prevent the progression of the CLL.

To understand the mechanism that underlies vemurafenib-induced paradoxical ERK activation in the primary CLL cells, we...
The role of the BCR, which was previously shown to provide autonomous signaling in CLL but not other B cell malignancies (29). When blocking BCR downstream signaling via inhibition of SYK, an essential BCR proximal kinase coupling the receptor to the RAS pathway, we observed partial abrogation of BRAF inhibitor–related ERK phosphorylation. This supports the concept that BCR/SYK-activated RAS and drug-bound BRAF cooperatively induce paradoxical ERK activation in CLL cells, in a similar manner to that described for RTKs in the drug-resistance scenarios (12). In addition, increased phosphorylation of RTKs that could have led to RAS/ERK activation was not found in the CLL cells from the melanoma patient. To better understand the role of the BCR/SYK axis, we investigated the effects of inhibiting a more distal node of the BCR by using the BTK inhibitor ibrutinib. We observed that BTK inhibition did not antagonize BRAF inhibitor–induced paradoxical ERK activation. However, besides the suspected link between BCR signaling and paradoxical ERK activation, a paracrine mechanism also remains possible.

Based on our observations, a SYK inhibitor such as fostamatinib, which is already successfully tested in clinical stud-

Figure 5. Impact of BRAF inhibition on viability, proliferation, and in vivo expansion of primary CLL cells. (A–C) Primary CLL cells from different patients were highly purified (>97% CD19−CD5+) and exposed to DMSO or vemurafenib. (A) The percentage of living cells relative to all cells (patients n = 3) or the absolute number of CLL cells (patients n = 4) (B) was determined for different time points. (C) Metabolic activity measured by MTT on day 52 of culture was higher in primary CLL cells (patients n = 3) when exposed to vemurafenib compared with DMSO. One of 3 independent experiments with similar results is shown. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01. (D and E) On day 0, the amount of 2.5 × 10⁷ patient-derived CD19−CD5+ cells was injected i.p. and i.v. (D) The amount of CLL cells in the peripheral blood of Rag2−/−γC−/− mice on days 0 and 7 relative to start of treatment. (E) The survival of the Rag2−/−γC−/− mice treated with DMSO or vemurafenib (24 mg/kg/d) is shown for the CLL cells derived from 3 different patients.
ies in patients with non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma (NHL) and CLL (30), could be a therapeutic alternative in our and other patients developing CLL progression during vemurafenib treatment. This may be important in the context of a recent report indicating that BRAF inhibitor–driven tumor proliferation in a KRAS-mutated colon carcinoma could not be overcome by MEK1/2 inhibition (31). The differences between the 2 BRAF inhibitors vemurafenib and dabrafenib are another clinically relevant aspect, as, for example, off-target effects of vemurafenib, such as cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma, which were less frequently observed with dabrafenib (32). Cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma rates were reported to be between 20% and 26% in trials with vemurafenib (1, 33), while the rates were 6% to 11% in dabrafenib trials (34–37).

Importantly, our study implicates the BCR signaling complex as a driver of paradoxical ERK activation. Given the increasing use of vemurafenib and dabrafenib in melanoma, and because malignant melanoma is overrepresented in the NHL population (~2.3–3.1 fold) (38, 39), the progression or development of CLL in such patients must be anticipated. Although BRAF-mutant melanoma and CLL can occur together, as seen in our patient, the typical patients with BRAF-mutant melanomas are different from the characteristic epidemiologic cohort, which includes CLL patients. Because in retrospect a monoclonal B cell population was detected in the melanoma patient before vemurafenib treatment, but no leukocytosis and lymphocytosis were observed, one would assume that vemurafenib promotes the expansion of cells with preexisting neoplastic lesions rather than inducing them.

Our study shows for what we believe is the first time that BRAF inhibition can promote progression of primary CLL cells that can be counteracted by SYK inhibitor treatment, which interferes with signals into the RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK pathway. Given the increasing clinical application of BRAF inhibitors, a therapeutic approach that can revert paradoxical ERK activation in CLL adds the SYK/BRAF inhibitor combination identified in this study to the therapeutic armamentarium against treatment-induced lymphoid neoplasms.

**Methods**

**CLL samples.** Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were separated by Ficoll gradient centrifugation, and CLL amount was determined by flow cytometric analysis with anti-CD19 and anti-CD5 (BD Biosciences). CD19-B cells were isolated by negative selection (B-cell Isolation Kit II; Miltenyi Biotec). CD14 cells were isolated by positive
selection (Anti-CD14 Micro Beads, human; Miltenyi Biotec). Cells were either used fresh or cryopreserved in FCS/10% DMSO until use. *Mice. Rag2−/−Cε−/− mice were purchased from Charles River Laboratory and from the local stock of the animal facility at Freiburg University Medical Center (Freiburg, Germany). Mice were between 6 and 12 weeks of age. On day 0, patient-derived CD19±CD5± cells were injected at the following doses per mouse: 2.5 × 10^7 cells (i.p.) and 2.5 × 10^5 cells (i.v.).

**Cell culture and proliferation assay for the primary CLL cells.** The primary CLL cells from different patients (Supplemental Table 3) were cultured in RPMI1640 (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 10% FCS, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 0.1 mg/ml streptomycin at a concentration of 1 × 10^3 cells/ml in 24-well plates in the presence or absence of varying doses of vemurafenib (PLX4032, RG7204, catalog no. S1267; Selleckchem) or dabrafenib (GSK2118436, catalog no.S2807; Selleckchem) and/or R406 (catalog no.S1533; Selleckchem) for 24 to 72 hours. CLL cell proliferation was determined using a standard MTT assay as previously described (40).

**Long-time culture of primary human CLL cells.** For long-time culture of primary human CLL cells, cells were cultured as described above in the presence of DMSO or vemurafenib (2.5-5 μM) in 6-well plates (total volume of 3 ml). Every third day, 1 ml of medium was replaced by new medium containing DMSO or vemurafenib at the indicated concentrations.

**Immunoblotting.** Healthy B cells and freshly isolated or freshly thawed viable frozen CLL cells were lysed and subjected to Western blotting as described previously (24). Lysates were generated after 45 minutes of exposure to the respective drugs.

**RTK array.** To analyze the expression levels of different RTKs, protein lysates from highly purified CLL cells from the melanoma patient were generated and analyzed in a Human Phospho-Receptor Tyrosine Kinase Array Kit as described in the technical data sheet (Proteome Profiler Array Human Phospho-Receptor Tyrosine Kinase Array Kit; ARYO018; R&D Systems).

**Antibodies and reagents.** The following antibodies were used: phospho-p44/42 MAPK (pErk1/2) (Thr202/Tyr204) (D13.14.4E) XP rabbit mAb no. 4370; p44/42 MAPK (Erk1/2) antibody no. 9102; phospho-Syk (Tyrr352)/ Zap-70 (Tyrr319) (65E4) rabbit mAb no. 2717; phospho-Syk (Tyrr525/526) (C87CI) rabbit mAb no. 2710; Syk (D115Q) rabbit mAb no. 12358; Ras (D2C1) rabbit mAb no. 8955; phospho-MEK1/2 (Ser217 /221) (41G9) rabbit mAb no. 9154; MEK1 (61B12) mouse mAb no. 2352; phospho-AKT (Thr308) (D25E6) XP rabbit mAb no. 9272, β-actin (13E5) rabbit mAb no. 4970; and anti-rabbit IgG, HRP-linked antibody no. 7074. -actin (13E5) rabbit mAb no. 13038, AKT antibody no. 9272, β-actin (13E5) rabbit mAb no. 4970; and anti-rabbit IgG, HRP-linked antibody no. 7074.

**Pulldown assay for the detection of active Ras.** Patient CLL cells and the cell line MEC-1 were cultured in RPMI 1640 (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 10% FCS, 100 U/ml penicillin, an 0.1 mg/ml streptomycin at a concentration of 1 × 10^5 cells/ml in 24-well plates in the presence or absence of varying doses of vemurafenib or dabrafenib and/or R406. After 45 minutes, the cells were lysed and Ras activity was determined as described in the Active Ras Detection Kit (no. 8821; Cell Signaling). Additionally, the cells were subjected to Western blotting to determine total Ras levels.

**Isolation of analytes for sequencing.** DNA was extracted from highly purified (>97%) CD19±CD5± cells obtained from the patient under vemurafenib treatment versus CD14± germ line control cells (>90% purity). DNA was isolated using a QIAGEN Allprep DNA/RNA/Protein Mini Kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol. After quality control of isolated DNA (gel electrophoresis and Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100), extracted nucleic acids were submitted to sequencing facilities.

**DNA library preparation and sequencing.** Exome capturing was carried out with Agilent SureSelect Human All Exon version 5 with UTR in-solution capture reagents (vendor’s protocol v2.0.1). In brief, 1.5 μg of gDNA were fragmented to 150- to 200-bp (PE) insert-size with a Covaris S2 device. 250 ng of Illumina adapters containing libraries were hybridized with the exom baits at 65°C for 16 hours. Paired end sequencing (101 bp) was carried out with an Illumina HiSeq 2500 instrument in rapid mode.

**Mapping and analysis.** Reads were mapped to the 1000 genomes phase-2 assembly of the human reference genome (NCBI build 37.1, downloaded from ftp://ftp1000genomes.ebi.ac.uk/vol1/ftp/technical/reference/phase2_reference_sequence/) using BWA (41) (version 0.6.2) with default parameters and maximum insert size set to 1000 bp. BAM files were sorted with SAMtools (42) (version 0.1.17), and duplicates were removed with Picard tools (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/), version 1.90. Average target coverage was 86% for the tumor and 70% for the control. In both, more than 80% of the targets had a coverage of at least >30.

For detection of single nucleotide variant (SNV) and small insertions or deletions (indels), we applied our in-house analysis pipeline based on SAMtools mpileup and BCFTools with parameter adjustments to allow calling of somatic variants (43, 44). Initial SNV and indel candidates called on the tumor sample (by SAMtools mpileup -RE -q 20 -ug -m 2 and BCFTools -vcgN -p 2.0) were filtered for Illumina-specific error profiles and retained if the position was covered by at least 3 reads and the alternative allele frequency was 5% or more. On these positions, a pileup of the control sample was performed (by SAMtools mpileup -RA -Q -o . I) and parsed with a custom Perl script considering base quality.

We excluded variants that are located in regions of low mappability or overlapped with entries of the hiSeqDepthTop10Pct, Encode DAC Blacklisted Regions, or Duke Excluded Regions tracks from the UCSC genome browser (http://genome.ucsc.edu/). High-confidence somatic SNVs and indels were not allowed to overlap with any 2 of the following features at the same time: tandem repeats, simple repeats, low complexity, satellite repeats, or segmental duplications. In addition, they had to fulfill the following heuristic criteria: (a) at least 5 tumor reads at the position; (b) either more than 1 read supporting the variant per strand or at least 5 reads supporting the variant in total and total variant allele frequency greater than 0.1; (c) coverage at the position in the matching control sample at least 12 reads; (d) less than 1/30 of the control reads supporting the variant; (e) less than 500 reads at the corresponding position in the control; and (f) no nonreference, nonvariant bases at the corresponding position in the control. Variants were functionally annotated with RefSeq gene annotations using Annovar (45).
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