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Introduction

Macroautophagy, herein referred to as “autophagy,” is essential for cellular homeostasis and stress adaptation and constitutes a process that facilitates the lysosomal degradation of intracellular material sequestered within autophagosomes. Autophagy becomes insufficient in aging organisms, menacing their functionality and survival (1). Moreover, autophagy fails to maintain cellular functions in multiple chronic diseases including (but not limited to) infectious, neoplastic, and neurodegenerative diseases. This observation, which was first made in model organisms including rodents, has now been substantiated by clinical correlations in humans. Thus, polymorphisms in autophagy genes are associated with breast cancer and other malignancies, inflammatory bowel disease, mycobacterial infections, asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, systemic lupus erythematosus, and hereditary neurologic disorders (2). Logically, autophagy is now one of the most studied phenomena in cell biology and pathophysiology. Given its broad clinical implications, autophagy has become a major target for drug discovery and development, as discussed in the present series of Reviews on autophagy, which deal with the implications of autophagy in major, socioeconomically relevant diseases.

Pathophysiologic impact of autophagy

Neoplasia. There are still some polemics on the importance of autophagy defects in the development of cancer. In mice, genetic defects of autophagy (such as liver-specific knockout of autophagy-related gene 7 \(\text{Atg7}\) or haploinsufficiency of the essential ATG \(\text{Becn1}\), which codes for beclin 1) cause an increase in the incidence of cancers (3). Similarly, knockout of \(\text{Atg5}\) or \(\text{Atg7}\) can increase the incidence of p53-deficient, KRAS-induced pancreatic cancers (4), and knockout of \(\text{Atg5}\) accelerates initial oncogenesis of KRAS-induced lung neoplasms (5). However, a preliminary study performed on human breast cancers suggested that the loss of functional beclin 1 is not associated with oncogenesis (6). Notwithstanding this latter result, there is mounting evidence that autophagy may play a dual role in cancer. While functional or genetic suppression of autophagy may accelerate early oncogenesis, autophagy often is essential for tumor progression, when cancer cells must be optimally fit to survive the challenge of surviving in hypoxic conditions or after detachment from their usual tropic support (3).

Cardiovascular disease. The role of autophagy is also somehow controversial in the context of cardiac disease. Autophagy is required for the avoidance of multiple cardiovascular pathologies associated with aging, and autophagy is indispensable for ischemic preconditioning, i.e., the mechanism by which short, sublethal episodes of ischemia can protect the heart against massive infarction induced by protracted ischemia. Moreover, deficiencies in autophagy in different cell types precipitate arteriosclerosis and other cardiovascular diseases. However, autophagy may also play a pathogenic role in cardiac remodeling induced by pressure overload, arguing in favor of an ambiguous role of autophagy in cardiac physiology (7).

Immune-related diseases. Autophagy mediates the removal of intracellular pathogens (such as \textit{Mycobacterium tuberculosis}) and as such constitutes one of the most primitive mechanisms of immune defense. It is important to note that parts of the autophagic machinery are linked to phagocytosis, endocytosis, and non-canonical protein secretion, meaning that mutations in autophagy-relevant genes may affect other immune-relevant processes besides autophagy. As a result, autophagy (or proteins participating in the autophagic process) play multiple pleiotropic roles in inflammatory and immune responses. The preponderant role of autophagy in the immune system is to promote the clearance of intracellular bacteria, to mediate anti-inflammatory reactions, to improve antigen presentation, and to promote T cell memory (8).
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Neurodegeneration. There is ample evidence that autophagy defects can contribute to a number of neurodegenerative diseases including but not limited to Alzheimer’s disease, Parkinson’s disease, and ALS (9). As a recurrent leitmotif, in neurons, which are non-proliferating cells, accumulating protein aggregates cannot be “diluted” by cell division and must be eliminated by autophagy, meaning that either an increase in the generation of misfolded protein or a decrease in their autophagic removal is pathogenic. There are several familial forms of Parkinson’s disease in which genes affecting mitophagy, i.e., the autophagic removal of dysfunctional mitochondria, are mutated, underscoring a direct genetic link between autophagy and neurodegeneration. Such a direct genetic link is also observed in familial ALS, in which autophagic adaptor proteins such as sequestosome 1 and optineurin are affected by loss-of-function mutations (9).

Aging. In old age, autophagy is insufficient, either because the autophagic flux is diminished or because there is too much cargo resulting from chronic cellular damage. Manuevers designed to induce autophagy at the level of the whole body, for instance by dietary interventions (such as general caloric restriction, specific protein restriction, or restriction of single amino acids) or by pharmacologic agents (such as supplementation with spermidine or...
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Autophagy by knockout of essential autophagy genes (such as positive impact on disease outcome. Thus, inactivation of autophagy, which—at least in yeast, nematodes, and flies—is essential for favorable effects on life span (11).

**Therapeutic manipulation of autophagy**

**Gene therapy for autophagy induction.** In rodent models, gene therapeutic approaches designed to induce autophagy have had positive effects on a variety of disease models including aging (when ATG5 is overexpressed in the entire organism), obesity (when ATG7 or the pro-autophagic transcription factor EB [TFEB] are overexpressed in hepatocytes), cancer (when beclin 1 is expressed in KRAS-induced lung adenomas), neurodegeneration induced by β-amyloid or α-synuclein or toxicity (when TFEB or beclin 1 are overexpressed in the brain or when cystatin B, an inhibitor of lysosomal cysteine proteases, is knocked out), myogenic conditions (when TFEB or beclin 1 are targeted to the skeletal muscle), and chronic lung inflammation caused by cystic fibrosis (when beclin 1 is expressed in the lung) (2).

**Pharmacologic inducers of autophagy.** Encouraged by the health-promoting effects of genetic autophagy induction, a number of industrial and academic laboratories are attempting to develop small molecules for autophagy stimulation. Induction of autophagy can be achieved by activating pro-autophagic enzymes such as AMPK or the protein deacetylase sirtuin 1 via the disruption of inhibitory interactions that usually restrain pro-autophagic proteins, or by inhibiting negative regulators of autophagy including mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1) (12), certain deubiquitinating enzymes, and the acetyl transferase EP300 (13). Yet another strategy for inducing autophagy consists of mimicking the broad biochemical effects of starvation, resulting in the depletion of acetyl coenzyme A. Hence, the inhibition of enzymatic reactions required for the biosynthesis of acetyl coenzyme A constitutes an efficient, non-toxic approach for autophagy stimulation (14, 15). Moreover, autophagy can be triggered by many kinds of sublethal (hormetic) stress. Thus, several FDA-approved or over-the-counter drugs stimulate autophagy, but mostly have pleiotropic actions, making it difficult to parse out the contribution of increased autophagic flux in their therapeutic actions on patients (2). Some of these agents are currently being investigated in clinical trials (Table 1).

**Gene therapy for autophagy inhibition.** The genetic inhibition of autophagy has been used in several mouse models to have a positive impact on disease outcome. Thus, inactivation of autophagy by knockout of essential autophagy genes (such as Atg5 and Atg7) within prospective tumor cells can prevent the progression of KRASG12D- or BRAFV600E-induced lung adenomas to adenocarcinomas (5, 6) and prevent the full manifestation of KRASG12D pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (4). These genetic manipulations appear to be particularly efficient when the tumors lack p53 expression. Knockdown of essential autophagy genes can also sensitize tumor cells to chemotherapy or radiotherapy, both in vitro and vivo, supporting the idea of therapeutic autophagy inhibition for chemotherapeutic sensitization (6). When the essential autophagy gene Atg7 is irreversibly inactivated at the whole body level in mice, the animals suffer a sudden, potentially lethal defect in their immune defense as well as major metabolic alterations (within days) before they develop degenerative processes in virtually all organs (within weeks) and finally succumb to brain dysfunction (within two months) (6). These results clearly indicate that full inhibition of autophagy for a protracted period cannot constitute a realistic therapeutic goal. Rather, partial or intermittent inhibition of autophagy should be attempted.

**Pharmacologic inhibitors of autophagy.** Autophagy is an extremely complex process in which multiple enzymatic complexes have to participate in a temporally and spatially coordinated manner. Thus, this pathway offers the possibility to pharmacologically target a number of essential enzymatic reactions to inhibit autophagy, including but not limited to protein kinase, lipid kinase, and protease activities (13, 16). As a word of caution, it is important to note that even totally specific inhibition of kinases and proteases by yet-to-be-discovered pharmacologic agents would also affect other processes that are not related to autophagy, with the consequent risk of major undesired side effects, as none of these putative drug targets is exclusively involved in autophagy. There are multiple clinical trials in which therapeutic inhibition of autophagy is attempted by means of relatively nonspecific lysosomotropic agents, in particular chloroquine and 3-hydroxychloroquine, for the treatment of neoplasia (Table 1).

**Perspectives**

The pharmacologic development of autophagy modulators is in its infancy, and it can be easily anticipated that novel, relatively specific inducers and inhibitors will soon become available for preclinical and clinical characterization. Paradoxically, the current clinical armamentarium is endowed with a larger arsenal of autophagy inducers than of autophagy inhibitors. At the level of the whole body, autophagy can be easiest stimulated by starvation, at least in mice, and it remains to be seen whether it will be possible to create autophagy inducers that have a better safety profile than caloric restriction or rapamycin. An alternative strategy might consist in engineering autophagy inducers that are targeted to specific cell types or tissues, thus allowing for a new kind of specific therapeutic intervention. At present, a large panel of clinical trials is devoted to the therapeutic inhibition of autophagy in the context of cancer using rather nonspecific agents that had been previously used for the treatment of malaria and rheumatoid arthritis (Table 1). It remains to be seen, however, whether such agents, if successful, truly mediate their antineoplastic effects through autophagy inhibition in cancer cells, or whether they instead act through other cytotoxic or immunomodulatory effects. It will be important to develop truly specific autophagy inhibitors before further therapeutic breakthroughs can be envisaged. Thus, an entire community of basic and clinical investigators is awaiting the advent of highly specific autophagy modulators with great expectations.
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