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Introduction

Treatment of neuropathic pain triggered by multiple insults to the nervous system is a clinical challenge, because the pathogenesis of this hypersensitive state is very complex, involving structural and neurophysiological changes throughout the neuroaxis, from the site of the peripheral nerve injury, to the cell bodies of primary sensory neurons in dorsal root ganglion (DRG) neurons, and to the spinal cord and brain (1). Currently, there are no drugs that can treat neuropathic pain in a complete and definitive way (2, 3).

Bone marrow stromal cells (BMSCs) are a population of progenitor cells of mesodermal origin that are present in the BM of adults and give rise to various tissues throughout the body (4). BMSCs have emerged as a major source for cell-based therapies, with a diverse spectrum of potential clinical applications. Because of their high expansion potential, genetic stability, stable phenotype, and strong immunosuppressive properties, BMSCs can be exploited for successful autologous and heterologous transplantation, without requiring immune suppressants (5). In addition, BMSCs can be easily collected and shipped from the laboratory to the bedside and are compatible with different delivery methods and formulations. BMSCs were originally conceived as stem/progenitor cells to rebuild diseased or damaged tissues. However, systemically infused BMSCs have been shown to exert therapeutic effects through the release of cytokines and trophic factors that act on local, or perhaps distant, target tissues (6, 7). Through this paracrine modulation, it has been discovered that BMSCs are potent modulators of immune responses in humans and animals (8).

Systemic or local injection of BMSCs has been shown to alleviate inflammatory and neuropathic pain (refs. 9–14 and also see Supplemental Table 1; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI80883DS1). However, several key issues surrounding this potential treatment have not been addressed, thus limiting its clinical applications. First, the molecular mechanisms by which BMSCs attenuate chronic pain are unknown. Second, the destination of the injected or implanted BMSCs is unclear. Third, the analgesic capability of BMSCs, especially that of reversing chronic pain, has not been fully explored. Finally, the optimal conditions for the delivery of BMSCs to treat chronic pain remain to be identified.

In this study, we used an approach involving intrathecal (i.t.) administration of BMSCs to target DRGs and spinal cord in the pain pathway. We found that i.t. BMSCs, given early or late after nerve injury, effectively suppressed neuropathic pain for several weeks in mice after chronic constriction injury (CCI) and spared nerve injury (SNI). Our results also show that BMSCs secreted TGF-β1, which acted as a powerful neuromodulator to mediate the antinociceptive effects of BMSCs. Furthermore, our findings demonstrate that transplanted BMSCs selectively targeted injured lumbar DRGs, which produced the chemokine CXCL12 to induce chemotaxis of CXCR4-expressing BMSCs.
Results

Early or late treatment with BMSCs via the i.t. route produces long-term relief of neuropathic pain after CCI. We induced neuropathic pain in mice via CCI of the sciatic nerve (15). In this model, neuropathic pain was characterized by mechanical allodynia and heat hyperalgesia, both of which lasted more than 5 weeks but recovered after 6 to 8 weeks (Figure 1, A and B). To test the hypothesis that BMSCs would alleviate behavioral signs of neuropathic pain, we administered an i.t. injection of BMSCs into spinal cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) via lumbar puncture, 4 days after CCI, when neuropathic pain developed but had not reached its peak. BMSCs (1.0 × 10^5 or 2.5 × 10^5 cells) with a purity of 88% (Supplemental Figure 1) were prepared in 10 μl PBS and collected for i.t. injection. As shown in Figure 1, A and B, a single i.t. injection of BMSCs, given on CCI day 4, produced a rapid (within 1 day) inhibition of CCI-induced mechanical allodynia and thermal hyperalgesia. Notably, these antinociceptive effects lasted for more than 5 weeks following either low-dose or high-dose BMSC treatment (Figure 1, A and B). We next examined the effects of BMSCs on established late-phase neuropathic pain, 14 days after CCI. As shown in Figure 1, C and D, i.t. BMSCs reversed mechanical allodynia and heat hyperalgesia by late treatment with i.t. BMSCs (1.0 or 2.5 × 10^5 cells), given 14 days after CCI. Arrows in A-D indicate the time of BMSC injection. *P < 0.05, compared with vehicle; #P < 0.05; n = 6 mice/group. (E) Paradigm for measuring ongoing pain using a 2-chamber CPP test. (F) CCI-induced ongoing pain was abolished by treatment with BMSCs. *P < 0.05, compared with saline; n = 5 mice/group. Statistical significance was determined by 2-way repeated-measures ANOVA, followed by Bonferroni’s post-hoc test (A-D) or Student’s t test (F). All data are expressed as the mean ± SEM. BL, baseline.

Figure 1. Inhibition of CCI-induced evoked and ongoing neuropathic pain in mice by a single i.t. injection of BMSCs. (A and B) Prolonged inhibition of mechanical allodynia (A) and thermal hyperalgesia (B) for 5 weeks by early treatment with i.t. injection of BMSCs (1.0 or 2.5 × 10^5 cells), given 4 days after CCI. *P < 0.05, compared with vehicle (PBS); n = 6 mice/group. (C and D) Reversal of mechanical allodynia (C) and thermal hyperalgesia (D) by late treatment with i.t. BMSCs (1.0 or 2.5 × 10^5 cells), given 14 days after CCI. Arrows in A-D indicate the time of BMSC injection. *P < 0.05, compared with vehicle; #P < 0.05; n = 6 mice/group. (E) Paradigm for measuring ongoing pain using a 2-chamber CPP test. (F) CCI-induced ongoing pain was abolished by treatment with BMSCs. *P < 0.05, compared with saline; n = 5 mice/group. Statistical significance was determined by 2-way repeated-measures ANOVA, followed by Bonferroni’s post-hoc test (A-D) or Student’s t test (F). All data are expressed as the mean ± SEM. BL, baseline.
resinification by i.t. treatment with BMSCs (2.5 × 10^5 cells, Figure 1F). Importantly, CCI-induced ongoing pain was abolished by i.t. injection (Figure 1F), indicating that the mice developed ongoing pain after CCI. Importantly, CCI-induced ongoing pain was abolished by i.t. treatment with BMSCs (2.5 × 10^5 cells, Figure 1F).

While chronic pain is destructive, physiological pain is protective. We also tested whether i.t. BMSCs would affect physiological pain (basal pain) under normal conditions. Notably, i.t. BMSC treatment, even at a high dose (2.5 × 10^5 cells), did not change the mechanical or thermal pain thresholds in naive mice (Figure 2, A–C), nor did it change affect motor function, as evaluated by a rotarod test (Figure 2D). Collectively, these data suggest that i.t. injection of BMSCs, either in the early or late phase of CCI, can elicit long-lasting relief of neuropathic pain symptoms, without affecting baseline pain thresholds (physiological pain states) or motor function.

**BMSCs delivered i.t. protect primary sensory neurons from CCI-induced axonal injury.** The activating transcription factor 3 (ATF3) is a widely used marker for DRG neuron injury (18). ATF3 immunoreactivity (IR) was barely detected in DRG neurons in sham surgery mice, but had markedly increased to 40% of the neurons in lumbar L4–L5 DRGs after CCI (Figure 3, A–C). Treatment with i.t. BMSCs (2.5 × 10^5 cells), given 4 days after CCI, inhibited CCI-induced ATF3 expression in DRG neurons 8 days after CCI, and the percentage of ATF3 IR neurons was reduced to 14% after BMSC treatment (Figure 3, A–C). Nerve injury is also known to downregulate the neuropeptide calcitonin gene–related peptide (CGRP) in peptidergic neurons as well as isolectin B4 (IB4) binding in nonpeptidergic neurons in DRGs (19, 20). Notably, i.t. BMSCs reversed CCI-induced downregulation of both CGRP and IB4 staining in DRG neurons (Figure 3, D and E) and also in their central axonal terminals in the spinal cord dorsal horn (Figure 3, F and G). These results suggest that BMSCs administered i.t. can protect DRG neurons from axonal injury.

**BMSCs delivered i.t. control neuroinflammation in DRGS and spinal cord after CCI.** Neuroinflammation is an inflammatory response in the PNS and CNS and has been strongly implicated in the genesis of neuropathic pain (21, 22). CCI caused a marked increase in IBA-1-labeled macrophages in injured L4–L5 DRGs, but this increase on CCI day 8 was blocked by i.t. BMSC treatment (2.5 × 10^5 cells) given on CCI day 4 (Figure 4, A–C). Quantitative PCR (qPCR) revealed that CCI also induced upregulation of Gfap (a marker for satellite glial cells) and Il1b and Il6, but not Tnf (key proinflammatory cytokines), in the L4–L5 DRGs, and the upregulation of all 4 of these mRNAs was suppressed by treatment with BMSCs (Figure 4D).

Neuroinflammation is also characterized by the activation of glial cells, including microglia and astrocytes in spinal cord, and this activation plays an essential role in the pathogenesis of neuropathic pain by producing Il-1β, IL-6, and TNF to enhance spinal cord synaptic transmission (23–27). Eight days after surgery, CCI caused marked increases in the IR of GFAP (astrocyte marker) and IBA-1 (microglial marker), as well as morphological changes in astrocytes and microglia on the ipsilateral side of the spinal dorsal horn. All of these glial changes were attenuated by treatment with BMSCs (Figure 4, E and F). qPCR results showed that CCI induced the upregulation of Iba1, Il1b, Il6, and Tnf transcripts in the L4–L5 spinal dorsal horn, all of which were suppressed by BMSCs (Figure 4G). Thus, i.t. BMSCs can effectively control neuroinflammation in the neuroaxis of pain, which includes DRGs and spinal cord.

**BMSCs release TGF-β1 to inhibit neuropathic pain.** Given the rapid analgesic effect observed on day 1, we reasoned that BMSCs might control neuropathic pain by paracrine signaling through the secretion of potential “pain killers.” The antiinflammatory cytokines TGF-β and IL-10 have been implicated in the beneficial effects of BMSCs in asthma and sepsis (28, 29). TGF-β and IL-10 also inhibit neuropathic pain and spinal neuroinflammation after nerve injury (30, 31). To assess their involvement in BMSC-elicited pain relief, we measured TGF-β1 and IL-10 release in BMSC culture medium. We detected high basal release of TGF-β1 (120 pg/ml), but very low basal release of IL-10 (<5 pg/ml) (Figure 5A). We next examined whether inflammatory challenge would boost the release of IL-10 and TGF-β1. Exposure of BMSCs to TNF-α or LPS significantly increased the release of TGF-β1 but not IL-10 (Figure 5A). Furthermore, we examined TGF-β1 release in CSF collected from naive and CCI mice.
with or without BMSC treatment. TGF-β1 release in CSF did not increase in CCI mice, but was substantially increased after they received an i.t. injection of BMSCs (Figure 5B).

To determine whether TGF-β1 and IL-10 contribute to the antinociceptive effects of BMSCs in neuropathic pain, we treated mice with a specific neutralizing Ab against TGF-β1 or IL-10, 3 days after injection of BMSCs (i.e., 7 days after CCI). Strikingly, the antiallodynic effect of BMSCs was reversed by i.t. TGF-β1 neutralization, but not by control serum and IL-10 neutralization (Figure 5C). The reversal induced by TGF-β1 neutralization was transient and recovered after 24 hours (Figure 5C). We further tested TGF-β1 neutralization at 2 additional time points: an earlier time point (1 day after BMSC injection) and a later time point (24 days after BMSC injection). Notably, TGF-β1 Ab was still capable of reversing BMSC-induced inhibition of allodynia and hyperalgesia at 1 or 24 days after BMSC injection (Supplemental Figure 2, A–D). Twenty-eight days after BMSC injection, TGF-β1 levels in L5 DRGs, but not in CSF, were still elevated compared with the levels detected in the vehicle control (Supplemental Figure 2, E and F).

To further validate the critical role of TGF-β1 release in neuropathic pain relief with BMSC treatment, we knocked down TGF-β1 expression in BMSCs by treating BMSC cultures with a specific siRNA (1 μg/ml) for 18 hours. This treatment resulted in a 67% reduction in TGF-β1 release and a 55% reduction in TGF-β1 expres-
elicited only mild or transient inhibition of CCI-induced allodynia and hyperalgesia (Supplemental Figure 4, A and B). Furthermore, these CD90-negative cells secreted much less TGF-β1 than did CD90-positive cells (Supplemental Figure 4C). Together, these results strongly suggest that i.t. BMSCs inhibit neuropathic pain symptoms via TGF-β1 release.

Exogenous TGF-β1 attenuates neuropathic pain through TGF-β1R1. To further test the hypothesis that TGF-β1 is sufficient to alleviate behavioral signs of neuropathic pain, we evaluated the antinociceptive effects of exogenous recombinant TGF-β1 on CCI-induced neuropathic pain behavior.

Figure 4. BMSCs administered i.t. inhibit CCI-induced glial activation and neuroinflammation in lumbar DRGs and the spinal cord dorsal horn. (A) Paradigm showing the timing of BMSC treatment (CCI day 4) and tissue collection (CCI day 8). (B) Inhibition of CCI-induced upregulation of the macrophage marker IBA-1 in L4–L5 DRGs by i.t. injection of BMSCs (2.5 × 10^5 cells). Scale bar: 50 μm. (C) Quantification of IBA-1 staining. *P < 0.05, compared with the sham group; #P < 0.05; n = 4 mice/group. (D) qPCR showing expression levels of Gfap, Il1b, Il6, and Tnf mRNAs in L4–L5 DRGs and the effects of BMSCs. *P < 0.05, compared with the contralateral group; #P < 0.05; n = 4 mice/group. (E and F) BMSC inhibition of CCI-induced upregulation of the microglial marker IBA-1 and the astrocyte marker GFAP in the L4–L5 dorsal horn. Graph in F shows the quantification of GFAP and IBA-1 staining. Scale bar: 200 μm (top panels) and 50 μm (bottom panels). Bottom panels are enlarged images of the top panels. *P < 0.05, compared with the contralateral group; #P < 0.05; n = 4 mice/group. (G) qPCR showing the expression levels of Iba1, Il1b, Il6, and Tnf mRNAs and the effects of BMSCs. *P < 0.05, compared with the contralateral group; #P < 0.05; n = 4–5 mice/group. Statistical significance was determined by 1-way ANOVA, followed by Bonferroni’s post-hoc test. All data are expressed as the mean ± SEM.
mechanical allodynia and heat hyperalgesia. TGF-β1 delivered i.t. was highly potent at inhibiting neuropathic pain; both mechanical allodynia (Figure 5F) and thermal hyperalgesia (Supplemental Figure 5A) 5 days after CCI were dose-dependently inhibited by very low doses of TGF-β1 (2 ng = 16 pmol, 10 ng = 78 pmol). This reversal recovered after 24 hours (Figure 5F and Supplemental Figure 5A). We also examined the effects of TGF-β1 on established neuropathic pain. TGF-β1 effectively inhibited late-phase neuropathic pain on day 21 after CCI (Figure 5G and Supplemental Figure 5B). We next tested whether TGF-β receptor 1 (TGF-βR1), the major receptor for canonical signaling of TGF-β1 (32), would be responsible for the antiallodynic effects of TGF-β1. Injection of SB431542 (100 pmol, i.t.), a potent and selective inhibitor of TGF-βR1 (33), eliminated the antiallodynic and antihyperalgesic effects of TGF-β1 (10 ng) in CCI mice (Figure 5H and Supplemental Figure 5C).

TGF-β modulates excitatory synaptic transmission in spinal cord neurons after CCI via TGF-βR1. Spinal cord synaptic plasticity (central sensitization) plays an essential role in driving neuro-
pathic pain (34, 35). We prepared spinal cord slices from sham and CCI mice (4 d) for patch-clamp recordings in lamina IIO neurons. These interneurons form a pain circuit by receiving input from C-fiber afferents and sending output to lamina I projection neurons (36). CCI resulted in significant increases in the frequency and amplitude of spontaneous excitatory postsynaptic currents (sEPSCs) in IIO neurons (Figure 6, A and B). The EPSCs are mediated by AMPA glutamate receptors, and their increases in lamina IIO neurons may drive pathological pain (37, 38). Superfusion of spinal cord slices with TGF-β1 (2 or 10 ng/ml) dose-dependently and rapidly (with 2 minutes) blocked the CCI-induced increases in sEPSC frequency and amplitude (Figure 6, A and B). Moreover, this blockade of TGF-β1 (10 ng/ml) signaling was abrogated by the TGF-βR1 antagonist SB431542 (10 μM, Figure 6, A and B). For comparison, superfusion of TGF-β1 (10 ng/ml) had no effect on sEPSC frequency or amplitude in sham control spinal cord. *P < 0.05 compared with sham surgery; #P < 0.05 compared with the control group; n = 5 neurons/group. Statistical significance was determined by 1-way ANOVA, followed by Bonferroni’s post-hoc test. All data are expressed as the mean ± SEM.

**Figure 6. Exogenous TGF-β1 rapidly suppresses CCI-induced enhancement of excitatory synaptic transmission in lamina IIO neurons of spinal cord slices via the TGF-β1R.** (A) Traces showing sEPSCs in lamina IIO neurons of spinal cord slices. (B) Frequency and amplitude of sEPSCs. CCI (day 4) induced profound increases in sEPSC frequency and amplitude, which were suppressed by TGF-β1 (2 or 10 ng/ml). Note that TGF-β1 had no effect on the frequency or amplitude of sEPSCs in sham control spinal cord. *P < 0.05, compared with sham surgery. *P < 0.05 compared with the control group; n = 5 neurons/group. (C) The TGF-βR1 antagonist SB431542 blocked TGF-β1-induced inhibition of sEPSC frequency and amplitude. *P < 0.05; n = 5 neurons/group. Statistical significance was determined by 1-way ANOVA, followed by Bonferroni’s post-hoc test. All data are expressed as the mean ± SEM.

BMSCs delivered i.t. target injured lumbar DRGs via the CXCL12/CXCR4 axis. To determine the destination of i.t.-implanted BMSCs in mice following nerve injury, we labeled BMSCs with the fluorescent dye Dil and collected DRGs and spinal cord tissues 3 days after i.t. injection of Dil-labeled BMSCs (i.e., 7 days after CCI). Interestingly, we found many labeled Dil BMSCs in the injured lumbar DRGs (L4–L6 DRGs that send axons to the sciatic nerve) 7 days after CCI (Figure 8A). In contrast, only a few Dil BMSCs were detected in contralateral DRGs (L2–L6, Figure 8A) or ipsilateral L2–L3 DRGs (Figure 8A). Dil-labeled BMSCs were also found at the borders of the white matter in the L4–L6 spinal cord, especially in the ipsilateral dorsal horn (Supplemental Figure 6A).

Chemokines play an important role in chronic pain via regulation of leukocyte trafficking, glial activation, and neuronal activity (42–45). Interestingly, CXCL12 (also named SDF-1) and its receptor CXCR4 were not only involved in neuropathic pain (46), but were also implicated in BMSC trafficking (47). CCI caused a marked increase in CXCL12 levels in L4–L6 DRGs compared with those in sham control mice (Figure 8B). Furthermore, a Transwell migration assay showed that CXCL12 induced the migration of BMSCs in vitro, and the CXCR4 antagonist AMD3100 blocked the migration of these BMSCs (Figure 8C and Supplemental Figure 7).

To define the role of the CXCL12/CXCR4 axis in regulating the migration of BMSCs in vivo, we treated BMSCs with Ccr4 siRNA or nontargeting control siRNA (1 μg/ml, 18 hours) and observed an 85% reduction in Ccr4 mRNA levels after siRNA treatment (Figure 8D). Notably, BMSC-induced inhibition of mechanical allodynia was gradually (5–7 days) compromised after i.t. injection of siRNA-treated BMSCs (Figure 8E). To check the effect of
Cxcr4 knockdown on BMSC trafficking, we collected ipsilateral L4–L6 DRGs from Cxcr4 siRNA- and control siRNA-treated mice 7 days after the BMSC injection. Strikingly, the number of BMSCs migrating to injured DRGs was substantially reduced in the siRNA-treated group compared with that in the control group (22.6 ± 5.4 vs. 280.8 ± 54.3 per ganglion; Student’s t test; P < 0.05; n = 5 mice/group) (Figure 8, F and G).

Long-term survival of BMSCs in DRGs from CCI mice following i.t. injection. To define the destination and fate of i.t.-implanted BMSCs, we collected ipsilateral L5 DRGs from CCI mice 3–84 days after their i.t. injection. The number of Dil-labeled BMSCs reached its peak on day 3 and then gradually declined (Figure 9, A and B). Notably, Dil-labeled BMSCs were detected in ipsilateral L5 DRGs, even 56 days after implantation (Figure 9, A and B), suggesting long-term survival of BMSCs in DRGs. Double-immunostaining results showed that the majority of Dil-labeled BMSCs still expressed CD90 at 28 days after CCI (Figure 9C). The BMSCs were primarily localized at the border of DRGs, and we found no evidence of genesis of neurons (NeuN +), satellite glial cells (GFAP +), or monocytes (IBA1 +) from the implanted BMSCs (Supplemental Figure 8, A and B). Of interest, confocal images showed Dil uptake by neurons near the BMSCs, suggesting an interaction (molecule exchange) between the infiltrated BMSCs and neurons (Supplemental Figure 8). However, the number of labeled BMSCs was dramatically reduced on day 70, and only a few BMSCs were detected on day 84 (Figure 9B). Thus, the potential health risk of i.t.-implanted BMSCs (e.g., conversion into tumor cells) should be very low.

Early or late i.t. delivery of BMSCs produces long-term relief of neuropathic pain after SNI. Finally, we tested the analgesic efficacy of BMSCs in a more persistent neuropathic pain model that was induced by SNI. In this model, mechanical allodynia is rapidly induced within 1 day and is maintained for several months in rodents (48). Injection of BMSCs (2.5 × 10^5 cells) via the i.t. route 4 days after SNI produced a rapid (<1 day) and long-lasting (>42 days) inhibition of SNI-induced mechanical allodynia (reduction in the paw withdrawal threshold; Figure 10A). This antiallodynic effect was more robust when mechanical allodynia was assessed by the frequency (percentage) of response to a single, low-threshold von Frey hair (0.16 grams-force [gf]; Figure 10B). BMSCs (2.5 × 10^4 cells) delivered i.t. 3 weeks after SNI also significantly reversed late-phase mechanical allodynia for more than 5 weeks (Figure 10, C and D), although the analgesic efficacy in the SNI model was not as great as that in the CCI model (Figure 1). In this model, TGF-β1 was still responsible for BMSC-induced analgesia, since the BMSC-induced antiallodynic effect was reversed by TGF-β1 Ab, given 17 days after BMSC injection (i.e., 21 days after SNI; Figure 10E).

Discussion

A number of studies reported pain relief with BMSC administration in rodent pain models following systemic or local injection, but the analgesic effects are variable (Supplemental Table 1). Percutaneous injection of autologous BM concentrate cells also caused long-term relief of lumbar discogenic pain in a pilot trial in humans (49). However, long-term analgesic effects were not fully evaluated in these animal studies (Supplemental Table 1), except via the i.v. route (9, 10). Our findings demonstrate that a single i.t. injection of low numbers of BMSCs (1.0 × 10^3–2.5 × 10^4 cells), either at an early time point (4 days after CCI or SNI) or at later time points (14–21 days after CCI or SNI), produced long-term relief (>4–5 weeks) from nerve injury–induced neuropathic pain symptoms, as revealed by mechanical allodynia or/and heat hyperalgesia in mice. This treatment also suppressed CCI-induced ongoing pain, reduced neuroinflammation in DRGs and spinal cord, and protected DRG neuron axons from nerve injury.

It remains unclear from previous studies how BMSCs inhibit pain. In this study, we have provided several lines of evidence to demonstrate that the analgesic effects of BMSCs are mediated by
Figure 8. CXCL12/CXCR4 axis controls BMSC migration to lumbar DRGs and mediates the antiallodynic effect of BMSCs in CCI mice. (A) Selective targeting of i.t.-injected BMSCs (CM-Dil labeled) to ipsilateral L4–L6 DRGs 3 days after i.t. injection (day 7 after CCI). Scale bar: 100 μm. Note that there was only limited migration of BMSCs to contralateral DRGs. (B) ELISA analysis showing CXCL12 expression in contralateral and ipsilateral L4–L6 DRGs on days 4 and 14 after CCI. *P < 0.05; n = 4 mice/group. (C) Chemotaxis (Transwell invasion) assay showing the migration of BMSCs in response to CXCL12 (0–100 ng/ml) and the inhibitory effect of the CXCR4 antagonist AMD3100 (5 mg/ml, 30 min). *P < 0.05, compared with the control group (no treatment); #P < 0.05; n = 4 wells from separate cultures. (D) Reduction of Cxcr4 mRNA levels in BMSCs treated with Cxcr4 siRNA (1 μg/ml for 18 h). *P < 0.05; n = 3 separate cultures. (E) Antiallodynic effect of i.t. BMSCs (2.5 × 10^5 cells) was compromised by pretreatment of BMSCs with Cxcr4 siRNA, but not with nontargeting control siRNA. Arrow indicates BMSC injection on day 14 after CCI. *P < 0.05, compared with the vehicle group; #P < 0.05; n = 5 mice/group. (F) Migration of CM-Dil–labeled BMSCs to ipsilateral L5 DRGs 7 days after i.t. injection (day 21 after CCI). Note that this migration was blocked by Cxcr4 siRNA. Scale bar: 50 μm. (G) Number of CM-Dil–labeled BMSCs in ipsilateral L4–L6 DRGs after the treatment shown in F. *P < 0.05; n = 5 mice/group. Statistical significance was determined by 1-way ANOVA, followed by Bonferroni’s post-hoc test (B and C), 2-way, repeated-measures ANOVA, followed by Bonferroni’s post-hoc test (E), or Student’s t test (D and G). All data are expressed as the mean ± SEM.
neuromodulation cannot be explained by canonical TGF-β1 signaling, which requires gene transcription. Further studies are needed to characterize this rapid and unconventional signaling of TGF-β1 in neurons. However, we should not rule out that i.t. BMSCs may attenuate chronic pain via TGF-β1-independent mechanisms.

Another important finding of this study is that of selective targeting of i.t. administered BMSCs to the injured lumbar DRGs after CCI. The long-term survival of BMSCs in DRGs is correlated with sustained pain relief by BMSCs. Intriguingly, the migration of CXCR4-expressing BMSCs to the injured DRGs was triggered by CXCL12 upregulation in these DRGs. Thus, knockdown of Cxcr4 in BMSCs not only blocked the migration of BMSCs to DRGs, but also shortened the duration of BMSC-induced analgesia. This is consistent with a previous report that BMSCs from Cxcr4-KO mice exhibited reduced migration to the brain subjected to permanent middle cerebral artery occlusion and impaired functional recovery (47). It is noteworthy that we observed many BMSCs in lumbar DRGs even 8 weeks after injection of BMSCs into CCI mice. Although these cells exhibited persistent expression of CD90, they

TGF-β1 secretion. First, TGF-β1 levels in CSF dramatically increased after i.t. implantation of BMSCs. There was also a sustained increase in TGF-β1 expression in lumbar DRGs in CCI mice after i.t. BMSC administration. Second, the antiallodynic and antihyperalgesic effects of BMSCs were blocked by TGF-β1, but not IL-10, neutralization. Third, the analgesic effects of BMSCs pretreated with Tgfb1 siRNA were compromised. Fourth, i.t. administration of exogenous TGF-β1 potently inhibited neuropathic pain after CCI. In contrast, IL-10 release from BMSCs was very low and did not contribute to BMSC-induced pain relief. How does TGF-β1 reduce neuropathic pain? As a major antiinflammatory cytokine, TGF-β1 inhibits the activation and proliferation of microglia and astrocytes and reduces the expression of proinflammatory cytokines in neuropathic pain conditions (30, 50). As expected, i.t. BMSCs inhibited CCI-induced neuroinflammation in DRGs and spinal cord (Figure 4). We also identified an unconventional role of TGF-β1 by showing rapid action of TGF-β1 on neurons. It is striking that within minutes, TGF-β1 normalized nerve injury–induced spinal cord synaptic plasticity and DRG neuronal hyperexcitability (Figures 6 and 7). Such fast action of

Figure 9. Long-term survival of CM-Dil–labeled BMSCs in ipsilateral L5 DRGs following i.t. injection in CCI mice. (A) Localization of CM-Dil–labeled BMSCs in ipsilateral L5 DRGs 3–56 days after i.t. injection. Scale bars: 50 μm (top row) and 10 μm (bottom row). Bottom panels are enlarged images of the top panels. Note that BMSCs were mainly localized at DRG borders. (B) Number of CM-Dil–labeled BMSCs in L5 DRGs 3–84 days after i.t. BMSC injection, given 4 days after CCI. n = 4 mice/group. (C) CM-Dil–labeled BMSCs expressed the stem cell marker CD90 in L5 DRGs 28 days after BMSC injection. Bottom panels are high-magnification merged images of the top panels. Scale bars: 50 μm (upper) and 10 μm (lower).
The potential of treating chronic pain with stem cells via spinal implantation has been explored. An early study showed that neuropathic pain limits the application of intraspinal neural stem cell grafts, since the implanted stem cells in the injured spinal cord differentiated into astrocytes to elicit allodynia (52). However, direct spinal implantation of forebrain GABAergic neuroprogenitor cells has been shown to alleviate neuropathic pain by integrating into the spinal cord pain circuit (53). Although this study is promising, were primarily localized at the border of DRGs and spinal cord and did not migrate deep into these tissues; nor were they converted into neurons, satellite cells, or monocytes. These BMSCs were eliminated from DRGs within 3 months, thus posing a low risk of carcinogenesis. Our data also support the notion that the beneficial effects of BMSC transplantation in animal models are more likely due to paracrine actions than to the effective integration and differentiation of BMSCs within the host tissue (7, 28, 51).

Figure 10. Prolonged inhibition of SNI-induced mechanical allodynia by i.t. BMSCs in the early or late phase and its reversal by TGF-β1 Abs. (A and B) Long-term inhibition of mechanical allodynia by early treatment with BMSCs (2.5 × 10⁵ cells) via i.t. injection, given 4 days after SNI. Mechanical allodynia was tested using the paw withdrawal threshold (A) and by the frequency of response (percentage of response) to a single 0.16 gf filament (10 times) (B). *P < 0.05, compared with vehicle (PBS); n = 6 mice/group. (C and D) Sustained inhibition of mechanical allodynia by late treatment with i.t. BMSCs (2.5 × 10⁵ cells), given 21 days after SNI. *P < 0.05, compared with vehicle; n = 6 mice/group. (E) Reversal of BMSC-induced inhibition of mechanical allodynia by i.t. TGF-β1–neutralizing Abs (4 μg), given 3 weeks after SNI. Arrows in A–E indicate the time of injection. *P < 0.05, compared with the IgG control group; n = 5 mice/group. All data are expressed as the mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was determined by 2-way ANOVA, followed by Bonferroni’s post-hoc test.

The potential of treating chronic pain with stem cells via spinal implantation has been explored. An early study showed that neuropathic pain limits the application of intraspinal neural stem cell grafts, since the implanted stem cells in the injured spinal cord differentiated into astrocytes to elicit allodynia (52). However, direct spinal implantation of forebrain GABAergic neuroprogenitor cells has been shown to alleviate neuropathic pain by integrating into the spinal cord pain circuit (53). Although this study is promising,
spinal implantation of stem cells is very invasive and may be more suitable for some patients with spinal cord injury. BMSCs were also injected directly into the lesion site via the intraganglionic or intrajoint route (9, 12) or administered i.v. (9, 10). However, the additional trauma from intramedullary transplantation can further compromise injured tissue and impede clinical translation. BMSCs administered i.v. in different animal models revealed that transplanted BMSCs are mostly confined to the lung (28, 54). Furthermore, BMSCs delivered via the systemic route only survive for a few days (28, 55). As a comparison, there are several advantages of using the i.t. route for BMSC delivery. First, it is minimally invasive compared with direct injection into the injured tissues. Second, the small i.t. space allows for injection of small amounts (10⁶ cells) of TGF-β1 to reach therapeutic concentrations. Third, the i.t. space is protected by the blood-brain/spinal cord barrier; there is only minimal infiltration of immune cells, which could be suppressed by TGF-β1 secreted from BMSCs. Most important, the implanted BMSCs migrate to the injured lumbar DRGs and/or spinal cord tissues through the CXCL12/CXCR4 axis, leading to long-term survival in these tissues and sustained analgesic and neuroprotective effects.

In summary, our findings demonstrate that i.t.-injected BMSCs could produce sustained neuropathic pain relief in mice via TGF-β1 secretion. Our data support the notion that grafted stem cells can serve as “in vivo drug stores” (7) to synthesize and release paracrine factors for therapeutic benefits. Although i.t. infusion of TGF-β1 via a pump could be an option, direct systemic administration of TGF-β1 or its agonist may cause side effects such as diabetes (56). In contrast to the limited success of currently available drugs in treating devastating neuropathic pain, BMSCs offer distinct advantages for the efficacious treatment of chronic pain. These cells can be further engineered to produce and secrete more TGF-β and other neuroprotective and antiinflammatory factors. Enhancing the function of the CXCL12/CXCR4 axis during BMSCs delivery may further improve the analgesic efficacy of the implanted BMSCs.

Methods

Animals and surgery. Adult male CD1 mice (25–32 g) were purchased from Charles River Laboratories and used for behavioral studies and primary cultures of BMSCs. Neuropathic pain was produced by CCI (19) and by SNI (48). To produce the CCI model, adult male CD1 mice (25–32 g) were purchased from Charles River Laboratories and used for behavioral studies and primary cultures of BMSCs. Neuropathic pain was produced by CCI (48). To produce the CCI model, the mice were anesthetized with isoflurane, and a 5.0 mm incision was made, followed by transection and removal of a 3- to 5-mm portion of the sciatic nerve. However, the third peripheral branch of the sciatic nerve, was left intact, and any contact with or stretching of the nerve. As a comparison, there are several advantages for the efficacious treatment of chronic pain. These cells can be further engineered to produce and secrete more TGF-β and other neuroprotective and antiinflammatory factors. Enhancing the function of the CXCL12/CXCR4 axis during BMSCs delivery may further improve the analgesic efficacy of the implanted BMSCs.

IHC. Animals were deeply anesthetized with isoflurane and perfused through the ascending aorta with PBS, followed by 4% paraformaldehyde. After perfusion, spinal cord segments and DRGs were removed and postfixed in the same fixative overnight. Spinal cord and DRG sections were cut in a cryostat and processed for immunofluorescence. The sections were incubated overnight at 4°C with the following primary Abs: mouse GFAP (1:1,000; EMD Millipore); rabbit IBA-1 (1:1,000; Normal Rabbit IgG from Santa Cruz Biotechnology; BioLegend); and normal Rabbit IgG from Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc. For i.t. injection, a spinal cord puncture was made with a 20-gauge needle between L5 and L6 levels to deliver reagents (10 µl) or cells (1 or 2.5 × 10⁶ cells in 10 µl PBS) to the CSF (57). Before injection, BMSCs were washed 3 times with 0.01 M PBS, centrifuged for 5 minutes at 1,000 g, and then resuspended in PBS. In some cases, BMSCs were incubated with Vybrant CM-Dil Cell-Labeling Solution (Molecular Probes, Life Technologies) for 20 minutes at 37°C. Cells were then washed 3 times with PBS and resuspended.

Tgfβ1 siRNA (catalog J-040652-06), Cxcr4 siRNA (catalog J-060184-06), and nontargeting siRNA (catalog D-0018100-01-20) were purchased from Thermo Scientific Dharmacon. siRNA was dissolved in RNase-free water at 1 µg/µl as a stock solution and mixed with the transfection reagent polyethyleneimine (PEI) (Fermentas, Life Technologies) and normal saline before use. Specifically, 1 µg siRNA was dissolved in 3.3 µl PEI and 66 µl normal saline (58).

BMSC cultures and flow cytometric analysis. Primary cultures of BMSCs were obtained from donor mice under aseptic conditions. The mice were sacrificed with isoflurane, and the tibiae and femurs were cut off with scissors. A syringe fitted with a 20-gauge needle was inserted into the shaft of the bone, and BM was flushed out with modified Eagle’s medium. The cells were cultured in 75-cm² flasks or 6-well culture plates. The properties of the expanded cells were assessed by flow cytometry using FITC-conjugated mAbs against CD45 (1:400; eBioscience) and CD90 (1:400; eBioscience) and analyzed using FlowJo software. Additional details are provided in the Supplemental Methods.

ELISA. Mouse TGF-β1, IL-10, and CXCL12/SDF-1α ELISA kits were purchased from R&D Systems. ELISA was performed using BMSC culture medium or cell lysate and CSF and DRG tissue. Cultured cells and DRGs were homogenized in a lysis buffer containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors. CSF was collected from the cisterna magna 4 or 28 days after i.t. injection of BMSCs and diluted at 1:10 with 1× Calibrator Diluent RD5-53 (Quantikine ELISA; R&D Systems). For each assay, 50 µg protein, 50 µl culture medium, or 5 µl CSF was used, and ELISA was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The standard curve was included in each experiment.

HIF. Animals were deeply anesthetized with isoflurane and perfused through the ascending aorta with PBS, followed by 4% paraformaldehyde. After perfusion, spinal cord segments and DRGs were removed and postfixed in the same fixative overnight. Spinal cord and DRG sections were cut in a cryostat and processed for immunofluorescence. The sections were incubated overnight at 4°C with the following primary Abs: mouse GFAP (1:1,000; EMD Millipore); rabbit IBA-1 (1:1,000; Wako Chemicals USA Inc.); rabbit CGRP (1:1,000; Abcam); rabbit ATF-3 (1:1,000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.); mouse NeuN (1:1,000; EMD Millipore); and rat CD90 (1:200; BD Biosciences). Fluorescein-labeled isoelectin B4 (10 µg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich) was used to label IB4-binding cells. In some cases, DAPI (Vector Laboratories) was used to stain cell nuclei. The stained sections were examined under a Nikon fluorescence microscope or a Zeiss confocal microscope. The intensity of fluorescence was analyzed using ImageJ software (NIH). Further details are provided in the Supplemental Methods.

Trafficing of BMSCs to DRGs. To examine the distribution of transplanted CM-Dil-labeled BMSCs following i.t. injection, lumbar spinal cord segments and L1–L6 DRGs were collected for quantita-
tive analysis of engrafted cells in DRGs, 10 sections (12 μm) from each DRG were examined for the labeled BMSCs.

qPCR. Spinal dorsal horn tissues of L4–L5 segments were rapidly isolated. Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Plus Mini kit (Qiagen), and 0.5–1 μg RNA was reverse transcribed. Specific primers, including GAPDH control, were designed using IDT ScienTools Real-Time PCR software (Integrated DNA Technologies Inc.). We performed gene-specific mRNA analyses using the MiniOpticon Real-Time PCR system (BioRad). qPCR amplifications contained the same amount of reverse transcription (RT) product, including 7.5 μl 2× iQ SYBR Green Supermix (BioRad) and 100–300 nM forward and reverse primers in a final volume of 15 μl. The primer sequences were described in our previous study (38). Primer efficiency was obtained from the standard curve and integrated for the calculation of relative gene expression, which was based on the real-time PCR threshold values of different transcripts and groups.

Transwell migration assay. The migratory ability of BMSCs was determined using Transwell plates (6.5 mm in diameter with 8-μm pore filters; Corning Costar). In brief, 5 × 10⁴ cells in 100 μl serum-free medium were added into the upper well, and 600 μl 3.6 mM KCl, 2.5 mM CaCl₂, 1.2 mM MgCl₂, 1.2 mM NaH₂PO₄, 25 mM NaHCO₃, and 1.6 mg/ml collagenase (Sigma-Aldrich) for 30 minutes at 37°C.

Prior to the experiment, the Krebs solution contained 117 mM NaCl, 135 mM potassium gluconate, 5 mM KCl, 0.5 CaCl₂, 2 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM EGTA, 5 mM HEPES, and 5 mM ATP-Mg. Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings in spinal cord slices. As we previously described in our previous study (38), L4–L5 whole-mount DRGs were carefully isolated. Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Plus Mini kit (Qiagen), and 0.5–1 μg RNA was reverse transcribed. Specific primers, including GAPDH control, were designed using IDT ScienTools Real-Time PCR software (Integrated DNA Technologies Inc.). We performed gene-specific mRNA analyses using the MiniOpticon Real-Time PCR system (BioRad). qPCR amplifications contained the same amount of reverse transcription (RT) product, including 7.5 μl 2× iQ SYBR Green Supermix (BioRad) and 100–300 nM forward and reverse primers in a final volume of 15 μl. The primer sequences were described in our previous study (38). Primer efficiency was obtained from the standard curve and integrated for the calculation of relative gene expression, which was based on the real-time PCR threshold values of different transcripts and groups.

Transverse slices (400–600 μm) were cut on a vibratome slicer. The slices were perfused with Krebs solution (8–10 ml/min) that was bubbled with 95% O₂ and 5% CO₂ at room temperature. Whole-cell current-clamp recordings were performed at room temperature (28°C) for the measurement of action potentials with an Axopatch 200B Amplifier (Axon Instruments) (41). The patch pipettes were pulled from borosilicate capillaries (Chase Scientific Glass Inc.). When filled with the pipette solution, the resistance of the pipettes was 4–5 MΩ. The recording chamber (300 μl) was continuously superfused (3–4 ml/min). Series resistance was compensated for (>80%), and leak subtraction was performed. Data were low-pass filtered at 2 KHz and sampled at 10 KHz. pClamp10 (Axon Instruments) software was used for the experiments and analysis.

Behavioral analysis. Animals were habituated to the testing environment for at least 2 days before baseline testing. The room temperature and humidity remained stable for all experiments. All mouse behaviors were tested blindly. To test mechanical sensitivity, we confined the mice in boxes placed on an elevated metal mesh floor and stimulated their hind paws with a series of von Frey hairs with logarithmically increasing stiffness (0.02–2.56 gf; Stoelting), presented perpendicularly to the central plantar surface. We determined the 50% paw withdrawal threshold by Dixon’s up-down method. Mechanical allostery after SNI was also assessed according to the frequency of response (expressed as the percentage of response) to a low-threshold von Frey hair (0.16 gf, 10 times). Thermal sensitivity was tested using a Hargreaves radiant heat apparatus (IITC Life Science), with the basal paw withdrawal latency adjusted to 9 to 12 seconds and a cutoff of 20 seconds to prevent tissue damage. A Randall-Selitto algesiometer (Ugo Basile) was used to examine mechanical sensitivity by applying ascension pressure to a mouse tail, with a cutoff threshold of 250 gf to avoid tissue damage. A rotarod system (IITC Life Science) was used to assess motor function. Mice were tested in 3 trials separated by 10-minute intervals. During the tests, the speed of rotation was accelerated from 2 to 20 rpm over a 3-minute period. The fall latency was recorded and averaged.

To test spontaneous/ongoing pain measurement, we used a single-trial conditioning protocol for CPP (17, 38). All mice underwent a 3-day preconditioning habituation, and animal behavior was video recorded. Analyses of the preconditioning (baseline) behavior showed no preexisting chamber preference. On the conditioning day, mice received the vehicle (saline) control paired with a randomly chosen chamber in the morning, then the appropriate treatment (clonidine, 10 μg, i.t.) paired with the other chamber 4 hours later. Chamber pairings were counterbalanced. On the test day, 20 hours after the afternoon pairing, mice were placed in the CPP box with access to both chambers, and their behavior was recorded for 15 minutes and analyzed for chamber preference by ANY-maze software (Stoelting).

Statistics. All data are expressed as the mean ± SEM. The sample size for each experiment is summarized in Supplemental Table 2 and is also described in the figure legends. Behavioral data were analyzed by 2-way, repeated-measures ANOVA, followed by Bonferroni’s post-hoc test. Differences between 2 groups were compared using the Student’s t test. One-way ANOVA was used for the statistical analyses in other tests. The criterion for statistical significance was a P value of less than 0.05.

Study approval. All the animal procedures performed in this study were approved by the Animal Care Committee of Duke University Medical Center.
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