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Cells are continuously faced with life-and-death decisions based on their ability to handle stressful situations. One indicator of stress is the accumulation of unfolded proteins within the ER, which induces a transcriptional cascade aimed at increasing the folding capacity of the ER. If the burden is too great and homeostasis cannot be restored, the response shifts from damage control to the induction of apoptotic pathways. This unfolded protein response (UPR) is conserved among all eukaryotes, and dysfunction in this pathway underlies many human diseases, including diabetes and cancer. The 2014 Albert Lasker Basic Medical Research award honors Kazutoshi Mori of Kyoto University and Peter Walter of the UCSF (Figure 1) for their contributions toward unraveling the pathways involved in mediating the complex cellular response to ER stress. A simple question In the 1970s, the identification of a set of proteins that were induced in response to viral transformation set the stage for understanding heat shock-independent cellular stress responses. These particular proteins were constitutively present in cells and notably increased in response to glucose deprivation (1) or agents that block post-translational glycosylation, such as tunicamycin. Based on this apparent glucose-dependent regulation, they became known as glucose-regulated proteins (GRPs). One of the most strongly induced proteins, GRP78, was found to localize to the ER, and soon after, researchers realized that GRP78 was [...]
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Cells are continuously faced with life-and-death decisions based on their ability to handle stressful situations. One indicator of stress is the accumulation of unfolded proteins within the ER, which induces a transcriptional cascade aimed at increasing the folding capacity of the ER. If the burden is too great and homeostasis cannot be restored, the response shifts from damage control to the induction of apoptotic pathways. This unfolded protein response (UPR) is conserved among all eukaryotes, many human diseases, including diabetes and cancer. The 2014 Albert Lasker Basic Medical Research Award honors Kazutoshi Mori of Kyoto University and Peter Walter of the UCSF (Figure 1) for their contributions toward unraveling the pathways involved in mediating the complex cellular response to ER stress.

A simple question
In the 1970s, the identification of a set of proteins that were induced in response to viral transformation set the stage for understanding heat shock-independent cellular stress responses. These particular proteins were constitutively present in cells and notably increased in response to glucose deprivation (1) or agents that block post-translational glycosylation, such as tunicamycin. Based on this apparent glucose-dependent regulation, they became known as glucose-regulated proteins (GRPs). One of the most strongly induced proteins, GRP78, was found to localize to the ER, and soon after, researchers realized that GRP78 was the same as a previously identified protein, the immunoglobulin heavy chain binding protein (BiP). BiP was known to function as a chaperone and bind both nascent and malformed peptides in the ER, leading to the hypothesis that the presence of unfolded or misfolded proteins underlies induction of the GRPs, and not glucose deprivation per se. To test this hypothesis, Yasunori Kozutsumi, as part of a team led by Mary-Jane Gething and Joe Sambrook at the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas, transformed mammalian cells with mutant forms of influenza hemagglutinin (HA) and revealed that HA molecules that were unable to leave the ER induced transcription of the genes encoding BiP and other known GRPs. Moreover, the same transcriptional response that was induced by the accumulation of mutant HA in the ER was recapitulated with tunicamycin treatment (2). The revelation by Kozutsumi and colleagues that the accumulation of unfolded or misfolded proteins in the ER results in a distinct transcriptional response gave rise to a simple question. “How are signals from the ER relayed to the nucleus?” Two of the scientists that provided answers to this question had backgrounds in chemistry and left their home countries to join influential cell biology labs in the United States.

The draw of cell biology
After finishing his undergraduate degree in chemistry in his native Germany, Peter Walter was encouraged to study abroad in the United States. After an unsuccessful Fulbright Scholarship application, Walter was accepted as an exchange student at Vanderbilt University as part of the master’s program in organic chemistry. As Walter recounted to the JCI, “I was adopted into the lab of Thomas Harris, where I studied the synthesis of an alkaloid produced by a fungus that grows on red clover in Tennessee. When cows ingest the fungus it causes them to slobber.” During his time at Vanderbilt, Walter found himself in a one-on-one meeting with Nobel laureate Stanford Moore, who at the time was on the Board of Trustees at Vanderbilt and a professor at The Rockefeller University. “I can’t recall how a lowly student, such as myself, ended up having a one-on-one meeting with Dr. Moore, but he strongly encouraged me to apply to the doctorate program at Rockefeller.” Walter applied and was put on the waiting list before finally being accepted. After initially being turned down, Walter would eventually join Günter Blobel’s lab, a massively transformative experience in his career. “It was a small lab at the time that was just incredibly interactive and energetic, with many different projects going on. Many of Günter’s ideas were highly contested and controversial, and he was a fighter out there for his ideas, with so much passion and conviction. However, he was also always capable of listening to data and changing his opinion as the landscape changed. It is a wonderful thing to have a
goal and march toward it, then as the work progresses you make new discoveries and change the paradigm.” During his time in the Blobel lab, Walter became interested in how proteins are targeted to specific cellular locations throughout the cells and was part of the team that identified the signal recognition particle (SRP), which directs nascent peptides of secreted proteins to the ER (3). Walter continued the work on intracellular targeting in his own lab at the UCSF.

Kazutoshi Mori always knew that he wanted to be a scientist and recently told the JCI, “Although there was no atmosphere of science in my family, it was my dream since childhood to be a PhD scientist. My interest was driven by the comics, which I loved to read, such as Mighty Atom (aka Astro Boy) and Mach GoGoGo (aka Speed Racer). I found a bright future in science and technology. I was also affected by the movie Modern Times by Charlie Chaplin.” As an undergraduate, Mori was more interested in chemistry and physics than biology; however, this would change after reading a newspaper article describing the work of Nobel laureate Susumu Tonegawa. “Before that time, I felt like there were no basic rules in biology and that I had to learn everything by heart. I was amazed by the fact that the genetic code is conserved from E. coli to humans, namely biology has a basic rule!” As a graduate student, under the tutelage of Ikuo Yamashina and Toshiuake Kawasaki, Mori analyzed the biological role of mannan-binding protein, a liver protein that binds to high-mannose-type carbohydrates in the ER. After working for a time as an instructor in the lab of Dr. Kyozo Hayashi at Gifu Pharmaceutical University, using biochemical methods to investigate a cancer cell–secreted factor, Mori took a huge risk and decided to go to the United States to study molecular biology, which had piqued his interest. After several futile applications, Yasunori Kozutsumi, a former colleague from the Yamashina lab, suggested that Mori apply to work with Mary-Jane Gething and Joe Sambrook. “Very fortunately, they accepted me. Mary-Jane and Joe gave me freedom, encouraged me, and were excited about my results.”

A simple screen provides exciting answers
Prior to Mori’s arrival at the University of Texas Southwestern, the Gething and Sambrook lab had determined that yeast have a homologous response to unfolded proteins in the ER as well as a BIP homolog (encoded by KAR2) (4), allowing this response to be evaluated in a genetically tractable model. Mori set forth to understand the regulatory elements within the KAR2 promoter and identified three distinct elements that independently mediated constitutive, heat shock-induced, and UPR-induced KAR2 expression (5). The UPR element (UPRE) within the KAR2 promoter turned out to be a 22-bp sequence that when localized upstream of a gene promotes expression of the gene in response to ER stress.

At UCSF, Peter Walter had set up his lab and was greatly influenced by his fellow colleagues. As he told the JCI, “UCSF was a different environment. There was a lot more communication between labs compared to what I had experienced at Rockefeller. There was a lot more discussion and thought about genetics and structure. Ira Herskowitz strongly promoted genetic approaches in yeast, while Bob Stroud promoted the use of crystallography, which were both useful for the continuation of the work on SRP and protein translocation. At the same time, two graduate students joined the lab and wanted to do something a bit different.”

The revelation that the UPR was conserved between mammals and yeast and the identification of the UPRE in the KAR2 promoter provided the tools necessary to unravel how the ER communicates its stress level to the nucleus. Both Mori, as part of the Gething and Sambrook lab, and Jeff Cox and Caroline Shamu, Walter’s adventurous graduate students, set up yeast genetic screens to identify mediators of the UPR. The genetic screens were simple but elegant: generate a yeast strain harboring lacZ, which produces a colorimetric reporter when transcribed, downstream of a UPRE-containing promoter, mutagenize the strain, induce the UPR, and screen for mutants unable to induce lacZ in response to ER stress. Mutants with an altered UPR would appear white on a plate, while mutants with a normal UPR would appear blue. The independent screens both hit the same target, the gene encoding Ire1p (6, 7). Ire1p had been identified previously in a screen for yeast genes able to complement inositol auxotrophy and, based on sequence homology, was proposed to be a protein kinase (8). Mori et al. and Cox et al. both confirmed that Ire1p is a transmembrane serine/threonine kinase and proposed that Ire1p is well poised to transmit signals from the lumen of the ER to the cytoplasm (6, 7).

As a kinase, it was assumed that Ire1p would transmit the unfolded protein signal via phosphorylation of a downstream target, ultimately activating a transcription factor. The chase was on to identify the downstream transcription factor responsible for transcription of UPR-induced genes. Walter and his group moved forward with a high-copy suppressor screen, which would identify genes able to overcome the defective UPR response in ire1Δ mutants. After the identification of Ire1p in 1993, Mori was ready to return to Japan and secured a position at the HSP Research Institute. As Mori recounted, “My new director, Dr. Takashi Yura, who had just retired from Kyoto University (where he investigated heat shock response in E. coli), allowed me to continue working on the UPR. The next target was the transcription factor specific to yeast UPR. Because the HSP Research Institute was focused on transcription factors, Takashi advised me not to employ a multicopy suppressor screen and encouraged me to devise a method to obtain the transcription factor directly. I finally came up with the idea to employ one-hybrid screening, which would be the most important moment in my career.” In 1996, Mori and Walter published papers identifying Hac1p as the transcription factor responsible for the UPR (9, 10). It was now important to determine how Ire1p activates Hac1p-dependent transcription.

Unconventional pathways
Unlike many other transcription factors that are activated by kinase cascades, Hac1p was not constitutively present in cells and waiting for the input signal from Ire1p. Instead, Hac1p expression was unexpectedly determined to be regulated by splicing of HAC1 mRNA (10, 11). Walter described to the JCI his reaction to the first time that his graduate student showed him the data, “We couldn’t show that Hac1p was phosphorylated when the UPR was activated, it was only produced when the response was on. Hac1p could have been degraded when it is not needed or only made when it was needed, so Jeff
which not only induces its own expression but also activates transcription of numerous target genes involved in the UPR. The screens and experiments designed both by the Walter lab and Mori lab led to convergent discoveries, with both groups hot on the others’ heels. Walter reflected on this dynamic, “Having two labs pursuing the same thing provides great motivation and immediate validation and extension of your work. I think the synergy between our groups helped move the field forward rapidly and cohesively.”

A 3-pronged approach for handling ER stress
After focusing much of his early career on the UPR in yeast, Mori decided it was time to switch systems and began to investigate the UPR in mammalian systems. As Mori told the *JCI*, “Because the goal of HSP Research Institute was to develop drugs using the heat shock response and UPR, which not only induces its own expression but also activates transcription of numerous target genes involved in the UPR. The screens and experiments designed both by the Walter lab and Mori lab led to convergent discoveries, with both groups hot on the others’ heels. Walter reflected on this dynamic, “Having two labs pursuing the same thing provides great motivation and immediate validation and extension of your work. I think the synergy between our groups helped move the field forward rapidly and cohesively.”

A 3-pronged approach for handling ER stress
After focusing much of his early career on the UPR in yeast, Mori decided it was time to switch systems and began to investigate the UPR in mammalian systems. As Mori told the *JCI*, “Because the goal of HSP Research Institute was to develop drugs using the heat shock response and UPR,
I decided to extend my work to mammalian cells.” As he had done previously, Mori turned to the promoters of UPR-induced genes, specifically those encoding GRPs, to identify the mammalian transcription factors that mediate the UPR. As Mori explained, “Many homeostatic GRP-encoding genes are simultaneously induced when the UPR is activated. To do so, their promoter regions must contain common cis-acting elements, as in yeast; however, no one had identified such elements in mammals. It had also been noted that the ER stress-responsive promoters of mammalian GRP genes contain multiple CCAAT motifs. Aligning these CCAAT and neighboring sequences, Hiderou Yoshida, now professor at Hyogo Prefectural University, in my group noticed that a structural motif of CCAAT-NNNNNNNNCCACG is present in all GRP promoters. We named this the ER stress response element (ERSE). Discovery of ERSE was a major breakthrough, because we could start identifying mammalian UPR-specific transcription factors.”

Using the ERSE and one-hybrid screening, Mori’s group would identify a mammalian Hac1p homolog, x-box-binding protein 1 (XBP1), and another transcription factor, ATF6, as mediators of the UPR in mammalian cells (15). Unlike XBP1, which is regulated by UPR-induced splicing (16), Mori and colleagues determined that ATF6 was constitutively present in cells as a 90-kDa protein and processed into a 50-kDa protein following UPR induction. Mori’s group also demonstrated that full-length ATF6 localizes to the ER and that, upon induction of the UPR, ATF6 is cleaved, and this processed form is targeted to the nucleus, in which it induces transcription (17). ATF6 shares the same activation mechanisms as the SREBPs, and like this class of transcription factors, ATF6 traffics from the ER to the Golgi apparatus following induction of the UPR, in which it is cleaved by the same proteases that process SREBPs (18).

A third branch of the UPR was identified in the late 1990s by groups led by David Ron and Ron Wek, respectively (19, 20). This branch involves activation of protein kinase RNA-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase (PERK), which localizes to the ER and is very similar to IRE1 but lacks an RNase domain. As part of the UPR, PERK phosphorylates the translation initiation factor eIF2α, resulting in a global reduction of translation in the cell, reducing total protein burden in the ER; however, phosphorylated eIF2α promotes translation of a few select mRNAs, including those coding for the transcription factor ATF4. ATF4 induces expression of genes involved in amino acid metabolism and oxidative stress resistance (21, 22). Among the ATF4-regulated genes is the gene encoding the transcription factor CHOP, which induces expression of genes involved in apoptosis (23).

The three branches of the UPR in mammalian systems (Figure 2) provide multiple avenues for checks and balances, which allow cells to determine the best course of action when faced with an abundance of unfolded proteins within the ER (24, 25). While many of the pathways that regulate the UPR are known, the exact signals that are detected by and activate IRE1, ATF6, and PERK remain to be determined, although there is mounting evidence that unfolded proteins are indeed the ligand for Ire1p in yeast (see ref. 26 for a review). Moreover, it is still not clear how these three pathways integrate within mammalian cells to coordinate restoration of homeostasis or tip the balance toward apoptosis. It has been proposed that the three branches serve to elicit a temporal response to ER stress, which allows an initial cell-protective response aimed at relieving the protein burden in the ER. This initial wave is followed by the transcriptional activation of genes encoding ER chaperones. If the signals that mediate these responses are not turned off, the cell initiates apoptotic pathways (24, 25).

Table 1. Examples of the UPR in human disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell/tissue</th>
<th>Role of the UPR</th>
<th>Outcome of dysfunction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>β Cells</td>
<td>Supports increased insulin secretion in response to glucose intake</td>
<td>Sustained UPR in these cells results in β cell death and type II diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>Regulation of lipogenesis</td>
<td>Development of hepatic steatosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adipocytes</td>
<td>Adipocyte differentiation and adipogenesis</td>
<td>Metabolic disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestine</td>
<td>Aids in production of antimicrobial peptides</td>
<td>Inflammatory bowel syndromes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B cells</td>
<td>Allows transition to immunoglobulin-producing plasma cells</td>
<td>Loss of differentiation and immune compromise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immune cells</td>
<td>Aids in production of inflammatory cytokines</td>
<td>Immune dysfunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuronal tissues</td>
<td>Precise role is speculative</td>
<td>Improper activation has been associated with neurodegenerative diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye</td>
<td>Proper processing of photoreceptors</td>
<td>The congenital blinding disease retinitis pigmentosa results from loss of retinal cells as a consequence of sustained UPR in response to mutant rhodopsin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The future unfolds

Mori and Walter both began studying the UPR because of their interest in basic cell biology. Peter Walter credits much of his success to the mentors, students, postdocs, and collaborators with whom he has worked through the years. Walter is also especially grateful for his status as a Howard Hughes Investigator for giving him the freedom to focus on his research pursuits. Kazutoshi Mori is also thankful for those that have helped him throughout his career, especially his colleagues at Kyoto University, who have been supportive of his research program. In talking with the JCI, both have expressed their delight in the mounting evidence that their initial studies in yeast have translated to humans. Many diseases and infections (See Table 1 for examples) have now been shown to involve UPR dysfunction or continuous activation of the system, which tips the response toward death in stressed populations, such as insulin-secretting pancreatic β cells, the loss of which underlies diabetes (see refs. 27, 28 for reviews). Animal models have been informative in trying to
tease apart the specific roles of different components of the UPR in the development of disease. Moreover, these models have demonstrated that targeting the UPR may provide therapeutic benefit for some diseases, including inhibition of tumor cell growth (see ref. 29 for review). Walter told the *JCI*, “I’m very excited. I started out as a chemist and then moved into a yeast model system, and it is really very rewarding to see how the early work relates to potential clinical application. It would be a wonderful thing if our research would lead to insights that help people in a tangible way.” Mori agreed with this sentiment, “When Peter and I were analyzing the mechanism of yeast UPR, we hoped that this system also operated in humans. The revelation that the UPR plays very important roles in humans has been a dream come true.”
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