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Deregulated protein and Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis underlie synaptic dysfunction and neurodegeneration in Huntington disease (HD); however, the factors that disrupt homeostasis are not fully understood. Here, we determined that expression of downstream regulatory element antagonist modulator (DREAM), a multifunctional Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding protein, is reduced in murine in vivo and in vitro HD models and in HD patients. DREAM downregulation was observed early after birth and was associated with endogenous neuroprotection. In the R6/2 mouse HD model, induced DREAM haplodeficiency or blockade of DREAM activity by chronic administration of the drug repaglinide delayed onset of motor dysfunction, reduced striatal atrophy, and prolonged life span. DREAM-related neuroprotection was linked to an interaction between DREAM and the unfolded protein response (UPR) sensor activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6). Repaglinide blocked this interaction and enhanced ATF6 processing and nuclear accumulation of transcriptionally active ATF6, improving prosurvival UPR function in striatal neurons. Together, our results identify a role for DREAM silencing in the activation of ATF6 signaling, which promotes early neuroprotection in HD.
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Deregulated protein and Ca2+ homeostasis underlie synaptic dysfunction and neurodegeneration in Huntington disease (HD); however, the factors that disrupt homeostasis are not fully understood. Here, we determined that expression of downstream regulatory element antagonist modulator (DREAM), a multifunctional Ca2+-binding protein, is reduced in murine in vivo and in vitro HD models and in HD patients. DREAM downregulation was observed early after birth and was associated with endogenous neuroprotection. In the R6/2 mouse HD model, induced DREAM haplodeficiency or blockade of DREAM activity by chronic administration of the drug repaglinide delayed onset of motor dysfunction, reduced striatal atrophy, and prolonged life span. DREAM-related neuroprotection was linked to an interaction between DREAM and the unfolded protein response (UPR) sensor activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6). Repaglinide blocked this interaction and enhanced ATF6 processing and nuclear accumulation of transcriptionally active ATF6, improving prosurvival UPR function in striatal neurons. Together, our results identify a role for DREAM silencing in the activation of ATF6 signaling, which promotes early neuroprotection in HD.

Introduction

Huntington’s disease (HD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder for which there is no cure, caused by the expansion of CAG triplets in the huntingtin (HTT) gene. As in other neurodegenerative diseases of genetic origin, symptoms in HD patients have a late onset. The reasons for this are not fully known, but might include early activation of endogenous neuroprotective mechanisms that are not well characterized (1). Despite the well-defined genetic cause, the cellular mechanisms that lead to disease onset and progression remain poorly understood. Several interconnected mechanisms that lead to altered protein and Ca2+ homeostasis have been proposed (2).

The unfolded protein response (UPR) is an ER process activated by accumulation of incorrectly folded proteins as well as in response to oxidative stress, energy depletion, and disruption of Ca2+ homeostasis (3). The UPR is thus a complex program that coordinates several signaling pathways. ER stress is sensed by 3 ER transmembrane receptors, activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6), inositol-requiring kinase 1 (IRE1), and double-stranded RNA-activated protein kinase-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase (PERK). In its initial phase, activation of the UPR is protective and initiates restoration of protein-folding homeostasis by increasing chaperone expression, reducing the protein translation rate, and increasing degradation of unfolded proteins. Persistent UPR activation leads to programmed cell death by mitochondria-mediated apoptosis or by autophagy (3).

Defective UPR has been implicated in a variety of neurodegenerative and metabolic disorders, including Alzheimer disease, Parkinson disease, HD, and prion diseases as well as diabetes and vascular diseases (4–6). In most cases, these pathologies are associated with chronic activation of PERK, which leads to a sustained increase in phospho-eIF2α levels and the proapoptotic transcription factor CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein (CHOP) homologous protein (7–10). PERK inhibitors are suggested for treatment of these diseases (5, 11, 12). In the case of HD, ATF6 processing is reduced, with no change in phospho-eIF2α, in HD mouse models and in HD patients (13).

ER stress is also induced by disrupted Ca2+ homeostasis, which recapitulates abnormal changes in various cell functions, including deficits in the mitochondrial respiratory chain and severe disruption of transcription regulation (2). Transcriptome analysis of the striatum from distinct HD models and from patients shows reduced expression of genes that encode regulatory proteins important for Ca2+ homeostasis (14, 15). The precise molecular mechanisms that link abnormal Ca2+ homeostasis with HD pathogenesis are nonetheless incompletely understood.

The potassium channel–interacting protein (KChIP) subfamily of neuronal Ca2+-sensors (NCS) includes multifunctional Ca2+-binding proteins with specialized functions through specific
protein-protein interactions and Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent DNA binding (16, 17). Downstream regulatory element antagonist modulator (DREAM), also known as KChIP3 or calsenilin (18, 19), is central to Ca\textsuperscript{2+} homeostasis. As a Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent transcriptional repressor, DREAM is a master regulator of activity-dependent gene expression (20) and controls genes important for Ca\textsuperscript{2+} homeostasis (21, 22). As an auxiliary protein in the plasma membrane, DREAM interacts with and regulates gating of Kv4 potassium channels (23, 24), and interacts with and regulates gating of Kv4 potassium channels (22). As an auxiliary protein in the plasma membrane, DREAM interacts with and regulates gating of Kv4 potassium channels (23). DREAM also interacts with and regulates the activity of the voltage-gated calcium channel (23, 24).

Here, we found that DREAM levels are reduced in mouse HD models shortly after birth and well before the appearance of locomotor dysfunction. The reduction in DREAM protein levels is a neuroprotective response, and chronic administration of repaglinide, a small molecule that binds DREAM in vitro [Kruskal-Wallis (Kruskal-Wallis), followed by Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test or Mann-Whitney U test (**P = 0.0079) when comparing 2 groups (in B, 16 weeks). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01. (C) Western blot analysis of DREAM levels in STThdhQ cells. DREAM-specific band intensity is reduced in heterozygous (Q\textsuperscript{111}) cells compared with WT (Q\textsuperscript{77}) cells and is below the detection limit in homozygous (Q\textsuperscript{111}) cells. Black arrowhead. DREAM immunoreactive band; white arrowhead, α-tubulin loading control. (D) Western blot analysis of DREAM levels in striatal samples from controls (n = 6) and HD patients (n = 6). The corresponding brain bank code number (BCPA) is shown below each lane. The DREAM-specific band (black arrowhead) was scanned, and intensity ratio vs. loading control (nonphosphorylated Erk1/2, white arrowhead) is shown (right). **P = 0.0019, Mann-Whitney U test. Black arrowheads (A–D) indicate the DREAM-specific band (Ab 670); white arrowheads show the loading control (ERK1/2 in A, B, and D; β-actin in C).

**Results**

Endogenous DREAM levels are reduced in murine HD models and in HD patients. To study the functional implications of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} deregulation in HD, we focused on the potential role of DREAM, for which knockout and dominant active mouse models are available (27, 28). We analyzed DREAM levels in (a) R6/2 and R6/1 mice, 2 transgenic models of HD that develop disease symptoms shortly after birth or in adulthood, respectively (29); (b) the striatal STThdhQ\textsuperscript{111/111} cell line derived from knockin mice bearing 111 CAG repeats in the Htr gene (30); and (c) postmortem brain samples from HD patients.

In R6 mice, DREAM expression was greatly reduced in the striatum (Figure 1A and B) and in other brain areas including hippocampus and cortex (Supplemental Figure 1; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI82670DS1). In both animal models, this reduction was observed 8 to 9 weeks after birth, at a time when disease signs began to appear (R6/1). Reduced DREAM levels in the striatum were then maintained through the life span in both mouse models (Figure 1A and B). DREAM protein was also reduced in HD patients (Figure 1C).

In R6 mice, DREAM expression was greatly reduced in the striatum and other brain areas including hippocampus and cortex. DREAM interacts with and regulates the activity of the voltage-gated calcium channel (23, 24). Moreover, repaglinide competed with the DREAM-ATF6 interaction and increased nuclear accumulation of amino terminal ATF6 (Nt-ATF6) and ATF6-dependent transcription in striatal neurons in vivo. Our results suggest that an improved UPR after ATF6 derepression mediates the positive effect of DREAM silencing and repaglinide treatment in HD.
Reduced DREAM expression is a neuroprotective response in murine in vivo and in vitro HD models. To determine the functional significance of the early reduction in DREAM expression in murine HD models, we modified endogenous DREAM levels in R6 mice crossed with DREAM<sup>−/−</sup> or transgenic daDREAM mice and analyzed the resulting phenotypes. Induced DREAM haplodeficiency in R6/2 DREAM<sup>−/−</sup> mice further reduced DREAM levels (Supplemental Figure 2A) and was associated with delayed appearance of motor coordination defects. In the rotarod test, early symptomatic 11-week-old R6/2 mice showed reduced latency to fall, whereas latency to fall in R6/2 DREAM<sup>−/−</sup> mice was comparable to that of WT littermates (Figure 2A). At 16 weeks of age, latency to fall was still longer in R6/2 DREAM<sup>−/−</sup> than in R6/2 mice, although it was lower in both genotypes than in WT controls (Figure 2A). We confirmed improved locomotion in R6/2 DREAM<sup>−/−</sup> mice using the footprint test (Supplemental Figure 2, B and C).

Induced DREAM haplodeficiency in R6/2 DREAM<sup>−/−</sup> mice also led to a significant increase in life span compared with R6/2 mice, whereas DREAM overexpression in R6/1 daDREAM mice had the opposite effect and reduced life span compared with that of parental R6/1 mice (Figure 2B). A normalized gene expression profile in R6/2 DREAM<sup>−/−</sup> striatum (GEO GSE48104; Supplemental Tables 2 and 3) accompanied symptom amelioration in these mice (Supplemental Figure 3). DREAM haplodeficiency nevertheless did not modify progressive loss of body weight or the number of HTT-enriched inclusions in R6/2 striatal neurons (Supplemental Figure 4). These results suggest that early downregulation of DREAM expression in HD is a defense mechanism in R6/2 mice.

DREAM-related neuroprotection was also observed in a chemical model of HD based on administration of the mitochondrial toxin 3-amino propionic acid (3-NPA) (ref. 31 and Supplemental Figure 5). Moreover, we reasoned that if reduced DREAM expression in STHdhQ<sup>111/111</sup> cells is a neuroprotective mechanism, restoration of DREAM levels should sensitize STHdhQ<sup>111/111</sup> cells to stress. We analyzed cell death in response to stress stimuli in STHdhQ<sup>111/111</sup> cells after infection with a DREAM- or GFP-expressing lentivirus. Basal release of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) did not differ between control and DREAM-overexpressing STHdhQ<sup>111/111</sup> cells. Nonetheless, exposure to the mitochondrial toxins hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) (10 μM), rotenone (100 nM), or staurosporine (5 nM) elicited more LDH release in DREAM-infected STHdhQ<sup>111/111</sup> cells than in naive or GFP-infected STHdhQ<sup>111/111</sup> cells (Figure 2C).

As these results strongly suggested that DREAM silencing is part of an early neuroprotective response in HD, we explored DREAM potential as a therapeutic target.

Chronic administration of the DREAM-binding molecule repaglinide delays onset and progression of HD symptoms in R6/2 mice. We hypothesized that small molecules able to bind and inhibit DREAM activity could be candidates for HD treatment. A literature search identified 2 reports of molecules that bind to NCS in vitro (32) or modulate formation of the KChIP-Kv4 potassium channel complex (33). The first
study showed that repaglinide, a drug commonly used to stimulate insulin secretion, binds specifically to NCS and blocks NCS activity (32). In the second study, binding of a diaryl-urea derivative (CL-888) to KChIP1 disrupted KChIP-1 activity on Kv4.3 channel function (33).

Since binding to recombinant DREAM was not evaluated directly in those studies, we used affinity pull-down and surface plasmon resonance experiments to test binding to DREAM. In both experiments, repaglinide or CL-888 binding to glutathione S-transferase–DREAM (GST-DREAM) was compared with binding to GST alone (negative control) and to GST-neurocalcin, an NCS (positive control) (32). In affinity pull-down, both repaglinide and CL-888 bound GST-DREAM and GST-neurocalcin in a Ca2+-dependent manner (Figure 3A). Surface plasmon resonance confirmed these results and showed that repaglinide binds GST-DREAM with higher affinity than does CL-888 (Figure 3B).

Binding of CL-888 or repaglinide to DREAM counteracted the DREAM effect on A-type potassium currents, reducing peak current amplitude and accelerating inactivation kinetics (Figure 3, C and D). The IC50 values for this inhibition were 23.2 ± 13.9 and 15.8 ± 9.5 nM for CL-888 and repaglinide, respectively (Figure 3C). As also reported for CL-888 and KChIP1 (33), neither molecule altered recovery from inactivation kinetics or the voltage dependency of inactivation (Figure 3D) and both molecules blocked A-type current through Kv4.3 channels alone to a lesser extent than in the presence of DREAM (Supplemental Figure 6). Glibenclamide, used as a negative control, had no effect on A-type current amplitude or kinetics (Figure 3D). Repaglinide binding to recombinant DREAM, nonetheless, did not modify DREAM binding to downstream regulatory element (DRE) sites in vitro and did not alter basal expression of DREAM target genes (20) (Supplemental Figure 7); repaglinide binding to DREAM thus affects the protein–protein interaction with Kv4.3 potassium channels, but does not modify other activities of DREAM, i.e., its interaction with DNA.

Both repaglinide and glibenclamide are widely used in medical practice, which prompted us to explore their therapeutic potential in HD. We treated R6/2 mice with repaglinide (2 μg/ml), glibenclamide (2 μg/ml), or vehicle (DMSO, 0.2 μg/ml) in drinking water shortly after weaning and analyzed changes in HD phenotype. Repaglinide-treated R6/2 mice showed improved performance in the rotarod test. Latency was reduced in DMSO-treated R6/2 compared with WT mice at all ages tested, which was significant from 10 weeks of age (Figure 4A), the earliest time point analyzed. In repaglinide-treated R6/2 mice, however, reduction in latency to fall only became significant at 17 weeks of age (Figure 4A). We observed no effect on motor coordination in WT mice that received either DMSO or repaglinide in drinking water. Improved locomotion in treated R6/2 mice was paralleled by a reduction in striatal atrophy, measured as a reduction in striatal volume by NMR analysis (Figure 4B). Repaglinide did not reduce progressive body weight loss (Figure 4C) or the number of HTT-enriched nuclear inclusions in the striatum, which were similar in DMSO- and repaglinide-treated R6/2 mice (Supplemental Figure 8A). In these experiments, correct drug intake was monitored periodically by testing reduced postprandial increase in blood glucose levels after fasting (Supplemental Figure 8B). Chronic glibenclamide administration did not affect HD symptoms in R6/2 mice.
in agreement with previous studies (34) and with its inability to interact with DREAM. These results support DREAM potential as a therapeutic target in HD and identify DREAM-binding molecules as prospective drugs to ameliorate HD symptoms.

To further characterize the repaglinide effect on DREAM, we evaluated repaglinide treatment in STHdhQ111/111 cells sensitized to oxidative stress by DREAM overexpression. Exposure to repaglinide decreased H$_2$O$_2$- or rotenone-induced LDH release from sensitized STHdhQ111/111 cells (Figure 4D). Also, in these experiments, CL-888 (IC$_{50}$ 183 nM) was less potent than repaglinide (IC$_{50}$ 88.8 nM) (Figure 4E). Similar protection by repaglinide (IC$_{50}$ 30.7 nM) was obtained when disruption of mitochondrial membrane potential was used as an index of cell death after H$_2$O$_2$ exposure (Supplemental Figure 9).

Derepression of ATF6 transcription mediates DREAM-related neuroprotection in HD. To define the mechanism of the neuroprotective effect of reduced DREAM function in HD, we focused on the interaction between GST-DREAM and the bZIP-containing transcription factor ATF6 (J.R. Naranjo, unpublished results), identified as a DREAM-interacting protein in nucleic acid-programmable protein arrays (NAPPA) (35). Since DREAM interacts with the bZIP protein cAMP responsive element binding protein (CREB) and this interaction abolishes CREB-dependent transactivation (36), we hypothesized that DREAM downregulation in HD neurons might derepress ATF6 and promote ATF6-dependent transcription as well as UPR-dependent survival.

Coimmunoprecipitation experiments with STHdhQ37/37 cells confirmed interaction between endogenous DREAM and ATF6 (Figure 5A). This interaction was potentiated by Ca$^{2+}$ and was reduced in the presence of repaglinide (Figure 5A). Pull-down assays corroborated the Ca$^{2+}$ effect on the DREAM-ATF6 interaction and showed that Mg$^{2+}$ binding to the second EF-hand in DREAM (37) did not increase the interaction with ATF6 (Figure 5C). These results suggest that the DREAM-ATF6 interaction is involved in repaglinide-mediated neuroprotection.

To study a derepression mechanism, we evaluated the repaglinide effect on ATF6-dependent transcription using a reporter plasmid containing 5 ATF6-binding sites (p5×ATF6-GL3) (38). Induction of ER stress with tunicamycin increased reporter activity in STHdhQ37/37 cells (Figure 5D). Repaglinide exposure slightly increased basal, but markedly increased tunicamycin-induced, luciferase activity (Figure 5E). To link the effect of repaglinide on ATF6 transactivation with DREAM-mediated derepression, we conducted similar reporter assays in HeLa cells, which do not express DREAM. Exposure of HeLa cells to tunicamycin greatly increased luciferase activity, which was unaffected by repaglinide (Figure 5E). DREAM overexpression in HeLa cells reduced basal and tunicamycin-induced luciferase activity, and repaglinide largely counteracted this DREAM effect on reporter...
activity (Figure 5E). To confirm a derepression mechanism, we analyzed directly the repaglinide effect on the expression of ATF6 target genes. Thapsigargin-induced ER stress in STHdhQ7/7 cells increased mRNA levels of the ATF6 downstream targets XBP1, BIP, CHOP, and calreticulin (Figure 5, F and G, and Supplemental Figure 10), as observed in other cells (39–42). STHdhQ7/7 cell exposure to repaglinide had no effect on basal conditions, but significantly increased thapsigargin-induced expression of ATF6 target genes (Figure 5, F and G, and Supplemental Figure 10).

**Repaglinide activates ATF6 processing and redistribution in striatal neurons in R6/1 mice.** Increased ATF6 translocation in the presence of repaglinide might be linked to an increase in Nt-ATF6 processing and/or in DNA binding. Retardation assays nonetheless showed that DREAM-ATF6 interaction does not directly affect ATF6 binding to DNA in vitro (Supplemental Figure 11). Processing of ATF6 to the nuclear form involves protein-protein interactions and the sequential action of 2 proteases (3). We analyzed the effect of repaglinide on ATF6 processing in basal conditions and after tunicamycin stimulation. In whole-cell extracts of STHdhQ7/7 cells, basal Nt-ATF6 levels were low (Figure 6A), as reported for other cells (39, 43, 44). Repaglinide or tunicamycin exposure slightly increased Nt-ATF6 levels in STHdhQ7/7 cells; however, when both compounds were present, we observed a substantial increase in ATF6 processing, with a reduction in full-length ATF6 and a corresponding increase in Nt-ATF6 (Figure 6A). Analysis of nuclear extracts from STHdhQ7/7 cells exposed to repaglinide and tunicamycin showed a 4-fold increase in Nt-ATF6 compared with basal levels in untreated cells (Figure 6B and Supplemental Figure 12).
We sought evidence of an effect in vivo after chronic administration of repaglinide to mice. In immunohistochemistry experiments, ATF6 immunoreactivity in striatal neurons from WT mice appeared as a diffuse staining (Figure 6C). This distribution was similar in WT mice receiving vehicle or repaglinide in the drinking water (Figure 6C). In R6/2 mice, however, ATF6 immunoreactivity appeared as a large and dense intracellular aggregate (Figure 6C), an aberrant distribution first reported in R6/1 striatal neurons (13). Administration of repaglinide to R6/2 mice reduced the size of ATF6 aggregates (Figure 6C) and restored a more diffuse cellular labeling, similar to WT neurons (Figure 6C). Western blot analysis of nuclear extracts from R6/1 mice showed reduced Nt-ATF6 levels in R6/1 compared with WT striatum (Figure 6D and Supplemental Figure 12), as described (13). Administration of repaglinide to R6/1 mice partially reversed the decrease in BiP expression and restored levels of Bip mRNA that were not significantly different from WT values (Figure 6E).

These results indicate that DREAM regulates ATF6 processing and prosurvival UPR activity. Early neuroprotection in HD neurons is associated with a decrease in DREAM levels and can be potentiated by repaglinide administration.

**Discussion**

Most neurodegenerative diseases of genetic origin are slow-developing pathologies that manifest symptoms years after neuronal dysfunction has begun. A plausible reason for this delayed onset is early activation of endogenous neuroprotective mechanisms that temporarily maintain neuron function in a genetically unfavorable environment. We propose that reduction of DREAM activity, which leads to ATF6 derepression and improved prosurvival UPR,
is one of these neuroprotective mechanisms. The contribution of other molecular mechanisms to the neuroprotective action following DREAM downregulation in HD cannot be excluded.

Neurodegenerative diseases are associated with accumulation of intracellular protein aggregates; the importance of defective UPR in these pathologies is increasingly recognized (5, 10, 12). Reduced ATF6 activity is reported in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis type VIII, a disease produced by a single mutation in vesicle-associated membrane protein–associated protein B (VAPB) that increases its ability to interact with ATF6 and block ATF6 transcription (45). Decreased ATF6 processing and nuclear accumulation of the transcriptionally active Nt-ATF6 fragment was also reported in the striatum of R6 mice and of HD patients (13). That study showed a decrease in active ATF6 in young mice well before neurological symptoms appeared, similar to our results for DREAM expression.

The interaction between DREAM and ATF6 further suggests a link between these 2 early molecular events. Reduced DREAM levels derepress ATF6 activity and partially compensate for low ATF6 transcriptional activity in HD neurons. ATF6 target genes participate in the protein refolding and have antiapoptotic activity (3), which supports a neuroprotective mechanism related to the decrease in DREAM protein. Following UPR stimulation, ATF6 forms multiprotein complexes with XBPI and NF-Y to activate transcription of target genes, for instance, to induce components of the ER-associated degradation pathway (ERAD) (41, 46). It is not known whether DREAM regulates Nt-ATF6 interaction with these nucleoproteins and thus modifies ATF6-dependent transcription. Ca\(^{2+}\) enhances DREAM-ATF6 interaction. Internal Ca\(^{2+}\) mobilization, e.g., with thapsigargin, activates ATF6 processing; the Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent interaction with DREAM suggests a feedback mechanism that terminates ATF6 activation in physiological conditions.

A defective UPR is a common feature in diabetes and neurodegenerative diseases. Diabetes is associated with several neurodegenerative diseases, including Parkinson disease, Alzheimer disease, and HD and might contribute to some of the neurological symptoms (47). R6/2 mice reproduced the diabetes phenotype (48), and abnormal glucose use is linked to progressive reduction in insulin release (34). These observations prompted several studies of hypoglycemic drugs for HD treatment. Glibenclamide and rosiglitazone, 2 oral hypoglycemic agents commonly used to treat diabetic patients, were tested in R6/2 mice. After chronic treatment, neither drug improved survival, weight loss, or motor coordination, although glibenclamide had an acute positive effect on glucose levels (34). These results supported previous studies in R6/2 mice, which showed that vaccination against murine HTT improves the diabetic phenotype, with no effect on body weight, progression of motor symptoms, or neuropathology (49). Here, we show that chronic administration of repaglinide, but not glibenclamide, delays onset and progression of disease symptoms in R6/2 mice. The effect of repaglinide administration or induced DREAM haplodeficiency on cognitive impairment in R6/2 mice was not analyzed in this study. Since changes in DREAM activity are associated with modified long-term potentiation (LTP) and long-term depression (LTD) (20, 26), future studies will analyze the potential effect of DREAM-binding molecules in cognition amelioration in R6/1 mice, a mouse model better suited for that purpose. At the molecular level, we show that repaglinide binding to DREAM does not affect its interaction with DNA, but blocks the DREAM-mediated increase in A-type currents, reduces sensitization to oxidative stress, and disrupts the DREAM-ATF6 interaction to enhance ATF6 nuclear accumulation and expression of ATF6 target genes. Our in vivo results support the idea that repaglinide potentiates the UPR under ER stress, i.e., in R6/1 mice, and does not alter ATF6 processing in striatal neurons in WT mice. Identification of DREAM-binding molecules with greater affinity and/or selectivity might improve therapeutic effectiveness in delaying disease onset and ameliorating symptoms in HD patients.

Increased nuclear accumulation of ATF6 and expression of its target genes was described in ob/ob mice after chronic administration of the chemical chaperones 4-phenyl-butyric acid (4-PBA) (8) and taurine-ursodeoxycholic acid (TUDCA) (50). The mechanisms that link protein stabilization by chemical chaperones with ATF6 processing have not been identified; whether these compounds bind to DREAM or interfere with the DREAM/ATF6 interaction is also not known. Future studies will determine whether chemical chaperones modify onset and progression of disease symptoms in mouse HD models.

In conclusion, our results identify early DREAM downregulation in HD, which promotes neuroprotection via derepression of ATF6 signaling and activation of prosurvival UPR activity. We found that pharmacological inhibition of DREAM activity also induces UPR function, which opens a new avenue for therapeutic intervention in HD patients.

Methods

**Mice and in vivo treatment.** R6/2 and R6/1 mice were originally from Jackson Laboratories. Our colonies were maintained by breeding R6 mice with CBA × C57BL/6 mice to obtain heterozygous mutants and WT offspring. Genotype and CAG-repeat length were determined by PCR-based amplification using genomic DNA extracted from tail biopsies. In R6/2 mice, the repeat length in the mutant transgene increased with successive crossings. Only R6/2 mice with fewer than 200 CAG repeats were used in these experiments. Homozygous DREAM knockout mice (27) or transgenic mice expressing dominant active mutant DREAM (21, 26), both in the CBA × C57BL/6 hybrid background, were crossed with R6/2 or R6/1 mice to generate R6/2 DREAM\(^{+/-}\)and R6/1 daDREAM mice, respectively.

Repaglinide (2 µg/ml) or vehicle (DMSO, 0.2 µl/ml) was administered chronically in drinking water shortly after weaning. Four i.p. injections of 3-NPA (60, 60, 80, 80 mg/kg) were given, 1 every 12 hours, and motor skills on the rotarod were monitored 1 hour after each injection. For life span analysis, mice were monitored twice daily from 10 weeks of age until the end of the experiment. Death dates of R6/2 and R6/2 DREAM\(^{+/-}\)mice were recorded; no natural deaths were observed for WT or DREAM\(^{+/-}\)mice in this period.

**Behavioral analysis.** Experiments were performed in adult R6/2 mice and WT littermates. Mice were initially housed 5 per cage in a temperature-controlled (21 ± 1°C) and humidity-controlled (65% ± 10%) room with a 12-hour light/12-hour dark cycle (lights on from 0800 to 2000 hours), with food and water ad libitum. All experiments took place during the light phase. All behavioral experiments were carried out in blind conditions.
The footprint test was used to compare the gait of R6/2 transgenic with that of WT control mice. To obtain footprints, mice hind and forepaws were coated with blue and red nontoxic paints, respectively. Mice were then allowed to walk twice along a 40-cm long, 6-cm wide runway cylinder (with 6-cm high walls) into an enclosed box. A fresh sheet of white paper was placed on the runway floor for each run. Footprint patterns were analyzed using 2-step parameters. Hind and front-base width were each measured as the mean distance between left and right hind footprints and left and right front footprints, respectively. These values were determined by measuring the perpendicular distance of a given step to a line connecting its preceding and posterior steps. For each step parameter, 3 values were measured from each run, excluding footprints made at the beginning and end. The mean value of each set of 3 values was used in subsequent analyses.

The rotarod test was used to measure motor coordination and balance (Accelerating Model, Ugo Basile, Biological Research Apparatus). For basal rotarod performance, mice were tested on 2 consecutive days. On day 1 (training), each mouse was placed on the rotarod at a constant speed (4 rpm) for a maximum of 60 seconds. The procedure was repeated 3 times, with a rest period of 30 minutes between trials. On day 2 (experiment), mice received 1 training trial at constant speed (4 rpm) for a maximum of 60 seconds, followed by 3 test trials with acceleration from 4 to 40 rpm over a period of 60 seconds. The latency to fall off the rotarod within this time period was recorded. Any mice remaining on the apparatus after 60 seconds were removed and their time scored as 60 seconds. Data from the 3 test trials were averaged for each animal and used in statistical analyses.

Nuclear magnetic resonance. Striatal volume was calculated by magnetic resonance imaging. Experiments were performed at the Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Centre (Universidad Complutense, Madrid, Spain) using a BIOSPEC BMT 47/40 (Bruker) operating at 4.7 T and equipped with a 12-cm actively shielded gradient system. Mice were anesthetized with oxygen/isoflurane and placed in prone position inside a cradle. The head was immobilized and placed beneath a 4-cm surface coil. Mice were monitored using a respiration sensor. Global shimmer was assessed, after which 3 gradient-echo scout images in axial, sagittal, and coronal directions were acquired (time to repetition = 3,000 ms, echo time = 86.5 ms, number of averages = 2, field of view = 2.56 × 2.56 × 1.28 cm³, matrix size = 256 × 128 × 32. The reconstructed volume size was 256 × 256 × 32. Total acquisition time was 27 minutes.

Human postmortem brain tissue. Putamen samples from HD patients and age-matched controls were obtained from the Banco de Tejidos de la Fundación CIEN (Madrid, Spain), following the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki. Case information can be found in Supplemental Table 1.

Cells and cell viability. STHdhQ knockin striatal neurons (30) were obtained from J.J. Lucas (Centro de Biología Molecular–CSIC, Madrid, Spain). N2a mouse neuroblastoma, HEK293, CHO, and HeLa cells were from ATCC. All cells were cultured in DMEM (with 10% FBS, penicillin/streptomycin, and Glutamax; all from Invitrogen). STHdhQ knockin striatal neurons were maintained at 32°C. Cells were treated with rapaglinide at indicated concentrations 30 minutes before exposure to the mitochondrial toxins H2O2 (10 μM), rotenone (100 nM), or staurosporine (5 nM). Cell viability was assessed 3 hours after exposure to the toxin by measuring LDH release to medium using the Cytotoxicity Detection kit (Clontech) or by analyzing the disruption of mitochondrial transmembrane potential with the MitoCapture Mitochondrial Apoptosis Detection Fluorimetric Kit (BioVision). Cell cultures were routinely checked for mycoplasma infection.

Immunohistochemistry. WT and R6/1 mice were fixed by transcardial perfusion with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) (pH 7.4) under deep pentobarbital anesthesia. The brains were dissected and post-fixed in PFA overnight, rinsed, and further incubated in 20% sucrose in 0.1 M PBS. Brains were sectioned on a cryo-microtome. For DREAM staining, sections were rinsed 3 times with PBS and incubated (overnight, room temperature) with polyclonal rabbit anti-DREAM (Ab1014, 1:20; ref. 28), followed by Cy3-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:200, Jackson Laboratories) (2 hours, room temperature). Samples were imaged and analyzed with a Nikon Eclipse microscope. For ATF6 staining, sections were incubated with rabbit polyclonal anti-ATF6 (H-280, Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.) and developed with Vectastain Elite ABC Kit (Dako).

Mutant huntingtin immunoreactive inclusions (anti-HTT, MAB-5374, Millipore) were counted in 5-μm cryostat sections of a coronal midstriatal level of mouse brain, and each animal was assessed in a single section. A 0.15-mm² rectangular area (0.5 × 0.3 mm) was defined at 1 striatum and was divided in 2 separate subareas comprising 20 sections each. Positive inclusions in 10 square sections in each subarea were counted. A final average count was obtained by calculating the average counts of both 20-section subareas. Final data are expressed as positive inclusions per mm².

Western blot. STHdhQ cells were lysed in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.5% deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS) supplemented with a protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche); cell pellets were incubated on ice in lysis buffer for 45 minutes, with occasional vortexing. Nuclear extracts from STHdhQ cells and mouse striatal tissue were prepared using NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction Reagents (Pierce). To prepare total striatal extracts, mouse and human striatal tissues were homogenized on ice in RIPA buffer (9806, Cell Signaling Technology) supplemented with 1 mM PMSF. Extracts were cleared by centrifugation (14,000 g, 20 minutes). Samples (20–30 μg) were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblot. PVDF membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with the following specific antibodies: anti-DREAM (Ab730, ref. 51, or 1B1, ref. 36), ATF6α (H-280, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), and as controls, with ERK1/2 (MK12, Millipore), α-tubulin (DM1A, Millipore), PI3K p85α (52), TBP (MAB3658, Millipore), CREB (06-863, Millipore), or lamin A/C (MAB636, ThermoFisher). Secondary antibodies used were HRP-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit or anti-mouse IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch; 1 hour, room temperature), and signals were detected with ECL (SuperSignal West Femto, Thermo Scientific or ECL Select, GE Healthcare). Band intensity was quantified with QuantityOne software (Bio-Rad).

Coimmunoprecipitation. DREAM and ATF6 were coimmunoprecipitated from whole-cell extracts (300 μg) from STHdhQ cells, incubated with 0.5 μg affinity-purified rabbit anti-DREAM Ab1014 (28), with addition of calcium (2 mM) or repaglinide (400 nM) to the whole-cell extract where appropriate. Samples were immunoblotted with rabbit anti-ATF6α (H-280, Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.) and proteins visualized with HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch) followed by ECL (ECL Select). Blots were quantified using Quantity One software (Bio-Rad).
The interaction between DREAM and ATF6 was determined using NAPPA (Protoarray v5.0, Life Technologies) (J.R. Naranjo, data not shown). Arrays were hybridized with recombinant GST-DREAM or GST alone and developed using affinity-purified rabbit anti-DREAM (Ab1014; ref. 28). Arrays were scanned using an Axon GenePix 4100A microarray reader with the GenePixPro 6.0 program and results analyzed with Prospector software (Invitrogen). Z-scores were calculated to assess statistical significance according to the following formula: $z = (x - \mu) / \sigma$ where $x$ is the raw value, $\mu$ the population mean, and $\sigma$ the SD for the population. The $Z$-score for the DREAM-ATF6 interaction was 5.6, above the cut-off value for statistical significance ($Z$-score $\geq 2$).

**Electrophoretic mobility shift analysis.** Band-shift assays with Nt-ATF6 (38) or DREAM (53) were performed as reported for respective recombinant protein.

**Luciferase reporter assays.** STHdhQ7/7 or HeLa cells (4 x 10^4 cells/p 60 mm plate) were transfected 3 hours after seeding using JetPEI (Polyplus transfactions), with 3 µg reporter plasmid pSx-ATF6-GL3 (Addgene) per plate of STHdhQ7/7 cells. For HeLa cells, 0.5 µg reporter plasmid and 2.5 µg empty pcDNA3 or DREAM expression vector were used per plate. At 20 hours after transfection, cells were exposed to repaglinide or vehicle 1 hour before tunicamycin stimulation and harvested 14 hours later. Luciferase reporter assays were as described (21).

**Affinity pull-down assay.** Molecules were coupled to EAH Sepharose (Pierce) resin according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Coupled resin samples and noncoupled control resin were blocked with 5% BSA in 20 mM Tris·HCl, pH 7.5, and washed once with Tris buffer. Equimolar amounts of recombinant GST-DREAM or GST protein in 1 ml binding buffer (20 mM Tris·HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 4 mM CaCl$_2$, or EGTA, Complete Mini EDTA-free protease inhibitors [Roche], 0.1% BSA) were added to 100 µl resin samples and incubated overnight at 4°C on a rotating wheel. Samples were washed 3 times with wash buffer (20 mM Tris·HCl, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 4 mM CaCl$_2$, or EGTA, Complete Mini EDTA-free protease inhibitors, 0.1% BSA) and twice with the same buffer without BSA. Proteins were eluted from the resin with 2x SDS sample buffer. Samples were analyzed by Western blot using anti–GST-HRP (Sigma-Aldrich, A-7340, 1:2000).

**GST–protein pull-down.** Equimolar amounts of GST, full-length GST-DREAM (aa 1-256), and amino terminal GST-DREAM (aa 1-90) recombinant proteins were bound to glutathione Sepharose beads (GEH) in binding buffer (PBS, 5 mM DTT, 1 mM EDTA, Complete Mini EDTA-free protease inhibitors [Roche]) for 2 hours with rotation at 4°C. After extensive washing with binding buffer, the beads were blocked with 5% BSA overnight at 4°C, washed with binding buffer, and reconstituted in pull-down buffer (20 mM Tris·HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 0.1% NP40, 5 mM DTT, Complete Mini EDTA-free protease inhibitors [Roche]) supplemented with 2 mM CaCl$_2$ or MgCl$_2$ or EGTA). In vitro translated (TNT Quick Coupled Transcription/Translation, Promega) Nt-ATF6 (aa 1–376) was added to the beads, as well as repaglinide (400 nm) as indicated, and incubated (1 hour, with rotation at 4°C). After extensive washing with pull-down buffer, bound protein was eluted with 2x SDS sample buffer and Western blot developed with an ATF6 antibody (H-280, Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.).

**Surface plasmon resonance.** The Biacore X100 was used to perform interaction analyses at 20°C. GST-DREAM or GST-neurocalcin were immobilized on a CM5 sensor chip consisting of a carboxymethyl-modified dextran polymer linked to a gold-covered glass support. GST-DREAM and GST-neurocalcin were diluted to 100 µg/ml in acetic acid buffer, pH 4, and immobilized to the sensor chip by amine coupling. The surface was activated by injecting a solution of 0.2 M N-ethyl-N-dimethylaminopropyl-carbodiimide (EDC) and 50 mM N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) for 7 minutes. GST-DREAM or GST-neurocalcin was injected for 7 minutes and the surface was blocked by injecting 1 M ethanolamine at pH 8.5 for 7 minutes. The final immobilization level was 6,900 resonance units (RU) for GST-DREAM and 7,500 RU for GST-neurocalcin. Immobilization was followed by several washes with DMSO running buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 2 mM CaCl$_2$, with 2% DMSO and 0.05% Tween X100) to equilibrate the protein surface. Unmodified dextran was used as a reference surface. Compounds were injected over the reference and protein flow cells for 1 minute at a flow rate of 90 µl/min. Each cycle consisted of a 1-minute waiting period to monitor baseline stability, a 1-minute injection of compound, a 1-minute undisturbed dissociation phase, and a wash of the flow system with a 1:1 mixture of DMSO and running buffer.

**Electrophysiology.** Electrophysiological experiments were performed in CHO cells transiently transfected with cDNA encoding Kv4.3 or Kv4.3 plus DREAM (KChIP3) and reporter plasmid CD8. Cells were cultured at 37°C in Iscove’s medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% L-glutamine, and 1% penicillin-streptomycin in 5% CO$_2$. Transient transfection was performed with Fugene 6 (Roche). Before experimental use, cells were incubated with polystyrene microbeads precoated with anti–CD8 antibody (Dynabeads M450; Dynal).

Potassium currents were recorded at room temperature (20°C–22°C) using the whole-cell patch-clamp technique with an Axopatch 200B patch-clamp amplifier ( Molecular Devices). Currents were filtered at 2 kHz (4-pole Bessel filter) and sampled at 4 kHz. Micropipettes were pulled from borosilicate glass capillary tubes (Narishige GD-1) on a programmable horizontal puller (Sutter Instrument Co.) and heat polished with a microforge (Narishige). Micropipette resistance was 1.8-3 MΩ. Capacitance and series resistance compensation were optimized, with 80% compensation of the effective access resistance usually obtained. The intracellular pipette-filling solution contained 80 mM K-aspartate, 42 mM KCl, 3 mM phosphocreatine, and 10 mM glucose and was adjusted to pH 7.25 with KOH. The bath solution contained 136 mM NaCl, 4 mM KCl, 1.8 mM CaCl$_2$, 1 mM MgCl$_2$, 10 mM HEPES-Na$_2$, and 10 mM glucose and was adjusted to pH 7.40 with NaOH.

**Real-time qPCR.** RNA was isolated from tissues or cell suspensions using TRIzol, treated with DNase (Ambion), and reverse transcribed using hexamer primer and Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase. To confirm the absence of genomic DNA, each sample was processed in parallel without reverse transcriptase. Real-time qPCR for endogenous DREAM was performed as described (28). Assays from Applied Biosystems were used for the DREAM target genes c-fos (Mm00487425_m1), Junb (Mm00492781_m1), and Mef2c (Mm0122839_m1) and for the ATF6 target genes calreticulin (Mm00482936_m1), Xbp1 (Mm00457359_m1), and Chop (Mm0492097_m1). For Bip, the primers 5′-ACTTGGAATGACCCCTTCGGTG-3′ and 5′-TGCTGTGTCTGTGGGCATC-3′ as well as SYBR technology were used. ATF6 target genes were quantified by the normalized expression method using Hprt as a reference with primers 5′-TGGATACAGCCACATTGTTT-3′ and 5′-CTGAAATCTACATTATAGCTCAAGGGCATA-3′ and the MGB probe 5′-TTGAAAAATCAGACAAGTATT-3′.
Gene-expression microarray. Whole striatum RNA from WT and transgenic mice was prepared using TRIzol (Invitrogen) and the RNeasy Mini Kit (QiAGEN). RNA was quantified and quality was controlled with a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). cDNA was synthesized from 4 μg total RNA using 1-cycle target labeling and control reagents (Affymetrix) to produce biotin-labeled cRNA. The cRNA preparation (15 μg) was fragmented (94°C, 35 minutes) into 35–200 bases in length. Labeled cRNAs were hybridized to Affymetrix oligo-nucleotide arrays (GeneChip Mouse Genome 430 2.0 Array). Each sample was added to a hybridization solution containing 100 mM 2-(N-morpholino) ethanesulfonic acid, 1 M Na+, and 20 mM EDTA with 0.01% Tween-20 to a final cRNA concentration of 0.05 μg/ml and hybridized (16 hours, 45°C). Each microarray was washed, stained with streptavidin-phycocerythrin in a Fluidics Station 450 (Affymetrix), and scanned at 1.56 μm resolution in a GeneChip Scanner 3000 7G System (Affymetrix).

Microarray data analysis. Four biological replicates were hybridized independently for each transcriptomic comparison. GeneChip intensities were background corrected, normalized, and summarized by the RMA method (54) using the Affy package (55) from Bioconductor. After normalization, GeneChip probeSetIDs sharing the same gene symbol and gene title, according to the annotations provided by Affymetrix, were merged and their expression values were averaged. For each comparison, a moderated t test was applied to identify differentially expressed genes as implemented in the Limma package (56) from Bioconductor. Raw P values were adjusted using the false discovery rate (FDR) method (57). Genes with a FDR of less than 0.005 were included in the list of induced (fold change > 0) or repressed (fold change < 0) candidates. The FIESTA viewer was used to select these numerical filters and the candidate genes in each comparison (http://bioinfogg.cnbc.csic.es/tools/FIESTA/index.php). The Venny tool was used to create Venn diagrams with all combinations of up- and down-regulated genes in the 2 comparisons, R6/2 DREAMM versus WT and R6/2 versus WT (http://bioinfogg.cnbc.csic.es/tools/venny/index.html). GeneCodis (58) was used for functional enrichment analysis of relevant genes, where Molecular Function terms of the generic GO slim set were considered (Mundodi and Ireland; http://www.geneontology.org/GO.slims.shtml).

Statistics. All data values are shown as mean ± SEM. Differences were considered significant at P < 0.05. Comparisons between groups were analyzed with 1- or 2-way ANOVA when appropriate, followed by multiple comparisons using the indicated post tests. In the case of small sample size or non-Gaussian distribution, nonparametric tests were used. Two-group comparisons were performed with unpaired 2-tailed Student’s t test. Animal experiments were randomized. Sample size was not predetermined by statistical method. Prism GraphPad Software 6.0 was used to plot graphs and for statistical analysis.

Study approval. Behavioral tests and animal care were conducted in accordance with standard ethical guidelines (European Communities Directive 86/609 EEC; NIH 1995) and approved by the CNB-CSIC ethical committee.
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