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The 2014 Lasker~DeBakey Clinical Medical Research Award recognizes the extraordinary efforts of two physician-scientists, Alim-Louis Benabid and Mahlon DeLong, whose combined work paved the way for the clinical use of deep brain stimulation to treat Parkinson’s disease (Figure 1). Alim-Louis Benabid, a neurosurgeon at the University Hospital of Grenoble, France, pioneered the concept that high-frequency electrical stimulation in the brain could effectively treat tremors in patients. Neurologist Mahlon DeLong’s research provided the first roadmap of the circuitry of the basal ganglia, a set of structures beneath the cerebral hemispheres, and showed that a portion of the subthalamic nucleus is critically involved in relaying signals that influence movement. Their groundbreaking observations led to the development of deep brain stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus to treat dyskinesia in Parkinson’s disease patients. Parkinson’s disease is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder characterized by movement abnormalities that are triggered by the loss of dopamine-producing cells in a region of the midbrain known as the substantial nigra. Patients experience a range of symptoms including tremor, rigidity, difficulty in initiating movement, and slowness of movement (bradykinesia). While treatment with levodopa, a precursor of dopamine, can ameliorate symptoms, the medication itself may cause involuntary movements (dyskinesias) and motor fluctuation in patients as the disease progresses. Based on the work of Mahlon DeLong and others in the field, we now […]
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The 2014 Lasker–DeBakey Clinical Medical Research Award recognizes the extraordinary efforts of two physician-scientists, Alim-Louis Benabid and Mahlon DeLong, whose combined work paved the way for the clinical use of deep brain stimulation to treat Parkinson’s disease (Figure 1). Alim-Louis Benabid, a neurosurgeon at the University Hospital of Grenoble, France, pioneered the concept that high-frequency electrical stimulation in the brain could effectively treat tremors in patients. Neurologist Mahlon DeLong’s research provided the first roadmap of the circuitry of the basal ganglia, a set of structures beneath the cerebral hemispheres, and showed that a portion of the subthalamic nucleus is critically involved in relaying signals that influence movement. Their groundbreaking observations led to the development of deep brain stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus to treat dyskinesia in Parkinson’s disease patients.

Parkinson’s disease is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder characterized by movement abnormalities that are triggered by the loss of dopamine-producing cells in a region of the midbrain known as the substantia nigra. Patients experience a range of symptoms including tremor, rigidity, difficulty in initiating movement, and slowness of movement (bradykinesia). While treatment with levodopa, a precursor of dopamine, can ameliorate symptoms, the medication itself may cause involuntary movements (dyskinesias) and motor fluctuation in patients as the disease progresses. Based on the work of Mahlon DeLong and others in the field, we now know that several of the symptoms of Parkinson’s disease are caused by abnormal activity of neurons in the motor pathways of the basal ganglia, including the subthalamic nucleus. This understanding, coupled with the high-frequency deep brain stimulation technique that was developed by Alim-Louis Benabid, provided a new clinical approach that can dramatically improve the quality of life for Parkinson’s disease patients.

Exploring the basal ganglia’s function and organization

Mahlon DeLong began his medical career at Harvard Medical School with a keen interest in understanding how the brain controls behavior. DeLong continued his training in the laboratory of Edward Evarts at the National Institute of Mental Health, where he spent the next five years. Evarts was a pioneer in the field and developed techniques that allowed researchers to record the activity of neurons in living, moving primates (1). DeLong took on the task of exploring how the basal ganglia contribute to the control of movement, an assignment he attributes to the fact that the better-known parts of the motor system were already taken by other researchers in the laboratory. For DeLong, it was the opportunity of a lifetime, allowing him to characterize an area of the brain that was poorly understood. Using trained primates, he was able to correlate neural activity with the characteristics of movement as well as other activities. “At the time, we thought the basal ganglia were the important players in starting movement or even selecting the movement. We were surprised, after several years of study, to see that the basal ganglia actually did not become active before the motor cortex,” DeLong told the JCI. This observation indicated that the basal ganglia are involved in other aspects of controlling movement rather than initiating it.

The basal ganglia consist of a cluster of nuclei that includes the caudate nucleus, putamen, globus pallidus, substantia nigra, and subthalamic nucleus (Figure 2A). While at the NIH, DeLong found that there were striking differences in the spontaneous discharge of neurons in the nuclei of the basal ganglia and that neurons in the globus pallidus externus, globus pallidus internus, and subthalamic nucleus were involved in movement (2). DeLong and his colleagues identified specific cell activity that correlated with body movements in distinct regions, such as the face, arms, and legs (3). They found that cortical central motor areas of the brain transmit signals through the basal ganglia in an orderly fashion to the thalamus and that the signals then return to the cortex (Figure 2B). Subsequent work showed that neuronal activity in the motor circuit of the basal ganglia was correlated with the amplitude and direction of movement.

After his time at the NIH, DeLong completed his residency and continued working on mapping the circuitry of the basal ganglia at Johns Hopkins University. He and his colleagues provided finer detail about the functional organization of the basal ganglia and came to recognize that the basal ganglia were also involved in non-motor activities such as emotional and cognitive functions along similar, but independent, lines. Along with Garrett Alexander and Peter Strick, DeLong published a seminal paper in the Annual Review of Neuroscience that proposed the existence of parallel, independent circuits in the basal ganglia that play distinct and separate roles in movement, emotion, and cognition (4). This was a watershed moment for both neurology and psychiatry, because it shifted the field away from the view that the basal ganglia served to funnel commands to the motor regions of the cerebral cortex toward an appreciation of their diverse roles in a wide spectrum of neurologic and psychiatric disorders (5).

A novel strategy to manipulate brain activity

While DeLong was exploring the basal ganglia at the NIH, Alim-Louis Benabid was completing his training at Joseph Fourier University. The son of a physician, Benabid had a strong interest in medicine but also sought training in research. Benabid simultaneously pursued his residency in neurosurgery while obtaining a PhD in physics. His doctoral studies focused on intracranial pressure and provided him with a mathematical and biophysical framework for thinking about brain physiology.

After completing his training, Benabid continued working at Joseph Fourier Uni-
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erseme and in fact stated that it was nice to have the tremor disappear. Benabid asked if they could try again, the patient agreed, and again the tremor was quelled.

He repeated this remarkable finding in a handful of patients undergoing surgery. The effect was surprising, because the expectation at the time was that stimulation would induce, rather than suppress, a function driven by neuronal activity. Fur-thermore, the effect of the higher-frequency stimulation was completely reversible, and in each case, upon cessation of stimulation, the tremor returned. Benabid recog-
nized that the power in this approach was the ability to profoundly influence function without permanently altering the brain.

Other researchers in the field were also exploring electrical stimulation for neural activity during this period. Notably, Brice and McLellon reported the treatment of tremor in two patients with multiple sclerosis using implantable electrical stimulators (7). Others in the field were using implants in the spine or brain to treat pain, though efficacy was limited (8, 9). Benabid was the first to use high-frequency stimulation and the first to observe striking results. Thus, when Benabid was present-ed with a patient with essential tremor who was not a candidate for a thalamotomy, he offered the patient the opportunity to have an electrode implanted.

Benabid’s patient had previously had a thalamotomy on one side of the brain to treat tremor, but now tremors had developed on the other side as well. It was risky to perform bilateral lesions in the thalamus, because it markedly increased the risk of complications such as speech or memory disorders. Instead, Benabid offered the patient the chance to try an experimental approach: permanently implanting an electrode capable of high-frequency stimula-tion, which was then secured to the skull and connected under the skin to a stimula-tor on the chest (ref. 10 and Figure 3). The team was fortunate to work with Medtron-ic, which had experience with similar sys-
tems for cardiac pacemakers and implant-
able electrodes for pain treatment.

The results were impressive; the tremor reduction was comparable to that achieved with lesioning, only now the treatment could be adjusted by altering the frequency. Benabid and his colleagues found that increasing the frequency of stimulation of the thalamus was even more effective, and for this first patient, they increased the frequency to 130 Hz, the maximum frequency of the device at the time. A subsequent study that includ-ed Parkinson’s disease patients as well as a number of essential tremor patients showed that the vast majority of patients experienced major improvements in the frequency and severity of tremors, benefits that were maintained for over a year after treatment (11). They also noted that the adverse side effects of stimulation could be mitigated by reducing the frequency.
or eliminating stimulation altogether, a unique benefit of this tunable approach. Deep brain stimulation targeting the thalamus for the treatment of tremor associated with Parkinson’s disease or essential tremor was approved in Europe in 1993 and subsequently in the United States in 1997.

**Hitting the right target**

While high-frequency deep brain stimulation to treat Parkinson’s disease was beginning to emerge, a variety of other approaches continued to be actively investigated. Mahlon DeLong and other researchers in the field uncovered new evidence indicating that the subthalamic nucleus was an important target in Parkinson’s disease. William Langston and colleagues identified patients who developed Parkinson-like symptoms after taking an illegal synthetic heroin compound, meperidine (12). A contaminant known as MPTP (1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,5,6-tetrahydropyridine) was identified as the culprit underlying the striking effects in these patients caused by selective damage to the substantia nigra. This observation, though made under tragic circumstances, subsequently provided researchers with a new way to create an accurate animal model of Parkinson’s disease (13). This development allowed DeLong to go beyond his studies of normal function to investigate the changes in neuronal activity in the motor circuit responsible for Parkinson’s disease and, subsequently, to determine whether manipulation of the system could be palliative.

Using the MPTP primate model, William Miller and DeLong found increased activity and abnormal output in neurons in the globus pallidus internus and subthalamic nucleus (14). With this result in mind, Hagai Bergman, Thomas Wichmann, and DeLong again used the MPTP primate model to test whether inactivation of the subthalamic nucleus could ameliorate symptoms (15). In this landmark study, they found that precisely placed lesions in MPTP-treated monkeys effectively reduced motor disturbances, including bradykinesia, tremor, and rigidity. Their findings were soon independently corroborated by the Crossman laboratory (16). In this way, this model confirmed the hypothesis that increased activity in the subthalamic nucleus and globus pallidus internus was responsible for many of the symptoms of Parkinson’s disease and provided a powerful rationale for surgical intervention.

The notion of lesioning the subthalamic nucleus in patients was extremely controversial when DeLong’s *Science* article was published in 1990, because lesions targeting the pallidum had been widely used prior to the availability of levodopa, sometimes with disastrous results. Indeed, older physicians recalled cases from the 1950s and 1960s involving patients who were incapacitated; thus, these physicians were hesitant to return to performing pallidotomies. In addition, Benabid told the *JCI*, “If you talked at that time about the subthalamic nucleus to a neurosurgeon, this was considered a forbidden place. The subthalamic nucleus is an area, as it happens, that can be destroyed by hemorrhages in high blood pressure patients. When there is bleeding in this place, it makes a small hematoma, and then you would see the appearance of huge ballistic movements of the opposite limbs. This was extremely difficult and exhausting for those patients... And, of course, nobody wanted to be responsible for that.”

In parallel with DeLong’s work, Finnish neurosurgeon Lauri Laitinen and col-
ganglia that had been developed in animal models to patients. They began performing very carefully guided surgeries using microelectrode mapping, with highly accurate lesion placement in the motor region of the internal palladium, and saw remarkable benefit. DeLong recalled, “Our first patient was such a phenomenal success, I can’t even put it into words. It took us 12 or 13 hours to do the procedure, because we mapped the region very carefully with microelectrodes and identified his targets.” This success led to NIH-funded clinical trials that went on to confirm the benefits of pallidotomies (18).

The success of surgical lesions in the subthalamic nucleus prompted Benabid and his colleagues to test a new target for high-frequency deep brain stimulation. Because it was readily reversible, Benabid and his team decided to implant an electrode for deep brain stimulation into the subthalamic nucleus to treat symptoms. “We had at hand this method that could alter activity without the complications of a lesion... In the beginning of ’93, I operated on a patient who had severe, advanced Parkinson’s and for the first time put an electrode into the subthalamic nucleus. After four hours of investigation, we found the right place. And when we turned on the stimulation, we had a nice surprise. It worked, and the improvement was extremely impressive.” (19).

Shortly after Benabid and his colleagues tested chronic stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus in their first patient, Siegfried and Lippitz published a report demonstrating that electrodes implanted into the globus pallidus internus, a downstream target of the subthalamic nucleus (Figure 2B), improved tremor and rigidity in Parkinson’s patients (20). This was a time of incredible excitement for the field, with several lines of evidence now showing that two different regions within the basal ganglia could be targeted to alleviate the symptoms of parkinsonism in patients and that deep brain stimulation provided a potent, but reversible, approach. These findings were confirmed in larger studies, and Benabid’s team noted that deep brain stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus reduced the severity of symptoms for patients who were off their medication and allowed patients to decrease their dosage of levodopa (21).

Adoption of deep brain stimulation for clinical care
By 1998, deep brain stimulation targeting the subthalamic nucleus or the globus pallidus internus was approved in Europe to treat patients with advanced Parkinson’s disease. The benefits of deep brain stimulation targeting the subthalamic nucleus or globus pallidus were further demonstrated in large, randomized clinical trials (22), which led to FDA approval of the therapy in the United States in 2002. Since that time, over 100,000 patients have been treated for Parkinson’s symptoms with deep brain stimulation. Deep brain stimulation has proven more effective than standard medical therapy in reducing dyskinesias and improving quality of life (23). The surgery for the implant carries a small risk of hemorrhage and infection, so it is generally reserved only for patients whose symptoms can no longer be effectively controlled by medication. Surgery seems to work best on patients who respond to levodopa, and, as noted by Benabid’s group and others, can help patients decrease the amount of medication taken, which also helps reduce side effects. Patients must undergo careful screening to confirm the diagnosis and rule out contraindications. Once the surgery has been completed, patients must return for programming of the device in order to optimize the level of stimulation and adjust their medications. Ultimately, most patients realize substantial benefits such as elimination of drug-induced side effects and increased quality of life. Deep brain stimulation is not a cure for Parkinson’s disease, but for many patients, the treatment sets the clock back to an earlier point in the disease’s progression, with a better overall level of functioning and fewer complications from medication.

Stimulating new treatment options
Deep brain stimulation is also approved to treat other neurological movement disorders, including essential tremor and dystonia (under a Humanitarian Device Exemption [HDE]). For cases of primary dystonia, such as those due to genetic causes, deep brain stimulation of the globus pallidus internus can cut involuntary muscle contractions by half or more. Unlike Parkinson’s disease, dystonia is not a degenerative disorder; therefore,
Exciting new applications for deep brain stimulation are beginning to emerge for divergent neurological conditions that have completely different symptoms and different underlying neural circuitry. Alterations of the limbic circuit are thought to contribute to the development of Tourette syndrome, obsessive-compulsive disorder, and depression (25). A clinical trial testing stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus in patients with severe obsessive-compulsive disorder demonstrated a decrease in symptoms and an increase in functionality (26). For patients with treatment-resistant depression, early clinical trial results of deep brain stimulation of the subcallosal cingulate indicated a significant decrease in depression and an increase in function following chronic stimulation (27). Positive results have also been obtained with deep brain stimulation in epilepsy patients, and bilateral stimulation of the thalamus significantly reduced the frequency of seizures in patients with medically refractory seizures (28). Further studies are needed to confirm these findings, but these studies illustrate that deep brain stimulation has important applications beyond Parkinson’s disease and may someday benefit a wide range of patients.

A lifetime of discoveries

Benabid and DeLong both credit their discoveries to being in the right place at the right time and being open to new possibilities. For DeLong, the opportunity to pursue both clinical practice and research has been enormously rewarding. He continues to see patients who come to Emory for deep brain stimulation to treat their movement disorders and is a founding co-director of a new center focused on neuromodulation called ENTICe, which was formed by faculty in neurology, psychiatry, and neurosurgery at Emory in affiliation with faculty in engineering at the Georgia Institute of Technology. Benabid now heads Clinatec, a multidisciplinary institute in the small city of Grenoble, France, that is home to a team of neurologists, neurosurgeons, engineers, mathematicians, and preclinical researchers. Both Benabid and DeLong hope this sort of incubator atmosphere can speed the discovery of innovative approaches to treat a host of neurological conditions, and both remain deeply engaged in exploring new patient therapies.

This year’s Lasker–DeBakey Clinical Medical Research Prize pays tribute to the legacy of two men who independently contributed in fundamental ways to the development of deep brain stimulation treatment for Parkinson’s disease. DeLong noted that the award is an incredible honor and described the experience as “unbelievable.” For his part, Benabid says that it has been amazing to be recognized by his peers, but he equally treasures hearing from his many patients who, throughout the years, have thanked him for the vast improvements in their daily lives. Indeed, he remarked, “What could be a better dream?”
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