Autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease (ADPKD) is a common inherited nephropathy responsible for 4%–10% of end-stage renal disease cases. Mutations in the genes encoding polycystin-1 (PC1, PKD1) or polycystin-2 (PC2, PKD2) cause ADPKD, and PKD1 mutations are associated with more severe renal disease. PC1 has been shown to form a complex with PC2, and the severity of PKD1-mediated disease is associated with the level of the mature PC1 glycoform. Here, we demonstrated that PC1 and PC2 first interact in the ER before PC1 cleavage at the GPS/GAIN site and determined that PC2 acts as an essential chaperone for PC1 maturation and surface localization. The chaperone function of PC2 was dependent on the presence of the distal coiled-coil domain and was disrupted by pathogenic missense mutations. In Pkd2−/− mice, complete loss of PC2 prevented PC1 maturation. In Pkd2 heterozygotes, the 50% PC2 reduction resulted in a nonequimolar reduction (20%–25%) of the mature PC1 glycoform. Interbreeding between various Pkd1 and Pkd2 models revealed that animals with reduced levels of functional PC1 and PC2 in the kidney exhibited severe, rapidly progressive disease, illustrating the importance of complexing of these proteins for function. Our results indicate that PC2 regulates PC1 maturation; therefore, mature PC1 levels are a determinant of disease severity in PKD2 as well as PKD1.
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Autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease (ADPKD) is a common inherited nephropathy responsible for 4%–10% of end-stage renal disease cases. Mutations in the genes encoding polycystin-1 (PC1, PKD1) or polycystin-2 (PC2, PKD2) cause ADPKD, and PKD1 mutations are associated with more severe renal disease. PC1 has been shown to form a complex with PC2, and the severity of PKD1-mediated disease is associated with the level of the mature PC1 glycoform. Here, we demonstrated that PC1 and PC2 first interact in the ER before PC1 cleavage at the GPS/GAIN site and determined that PC2 acts as an essential chaperone for PC1 maturation and surface localization. The chaperone function of PC2 was dependent on the presence of the distal coiled-coil domain and was disrupted by pathogenic missense mutations. In Pkd2−/− mice, complete loss of PC2 prevented PC1 maturation. In Pkd2 heterozygotes, the 50% PC2 reduction resulted in a nonequimolar reduction (20%–25%) of the mature PC1 glycoform. Interbreeding between various Pkd1 and Pkd2 models revealed that animals with reduced levels of functional PC1 and PC2 in the kidney exhibited severe, rapidly progressive disease, illustrating the importance of complexing of these proteins for function. Our results indicate that PC2 regulates PC1 maturation; therefore, mature PC1 levels are a determinant of disease severity in PKD2 as well as PKD1.

Introduction

Autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease (ADPKD) is a common (frequency 1:600–1:1,000), progressive nephropathy accounting for 4%–10% of patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) (1). Mutations to PKD1 (~85% of cases) or PKD2 (~15%) cause clinically indistinguishable ADPKD, except that PKD2 patients typically experience ESRD more than 20 years later than PKD1 patients with fully inactivating mutations (79.7 years vs. 55.6 years) (2–5). PKD2 encodes polycystin-2 (PC2), a 968-aa, 6-transmembrane protein of the TRPP family of calcium-regulated cation channels (2, 6, 7). Polycystin-1 (PC1), encoded by PKD1, is a large glycoprotein (4,303 aa) with an approximately 3,000-aa N-terminal ectodomain, anchored to the membrane by 11 transmembrane helices and with a short C-terminal cytoplasmic tail (3). PC1 undergoes cis-autoproteolytic cleavage at the conserved GPS/GAIN site immediately preceding the first transmembrane helix, a feature of many adhesion-type G protein–coupled receptors (e.g., latrophilin) (8–10). The 2 cleaved products remain attached, and missense mutations within the approximately 320-aa GPS/GAIN domain block the severing (10, 11). Rapidly progressive PKD develops when cleavage is blocked (12), underscoring the importance of this region for PC1 function.

Several subcellular localizations have been suggested for the polycystins, including cilia, plasma membrane (PM), exosome-like vesicles (ELVs), and endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (13–19). The existence of 2 different GPS-cleaved, N-terminal PC1 glycoforms also supports different subcellular localizations (14, 20). Evidence from PC homologs and syndromic forms of PKD suggests that the primary cilium is central to pathogenesis (21–25); ciliary locations are described for both PC proteins (26, 27). Nevertheless, the functional site of the polycystins for cystogenesis remains controversial (28–30).

Consistent with the similar PKD1/PKD2 phenotypes, exogenous expression of PC1 and PC2 constructs and endogenous analysis show interactions between the coiled-coil domains in the C-terminal tails of the proteins (15, 18, 31, 32). Recent data indicated that a newly defined coiled coil (CC2) at the distal end of PC2 binds PC1 (33, 34). Although PC2 binding has been suggested to influence PC1 GPS/GAIN cleavage, as has the PC1 C-terminal tail (15, 17), limited data are available about the significance of PC1 and PC2 complexing for protein maturation, especially analyzing endogenous proteins.

PC2 has been described as influencing PC1 trafficking (15, 17), although PC2 may also move independently of PC1 to the cilium (19, 35). PC1 has been proposed to be the regulatory subunit of a heteromeric complex with PC2 (although the precise stoichiometry of the complex is uncertain), mediating a mechanosensory-stimulated Ca2+ influx (15, 23, 36, 37). PC2 can also complex with other TRP channels (38, 39), and PC2 partners with another polycystin, PC1L1, in the node (40–42). Hence, questions remain about the independence of PC1 and PC2, and the importance of the partner protein for appropriate localization.

Homozygous Pkd1- and Pkd2-null mice have embryonic-lethal phenotypes (~E14.5), with indistinguishable renal cystic disease, while heterozygotes develop few cysts (43–45). Bilineal mice (Pkd1−/− Pkd2−/−) develop few cysts, but more than expected from a simple additive effect (46). In the 1 described bilineal PKD1 and PKD2 family, the 2 Pkd1−/− Pkd2−/− patients developed ESRD approximately 15 years earlier than other PKD1 or PKD2 family members, indicating a combined contribution to the phenotype.
Figure 1. Processing, complexing, and localization of PC1 and PC2. (A) Immunoblot (IB) of endogenous human PC1 and PC2 derived from membrane fractions of a renal cortical tubule epithelial (RCTE) cell line. Samples were untreated (Un) or treated with EndoH (+E) or PNGase F (+P) and detected with an antibody against N-terminal PC1 (7e12; PC1 NT) or PC2 (YCE2). A nonspecific protein (Supplemental Figure 1, A and B) is indicated (n.s.). N-terminal glycoproducts, EndoH resistant (NTR) and EndoH sensitive (NTS), were resolved and were both reduced to the size of the aa backbone with PNGase F treatment (~330 kDa). All of PC2 was sensitive to EndoH. Representative blots are shown from 3 independent experiments. (B) IPs with a PC1 CT (BD3) or PC2 (YCE2) antibody from RCTE cells followed by deglycosylation detected with PC1 NT or YCE2 (PC2). PKD1–/– epithelial cells (9-12 cells; PKD1–/–) and IP with irrelevant antibody (IgG) were used as negative controls. The PC1 and PC2 complex was formed in the ER (EndoH sensitive), since PC2 coimmunoprecipitated all PC1 glycoforms, including PC1-FL, even in high-salt (500 mM NaCl) conditions. Representative blots are shown from 3 independent experiments. (C) Maturation of PC1-NTR was affected by 2 μg/ml swainsonine (+Sw) treatment. A 72-hour swainsonine treatment reduced the PC1-NTR molecular weight but did not affect PC1-NTS, PC1-FL, or PC2, indicating that only PC1, but not PC2, traffics through the Golgi apparatus. Representative blots are shown from 3 independent experiments. (D) Schematic of PC1 cleavage and glycosylation showing the size of the FL and the 2 GPS/GAIN N-terminal cleavage products, NTS and NTR.

Results

Endogenous PC1–PC2 complex in the ER before GPS/GAIN cleavage of PC1. We initially analyzed the glycosylation pattern of the human polycystin complex in a renal cortical tubule epithelial (RCTE) cell line using peptide-N-glycosidase F (PNGase F), to remove all sugars, and endoglycosidase H (EndoH), to excise high-mannose sugars typical of cis-Golgi–localized proteins. Consistent with previous findings from mouse tissue (20), PNGase F digestion showed that nearly all of human PC1 was cleaved at the GPS/GAIN site, and EndoH analysis indicated that approximately 50% of the PC1 N-terminal (NT) product was resistant (NTR; mature), and so likely surface localized, while the other approximately 50% was EndoH sensitive, suggesting ER localization (NTS) (Figure 1, A and D, and Supplemental Figure 1, A and B; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI76972DS1).

Interestingly, the normally rare full-length (FL; uncleaved) PC1, which was enriched by CT-associated IP (as well as the NT and CT glycoforms), coimmunoprecipitated with PC2, and was espe-
nts tethering, before PC1 maturation. Since PC2 was not affected analyses, these data demonstrate that in the ER, PC2 complexes PC1-NTR, not PC1-FL, PC1-NTS, or PC2 (Figure 1, C and D, and Supplemental Figure 1F). Combined with the deglycosylation analyses, these data demonstrate that in the ER, PC2 complexes with PC1-FL and PC1-CTS, as well as PC1-NTS via PC1-CTS/PC1-NTS tethering, before PC1 maturation. Since PC2 was not affected by swainsonine and remained sensitive to EndoH, these data suggest that only PC1 passes through the Golgi, while PC2 is mostly retained in the ER.

Localization of PC1 glycoforms and PC2. Our results show that both ER and post-Golgi pools of PC1 coimmunoprecipitate with PC2, although PC2 retains ER-specific oligosaccharides. To determine whether mature PC1 and EndoH-sensitive PC2 were PM localized, we specifically labeled sialic acids, a marker of mature glycoproteins (53), on RCTE cell surfaces with 1 mM NaIO₄ and alkali Figure 2, A and C). Localization of surface-labeled and total proteins, mCherry-PC1 and GFP-PC2, in the RCTE cell line (Figure 2, C). Immunofluorescence (IF), we exogenously expressed N-terminally tagged polycystins showed strong prefixation surface labeling of mCherry-PC1 and the presence of the PC1-NTR glycoform only when GFP-PC2 was coexpressed (Figure 3, D and E). In the absence of GFP-PC2, mCherry-PC1 was not detected on the cell surface but accumulated as its FL and NTS glycoforms (Figure 3E). The absence of detectable PC1-NTR in RCTE cells without GFP-PC2 cotransfection, although they endogenously express PC2 (Figure 1), is likely explained by the large differences in expression levels (approximately tenfold) between endogenous and exogenous PC1 and PC2 (Supplemental Figure 3). At the exposure that only reveals exogenously expressed protein (Figure 3E), PC1-NTR is not evident without coexpression of PC2 at relatively high levels. Taken together, these data indicate that PC2 facilitates ER exit and maturation of PC1.

PC2 is required for endogenous PC1 maturation. Consistent with the exogenous expression data, analysis of mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) from Pkd2–/– mice showed that PC1-NTR was completely lost and PC1-NTS increased, sometimes substantially, when PC2 was absent (Figure 4, A and B). An increased level of PC1-FL was also seen in Pkd2–/– mice, compared with Pkd2–/– and WT mice. Next, we tested the effect of PC2 loss on PC1 secretion. Only the PC1-NTR glycoform has previously been shown secreted on ELVs in urine and from cells in culture (14, 20). Analysis of total media protein from cultured MEFs showed no PC1 secreted from Pkd2–/– cells (Supplemental Figure 4); therefore, the absence of PC1-NTR when PC2 is lost is not due to increased secretion of this product. Previous exogenous expression studies suggested that PC2 trafficking to cilia independently of PC1 (19, 35); however, when we analyzed Pkd1–/– MEFs, PC2 was not detected on cilia (Figure 4, C and D). Although our data demonstrated that endogenous PC1 maturation and, hence, PM and cilia localization require PC2, confirmation of these findings using IF was not possible because of the lack of IF detection of endogenous PC1 with available PC1 antibodies. These data show that endogenous PC1 maturation and secretion require PC2, and that in MEFs PC2 cilia localization depends on PC1.

PC1 maturation is regulated by the dosage of PC2. Next we explored whether there was a corresponding reduction in the level of PC1-NTR if PC2 was reduced but not completely eliminated. Interestingly, compared with the expected 50% reduction of PC1-NTR (and PC1-NTS) observed in Pkd1–/– MEFs, Pkd2–/– kidneys and MEFs showed a modest (~25%) but significant reduction of mature PC1 (NTR) (Figure 4A and Figure 5, A and C). We previously reported in the hypomorphic Pkd1<sup>R3277C/R3277C</sup> (Pkd1<sup>R/RC</sup>) mouse model that PC1-NTR in ELVs was reduced to approximately 40% of WT (20), and quantification here in MEFs showed a consistent decrease to approximately 35% (Figure 5, A–C), with all, our data are consistent with PC1-NTR and PC2 interacting in the ER and across PM/ER junctions, but also show that both proteins are found on primary cilia. In both cases, ER-based PC1-PC2 complexes may disassemble to allow independent trafficking.

Since previous studies suggested that PC2 influences PC1 localization and processing (15, 17) and our data show that PC2 interacts with PC1-FL and the ER glycoforms of PC1 (NTS, CTS), we analyzed the role of PC2 in PC1 cleavage and maturation. Consistent with the described enhanced PC1 trafficking with PC2 coexpression (17), analysis of exogenously expressed tagged polycystins showed strong prefixation surface labeling of mCherry-PC1 and the presence of the PC1-NTR glycoform only when GFP-PC2 was coexpressed (Figure 3, D and E). In the absence of GFP-PC2, mCherry-PC1 was not detected on the cell surface but accumulated as its FL and NTS glycoforms (Figure 3E). The absence of detectable PC1-NTR in RCTE cells without GFP-PC2 cotransfection, although they endogenously express PC2 (Figure 1), is likely explained by the large differences in expression levels (approximately tenfold) between endogenous and exogenous PC1 and PC2 (Supplemental Figure 3). At the exposure that only reveals exogenously expressed protein (Figure 3E), PC1-NTR is not evident without coexpression of PC2 at relatively high levels. Taken together, these data indicate that PC2 facilitates ER exit and maturation of PC1.

PC2 is required for endogenous PC1 maturation. Consistent with the exogenous expression data, analysis of mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) from Pkd2–/– mice showed that PC1-NTR was completely lost and PC1-NTS increased, sometimes substantially, when PC2 was absent (Figure 4, A and B). An increased level of PC1-FL was also seen in Pkd2–/– mice, compared with Pkd2–/– and WT mice. Next, we tested the effect of PC2 loss on PC1 secretion. Only the PC1-NTR glycoform has previously been shown secreted on ELVs in urine and from cells in culture (14, 20). Analysis of total media protein from cultured MEFs showed no PC1 secreted from Pkd2–/– cells (Supplemental Figure 4); therefore, the absence of PC1-NTR when PC2 is lost is not due to increased secretion of this product. Previous exogenous expression studies suggested that PC2 trafficking to cilia independently of PC1 (19, 35); however, when we analyzed Pkd1–/– MEFs, PC2 was not detected on cilia (Figure 4, C and D). Although our data demonstrated that endogenous PC1 maturation and, hence, PM and cilia localization require PC2, confirmation of these findings using IF was not possible because of the lack of IF detection of endogenous PC1 with available PC1 antibodies. These data show that endogenous PC1 maturation and secretion require PC2, and that in MEFs PC2 cilia localization depends on PC1.

PC1 maturation is regulated by the dosage of PC2. Next we explored whether there was a corresponding reduction in the level of PC1-NTR if PC2 was reduced but not completely eliminated. Interestingly, compared with the expected 50% reduction of PC1-NTR (and PC1-NTS) observed in Pkd1–/– MEFs, Pkd2–/– kidneys and MEFs showed a modest (~25%) but significant reduction of mature PC1 (NTR) (Figure 4A and Figure 5, A and C). We previously reported in the hypomorphic Pkd1<sup>R3277C/R3277C</sup> (Pkd1<sup>R/RC</sup>) mouse model that PC1-NTR in ELVs was reduced to approximately 40% of WT (20), and quantification here in MEFs showed a consistent decrease to approximately 35% (Figure 5, A–C), with
Figure 2. Subcellular localization of PC1 glycoforms. (A) Labeling of RCTE cell surface proteins using alkoxyamine biotin (Alk. Biotin) and IP with neutravidin shows that PC1-NTR was the sole PC1 glycoform localized to the cell surface. PC2 was only detected with neutravidin IP after prolonged exposure and remained sensitive to EndoH digestion; compare level of input and surface protein. EGFR was used as a PM protein control. Representative blots are shown from 3 independent experiments. IF of streptavidin-488–labeled Alk. Biotin–treated and untreated cells shows efficient surface glycoprotein labeling. Scale bar: 20 μm. (B) Density gradient fractionation of RCTE cells shows that a portion of PC1-NTR cofractionated with markers of PM (ORAI/SMO) and cilia (Arl13b/SMO), while most detectable PC2 was distributed in fractions overlapping with ER proteins, calnexin, and STIM1, with minor overlap with the cilia fraction. The relative signal intensity is plotted below. Gradient samples were loaded on 2 different SDS-PAGE gels that were run simultaneously and transferred onto the same membrane for detection. Representative blots are shown from 3 independent experiments. (C) Coimmunoprecipitation of PC2 with PC1 from gradient fraction 12 (cilia enriched) followed by deglycosylation using EndoH (+E) or PNGase F (+P) or no enzyme (Un). Only the EndoH-resistant PC1-NTR glycoform along with EndoH-sensitive PC2 cofractionated with Arl13b.
did not reach statistical significance from \( Pkd1^{RC/RC} \) alone (Figure 5C). To better quantify the reduction, we measured the PC1-NTR/NTS ratio, thus providing an internal control. That analysis (Figure 5D) showed a significant difference in the PC1-NTR/NTS ratio when the \( Pkd2^{+/–} \) allele was introduced. Taking these results together, we determined that a 50% reduction of PC2 \( (Pkd2^{+/–}) \) resulted in a nonequimolar reduction of PC1-NTR by about 25% in the WT and \( Pkd1^{RC/RC} \) setting.

a corresponding increase in PC1-NTS. Consistent with \( Pkd1^{RC} \) being partially a GPS/GAIN cleavage mutant, more PC1-FL was also seen with this model (ref. 20 and Figure 5, A and B). Addition of the \( Pkd2^{+/–} \) genotype to these animals resulted in an additional modest depletion of PC1-NTR (to ~30% of WT), a 22% reduction compared with \( Pkd1^{RC/RC} \) (Figure 5, A–C). However, we found it difficult to accurately measure PC1-NTR in those MEFs because of the low abundance of this PC1 glycoform, and the 22% reduction did not reach statistical significance from \( Pkd1^{RC/RC} \) alone (Figure 5C). To better quantify the reduction, we measured the PC1-NTR/NTS ratio, thus providing an internal control. That analysis (Figure 5D) showed a significant difference in the PC1-NTR/NTS ratio when the \( Pkd2^{+/–} \) allele was introduced. Taking these results together, we determined that a 50% reduction of PC2 \( (Pkd2^{+/–}) \) resulted in a nonequimolar reduction of PC1-NTR by about 25% in the WT and \( Pkd1^{RC/RC} \) setting.
Reduced PC2 dosage worsened PC1-dependent PKD severity. Previous studies of Pkd1+/– Pkd2+/– mice showed that they developed very mild cystic disease (with single heterozygotes developing practically no cysts) (46). In this bilinear combination we estimated a PC1-NTR level of about 40% of WT (Figure 5A). Analysis of the Pkd1 RC/RC model showed the presence of only the mutant PC1 protein, and reduction of PC1-NTR by about 65% resulted in more robust cyst development (20). We therefore analyzed the consequences of addition of the Pkd2+/– allele to the Pkd1 RC/RC background (PC1-NTR reduction to ~30% of WT; Figure 5C) for the cystic phenotype in 4-month-old C57BL/6 inbred animals (C57BL/6 inbred Pkd1RC/RC mice have a milder phenotype than do mice in the outbred state [ref. 57]). Percentage of kidney weight/body weight (%KW/BW) and cystic index (percentage cystic area) showed a significant increase in renal disease severity in the bilinear mice (Figure 6, A–C, and Supplemental Table 1), although they were much less severe than in the Pkd1RC/– model, where PC1-NTR is estimated to be reduced to about 20% of WT (20). Neither blood urea nitrogen nor serum creatinine was significantly elevated in these animals with relatively early-stage disease (Figure 6D and Supplemental Table 1). To further test whether the level of PC2 influenced disease severity, the Pkd1 RC/RC model was bred with mice with the hypermutable Pkd2 WS25 allele (58), further lowering PC2 levels in the total kidney and likely removing all PC2 in some cysts in the Pkd2 WS25/– model. The Pkd1 RC/RC Pkd2 WS25/– model was, as expected, intermediate between the Pkd1 RC/RC and Pkd1 RC/RC Pkd2+/– genotypes, while the Pkd1 RC/RC Pkd2 WS25/– combination resulted in rapidly progressive disease, significantly more severe than all other genotypes (Figure 6, A–D, and Supplemental Table 1). These results suggest that below a PC1-NTR threshold, reduction in the level of PC2 by Pkd2 mutation results in more severe disease and the severity is correlated with the level of PC1-NTR.

Defining the C-tail region that is critical for PC1 maturation. Previous studies found that the PC1-PC2 interaction depends on the cytoplasmic CT of PC1 (31). To test whether the PC1-CT is required for GPS/GAIN cleavage (17) and maturation of PC1, we analyzed glycosylation of endogenous PC1 truncation mutants. MEFs from a lethal Pkd1 knockout with a frameshift at exon 31 (Pkd1 del31/del31), truncating PC1 in the 2nd cytoplasmic loop, revealed a normal PC1-NTS product, indicating that this truncated product is folded, inserted into the membrane, and GPS/GAIN cleaved, although a truncated, GPS/GAIN–noncleaved product (tFL) is evident (Figure 7, A and B). However, no mature, PC1-NTR product was detected (Figure 7B). IP with PC2 confirmed that the truncated PC1 did not interact with PC2 (Figure 7C). Two additional truncating mutations in the extracellular part of PC1, the heterozygous null mouse model Pkd1del17/+ (WT/del17; Figure 7, D and E) (59) and a translocation in exon 15 in PKD1 patient 77-2 (WT/tr15; Figure 7, F and G) (60), resulted in the truncated PC1-NT (tNT) product remaining EndoH sensitive, with no mature product detected.

Given these results and previous studies (31, 32), we tested whether mutants including or excluding the PC1-PC2 interaction domain (PC1-CC) matured. PC2 was cotransfected with PC1 constructs containing nonsense mutations before (p.E4211X),
within (p.E4220X, p.Q4247X), or after (p.G4257X) the coiled-coil domain. Analysis with deglycosylation showed a clear PC1-NTR product if the deletion occurred after or at the end of the coiled-coil domain and no product if the coiled-coil domain was completely deleted (Supplemental Figure 5, A and B). These data suggest that loss of the PC1 coiled-coil domain, the site of complexing with PC2, regulates PC1 maturation.

Deletion of CC2 and missense mutations in PC2 influence PC1 maturation. To obtain more specific information about how PC2 determined the maturation of PC1, the glycosylation pattern of exogenous PC1 was analyzed when variously mutated forms of PC2 were coexpressed. As expected, loss of the entire C-tail (CT; PC2-p.S835X) or in-frame deletion of the CC2 (delCC2), disrupted PC1 maturation, consistent with the suggested role of CC2 in complexing with PC1 (34). Analysis of PC2 on a non-denaturing gel showed monomers when CC2 was deleted (but not CC1), which were not seen in the WT, supporting previous findings that CC2 but not CC1 promotes PC2 dimerization (ref. 34 and Supplemental Figure 7). These results indicate that PC2-CC2 is necessary for efficient PC1 maturation.

Although most PKD2 patients harbor truncating mutations, about 10% have missense changes (61). To determine whether
Here we show that the maturation of PC1 resulting in the development of the critical PC1-NTR product is regulated by PC2 and, hence, that PC2 plays a central chaperone-like role in PC1 processing. The strengths of the study are that we have largely analyzed endogenous PC1 and PC2 in cells, tissue, and whole animals in the WT setting and in the context of specific mutations that remove, reduce, or alter one or both ADPKD proteins. The importance of interplay between PC1 and PC2 manifests as significantly more severe disease in bigenic models, emphasizing the importance of the interaction to understanding the cystogenic process.

**Discussion**

Here we show that the maturation of PC1 resulting in the development of the critical PC1-NTR product is regulated by PC2 and, hence, that PC2 plays a central chaperone-like role in PC1 processing. The strengths of the study are that we have largely analyzed endogenous PC1 and PC2 in cells, tissue, and whole animals in the WT setting and in the context of specific mutations that remove, reduce, or alter one or both ADPKD proteins. The importance of interplay between PC1 and PC2 manifests as significantly more severe disease in bigenic models, emphasizing the importance of the interaction to understanding the cystogenic process.
Our data indicate that PC1 and PC2 initially interact in the ER before GPS/GAIN cleavage and that this interaction is central to the subsequent maturation of PC1, including exiting from the ER and cell surface localization. In contrast to previous studies (17), efficient GPS/GAIN cleavage occurred when no PC2 was present, and truncated PC1 was still efficiently cleaved even without the ability to interact with PC2. We corroborate that PC2 influences cell surface localization of PC1 (17), and show an absolute requirement of that interaction for PC1 surface localization. Some, but not all, PC2 pathogenic missense mutations failed to facilitate PC1 maturation, even though the missense mutants were able to interact with PC1 and form PC2 oligomers. Hence, further analysis is required to fully understand their pathomechanism.

Our studies provide insight into the subcellular localizations of specific PC1 and PC2 glycoforms. All detectable PC2 appeared to be EndoH sensitive (even after enrichment by PC1 IP) and mostly ER resident (13). However, we describe a corresponding ER-localized form of the polycystin complex with PC2. This may be an intermediate form associated with the maturation of PC1, but suggest an ER-localized form of the polycystin complex. Our studies show that PC1-NTR was secreted in total media, consistent with the PC1 secreted on ELVs in urine and from cultured cells being PC1-NTR (14, 20). We also found that secretion of PC1 was PC2 dependent. We confirmed that exogenously expressed PC1 and PC2 (26, 27), and endogenous PC2, localize to cilia and showed that PC1-NTR accumulated in a cilia enriched fraction (19, 35). Interestingly, our data also indicated in MEFs that the presence of PC2 on the cilium requires endogenous PC1, which may be consistent with reduced ciliary PC2 on PKD1 induced pluripotent stem cells (iPS) and derived cells (62). However, the literature is inconsistent in this regard (15, 17, 19, 35); different cell types may behave differently, and so further studies are required. We provide evidence from fractionation of the endogenous protein and IF of exogenous protein that a significant proportion of

Previous analysis of the hypomorphic Pkd1: p.R3277C allele showed that the pathomechanism was related to a lower level of PC1-NTR, due to reduced GPS/GAIN cleavage and defective protein folding (20). Here we show that PC1 truncation within the transmembrane region results in a stable mutant product, integrated into the membrane and cleaved at the GPS/GAIN domain. Truncation before the first transmembrane region resulted in a stable, sometimes greatly overexpressed, protein, which in the case of the tr15 mutant product is overexpressed at the mRNA level (60). Overexpressed truncated protein may be due to loss of the PEST sequence in the PC1-CT (32), although not all truncated PC1 proteins are overexpressed (del31). None of the truncated proteins generate mature PC1 products, likely because of their inability to interact with PC2; therefore, we predict that PC1 truncation mutants preceding the coiled-coil domain do not mature and fail to reach the cell surface.

Figure 7. Analysis of maturation of endogenous PC1 truncation mutants. (A) Diagram showing approximate locations of the truncations in PC1 and the locations of the REJ domain, PKD repeats, and CT-CC. (B) Glycosylation analysis of MEFs isolated from WT and Pkd1<sup>−/−</sup> mice. (C) IP of endogenous PC2 (H280) in WT and Pkd1<sup>−/−</sup> MEFs detected with the PC1 NT antibody. The del31 mutation truncated PC1 after the GPS/GAIN cleavage site, and since the truncated protein was cleaved, an NT as well as a truncated FL (tFL) product were observed. EndoH analysis shows that PC1-NTS, but not PC1-NTR, was generated. Representative blots are shown from 3 independent experiments. (D-G) Glycosylation analysis comparing untreated (Un), EndoH-digested (+E), and PNGase F-digested (+P) protein. In all cases, only the EndoH-sensitive truncated product (PC1-tNTS) was seen, with no PC1-tNTR glycoform. In del17 and tr15, the PC1 truncated product was expressed at a much higher level than that detected WT, and so longer exposures are shown (D and E) to visualize the WT allele/products. Representative blots are shown from 3 independent experiments.
and here we show that the PC2 reduction in PKD2 results in a non-equimolar reduction of PC1-NTR, which likely modifies the PKD2 phenotype. The bigenic mouse studies show that addition of a single Pkd2 mutant allele (null or WS25) had a significant impact on the Pkd1RC/RC phenotype, with corresponding changes seen with a single Pkd1RC allele on the Pkd2WS25/– background. Once below a critical PC1-NTR threshold, 35%–40% of WT in mice (and probably ~50% in humans), small additional reductions are associated with significant phenotypic changes (20). Of interest is the significantly different disease severity between Pkd1+/– Pkd2+/– and Pkd1 RC/RC PC1-NTR localizes to the PM (17). An additional study published after the submission of this manuscript also localized PC1-NTR to the PM (63), and suggested a PC1-NT product not tethered to PC1-CT. Our results suggest that the PC1-PC2 complex formation is required for ER exit of both proteins and imply that PC2 plays a role in folding/quality control of PC1. This is consistent with the observation that PC1 structure is altered upon PC2 binding (64).

The major conclusion from this study is that PC2 acts as an important chaperone for PC1 maturation and localization. In PKD1, the level of PC1-NTR is critical for disease severity (20), and here we show that the PC2 reduction in PKD2 results in a non-equimolar reduction of PC1-NTR, which likely modifies the PKD2 phenotype. The bigenic mouse studies show that addition of a single Pkd2 mutant allele (null or WS25) had a significant impact on the Pkd1RC/RC phenotype, with corresponding changes seen with a single Pkd1RC allele on the Pkd2WS25/– background. Once below a critical PC1-NTR threshold, 35%–40% of WT in mice (and probably ~50% in humans), small additional reductions are associated with significant phenotypic changes (20). Of interest is the significantly different disease severity between Pkd1+/– Pkd2+/– and Pkd1 RC/RC.
mice. This may be because in this combination no WT Pkd1 is present, although the level of PCI-NTR (5% reduced) may be most significant (46, 57). A combined effect of both loci is consistent with the observations of Pkd1 somatic mutations in Pkd2 patient cysts (65), and vice versa (66), likely acting by lowering PCI-NTR below a cystogenic threshold and so favoring cyst development.

Overall our studies emphasize that PCI-NTR is the cell surface glycoform of PCI1, that maturation of PCI-NTR requires the presence of PC2 in a dose-dependent manner, and that the renal disease severity in Pkd1/Pkd2 models parallels the level of PCI-NTR.

Methods

Crude membrane protein purification. Cells were washed with PBS, scraped and reconstituted in LIS buffer (10 mM Tris HCl [pH 7.4], 2.5 μM MgCl$_2$, 1 mM EDTA) and protease inhibitors (Complete; Roche), and allowed to swell. Cells were then homogenized by repeated passage through a 25.5-gauge needle and centrifuged at 2,200 g for 5 minutes. Supernatant was collected, centrifuged at 20,000 g for 30 minutes, and the pellet saved as a crude membrane fraction. All procedures used prechilled containers and were performed in the cold room.

Generation of total cellular membrane (PM + microsomes). Cells were washed with PBS, scraped and reconstituted in LIS buffer, and allowed to swell. Cells were then homogenized with a tight-fitting Dounce homogenizer and passed once through a 25.5-gauge needle. Homogenates were made iso-osmotic by addition of sucrose to 320 mM, and membranes were centrifuged at 10,000 g for 15 minutes and the supernatants saved.

Sucrose purification of membrane protein. Purified membrane protein/microsomes were overlaid on a sucrose cushion (35%) and centrifuged at 100,000 g for 2 hours. Membrane protein was collected from the sucrose interface.

Gradient fractionation of renal epithelial cells. RCTE cells were washed in ice-cold PBS, scraped, collected by centrifugation, reconstituted in LIS buffer, and homogenized with a tight-fitting Dounce homogenizer. Lysates were made iso-osmotic by addition of sucrose to 320 mM, and membranes were centrifuged at 10,000 g for 10 minutes. Cleared supernatants were overlaid on 2% to 30% OptiPrep gradients and subjected to ultracentrifugation at 48,000 g for 18 hours. Gradients were prepared using the gradient-maker function of the Gradient Master (BioCorp). Fractions of 500 μl were collected from the top using the fractionation function of the Gradient Master.

Purification of media-derived protein. MEFs were grown to 100% confluence in 15-cm plates and washed 3 times in PBS, and medium was replaced with protein-free chemically defined (CD Hybryloma; Invitrogen) medium supplemented with 1% clarified FBS. Cells were clarified by ultracentrifugation for 2 hours at 100,000 g and passed through a 50,000-Da-cutoff centrifugal filter (Millipore). After 48 hours, CD medium was collected from cultured MEFs and cleared of cells and membranes by successive centrifugations at 1,000 and 10,000 g for 5 and 15 minutes, respectively. Supernatants were then concentrated using 50,000-Da-cutoff centrifugal filters (Millipore) (Supplemental Figure 4A).

Cloning mCherry-PC1 and GFP-PC2 constructs. pTagGFP2::PKD2 was constructed in the pTagGFP2 vector (Evrogen). A long linker SGGGGTTGGGSGG was cloned in frame after the vector BspEI site and a human PC2 cDNA (NM_000297.3) inserted seamlessly after the linker. To generate PKD2, c.2107_2109CTT>TAA (p.L703X), c.2503_2505TCT>TAA (p.S835X), c.2263_2388del (p.755_796del, deleF+CC1), c.2503_2619del (p.835_873del, deleCC2), c.1240T>G (p.W414X), c.964C>T (p.R322W), c.965G>A (p.R322Q), c.1532A>T (p.D511V) mutagenesis was performed using Q5 polymerase (NEB). mCherry::PC1 was generated by insertion of an mCherry-RFP domain after (C-terminal) to the native PC1 signal peptide but N-terminal to the leucine-rich repeat-associated cysteine-rich cluster. Therefore, the mCherry protein was expressed on the extreme N-terminal tip of the mature PCI molecule. To generate PKD1, c.12631G>T (p.E4211X), c.12658G>T (p.E4220X), c.12736C>T (p.Q4247X), c.12788G>T (p.G4257X) mutagenesis was performed using Q5 polymerase.

Semiquantitative Western blotting. Membrane protein from crude purification was quantified (BCA Protein Kit; Thermo Scientific) and samples diluted to 2 μg/μl before Western blotting. Equal concentrations of membrane protein (25 μg) were subjected to SDS-PAGE in 5%-8% Tris-acetate gradient gels (Invitrogen) for 2.5 hours at 150 V. Gels were washed and transferred onto PVDF membranes using a wet transfer box (Bio-Rad) for at least 4 hours at a constant voltage of 80 V. Transfer efficiency and equal loading were confirmed with Coomassie blue (Bio-Safe; Bio-Rad) staining of gels. PVDF membrane blots were blocked in 5% nonfat milk in Tris-buffered saline plus Tween (TBST) and exposed to primary antibodies overnight at 4°C. For quantification, each experiment was compared with a WT control using densitometry (ImageJ) and normalized to total membrane protein obtained from Coomassie-stained gels and/or SYPRO Ruby–stained PVDF membrane.

Nondenaturing Western blotting. Nondenaturing gel electrophoresis was performed using NativePAGE 3%-12% Bis-Tris system (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Glycosylation analyses. Purified membrane protein and IP eluates were deglycosylated with EndoH and PNGase F kits according to the manufacturer’s instructions (NEB).

Surface glycoprotein labeling and IP. Surface glycoprotein labeling of living cells was performed as described previously (67). Briefly, monolayer cells in 15-cm plates were washed twice with ice-cold Dulbecco’s PBS (DPBS), then oxidized at 4°C in 1 mM NaIO$_4$ containing DPBS (pH 7) for 30 minutes. The oxidation reaction was quenched with ice-cold 1 mM glycerol in DPBS for 5 minutes, after which the cells were washed twice with ice-cold DPBS. Oxime ligation was performed in the presence of 10 mM Aniline (Sigma-Aldrich) and 100 μM Alkoxyamine-PEG$_2$-Biotin (Thermo) in 1% FBS-supplemented ice-cold PBS (pH 7.5) buffer for 1 hour. Biotinylated cells were then washed 3 times in PBS and scraped. Reaction specificity was ensured using streptavidin-488 fluorochrome staining of a small sample of cells.

Scraped cells were collected by centrifugation, subjected to crude membrane protein purification, and solubilized in IP buffer (20 mM HEPES [pH 7.5], 137 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 10% [wt/vol] glycerol, 2 mM EDTA, 2.5 mM MgCl$_2$) supplemented with protease inhibitors (Roche) for 15 minutes. Nonsolubilized protein was removed by centrifugation and discarded. Neutriadin agarose was washed in IP buffer 3 times, and 50 μl of packed agarose was added to solubilized membrane protein and agitated at 4°C for 2 hours. Samples were washed 3 times in IP buffer and once in ice-cold H$_2$O. Protein was eluted in either lithium dodecyl sulfate (LDS) plus Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP) or agarose subjected to deglycosylation analyses.

Immunoprecipitation with PCI1 and PCI2 antibodies. Crude membrane protein (75 μg) was isolated as described and the resulting membrane
pellet solubilized in IP buffer or high-salt (500 mM NaCl) IP buffer. Samples were precleared with blank A/G agarose for 2 hours. Antibodies to PC1 CT (goat anti-PKD1, Everest Biotech; rabbit BD3, Genzyme) and PC2 (H280 or YCE2; Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.) were added overnight. Protein/antibody mixture was then incubated with 50 μl of packed washed A/G agarose (Thermo Scientific) for 2 hours. The agarose was washed 3 times in IP buffer or high-salt (500 mM NaCl) IP buffer and once in ice-cold H2O, and the protein eluted in either LDS plus TCEP or agarose was split into 3 equal parts (untreated, EndoH, and PNGase F) and subjected to deglycosylation analyses. Twenty-five micromolars of input and 100% of the IP were loaded on SDS-PAGE.

Transfection of renal epithelial cells. RCTE (68) and MDCK (ATCC) cells were split 1:2 the day before electroporation and transfected at approximately 80% confluence. Transfection was performed using the A-020 (RCTE) and A-023 (MDCK) programs of the Amaza Nucleo-fectorII (Lonza) following the manufacturer’s directions. Electroporation buffer (20 mM HEPES, 135 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl2, 0.5% Ficoll 400, pH 7.6) was supplemented with 1:100 volume 200 mM ATP/500 mM glutathione immediately after electroporation. Cells were then recovered at 35°C overnight to promote folding and expression of the large, mCherry-PC1, protein, then washed and returned to 37°C. Stable mCherry-PC1 and GFP-PC2 expression was achieved by growing cells for 7 days after transfection in cell culture media supplemented with G418 (400 μg/ml).

Confocal microscopy, immunofluorescence, and surface PC1 labeling. RCTE cells were grown on glass cover slips, washed once with DPBS, fixed in 3.5% paraformaldehyde (pH 7.5) for 30 minutes, and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton in DPBS (pH 7.5). Cells were washed again in PBS and incubated in blocking buffer (10% normal goat serum, 1% BSA, 0.1% Tween in PBS [pH 7.5]) for 30 minutes. After 3 PBS washes, primary antibodies were added in IF buffer (1% BSA, PBS [pH 7.5], 0.1% Tween) for 2 hours at room temperature or overnight at 4°C with gentle agitation. After 3 PBS washes, conjugated secondary antibodies (Alexa Fluor; Invitrogen) were added for 1 hour. DAPI was added for 1 minute to stain cell nuclei.

Surface labeling of mCherry-PC1 was performed as follows. Transfected RCTE cells were cooled at 4°C for 15 minutes and washed once in ice-cold PBS, and prechilled mCherry antibody (BioVision), diluted in 0.5% BSA in PBS, was added for 30 minutes at 4°C. Cells were then fixed in 3.5% PF and conjugated secondary antibody added for 30 minutes in IF buffer. Confocal microscopy was performed using a Zeiss Axiovert equipped with Apotome. High-quality confocal imaging was performed using a Zeiss laser-scanning (LSM780) microscope.

pH-shift/SDS immunofluorescence. The fixation/antigen retrieval method of pH-shift/SDS immunofluorescence was performed to visualize endogenous PC2 in MEFs (Figure 4C). Cells were grown to 100% confluence and serum starved for 48 hours, fixed in 3% PF (pH 7.5) for 15 minutes and 4% PF (pH 11) in 100 mM borate buffer for 15 minutes, and then permeabilized in 1% SDS for 5 minutes, to partially denature the protein. Subsequent antibody staining was performed as described above with the PC2 antibody (H280) staining performed overnight at 1:200 dilution at 4°C. Antibody specificity was ensured by comparison between WT and Pkd2-null MEFs, in which no staining was observed.

Mouse genetics and phenotype studies. The following mouse lines were used: Pkd1 del17 (Pkd1Δ17) (69) (a gift from Shigeo Horie, Teikyo University, Tokyo, Japan); Pkd1Δ17R1/Δ17R1 (Pkd1Δ17/Δ17) (20), Pkd2Δ2/Δ2 (Pkd2Δ2/Δ2) (45), and Pkd2Δ17 (Pkd2Δ17) (58) (gifts from Stefan Somlo, Yale University, New Haven, CT); and Pkd1 del31 (59) (a gift from Richard Sandford, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom). Pkd1 del31 is a newly described Pkd1-null model where exons 31–34 are deleted and replaced with a selection cassette, mimicking the mutation in patient OX114 (P98) (60). All lines were fully inbred into the C57BL/6 strain.

For the phenotypic analysis, the animals were sacrificed at 4 months by CO2 exposure and the BWs of the animals recorded. Subsequently, blood was collected via cardiac puncture and kidneys harvested and weighed. The left kidney was flash frozen and the right kidney fixed in 4% PF. All tissues were paraffin embedded and stained (H&E, Masson trichrome) by the Animal Histology Core (Mayo Clinic) and examined for abnormal pathologies. Cystic indices were calculated and averaged from 3 cross sections per kidney using MetaMorph software. Blood urea nitrogen was as analyzed from plasma using pHOx Ultra (Nova Biomedical), and plasma creatinine levels were measured following the BioAssay Systems protocol.

Antibodies used. The following antibodies were used: PCI NT IgG1, 7e12 (70) (WB 1/1,000); PCI CT Rb, BD3 (a gift of Oxana Beskrovnaya, Genzyme, Framingham, Massachusetts, USA) (IP 1/250); PCI CT Gt, EB08670 (Everest Biotech) (IP 1/250); PCI CT Rb, PKS-A (71); PC2 Rb, H280 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.) (WB 1/5,000); PC2 IgG2a, YCE2 (Santa Cruz) (WB 1/2,000, IF 1/500); EGFR Rb (BD Transduction Laboratories) (WB 1/1,000); acetylated α-tubulin, IgG2b (Invitrogen) (IF 1/5,000); anti-Tag(CGG)FP (Evrogen AB121) (1/5,000 WB); mCherry Rb (5993-100; BioVision) (Surface Labeling 1/1,000); mCherry IgG2a (Novus Biologicals NB196752 1C51) (WB 1/2,000); calnexin Rb (Novus Biologicals) (1/250 IF, 1/1,000 WB); ORAI-1 Rb (H–46; Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.) (1/1,000 WB); AdIb (7711-1-AP; Proteintech) (1/1,000 WB); and STIM1 IgG2a (M01; Abnova) (1/2,000 WB).

Cells. RCTE (PKD1Δ17) and 9–12 (PKD1Δ17) cells (provided by Mahmoud Lohghman-Adham, Baxter Healthcare Corporation) were previously described (68). WT, Pkd1Δ17, Pkd1Δ17/Δ17, Pkd2Δ17, Pkd2Δ17/Δ17, Pkd2Δ2/Δ2, and Pkd2Δ2/Δ2 were generated from E13.5 mouse embryos following standard techniques. Briefly, embryos were washed twice in PBS, decapsitated, and eviscerated. The spinal column was minced using sterile razor blades and trypsinized. A single-cell suspension was ensured by continuous mixing before P0 cells were plated in monolayer culture. Dermal or renal primary fibroblasts isolated from the PKD1 patient by skin biopsy or at nephrectomy and expanded by standard methods.

Statistics. All analyses were performed using JMP Pro9. For the murine studies, analyses were performed by 2-way ANOVA and least-squares means (LSMEANS) Student’s t post hoc test. A P value below the α level of 0.05 was considered significant. A 2-tailed Student’s t test was used to compare means and SDs between den-sitometry-derived NTR and NTS relative band intensities. For clia quantification, a 2-tailed Fisher’s exact test was performed on data collected in contingency tables.

Study approval. The Mayo Clinic Institutional Animal Care and Utilization Committee approved the use of all murine models and experimental protocols within this study.
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