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Activation of mTOR-dependent pathways regulates the specification and differentiation of CD4+ T effector cell subsets. Herein, we show that mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1) and mTORC2 have distinct roles in the generation of CD8+ T cell effector and memory populations. Evaluation of mice with a T cell–specific deletion of the gene encoding the negative regulator of mTORC1, tuberous sclerosis complex 2 (TSC2), resulted in the generation of highly glycolytic and potent effector CD8+ T cells; however, due to constitutive mTORC1 activation, these cells retained a terminally differentiated effector phenotype and were incapable of transitioning into a memory state. In contrast, CD8+ T cells deficient in mTORC1 activity due to loss of RAS homolog enriched in brain (RHEB) failed to differentiate into effector cells but retained memory characteristics, such as surface marker expression, a lower metabolic rate, and increased longevity. However, these RHEB-deficient memory-like T cells failed to generate recall responses as the result of metabolic defects. While mTORC1 influenced CD8+ T cell effector responses, mTORC2 activity regulated CD8+ T cell memory. mTORC2 inhibition resulted in metabolic reprogramming, which enhanced the generation of CD8+ memory cells. Overall, these results define specific roles for mTORC1 and mTORC2 that link metabolism and CD8+ T cell effector and memory generation and suggest that these functions have the potential to be targeted for enhancing vaccine efficacy and antitumor immunity.

Introduction

CD8+ T cells are an essential component of the adaptive immune response, generating both effector cells necessary for clearance of acute infection and long-lived memory cells primed to provide a rapid secondary response (1). Upon initial encounter with antigen, naive CD8+ T cells rapidly proliferate to generate effector cells (2). Such cells have enormous metabolic demands and rely in part on aerobic glycolysis as a means of promoting effector activation (3, 4). In contrast, CD8+ memory T cells, which are programmed for long-term survival, have fewer acute metabolic needs and use oxidative phosphorylation rather than glycolysis (5, 6). While recent work has indicated the importance of metabolic programming in T cell fate, the pathways that regulate T cell metabolism are still to be determined (7).

mTOR is an evolutionarily conserved serine/threonine protein kinase important for the integration of environmental cues to regulate cellular metabolism, protein synthesis, differentiation, survival, and growth (8). mTOR exists as two distinct protein complexes, mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1) and mTORC2, each with unique functions and downstream targets. The activation of mTORC1 has been well characterized. Negative regulators of mTORC1, tuberous sclerosis complex 1 (TSC1), TSC2, and newly described TBC1D7 (9), form a protein complex functioning as a GAP for RAS homolog enriched in brain (RHEB) (10, 11). Upon inhibitory phosphorylation of TSC1 or TSC2, the TSC1/2 complex disassociates, allowing for accumulation of RHEB-GTP. RHEB-GTP interacts with mTORC1, leading to mTOR kinase activity, measured as an increase in the phosphorylation of the mTORC1 substrates S6K-1 and 4E-BP1 (12). Several studies have examined the role of TSC1 in T cells and have demonstrated its critical role in promoting survival (13–16). The role of TSC2 in T cells has yet to be determined.

In CD4+ T cells, mTORC1 and mTORC2 have emerged as critical integrators of signals from the immune microenvironment. mTORC1 selectively regulates Th1 and Th17 differentiation, while mTORC2 promotes the generation of Th2 cells (17, 18). Furthermore, complete inhibition of mTOR activity in CD4+ T cells results in the generation of regulatory T cells, even under normally activating conditions (19). Therefore, we were interested in determining the specific roles of mTORC1 and mTORC2 signaling in regulating CD8+ T cell effector and memory generation. In this report, we demonstrate a critical role for mTORC1 and mTORC2 in regulating CD8+ T cell effector and memory differentiation.

Results

TSC2 integrates signals to regulate CD8+ effector function. TSC2 negatively regulates mTORC1 activity by acting as a GAP for the mTORC1-activating GTPase RHEB (20). Upon phosphorylation by AKT or ERK, TSC2 is inactivated, thus leading to increased mTORC1 activity (8). In order to dissect how mTORC1 integrates signals in CD8+ T cells, we created mice in which TSC2 is selectively deleted in T cells (Cd4-Cre Tsc2−/− mice, herein referred to as T–Tsc2−/− mice) (Supplemental Figure 1A; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI77746DS1).
Consistent with its role in negatively regulating mTORC1 activity, Tsc2 deletion in CD8+ T cells resulted in elevated phosphorylation of ribosomal S6 kinase 1 (S6K1), ribosomal S6, and 4E-BP1 under both unstimulated and TCR-stimulated conditions (Figure 1A and Supplemental Figure 1B) (21). mTORC2 activity, as assessed by phosphorylation of AKT at S473, was still intact in T-Tsc2-/- CD8+ T cells following TCR stimulation, albeit slightly reduced from WT levels (Figure 1A). Phenotypic analysis of T-Tsc2-/- mice revealed normal percentages and absolute numbers of T and B cells but a decreased CD8+ to CD4+ T cell ratio (Figure 1B and Supplemental Figure 1, C-E). As TSC2 is deleted after the double-positive stage of thymic development, we suspect that these altered CD4/CD8 ratios reflect post-thymic events. Further analysis revealed that T-Tsc2-/- CD8+ and CD4+ T cells have an increased CD44hiCD62Llo population, indicative of an activated phenotype (Figure 1C). Consistent with this activated phenotype, T-Tsc2-/- CD8+ and CD4+ T cells exhibited enhanced proliferation upon TCR engagement compared with WT cells (Figure 1D).

The role of TSC2 in T cells has yet to be described. Recent reports have examined the role of TSC1 in T cells and have observed increases in apoptosis in TSC1-deficient T cells (13-16). The increased apoptosis was associated with decreased AKT activity and decreased expression of the antiapoptotic proteins, BCL-2 and BCL-XL. In contrast, ex vivo survival and activation-induced cell death were equivalent in T-Tsc2-/- and WT CD8+ T cells (Supplemental Figure 1, F and G). Unlike that observed in Tsc1-/- T cells, T-Tsc2-/- CD8+ T cells had equivalent levels of BCL-2 and BCL-XL when compared with those in WT CD8+ T cells (Supplemental Figure 1, H and I). Thus, while TSC2 deletion leads to increased cell death in T cells, TSC2 deletion results in enhanced proliferation and activation. Mechanistically, these differences seem to reflect the fact that the Tsc1-/- T cells lack mTORC2 activity, as indicated by impaired phosphorylation of AKT at S473 (13, 14, 16), while in T-Tsc2-/- CD8+ T cells, AKT activity was relatively intact (Figure 1A). Additionally, TSC1 deficiency resulted in a loss of TSC2 protein, while TSC1 expression was intact in T-Tsc2-/- cells (Supplemental Figure 1J) (22).

Next, we wanted to determine the effect of TSC2 deficiency on the function of CD8+ effector T cells. As expected, T-Tsc2-/- CD8+ T cells demonstrated enhanced mTORC1 activation but intact mTORC2 signaling (Figure 2, A and B). Furthermore, upon restimulation, T-Tsc2-/- CD8+ T cells exhibited reduced numbers of antigen-specific CD8+ T cells (Supplemental Figure 2A and Figure 3A). Tsc2 deletion, however, resulted in elevated phosphorylation of AKT at S473 and TNF-α, suggesting that they do not have a defect in TCR stimulation (Supplemental Figure 2C). Interestingly, while T-Tsc2-/- CD8+ T cells express decreased levels of effector molecules, they exhibited increased expression of CD127 (IL-7Rα receptor), which is critical for CD8+ memory survival (Figure 2F) (24).

As constitutive activation of mTORC1, through Tsc2 deletion, resulted in enhanced effector generation, we also wanted to determine whether mTORC1 activity was required for the generation of CD8+ effector T cells. To this end, we assessed effector function of T cells deficient in RHEB (Cd4-Cre Rhebfl/fl cells, herein referred to as T-Rheb-/- CD8+ T cells) (17, 23). RHEB is a small GTPase that is negatively regulated by the GAP activity of TSC2 and plays a critical role in the immediate activation of mTORC1 (10). T-Rheb-/- CD8+ T cells have reduced mTORC1 activity but intact mTORC2 signaling (Figure 2, A and B). Upon stimulation, T-Rheb-/- CD8+ T cells have markedly diminished expression of IFN-γ, TNF-α, and granzyme B when compared with that of WT T cells (Figure 2C). The inability of T-Rheb-/- CD8+ T cells to produce cytokines was not due to a proliferative defect, as T-Rheb-/- CD8+ T cells are capable of dividing, albeit at a delayed rate compared with that of WT cells, upon stimulation (Supplemental Figure 2A). T-Rheb-/- CD8+ T cells also demonstrated expression of activation markers CD69 and CD44 that was equivalent to that in WT T and T-Tsc2-/- cells, suggesting that they do not have a defect in TCR stimulation (Supplemental Figure 2C). Interestingly, there is also evidence that T-Rheb-/- CD8+ T cells express decreased levels of effector molecules, they exhibited increased expression of CD127 (IL-7Rα receptor), which is critical for CD8+ memory survival (Figure 2F) (24).

Next, we moved to an in vivo model. WT, T-Rheb-/-, and T-Tsc2-/- mice were infected with a recombinant vaccinia virus that expresses ovalbumin (vaccinia-OVA), and 6 days later, the generation and function of OVA-specific CD8+ effector T cells was determined using OVA tetramer (H-2 kb/ SIINFEKL) staining. T-Tsc2-/- mice exhibited a robust increase in the number of activated antigen-specific CD8+ T cells compared with that of WT mice (Figure 3A). OVA-specific T-Tsc2-/- CD8+ T cells exhibited increased expression of KLRL1, a marker of terminally differentiated effector CD8+ T cells (Figure 3B and ref. 25), and robust IFN-γ and TNF-α production (Figure 3C). Consistent with an enhanced effector phenotype, OVA-specific CD8+ T cells from T-Tsc2-/- mice had markedly increased expression of T-bet, a transcription factor associated with CD8+ effector phenotype (Supplemental Figure 2D and ref. 26). Furthermore, T-Tsc2-/- CD8+ T cells demonstrated high cytolytic function, as determined by an in vivo cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) assay (Figure 3D). In contrast, T-Rheb-/- CD8+ T cells, which have reduced mTORC1 signaling, have reduced numbers of antigen-specific T cells; expressed decreased levels of KLRL1, IFN-γ, TNF-α, and T-bet; and demonstrated decreased cytolytic function (Figure 3 and Supplemental Figure 2D). However, similar to our in vitro findings, OVA-specific T-Rheb-/- CD8+ T cells demonstrated elevated expression of CD127 compared with WT cells, while OVA-specific T-Tsc2-/- CD8+ T cells had the lowest expression (Supplemental Figure 2E). Thus, hyperactivation of mTORC1 leads to enhanced accumulation of potent CD8+ effector T cells in vivo, while mTORC1 deficiency promotes CD127 expression.

Next, we crossed all genotypes onto the OT-1 background, so that the mice expressed a TCR specific for the OVA MHC class I peptide, SIINFEKL. OT-1 WT, T-Rheb-/-, and T-Tsc2-/- mice all have similar development of SIINFEKL-specific CD8+ T cells, with 98% of CD8+ splenocytes expressing the Vβ5.1/Vβ5.2 TCR (Supplemental Figure 3A). OT-1 WT, T-Rheb-/-, and T-Tsc2-/- CD8+
increased cytokine production compared with WT cells after vaccinia-OVA infection (Supplemental Figure 3C). Interestingly, the adoptive transfer of naive T-Tsc2–/–OT-I+ T cells did not consistently display a proliferative advantage when compared with WT T cells (Supplemental Figure 3C). This is in contrast to our finding that naive T-Tsc2–/–CD8+ T cells proliferate to a greater extent than naive WT T cells after in vitro stimulation (Figure 2E). Thus, for unclear reasons, while in vivo endogenous and adoptively transferred T-Tsc2–/–CD8+ T cells (Figure 3A and Figure 4A) and in vitro naive T-Tsc2–/–CD8+ T cells (Figure 2E) proliferated to a greater extent than WT T cells, adoptively transferred naive OT-I–/T-Tsc2–/–CD8+ T cells did not.

Next, we wanted to determine the ability of such cells to reject tumors. To this end, T-Tsc2–/– and WT mice were challenged with a s.q. injection of an EL4 thymoma line engineered to express luciferase. T-Tsc2–/– mice cleared the tumor, while the tumors in WT mice had an exponential increase in growth, as T cells congenically marked with CD90.1 were adoptively transferred into WT CD90.2+ recipients that were infected with vaccinia-OVA. Six days after infection, the percentage of OT-I+ splenocytes was assessed. Consistent with our endogenous findings, T-Tsc2–/– CD8+ T cells exhibited dramatically enhanced numbers of antigen-specific cells and increased BrdU uptake, in addition to increased KLRG1 expression and effector cytokine production (Figure 4A and Supplemental Figure 3B). In contrast, CD8+ T cells from T-Rheb–/– mice demonstrated markedly impaired expansion, diminished KLRG1 expression, reduced production of effector cytokines IFN-γ and TNF-α, and reduced BrdU uptake (Figure 4A and Supplemental Figure 3B). Overall, these findings demonstrate the ability of TSC2 to regulate CD8+ T cell effector generation through cell-intrinsic regulation of mTORC1.

As with our in vitro experiments, we repeated the adoptive transfer with a starting population of sorted naive CD8+ T cells. Naive T-Tsc2–/– antigen-specific CD8+ T cells demonstrated increased cytokine production compared with WT cells after vaccinia-OVA infection (Supplemental Figure 3C). Interestingly, the adoptive transfer of naive T-Tsc2–/– OT-I+ T cells did not consistently display a proliferative advantage when compared with WT T cells (Supplemental Figure 3C). This is in contrast to our finding that naive T-Tsc2–/– CD8+ T cells proliferate to a greater extent than naive WT T cells after in vitro stimulation (Figure 2E). Thus, for unclear reasons, while in vivo endogenous and adoptively transferred T-Tsc2–/– CD8+ T cells (Figure 3A and Figure 4A) and in vitro naive T-Tsc2–/– CD8+ T cells (Figure 2E) proliferated to a greater extent than WT T cells, adoptively transferred naive OT-I–/T-Tsc2–/– CD8+ T cells did not.

Figure 1. Tsc2 deletion in CD8+ T cells yields a hyperactivated phenotype. WT and T-Tsc2–/– splenocytes were harvested from 6-week-old mice. (A) mTORC1 and mTORC2 activity was assessed by immunoblot analysis from isolated CD8+ T cells left unstimulated or after 3-hour αCD3/αCD28 stimulation. (B) Flow cytometric analysis of CD4 and CD8 expression gated from CD3+ cells and the mean percentage and absolute number of CD8+ T cells (n = 9). (C) Flow cytometric analysis of CD44 and CD62L expression gated from the CD8+ population, with statistics shown to the right for both CD8+ and CD4+ T cells (n = 9).

(D) CFSE-labeled splenocytes from WT and T-Tsc2–/– mice were stimulated with αCD3. CFSE dilution of CD8+ and CD4+ T cell populations was determined following 24, 48, and 72 hours of stimulation. Data are representative of at least 3 independent experiments. For the box-and-whiskers plots, the whiskers represent the minimum and maximum values, the box boundaries represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, and the middle line is the median value.

*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, Mann-Whitney t tests.
indicated by luciferase signal (Figure 4B). Furthermore, when challenged with EL-4 tumor cells, 100% of the T-Tsc2−/− mice survived past day 40, while WT mice did not (Supplemental Figure 7F). The enhanced ability of T-Tsc2−/− CD8+ T cells to reject tumor was recapitulated in a B16 melanoma model. Adoptive transfer of in vitro-activated T-Tsc2−/− OT-I+ T cells into WT mice challenged with OVA-expressing B16 melanoma tumor cells resulted in slower tumor growth and a greater survival advantage than transfer of littermate control (Tsc2fl/fl or Rhebfl/fl) OT-I+ T cells (Figure 4, C–E, and Supplemental Figure 4A). In contrast, transfer of activated T-Rheb−/− OT-I+ cells offered the least protection (Figure 4, C–E). Tumor growth in Figure 4C is statistically significant, based on repeated-measures analysis with respect to group and time (see Statistics). Furthermore, T-Rheb−/− mice developed the greatest tumor burden compared with that of littermate controls in an EL4 tumor model (Supplemental Figure 4B).

Constitutive activation of mTORC1 yields terminally differentiated effector cells at the expense of memory formation. Although T-Tsc2−/− cells do not have a survival defect prior to or after acute stimulation, 6 days after vaccinia-OVA infection, OVA-specific T-Tsc2−/− CD8+ T cells have enhanced expression of active caspase-3 and low expression of BCL-2, while OVA-specific T-Rheb−/− CD8+ T cells have the highest BCL-2 expression (Supplemental Figure 4B).
Figure 5, A and B). Thus, we wanted to determine the potential role of TSC2 and RHEB in regulating T cell memory. WT, T-Rheb<sup>−/−</sup>, and T-Tsc2<sup>−/−</sup> mice were infected with vaccinia-OVA and tetramer-positive T cells were assessed 30 days following infection. In contrast to our findings during acute infection, 30 days after infection we observed a 2-fold increase of activated, antigen-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in T-Rheb<sup>−/−</sup> mice compared with that in WT mice (Figure 5A and Supplemental Figure 5C). This result is consistent with previous studies demonstrating that rapamycin can promote the generation of memory cells (27, 28). There was a 2-fold reduction of antigen-specific cells in T-Tsc2<sup>−/−</sup> mice compared with that in WT mice (Figure 5A and Supplemental Figure 5C). Importantly, T-Rheb<sup>−/−</sup> T cells failed to respond to SIINFEKL upon rechallenge (Figure 5, B and C). Alternatively, the few T-Tsc2<sup>−/−</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells that still remained at this late time point responded robustly. Thus, these data indicate that, while RHEB deficiency results in...
enhanced longevity of antigen-specific cells, these cells fail to respond upon rechallenge, suggesting that they do not possess true memory characteristics.

We hypothesized that the decrease in memory T cells observed in the T-Tsc2−/− mice was the result of persistent mTORC1 activation inhibiting the upregulation of the cellular programs necessary for long-term survival. To test this hypothesis, WT and T-Tsc2−/− OT-I+ T cells were adoptively transferred into WT mice infected with vaccinia-OVA. Six days after infection, splenocytes were harvested. The percentage of splenic CD8+CD90.1+ T cells, KLRG1 expression of CD8+CD90.1+ cells, and IFN-γ and TNF-α production after SIINFEKL peptide stimulation are shown, with statistics to the right (n = 10). For the box-and-whiskers plots, the whiskers represent the minimum and maximum values, the box boundaries represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, and the middle line is the median value. (A) WT and T-Rheb−/− mice received s.q. EL4 thymoma cells expressing luciferase. Tumor burden was assessed by detection of luminescence (n = 16). (C–E) In vitro–activated T-Rheb−/− and T-Tsc2−/− and littermate control OT-I+CD8+ T cells were injected into WT recipients that had received B16-OVA cells 6 days prior (dashed line represents T cell transfer). “No transfer” indicates that mice did not receive OT-I+ cells. (C) Tumor volume was assessed every 2 to 3 days. Each symbol represents an average per genotype (n = 15). (D) Tumor volume shown at day 24 after tumor inoculation. Each dot represents a mouse. Data are derived from C. (E) Survival was assessed. Mice that received T-Tsc2−/− cells had enhanced survival compared with all other treatments, as determined by multiple comparisons Mantel-Cox tests. Statistics in A and D were determined by ANOVA and for B and C were determined by repeated-measures analysis. Data are representative of at least 3 independent experiments. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.
and eomesodermin, a transcription factor associated with CD8+ memory (ref. 29 and Figure 6, C and D). Consistent with the role of mTORC1 in enhancing effector cell generation, this treatment also led to a decrease in KLRG1 and T-bet expression in T-Tsc2–/– OT-I+ cells (Figure 6, A–D). Importantly, rapamycin treatment also promoted an enhanced recall response of T-Tsc2–/– CD8+ T cells to a secondary infection with listeria-OVA (lm-OVA) (Figure 6E). This recall response was not observed in mice given T-Tsc2–/– cells without rapamycin. Thus, temporarily blocking mTORC1 activity in T-Tsc2–/– CD8+ T cells promotes the generation of functional memory T cells.

TSC2 regulates the activation-induced metabolic switch in T cells. We next assessed the metabolic flux of activated CD8+ T cells from WT, T-Rheb–/–, and T-Tsc2–/– mice. T-Tsc2–/– CD8+ T cells demonstrated a high extracellular acidification rate (ECAR), indicative of increased glycolysis, compared with WT T cells (Figure 7A). In support of this high glycolytic rate, activated T-Tsc2–/– CD8+ T cells expressed the glucose transporter GLUT1 and the key glycolytic enzyme phosphofructokinase (Pfk1) (Figure 7B). In contrast, T-Rheb–/– T cells expressed markedly decreased glycolytic activity and diminished expression of Slc2a1 and Pfk1. However, T-Rheb–/– CD8+ T cells have an increased spare respiratory capacity (SRC), an indicator of mitochondrial energy potential associated with long-term cellular survival (Figure 7C) (6). In support of this finding, previously activated T-Rheb–/– CD8+ T cells exhibited the highest mitochondrial mass, as indicated by MitoTracker Green staining (Figure 7D). Furthermore, T-Rheb–/– CD8+ T cells demonstrated a increase in expression of carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1α (Cpt1a), the rate-limiting step of FAO (30, 31), which is also associated with the development of memory CD8+ T cells (ref. 6 and Figure 7E). In contrast, T-Tsc2–/– CD8+ T cells have the lowest SRC, mitochondrial mass, and Cpt1a expression (Figure 7, C–E). Thus, these data indicate that mTORC1 activity is a rheostat for CD8+ metabolic function.

To determine whether the enhanced effector function of T-Tsc2–/– CD8+ T cells is dependent on an enhanced glycolytic metabolism, we assessed T-Tsc2–/– effector function in vivo after daily treatment with 2-deoxy-d-glucose (2DG), an inhibitor of glycolysis (32). 2DG treatment greatly reduced the percentage of
ment decreases the glycolytic metabolism of activated T-Tsc2−/− cells, enabling the generation of memory cells. A novel role for mTORC2 in regulating CD8+ T cell memory. We next wanted to determine whether mTORC2 activity is important for regulating CD8+ T cell fate decisions. To this end, we used mice in which RICTOR, a critical component of mTORC2, is conditionally deleted in T cells (Cd4-Cre Rictorfl/fl mice, herein referred to as T-Rictor−/− mice). Upon TCR stimulation, T-Rictor−/− CD8+ T cells have intact, if not slightly elevated, mTORC1 activity, consistent with the release of a mTORC2 feedback loop (33), and decreased mTORC2 activity (Figure 8A and Supplemental Figure 7A).

T-Rictor−/− CD8+ T cells have proliferative capacity and cytokine production equivalent to those of WT cells (Supplemental recovered T-Tsc2−/− OT-I+CD8+ T cells and the IFN-γ production of OT-I+ T cells after vaccinia-OVA infection, thus proving a correlation between glycolytic metabolism and T-Tsc2−/− CD8+ T cell effector response (Figure 7F).

In as much as rapamycin was able to enhance memory generation in the T-Tsc2−/− CD8+ T cells, we next examined the effect of rapamycin on metabolism. Rapamycin treatment reduced glycolysis, as measured by ECAR, in T-Tsc2−/− CD8+ T cells (Figure 7G). This finding was associated with a decrease in Slc2a1 and Pfk1 (Figure 7H). In contrast, rapamycin promoted enhanced SRC (Figure 7I and Supplemental Figure 6A) and increased expression of Cpt1a in WT and T-Tsc2−/− CD8+ T cells (Figure 7J and Supplemental Figure 6B). Thus, inhibition of mTORC1 through rapamycin treatment decreases the glycolytic metabolism of activated T-Tsc2−/− cells, enabling the generation of memory cells.

A novel role for mTORC2 in regulating CD8+ T cell memory. We next wanted to determine whether mTORC2 activity is important for regulating CD8+ T cell fate decisions. To this end, we used mice in which RICTOR, a critical component of mTORC2, is conditionally deleted in T cells (Cd4-Cre Rictorfl/fl mice, herein referred to as T-Rictor−/− mice). Upon TCR stimulation, T-Rictor−/− CD8+ T cells have intact, if not slightly elevated, mTORC1 activity, consistent with the release of a mTORC2 feedback loop (33), and decreased mTORC2 activity (Figure 8A and Supplemental Figure 7A).

T-Rictor−/− CD8+ T cells have proliferative capacity and cytokine production equivalent to those of WT cells (Supplemental
Figure 7. mTORC1 activity influences CD8+ T cell metabolism upon TCR stimulation. (A–E) Purified CD8+ T cells from WT, T-Rheb–/–, and T-Tsc2–/– mice were stimulated in vitro for 48 hours and cultured in IL-7 and IL-15 for 3 days. (A) Cells were run on an extracellular flux analyzer, and ECAR was determined. Data are mean ± SEM of 7 measurements. (B) RNA was extracted and relative expression of GLUT1 (Slc2a1) and Pfk1 transcripts was determined by qPCR. (C) As in A, SRC was determined. (D) MitoTracker Green staining was assessed by flow cytometry. (E) As in B, Cpt1a expression was measured. (F) T-Tsc2–/– OT-I+ T cells were transferred into congenically distinct recipients infected with vaccinia-OVA. A cohort of mice received 2DG daily. On day 6, splenocytes were harvested and the percentage of OT-I+ cells (n = 9) and percentage of IFN-γ-positive OT-I+ cells was determined after SIINFEKL stimulation (n = 5). For the box-and-whiskers plots, the whiskers represent the minimum and maximum values, the box boundaries represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, and the middle line is the median value. (G–J) Purified T-Tsc2–/–CD8+ T cells were stimulated in vitro for 48 hours with or without 0.5 μM rapamycin. Cells were expanded in media supplemented with IL-7 and IL-15 with or without 0.5 μM rapamycin for 3 days. (G) As in A, ECAR was measured. Data are mean ± SEM of 4 measurements. (H) As in B, relative expression of Slc2a1 and Pfk1 transcript was detected. (I) As in G, SRC was determined, and (J) relative expression of Cpt1a transcript was measured. Statistics for A–E were determined by ANOVA and those for F–J were measured by Mann-Whitney t tests. Data are representative of 3 independent experiments. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001

Figure 7B). To further understand the role of mTORC2 in CD8+ T cell effector function, we used the in vivo adoptive transfer model. Congenically marked WT and T-Rictor–/– OT-I+ T cells were adoptively transferred into recipient mice that were immediately infected with vaccinia-OVA. Unlike T cells derived from T-Rheb–/– mice, T-Rictor–/– cells generated a response to vaccinia-OVA infection similar to that of WT cells (Figure 8B). This response was observed and equivalent for antigen-specific cells derived from the blood and spleen (Supplemental Figure 7C). OVA-specific T-Rictor–/– cells expressed KLRG1 and produced robust amounts of IFN-γ and TNF-α, similar to WT cells, upon ex vivo restimulation (Figure 8C). Surprisingly, at this early time point (day 6 after infection), we also observed a substantial population of T-Rictor–/– cells expressing CD127 (Figure 8C). These findings were recapitulated after transfer of sorted naive cells. Six days after infection, transfer of naive T-Rictor–/– OT-I+CD8+ T cells resulted in equivalent,
more, antigen-specific T-Rictor−/− cells have low levels of caspase-3 activity, similar to WT and T-Rheb−/− CD8+ T cells, but the highest expression of BCL-2 (Supplemental Figure 7, D and E). Thus, CD8+ T cells with diminished mTORC2 activity generate robust effector responses, while simultaneously expressing markers of memory.

To further investigate the longevity of T-Rictor−/− CD8+ T cells, we tracked the frequency of WT and T-Rictor−/− OT-I+ T cells for 35 days after infection in the blood (Figure 9A). By day 12, the frequency of T-Rictor−/− OT-I+ CD8+ T cells was higher than that if not enhanced, accumulation of antigen-specific CD8+ T cells compared with transfer of WT cells, while T-Rheb−/− CD8+ T cell transfer yielded the lowest percentage of antigen-specific CD8+ T cells (Figure 8D). Additionally, T-Rictor−/− CD8+ T cells have an activated effector phenotype similar to that of WT cells, expressing high levels of CD44 and T-bet (Figure 8E). However, T-Rictor−/− CD8+ T cells also have enhanced expression of CD127 and eomesodermin, which were upregulated in T-Rheb−/− CD8+ T cells but not WT cells at this time point after infection (Figure 8E). Further more, antigen-specific T-Rictor−/− cells have low levels of caspase-3 activity, similar to WT and T-Rheb−/− CD8+ T cells, but the highest expression of BCL-2 (Supplemental Figure 7, D and E). Thus, CD8+ T cells with diminished mTORC2 activity generate robust effector responses, while simultaneously expressing markers of memory.
to generate memory cells, we sorted WT and T-Rictor−/− OT-I+ T cells from mice 35 days after infection (Figure 10C and D) and performed a second adoptive transfer of equivalent cell number into naive recipients. Infection of these mice with vaccinia-OVA resulted in enhanced expansion of the T-Rictor−/− OT-I+ T cells when compared with the adoptively transferred WT T cells (Figure 10D). Thus, T cells lacking mTORC2 signaling not only demonstrate enhanced differentiation into memory cells but also generate elevated responses upon rechallenge.

We consistently found that long-lived T-Rictor−/− CD8+ T cells expressing increased levels of CD127 and CD122 (IL-2R/15Rβ) than WT T cells (Figure 9C). Thus, T-Rictor−/− CD8+ T cells have a survival advantage over memory cells generated from WT mice.

Having demonstrated that T-Rictor−/− T cells have prolonged survival with increased markers of memory CD8+ T cells, we next assessed their ability to respond upon rechallenge. To this end, 26 days after adoptive transfer and infection with vaccinia-OVA, recipient mice were rechallenged with Im-OVA, and the T-Rictor−/− OT-I+ T cell response was determined 6 days later. T-Rictor−/− T cells demonstrated robust production of IFN-γ and TNF-α upon ex vivo stimulation (Figure 9D). Additionally, the enhanced generation of long-lived memory cells by T-Rictor−/− OT-I+ T cells was observed after rechallenge with Im-OVA 133 days after initial infection (Figure 10A and B). To further demonstrate the enhanced ability of the T-Rictor−/− T cells to generate memory cells, we sorted WT and T-Rictor−/− OT-I+ T cells from mice 35 days after infection (Figure 10C and D) and performed a second adoptive transfer of equivalent cell number into naive recipients. Infection of these mice with vaccinia-OVA resulted in enhanced expansion of the T-Rictor−/− OT-I+ T cells when compared with the adoptively transferred WT T cells (Figure 10D). Thus, T cells lacking mTORC2 signaling not only demonstrate enhanced differentiation into memory cells but also generate elevated responses upon rechallenge.

We consistently found that long-lived T-Rictor−/− CD8+ T cells expressed increased levels of CD127, CD62L, and eomesoderm (Figure 8E and Figure 10E). The expression of these molecules is controlled in part by the transcription factor, FOXO1 (34). Phosphorylation of FOXO1 results in cytoplasmic retention and hence inhibits its function. This phosphorylation has previously been shown to be regulated in part by mTORC2 activity (35). Thus, we hypothesized that the deletion of RICTOR could enhance the generation of memory T cells in part by increasing the retention of FOXO1 in the nucleus. Consistent with this
mechanism, FOXO1 phosphorylation was diminished in activated T-Rictor−/− CD8+ T cells (Figure 10F). Of note, in spite of their ability to generate robust memory responses, T-Rictor−/− T cells were no better at acutely rejecting tumors than WT T cells (Supplemental Figure 7, F and G).

Inhibition of mTORC2 promotes metabolism associated with both effector and memory CD8+ T cells. Next, we sought to determine whether the ability of mTORC2 to regulate memory cell generation was also linked to metabolism. WT and T-Rictor−/− CD8+ T cells were stimulated in vitro and expanded in the presence of IL-2 (to promote effector formation) or IL-7 and IL-15 (to promote memory differentiation) (6, 36). Regardless of the cytokine milieu, T-Rictor−/− CD8+ T cells demonstrated a marked increase in ECAR, indicative of a glycolytic metabolism when compared with WT T cells (Figure 11A). That is, even under in vitro conditions that mimic memory generation, the T-Rictor−/− T cells have increased glycolytic flux. Additionally, the initial oxygen consumption rate (OCR) was elevated in T-Rictor−/− cells when compared with that in WT T cells in both the effector and memory culture conditions (Figure 11A). Furthermore, while WT and T-Rictor−/− cells have a similar SRC after culture in IL-7 and IL-15, culture in IL-2 did not diminish the SRC of
T-Rictor\textsuperscript{−/−} CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells (Figure 11B). Therefore, under conditions that promote glycolysis and effector generation, T-Rictor\textsuperscript{−/−} T cells still maintain a high SRC, which is associated with the development of memory.

Unlike the T-Rictor\textsuperscript{−/−} T cells, the T-Rheb\textsuperscript{−/−} T cells fail to respond upon rechallenge. We hypothesized that this might be due to the differences in the ability of T-Rheb\textsuperscript{−/−} and T-Rictor\textsuperscript{−/−} memory CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells to upregulate metabolic programs upon rechallenge. To this end, WT, T-Rheb\textsuperscript{−/−}, and T-Rictor\textsuperscript{−/−} CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells were stimulated, cultured in IL-7 and IL-15, and then rechallenged with αCD3/αCD28, while simultaneously measuring ECAR and OCR. T-Rictor\textsuperscript{−/−} CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells displayed increased ECAR and OCR both at baseline and after restimulation (Figure 11C). In contrast, consistent with their inability to mount an effector response upon rechallenge, T-Rheb\textsuperscript{−/−} T cells failed to increase glycolytic flux (Figure 11C). However, both T-Rictor\textsuperscript{−/−} and T-Rheb\textsuperscript{−/−} CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells have increased SRC when compared with that of WT T cells (Figure 11D). Furthermore, both T-Rictor\textsuperscript{−/−} and T-Rheb\textsuperscript{−/−} CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells expressed increased levels of Cpt1a after either in vitro or in vivo stimulation (Figure 7E and
To this end, we crossed T-\(\text{Tsc2}^{-/-}\) mice with T-\(\text{Rictor}^{-/-}\) mice to generate T-\(\text{Tsc2}^{-/-}\)\(\text{Rictor}^{-/-}\) (\(\text{Cd4-Cre Tsc2 fl/fl Rictor fl/fl}\), double-knockout [DKO]) mice. DKO mice have hyperactive mTORC1 signaling but ablated mTORC2 activation (Figure 12A). DKO cells have elevated activation-induced death compared with WT, T-\(\text{Tsc2}^{-/-}\), and T-\(\text{Rictor}^{-/-}\) CD8\(^+\) T cells stimulated in vitro (Figure 12, B and C). We infected WT, T-\(\text{Tsc2}^{-/-}\), T-\(\text{Rictor}^{-/-}\), and DKO mice with vaccinia-OVA and assessed effector responses 6 days later. Similar to the reported T-\(\text{Tsc1}^{-/-}\) T cells (13–16, 37), T cells lacking both TSC2 and RICTOR demonstrated decreased expansion in response to antigen, decreased KLRG1 and CD127 upregulation, and decreased cytokine production (Figure 12, D–F). Thus, we conclude that intact mTORC2 activity is critical for the hyperactive effector response detected in T-\(\text{Tsc2}^{-/-}\) mice and further explains the difference in phenotype between T-\(\text{Tsc1}^{-/-}\) and T-\(\text{Tsc2}^{-/-}\) CD8\(^+\) T cells.

Figure 12. Inhibition of mTORC2 in the presence of hyperactive mTORC1 leads to enhanced cellular death. (A) Purified CD8\(^+\) T cells from WT, T-\(\text{Tsc2}^{-/-}\), T-\(\text{Rictor}^{-/-}\), and DKO mice were stimulated in vitro for 1 hour, and mTORC1 and mTORC2 activity was assessed by immunoblot. (B) Splenocytes from WT, T-\(\text{Tsc2}^{-/-}\), T-\(\text{Rictor}^{-/-}\), and DKO mice were stimulated in vitro for 24 hours prior to analysis of CD8\(^+\) T cell death by 7-AAD and annexin V staining. (C) Statistics for B (\(n=4\)). (D–F) Mice of each genotype were infected with vaccinia-OVA. Six days later, (D) the percentage of antigen-specific CD44\(^+\)CD8\(^+\) splenocytes and (E) surface marker expression of the antigen-specific CD8\(^+\) T cells were determined. (F) Cytokine production was assessed after ex vivo stimulation of splenocytes gated from CD44\(^+\)CD8\(^+\) cells (\(n=6\)). Data are representative of 3 independent experiments. For the box-and-whiskers plots, the whiskers represent the minimum and maximum values, the box boundaries represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, and the middle line is the median value. *\(P<0.05\), **\(P<0.01\), ***\(P<0.001\), ANOVA.
Discussion

Upon antigen recognition, naive CD8+ T cells undergo tremendous proliferation, leading to the generation and activation of effector CTLs (1). Recent studies emphasize that a critical aspect of effector generation is the upregulation of metabolic programs that help fuel this response (38, 39). In this regard, T cells integrate a vast array of signals from the immune microenvironment in order to coordinate the outcome of antigen recognition with the proper metabolic programs necessary to sustain such a response (7). TSC2 is a critical inhibitor of mTORC1 activation, which is inactivated by PI3-kinase–mediated signaling (40). In this report, we identify TSC2 as a key integrator of CD8+ immune function and metabolism. T-Tsc2−/− CD8+ T cells demonstrate superior effector function when compared with WT T cells. This was observed in experiments using both previously activated and naive T-Tsc2−/− CD8+ T cells. Alternatively, by promoting a glycolytic program necessary for effector cell differentiation and function, the absence of TSC2 precludes the generation of long-lived memory T cells.

TSC2 and TSC1 form the tuberous sclerosis complex, which serves to inhibit mTORC1 by acting as a GAP for RHEB (10). There have been several reports defining the role of TSC1 in CD8 T cell function and survival (13–16, 37, 41). Both TSC1 and TSC2 deficiency result in a reduction of peripheral CD8+ T cells and enhanced antigen-independent activation and proliferation (13–15). However, while Tsc1−/− mice have poor CD8+ T cell responses, demonstrated by a reduction of antigen-specific CD8+ T cells, and less IFN-γ and TNF-α secretion in response to ex vivo stimulation (14, 37), T-Tsc2−/− mice have enhanced CD8+ T cell effector responses, thus allowing for enhanced acute tumor clearance. Of note, differences between the roles of TSC1 and TSC2 in other cell types are not without precedent (42–45). Mechanistically, the reduction of CD8+ T cell responses in Tsc1−/− mice is thought to be due to increased cellular death resulting from decreased BCL-2 expression as well as abnormal mitochondrial potential and increased reactive oxygen species (13–16). Importantly, we did not observe a decrease in the antiapoptotic molecules BCL-2 or BCL-XL or an increase in TCR-induced cell death in T-Tsc2−/− CD8+ T cells. Notably, T-Tsc1−/− T cells demonstrate marked diminution in mTORC2 activity, as measured by phosphorylation of AKT (S473) (13, 14, 16). In contrast, we did not observe significant defects in mTORC2 signaling in the T-Tsc2−/− cells. To determine whether mTORC2 activation is necessary for the enhanced effector function observed in the T-Tsc2−/− cells, we crossed T-Tsc2−/− mice with T-Rictor−/− mice to generate DKO mice. Interestingly, DKO mice lose the enhanced CD8+ T cell effector capacities observed in T-Tsc2−/− mice and, additionally, have a reduction in the CD127 expression demonstrated in T-Rictor−/− mice. This latter finding is of interest in light of the more recent work demonstrating a role for TSC1 in memory T cell formation (37, 41).

Metabolically, activated T cells demonstrate increased glycolysis (4). It is thought that by using this relatively inefficient means of generating energy, T cells (and cancer cells) are able to generate important substrates necessary for proliferation (4). Indeed, T-Tsc2−/− CD8+ T cells demonstrate increased glycolysis. We propose that TSC2 controls glycolysis in part by its ability to inhibit mTORC1 (7, 46). Our observations that T-Rheb−/− T cells, which have markedly diminished mTORC1 activity, exhibited diminished glycolysis and failed to become effector cells are consistent with these findings. These results corroborate recent work, which demonstrates impaired effector function and glycolytic metabolism in RAPTOR-deficient CD8+ T cells (47). Importantly, we observed that antigen-experienced T-Rheb−/− T cells, which display a memory phenotype, also failed to mount a robust effector response upon rechallenge. That is, mTORC1 activity is not only necessary for the initial differentiation into effector cells but is also important for the generation of an effector response derived from memory cells.

The metabolic demands of CD8+ memory T cells differ greatly from those of effector cells (6). Rather than using glycolysis, memory cells are much more dependent on fatty acid oxidation (FAO), and their long-term survival is promoted by an increased mitochondrial SRC (6, 48). Along these lines, we demonstrated decreased FAO and SRC in the T-Tsc2−/− CD8+ T cells. Thus, we hypothesize that the increase in CD8+ T cell activation, combined with the decrease in metabolic reprogramming necessary for long-term survival, explains the overall reduction of peripheral CD8+ T cells observed in the T-Tsc2−/− mice. On the other hand, treatment with the mTORC1 inhibitor, rapamycin, restored the ability of the T-Tsc2−/− CD8+ T cells to differentiate into memory T cells. The ability of rapamycin to promote memory generation in the T-Tsc2−/− mice was associated with a decrease in glycolysis and an increase in the SRC. Such findings are consistent with previous reports demonstrating the ability of low-dose rapamycin to promote memory cell generation in WT mice (27, 28). Mechanistically, it was shown that rapamycin treatment augments the generation of memory cells in part by mediating a switch from expression of T-bet to eomesodermin. In addition to these immunologic programs, our data demonstrate that mTOR inhibition promotes memory cell generation by regulating metabolism as well. The consequences of these observations have begun to be exploited. It was found that rapamycin treatment could enhance the generation of memory T cells in response to vaccinia vaccination in rhesus macaques (49). Likewise, it has been shown that rapamycin can also enhance memory T cell generation in mouse models of tumor immunotherapy (28, 50, 51). Further, another report demonstrates that inhibiting glycolysis upon CD8+ T cell activation enhances the generation of CD8+ T cell memory, which suggests that the rescue of memory recall in T-Tsc2−/− CD8+ T cells by rapamycin is in part due to decreased glycolytic function (52). Another group observed similar findings, whereby siRNA aptamer targeting of RAPTOR (downregulating mTORC1 signaling) enhanced CD8+ T cell memory responses and had better therapeutic effect than rapamycin treatment in an antitumor vaccine strategy against a murine model of melanoma (53).

In light of our findings that TSC2 regulates CD8+ effector cell generation in an mTORC1-dependent fashion, we wanted to determine the potential role of mTORC2 in regulating CD8+ T cell effector and memory cell fates. To this end, we also examined the generation of effector and memory CD8+ T cells in T-Rictor−/− mice. Unlike what we observed in T-Rheb−/− mice, the absence of mTORC2 signaling had no negative consequences for the generation of CD8+ effector cells. Additionally, the generation of memory T cells in T-Rictor−/− mice was enhanced.
This enhancement was associated with increased IL-7 and IL-15 receptor expression (24, 54). The expression of both of these genes is enhanced by FOXO nuclear translocation, which in turn is blocked by mTORC2 activity (34, 55, 56). Thus, mechanistically, we propose that mTORC2 limits memory generation by mitigating FOXO-induced expression of these key cytokine receptors. Consistent with this idea, recent work has found that CD8+ T cells deficient in FOXO1 are unable to transition to a memory phenotype (57, 58). Metabolically, T-Rictor−/− T cells readily mount a glycolytic response to antigen activation but also demonstrate an enhanced SRC associated with long-term survival. Likewise, T-Rictor−/− T cells exhibit high expression of Cpt1a, endowing them with the ability to metabolize fat-derived carbon sources. It has been reported that memory CD8+ T cells have enhanced glycolytic capacity upon activation (48). In contrast to a report using pharmacologic blockade of mTOR that found that mTORC2 is required for the glycolytic switch required during the activation of memory cells, we found that genetic deletion of mTORC2 in CD8+ T cells (T-Rictor−/−) resulted in high ECAR prior to and upon restimulation, which was not found in mTORC1-deficient (T-Rheb−/−) CD8+ T cells (59). These results are consistent with a recent report demonstrating increased persistence of human tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes after pretreatment with an AKT inhibitor (resulting in reduced mTORC2 and mTORC1 activity) (60).

Similarly, our data identifying a role for mTORC2 activity in regulating memory cell generation have interesting clinical implications. Currently, the strategic use of rapamycin is being developed as a means to enhance vaccine efficacy (61). Clearly such a strategy will have to balance the inhibitory effects of rapamycin on effector function with its ability to enhance memory cell generation. Our data using T-Rictor−/− mice suggest that the selective inhibition of mTORC2 might prove to be an even more effective means of enhancing memory T cell generation, without dampening effector responses.

Methods

Mice. C57BL/6, Cd4-Cre, and OT-1 mice were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory and bred to C57D0.1 backgrounds. Mice with loxP-flanked Tsc2 alleles were generated by the laboratory of Michael Gambello, University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, Texas, USA (21). Mice with loxP-flanked Rheb alleles were generated in the laboratory of Mark Magnuson, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee, USA (62). Genotyping was determined from respective protocols. All mice were backcrossed on to the C57BL/6 background for 9 generations. Male and female mice were used for each experiment; mice were sex and age matched accordingly.

Antibodies and reagents. Antibodies against the following proteins were purchased from BD Biosciences: CD4 (GK1.5), CD8α (53-6.7), CD90.1 (OX-7), Vβ5.1,5.2 TCR, CD44 (IM7), IL-2 (JES6-5H4), TNF-α (MP6-XT22), IFN-γ (XM1.2), active caspase-3, and BCL2 (K112-91). 7-AAD and annexin V were also purchased from BD Biosciences. Antibodies against the following proteins were from eBioscience: B220 (RA3-6B2), CD3e (145-2C11), CD62L (MEL-14), CD122 (TM-b1), CD127 (A7R34), T-bet (eBio4B10), Foxp3 (M-TB8), and granzyme B (NGZB), and Perforin (eBioOMAK-D). Anti-KLRG1 was obtained from Southern Biotech. The following immunoblot analysis antibodies were from Cell Signaling: anti-TSC2, anti-TSC1, anti-p70 S6 kinase (T421/424), anti-S6, anti-pS6 (S235/236, D57.2E), anti-p56 (S240/244, D68F8), anti-AKT, anti-pAKT (S473, D9E), anti-pFOXO1 (T308, 244F9), anti-pFOXO1 (S256), anti-FOXO1 (C29H4), and anti-p-e-BP1 (T37/46). Anti-actin and 2DG were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. BrdU was detected using the BrdU Flow Kit according to the manufacturer’s specifications (BD). Other reagents used included OVA Class-I tetramer (H-2Kb-SIINFEKL, Beckman Coulter); IL-2, IL-7, and IL-15 cytokines (all from Pepro Tech); and OVA class-I peptide (SIINFEKL, AnaSpec). Stimulatory anti-CD3 (2C11) and anti-CD28 (37.51) were purified from hybridoma supernatants prepared in-house. Rapamycin was purchased from LC Laboratories. CFSE and MitoTracker Green FM were obtained from Invitrogen. GolgiPlug or GolgiStop (BD Biosciences) was used to inhibit cytokine secretion, and the Foxp3 Fixation/Permeabilization Kit (eBioscience) was used for intracellular staining procedures.

T cell in vitro and ex vivo stimulation. In vitro stimulation of splenocytes was performed with soluble anti-CD3 (1 μg/ml) for 48 hours, followed by a 10-fold media expansion with IL-2 (1 ng/ml) or IL-7 (10 ng/ml) and IL-15 (20 ng/ml) for 3 to 5 days. Live cells were collected by density gradient separation (Ficoll, GE Healthcare) and then restimulated with plate-bound anti-CD3 (1 μg/ml) and soluble anti-CD28 (2 μg/ml) in the presence of GolgiPlug (BD Biosciences) overnight. For ex vivo stimulation, cells were stimulated with 10 μg/ml OVA class I peptide (SIINFEKEL) overnight with GolgiPlug. Purified CD8+ T cells were stimulated with plate-bound anti-CD3 (1 μg/ml) and soluble anti-CD28 (2 μg/ml).

Flow cytometry and cell sorting. All experiments were performed on a BD FACSCalibur or LSR II and analyzed using FlowJo software analysis. Naive cells were obtained after sorting for the CD8+CD62L−CD44− population on a BD FACSaria II. For all flow cytometry experiments, gates were set appropriately with unstimulated and isotype controls.

Immunoblot analysis. Magnetically purified CD8+ T cells (MACS, Miltenyi Biotech) were stimulated with 1 μg/ml anti-CD3, 2 μg/ml anti-CD28, and 0.75 μg/ml anti-hamster IgG1 (BD Biosciences). Samples were flash frozen at each time point and lysed in whole-cell lysis buffer with NaF, P, PMSF, and NaOV3. Proteins were detected by ECL Plus substrate (GE Healthcare). All images were captured using the UVP BioSpectrum500 Imaging System.

Vaccinia infection and Listeria rechallenge. WT, T-Tsc2−/−, and T-Rictor−/− mice were infected with 1 × 10⁶ PFU vaccinia-OVA (made in house) by i.p. injection. Six or thirty days after challenge, splenocytes were harvested. For adoptive transfer experiments, C57BL/6 CD90.2+ host mice (The Jackson Laboratory) were infected with 1 × 10⁶ PFU vaccinia-OVA i.p. and were given 1 × 10⁶ (unless otherwise noted) CD8+CD90.1−Tcr−/− cells by retro-orbital (r.o.) injection from WT, T-Tsc2−/−, T-Rictor−/−, or T-Rictor−/− OT-I mice. Recovery of adoptively transferred OT-I+ cells was based on CD8+CD90.1− staining. A cohort of mice received daily administration of 100 μg/kg rapamycin i.p. from day 5 to 21. Some mice received a secondary infection with 2 × 10⁷ colony-forming units of ln-OVA i.p. (Lm-626-YNG; gift from Charles Drake, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine) on day 21. Mice were sacrificed 6 days after infection, and splenocytes were isolated for analysis. For naive adoptive transfer experiments, cells...
were sorted based on a CD8<sup>+</sup>/β2M<sup>-/-</sup>/β5.2<sup>-/-</sup>CD90.1<sup>-/-</sup>CD44<sup>+</sup>CD62L<sup>-/-</sup> gating strategy. 1 × 10<sup>4</sup> cells were injected r.o. into recipients infected with 1 × 10<sup>6</sup> PFU vaccinia-OVA i.p. For the secondary adoptive transfer experiment, splenocytes were harvested 35 days after naive adoptive transfer and infection. Cells were sorted for the CD8<sup>+</sup>/CD90.1<sup>-/-</sup> population. 7.5 × 10<sup>4</sup> sorted cells were injected r.o. into naive WT CD90.2<sup>+</sup> recipients infected with 1 × 10<sup>6</sup> PFU vaccinia-OVA i.p. The percentage of recovered CD8<sup>+</sup>/CD90.1<sup>-/-</sup> T cells was determined 6 days after transfer. For in vivo 2DG treatment, mice received daily doses of 500 mg/kg 2DG i.p.

**In vivo CTL assay.** Target cells obtained from WT C57/B6 splenocytes were split into 2 conditions. Half of the cells were labeled with 5 μM CFSE and pulsed with 3 μg/ml OVA-I peptide. The remaining cells were labeled with 0.5 μM CFSE and not peptide pulsed. Cells from both conditions were mixed at a 1:1 ratio, and 8 × 10<sup>4</sup> cells were injected i.v. into mice that had been infected with vaccinia-OVA 6 days prior. Ten hours after injection, spleens were harvested and CFSE-labeled populations were detected by flow cytometry. The percentage lysis was calculated after taking into account the proportion of CFSE population found in naive WT mice, using the following calculation: 100 × (1 – [% experimental CFSE<sup>+</sup>/% experimental CFSE<sup>-</sup>])/[% naive CFSE<sup>+</sup>/% naive CFSE<sup>-</sup>].

**Tumor experiments.** For the thymoma model, 1 × 10<sup>6</sup> EL4 cells expressing luciferase (EL4-LUCI; gift of Hyam Levitsky, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine) were injected s.q. into WT or Tsc2<sup>–/–</sup> mice. After administration, tumor burden was assessed by measuring luminescence on a Xenogen IVIS 10 minutes after i.p. injection of 1.5 mg/kg β-luciferin firefly salt (Caliper LifeSciences). For the nonluciferase EL4-OVA line, 1 × 10<sup>5</sup> EL4-OVA cells were injected s.q. into WT, T-Rheb<sup>–/–</sup>, T-Tsc2<sup>–/–</sup>, T-Rictor<sup>–/–</sup>, or littermate control mice. For the B16 melanoma model, C57BL/6 WT mice received a s.q. injection of 2 × 10<sup>5</sup> B16-OVA melanoma cells (gift of Hyam Levitsky) cultured in vitro under OVA selection media containing 400 μg/ml G418 (Life Technologies). Six days after tumor injection, mice received an adoptive transfer of 1.5 × 10<sup>5</sup> activated T-Rheb<sup>–/–</sup>, T-Tsc2<sup>–/–</sup>, or Tsc2<sup>–/–</sup> OT-I cells derived from splenocytes, which had been stimulated in vitro with SIINFEKL peptide for 48 hours, sorted for CD8<sup>+</sup>/CD90.1<sup>-/-</sup>CD44<sup>+</sup> population, and expanded in IL-2 for 24 hours. For nonluciferase tumors, tumor burden was assessed every 2 to 4 days by measuring length and width of tumor. Tumor volume was calculated using the formula for the prolate ellipsoid, \[
V = \frac{4}{3} \pi \frac{L \times W}{2} \times \frac{W}{2},
\]
where \(L\) represents length and is the longer of the 2 measurements and \(W\) represents width.

**Real-time PCR.** Cells were stimulated for 48 hours and then expanded in media supplemented with IL-7 (10 ng/ml) and IL-15 (20 ng/ml) for 3 days. Total RNA was collected using TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies). cDNA was generated with the M-MuLV RT Kit (New England BioLabs), and real-time PCR was performed using TaqMan Universal Master Mix II (Invitrogen). Real-time PCR primers and probes were obtained from Applied Biosystems: Pfk (Mm00435587_m1), Slc2a1 (Glut1, Mm00441480_m1), Cpt1a (Mm01231813_m1), and Bcl2l1 (BCL-XL Mm00437783_m1). ΔΔC<sub>T</sub> values were normalized to levels of housekeeping gene I8S ribosomal RNA (Life Technologies). Relative expression is shown in comparison to WT levels (unless otherwise noted). Analysis was performed on an ABI OneStepPlus 96-well instrument.

**Metabolic assays.** Experiments were performed using the Extracellular Flux Assay Kit (ECAR and OCR) and run on XF 96 Extracellular Flux Analyzer (Seahorse Biosciences). Preparation of cells was as described above in Real-time PCR. 1.5 × 10<sup>6</sup> cells were plated per well on poly-D-lysine–coated TC-treated XF96 cell culture microplates. Plating Seahorse media contained 25 mM glucose, 2 mM L-glutamine, and 1 mM Na pyruvate in XF Assay Medium Modified DMEM (Seahorse Biosciences). Mitochondrial inhibitors used were Oligomycin (an inhibitor of mitochondrial ATP synthase, final concentration 2 μM) and FCCP (an oxidative phosphorylation uncoupler, final concentration 0.5 mM), both from Sigma-Aldrich. SRC was determined by dividing the OCR after FCCP administration by the OCR before addition of inhibitors. For immediate assessment of ECAR and OCR upon restimulation, isolated CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells were stimulated with plate-bound αCD3 and soluble αCD28 for 48 hours and then expanded into media supplemented with IL-7/IL-15 for 4 days. 2.5 × 10<sup>6</sup> live cells were loaded per well in a lysine-coated TC-treated XF96 cell culture microplate in Seahorse media. The plate was loaded into an XF 96 Extracellular Flux Analyzer (Seahorse Biosciences) and rested for 60 minutes prior to stimulation with soluble αCD3/αCD28 (1 μg/ml final concentration). ECAR and OCR were determined every 6 minutes for a total of 734 minutes. The FAO assay was also run on the XF 96 Extracellular Flux Analyzer. Cells were cultured in KHB assay medium (111 mM NaCl, 4.7 mM KCl, 2 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 1.2 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 2.5 mM glucose, 0.5 mM carnitine). XF Palmitate-BSA FAO Substrate (PALM-BSA) was obtained from Seahorse Bioscience. Etomoxir, an inhibitor of CPT1A, was added at a final concentration of 200 μM. Addition of BSA alone served as a control. PALM-BSA indicates palmitate-conjugated BSA, a substrate for lipid oxidation.

**Statistics.** All graphs were created using GraphPad Prism software, and statistical analyses were calculated using GraphPad Prism, except for repeated-measures analyses, which were calculated through an R statistical program. Comparisons between 2 independent groups were assessed by Mann-Whitney <i>t</i> tests; comparisons among 3 or more independent groups were calculated by a Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA with a Dunn’s post-test. A <i>P</i> value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis of multiple comparison survival curves was determined after performing Mantel-Cox tests comparing each parameter and correcting the significant <i>P</i> value based upon the Bonferroni correction. Repeated-measures analysis was assessed using R software, where group, time, and interaction between group and time were also performed for significance. All results were confirmed by at least 3 independent experiments. Error bars represent mean ± SEM.

**Study approval.** All mouse procedures were approved by the Johns Hopkins University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and were compliant with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (8th ed. The National Academies Press. 2011).
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