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Introduction

Satiety, food preference, and even mood behaviors are a few of the functions modulated by gut chemosensation (1). Ingested nutrients and bacterial by-products contacting the gut epithelium stimulate enteroendocrine cells (2). These are electrically excitable biosensors essential for normal life (3). The sensory mechanisms of enteroendocrine cells have recently been elucidated using transgenic fluorescence-reporter mice. For instance, cholecystokinin-GFP mice have enabled scientists to uncover how digested fats modulate metabolism. The mechanisms involve lipid stimulation of enteroendocrine cells through receptors such as GPR41 (4). Once stimulated, enteroendocrine cells secrete several neuropeptides, including cholecystokinin (CCK) and peptide YY (PYY), best known for their ability to induce satiety (5, 6).

Despite their recognized sensory function, how enteroendocrine cells relay sensory signals from the gut lumen onto nerves is poorly understood. Transmission has been regarded as paracrine, but not through direct enteroendocrine cell–nerve contact. Hormones secreted from enteroendocrine cells are thought to diffuse throughout the lamina propria until they reach the bloodstream or act on intrinsic sensory neurons or vagal afferent nerves (7, 8).

Although this is still a possibility, we recently uncovered a prominent cytoplasmic process in enteroendocrine cells of the small intestine and colon that we called neuropod (9, 10). This neuropod is escorted by enteric glia and elongates in the presence of neurotrophins; in addition, its tip almost invariably resembles a synaptic-like bouton, which suggests a physical connection to a nerve (9, 10).

Here, we studied such a possibility by using Cck-GFP, Ppy-GFP, and Pyy-Cre mice in combination with molecular tools for the study of neural circuits, such as monosynaptic rabies neurotubing and a Cre-dependent rabG mouse. We uncovered a new neuroepithelial circuit that has the potential to serve as a conduit between the lumen of the gut and the nervous system.

Results and Discussion

The contact with nerves. Because of their endocrine attribution, we first determined whether neuropods in enteroendocrine cells are associated with blood vessels. We revealed the vasculature of the small intestine and colon by perfusing Pyy-GFP transgenic mice with a buffer solution containing the lipophilic dye DiI. The technique is known as blood vessel painting (11). The results showed that blood vessels are found within 5.6 μm (SEM ± 0.4, n = 3), but do not come into contact with enteroendocrine cells. We then immunolabeled the vessel-painted tissue with the panneuronal marker PGP 9.5 to determine the proximity of neuropods to nerve fibers innervating the mucosa. Nerves were observed penetrating the basal lamina and directly contacting the enteroendocrine cell neuropod (Supplemental Figure 1; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI78361DS1). Figure 1, A–C, shows 3 examples of enteroendocrine cells contacting individual nerve fibers innervating the mucosa of the ileum and colon. The fre-
Mental Video 1. At 11 hours, 55 minutes, an enteroendocrine cell (Cck-GFP, green) is observed lying next to a neuron (lipophilic DiI, red). Next, the neuron extends a small neurite (arrowhead) toward the enteroendocrine cell (12 hours, 4 minutes), and the enteroendocrine cell responds by elongating a cytoplasmic process toward the neuron (13 hours, 14 minutes) that connects to the neuron’s neurite (14 hours, 25 minutes). The sensory neuron continues extending a putative axon, while the enteroendocrine cell remains connected (23 hours, 18 minutes). In this example, the enteroendocrine cell and the neuron remained connected until the experiment was ended after 88 hours. An additional example is shown in Supplemental Video 2. In this case, a neuron clearly elongates an axon that connects with a distant enteroendocrine cell. The footage in both examples is unique because it contains information that can only be appreciated by observing the sequence in motion.

This kind of interaction was not evident in control experiments when enteroendocrine cells were cocultured with non-GFP epithelial cells or HEK immortal cells. Two days after plating, it was estimated that about 15% of all Cck-GFP cells were observed in contact with a neuron or a neuronal fiber. The viability of single Cck-GFP enteroendocrine cells in culture is low. One day after plating, only 5 Cck-GFP cells for every 1,000 remained alive (0.50% ± 0.17% at d1 to 0.10% ± 0.02% at d6; average of 3 wells per replicate, n = 6). Nonetheless, the fact that the connectiv-
Supplemental Figure 2. These data suggest that at least a population of enteroendocrine cells may have a comparable life span to that of other innervated biosensors, such as olfactory receptor neurons or taste cells (17, 18).

**Synaptic features in enteroendocrine cells.** Electron microscopy studies have revealed that, besides hormone-containing large dense-core vesicles, enteroendocrine cells also have small clear synaptic vesicles (19), suggesting that enteroendocrine cells have an essential organelle for neurotransmission. Thus, we considered that the enteroendocrine cell–neuron connection could have features of a synapse.

We purified Pyy-GFP enteroendocrine cells by FACS and analyzed the gene expression encoding for defined proteins found in pre- and postsynapses by quantitative reverse-transcriptase PCR (qRT-PCR) (20). Indeed, compared with non-GFP intestinal epithelial cells, intestinal and colonic enteroendocrine cells expressed a number of genes encoding for presynaptic proteins, including: synapsin 1, piccolo, bassoon, MUNC13B, RIMS2, latrophilin 1, and transsynaptic neurexin 2 (21).

Pyy-GFP enteroendocrine cells also expressed DOPA decarboxylase and tyrosine hydroxylase — both essential enzymes in synthesis of the neurotransmitter dopamine (Figure 2A and Supplemental Table 1).

Figure 2B shows that, of all colonic Pyy-GFP enteroendocrine cells, 96.4% (SEM ± 0.5%) expressed the presynaptic marker synapsin 1 (SYN1) (Figure 2B). In vitro, Cck-GFP cells connecting to trigeminal neurons expressed the postsynaptic marker PSD95 (cyan). Blue, DAPI nuclear stain. Scale bars: 10 μm.

**Figure 2. Enteroendocrine cells express pre- and postsynaptic proteins.** (A) Gene expression analysis of FAC-sorted Pyy-GFP enteroendocrine cells shows their expression of presynaptic and postsynaptic proteins. Fold expression represents $\log_{10}$ 2 \(\frac{[\text{ΔCt Pyy-GFP-positive cells}] - [\text{ΔCt GFP-negative epithelial cells}]}{[\text{ΔCt Pyy-GFP-negative epithelial cells}] - [\text{ΔCt GFP-positive cells}]}\) and error bars represent the SEM. Significant differences were separated by Student’s t test using 2 manner at $\alpha = 0.05$. (B) The presynaptic marker synapsin 1 (SYN1) immunoreacted with 96.4% (SEM ± 0.5%) of Pyy-GFP cells. (C) 35.3% (SEM ± 3.5%) of Pyy-GFP cells immunoreacted with the postsynaptic marker PSD95. (D) In vitro, Cck-GFP cells connecting to trigeminal neurons expressed the postsynaptic marker PSD95 (cyan). Blue, DAPI nuclear stain. Scale bars: 10 μm.

A note on the enteroendocrine cells’ life span. It has been proposed that because epithelial cells, including enteroendocrine cells, turn over every 5 days, the window for enteroendocrine cells to connect to neurons is restricted (7). However, some reports have suggested that enteroendocrine cells may live longer than epithelial enteroendocrine cells (16). We wondered whether Pyy-GFP enteroendocrine cells may live longer than 5 days and used BrdU pulse labeling in Pyy-GFP mice to determine their life spans compared with other intestinal epithelial cells. Although the initial number of labeled Pyy-GFP cells was low (6.3% ± 1.2%; $n = 3$), there was evidence of labeled Pyy-GFP enteroendocrine cells 60 days after labeling, indicating that long-lived epithelial cells constitute a portion of the enteroendocrine cell population. Examples are presented in Figure 2C. Enteroendocrine cells express pre- and postsynaptic proteins. Using immunohistochemistry, we found that, of all colonic Pyy-GFP enteroendocrine cells, 96.4% (SEM ± 0.6%) expressed the presynaptic marker synapsin 1 (Figure 2B) and 35.2% (SEM ± 3.5%) of Pyy-GFP cells immunoreacted with the postsynaptic marker PSD95 (Figure 2C). In vitro, enteroendocrine cells cocultured with neurons also expressed synaptic markers.

These data show that enteroendocrine cells have the necessary elements to engage in afferent and efferent synaptic transmission. Enteroendocrine cells are already thought to relay afferent signals from nutrients to underlying visceral nerves via hormones (23), but their expression of postsynaptic proteins raises the possibility of...
Rabies infection and neurocircuit connectivity. We sought to define this neural circuit in vivo. For this, we used an established neurotracing method based on a monosynaptic rabies virus. The virus is known as SADΔG-GFP rabies because its envelope glycoprotein rabG has been replaced with GFP (25). rabG is responsible for the spread of rabies from neuron to neuron through synapses. Thus, a monosynaptic neural circuit can be defined by expressing rabG into the target cell to allow the virus to jump 1 synapse and reveal the 2 connected neurons by fluorescence. Rabies has an affinity for neurons, and despite the neuronal features of enteroendocrine cells (9), it has been unknown whether rabies could infect enteroendocrine cells. We first tested to determine whether SADΔG-GFP rabies could infect enteroendocrine cells when delivered by enema into the lumen of the distal colon in P3 wild-type mice. The results showed that 7 days after infection, SADΔG-GFP rabies infected epithelial cells that closely resemble enteroendocrine cells (Figure 3A). Rabies virus has been shown to infect neurons through 3 molecular receptors; one of those is NCAM (26), which is expressed in PYY-enteroendocrine cells (Supplemental Table 1). Immunoreactivity with a PYY antibody confirmed that some of these cells are PYY-secreting enteroendocrine cells (Figure 3A). No cells below the epithelium, including nerves, were infected by rabies (Figure 3A). These data indicate that SADΔG-GFP rabies infects enteroendocrine cells, but in the absence of rabG, cannot spread further.

We then engineered rabG in a subset of enteroendocrine cells to allow SADΔG-GFP rabies to travel 1 synapse. Using Cre-loxP recombination, we bred RφGT (27) and Pyy-Cre (28) mouse lines to develop a Pyy-Cre-rabG mouse. In this mouse, only PYY-secreting enteroendocrine cells express rabG. Then we delivered SADΔG-GFP by enema into the lumen of the distal colon of P3 Pyy-Cre-rabG mice. The results showed that 7 days after infection, SADΔG-GFP rabies infected enteroendocrine cells and, more importantly, their connecting neurons. The neuronal fibers can be clearly distinguished by fluorescence in the lamina propia of the distal colon. GFP fibers in the intestinal mucosa were confirmed as nerves by their colocalization with the neuronal marker PGP 9.5 (Figure 3C). Figure 3C shows an epithelial enteroendocrine-like cell (dotted lines) expressing GFP, as well as nerve fibers in the intestinal mucosa. Whether these are intrinsic or extrinsic neurons connecting to enteroendocrine cells remains to be characterized. Infection was observed in 4 out of 6 infected Pyy-Cre-rabG mice, and no infection of cells in the lamina propria was evident in the 6 wild-type efferent neurotransmission. In other sensory epithelial cells, such as hair cells of the inner ear, the responsiveness to the mechanical stimulus of sound is toned down through efferent feedback (24). Likewise, efferent neurotransmission in enteroendocrine cells might serve to modulate the responsiveness of enteroendocrine cells to nutrients and bacterial by-products in the lumen of the intestine.
mice that served as controls. Because rabies virus spreads through synapses in a retrograde fashion, these data highlight that enteroendocrine cells are synaptically connected to effenter neurons in vivo.

Although paracrine transmission remains a possibility, we believe that the physical innervation of sensory enteroendocrine cells opens the following possibilities: (a) precise temporal transmission of sensory signals from the gut lumen; (b) real-time modulatory feedback onto enteroendocrine cells; (c) localized plasticity to sensory stimuli in the gut; (d) precise topographical representation of sensory signals from the gut; and (e) given the conditions, a potential physical path for viruses in the lumen of the gut to gain access to the peripheral or central nervous system.

Methods
The Supplemental Methods section contains procedures for cocultures, BrdU pulse labeling, rabies neurotracing, and imaging.

Study approval. All animal care and experimental procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Duke University Medical Center.

Statistics. Statistical differences were determined using a 2-tailed t test analysis at α = 0.05.
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