Colon tumors arise in a stepwise fashion from either discrete genetic perturbations or epigenetic dysregulation. To uncover the key epigenetic regulators that drive colon cancer growth, we used a CRISPR loss-of-function screen and identified a number of essential genes, including the bromodomain and extraterminal (BET) protein BRD4. We found that BRD4 is critical for colon cancer proliferation, and its knockdown led to differentiation effects in vivo. JQ1, a BET inhibitor, preferentially reduced growth in a subset of epigenetically dysregulated colon cancers characterized by the CpG island methylator phenotype (CIMP). Integrated transcriptomic and genomic analyses defined a distinct superenhancer in CIMP+ colon cancers that regulates $cMYC$ transcription. We found that the long noncoding RNA colon cancer–associated transcript 1 (CCAT1) is transcribed from this superenhancer and is exquisitely sensitive to BET inhibition. Concordantly, $cMYC$ transcription and cell growth were tightly correlated with the presence of CCAT1 RNA in a variety of tumor types. Taken together, we propose that CCAT1 is a clinically tractable biomarker for identifying patients who are likely to benefit from BET inhibitors.
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Colon tumors arise in a stepwise fashion from either discrete genetic perturbations or epigenetic dysregulation. To uncover the key epigenetic regulators that drive colon cancer growth, we used a CRISPR loss-of-function screen and identified a number of essential genes, including the bromodomain and extraterminal (BET) protein BRD4. We found that BRD4 is critical for colon cancer proliferation, and its knockdown led to differentiation effects in vivo. JQ1, a BET inhibitor, preferentially reduced growth in a subset of epigenetically dysregulated colon cancers characterized by the CpG island methylator phenotype (CIMP). Integrated transcriptomic and genomic analyses defined a distinct superenhancer in CIMP+ colon cancers that regulates cMYC transcription. We found that the long noncoding RNA colon cancer–associated transcript 1 (CCAT1) is transcribed from this superenhancer and is exquisitely sensitive to BET inhibition. Concordantly, cMYC transcription and cell growth were tightly correlated with the presence of CCAT1 RNA in a variety of tumor types. Taken together, we propose that CCAT1 is a clinically tractable biomarker for identifying patients who are likely to benefit from BET inhibitors.

Introduction

Colorectal carcinoma (CRC) is one of the most prevalent and fatal types of cancers, accounting for over half a million deaths worldwide annually (1). Genomic analyses of colorectal tumors have uncovered a number of key somatic and germline mutations that drive tumorigenesis at the molecular level and can be linked to well-defined disease stages of tumor progression (2–4). Colorectal tumors can be divided into three main subtypes on the basis of these initiating molecular alterations: (a) chromosomal instability (CIN), (b) CpG island methylator phenotype (CIMP), and (c) microsatellite instability (MSI) (5–7). Sixty percent of colon cancers arise from the CIN pathway and are distinguished by aneuploidy and recurrent chromosomal amplifications at distinct genomic loci. A number of tumor-suppressor genes (APC, 5q21; DCC, 18q21) and oncogenes (cMYC, 8q24; MET, 7q; CDK8, CDX2, 13q; PRPF6, 20q) have been shown to reside in these regions of copy number alterations (8–13).

Recent genomic analyses have uncovered epigenetic alterations as major drivers of tumorigenesis (14, 15). In colon cancer, dysregulation of the epigenome has been recognized to occur at both the histone and DNA methylation levels (16, 17). The Wnt effector protein β-catenin associates with a number of histone-modifying enzymes such as the histone acetyltransferases CBP and p300 and the arginine methyltransferase PRMT2 (18, 19). In addition, Wnt pathway genes themselves are repressed via hypermethylation, further implying that Wnt signaling may be disrupted through epigenetic targeting at different levels (20–22). Aberrant DNA hypermethylation has long been recognized as an important etiological cause of tumorigenesis (23, 24). In colon tumors, methylation of the MMR gene MLH1 has been found as an alternative pathway for the formation of MSI-high colon cancer (25). Widespread CpG island hypermethylation underscores a distinct pathway in colon cancer pathogenesis termed CIMP (7). Tumors arising through the CIMP pathway comprise 20% of colorectal cancers and are characterized by poor patient outcomes. Significant attention has been paid to the role of DNA hypermethylation in epigenetically mediated gene silencing and its significance in colon cancer initiation (26, 27). However, it is not clear whether these epigenetic targets can be harnessed for therapeutic purposes.

With recent findings in epigenetics research, it is now clear that DNA methylation and histone modification are reversible processes that can be targeted for therapeutic intervention using small-molecule inhibitors of the epigenetic writers (methyltransferases, acetyltransferases, kinases), readers (bromodomain- or chromo-domain-containing genes), and erasers (demethylases, deacetylases, phosphatases) (28–31). For example, the histone acetyl-lysine reader BRD4 can be targeted for inhibition using drugs that disrupt bromodomain binding to acetylated histones (32, 33). Such drugs are showing promising responses in clinical trials, underscoring the need for additional efforts to identify and characterize epigenetic regulators that may be therapeutically tractable (33).

In this study, we developed an arrayed epigenetic CRISPR library and performed a high-throughput screen to identify epigenetic modulators in colon cancer (34–36). We identified a number of essential epigenetic regulators including BRD4. We show that BRD4 inhibition leads to growth arrest and differentiation in the epigenetically dysregulated CIMP+ class of tumors. CIMP+ colon cancers were found to be exquisitely dependent on bromodomain and extraterminal (BET) activity for cMYC transcription. An integrated transcriptomic and ChIP-sequencing (ChIP-seq)
analysis identified colon cancer–associated transcript 1 (CCAT1, also known as LOC100507056) as a distinct long noncoding RNA (lncRNA) transcribed off the cMYC superenhancer in colon cancer. Strikingly, we found that CCAT1 expression predicted Q1 sensitivity and BET-mediated cMYC regulation. These results suggest a novel diagnostic methodology to identify cMYC-driven tumors that rely on BET for transcription, which could be translated into a promising strategy for patient selection in clinical trials.

Results
An arrayed CRISPR loss-of-function screen identifies BRD4 as a critical regulator of colon cancer growth. To identify epigenetic regulators critical for colon cancer growth, we performed a CRISPR-based loss-of-function screen in the near-diploid RKO colon cancer cell line. Introduction of the Cas9 nuclease and guide RNAs (gRNAs) was performed in a 2-step process (Figure 1A). We used lentivirus to generate RKO cells that stably expressed Cas9 and subsequently transduced cells with relevant gRNAs. To examine the specificity and validate this CRISPR system, RKO (WT) and RKO-Cas9 cells were infected with gRNAs targeting the firefly luciferase gene and the mitotic kinase PLK1. Overall, five independent gRNAs targeting PLK1 consistently reduced cell viability in the Cas9-expressing cells but did not hinder the growth of cells lacking Cas9 (Figure 1B). Notably, 3 nontargeting luciferase gRNAs did not impact cell proliferation.

Given the observed proficiency of gene KOs, a gRNA library targeting the 5’ exons of over 200 genes involved in epigenetic regulation (Epi200 library) was designed with a median coverage of 5 gRNAs per gene (Supplemental Table 1; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI83265DS1). High-throughput cloning and viral production were used to construct an arrayed lentiviral library containing over 1,000 gRNAs. The library was subsequently transduced into RKO-Cas9 cells, infected cells were selected with puromycin 48 hours after infection, and cell viability was measured 7 days after transduction (Figure 1, C and D). Our analysis of the CRISPR screen identified 12 genes that affected colon cancer proliferation using the criteria that at least 1 gRNA must have a Z-score of less than −2.0, and a second gRNA must have a Z-score of less than −1.5 for any given gene (Figure 1D and Supplemental Table 2). Among these genes are known cell-cycle regulators such as PLK1, cMYC, AURKB, and HDAC1 (37).

In order to validate genetic hits from the screen, we correlated the phenotypic effects with genotypic activity for each set of gRNAs. Robust gRNA-mediated protein depletion was detected for BRD4, KAT8, CHD1, HDAC1, and AURKB by both immunoblot and immunofluorescence microscopy and positively correlated with the observed cell growth effects (Figure 1, E and F, and Supplemental Figure 1, A–D). Strikingly, more than half of all gRNAs tested by immunoblotting (n = 39, from 8 genes) were able to reduce the targeted protein by more than 95% (Supplemental Figure 1E). As previous CRISPR screens have focused on pool-based approaches, these data illustrate the utility of the CRISPR system in an array-based format to provide efficient protein KO and consistent phenotypic effects (38–40).

The long isoform of BRD4 is critical for colon cancer cell proliferation. Among the novel candidate therapeutic targets that we identified, BRD4 was particularly attractive to pursue, as BRD4 small-molecule inhibitors have entered clinical trials for several hematological malignancies (41). As investigations of BRD4 in colon tumors have been limited (42, 43), we further examined BRD4 activity in colon cancer. Two alternatively spliced BRD4 transcript variants have been described: a long-isoform BRD4 (BRD4-LF) and a short-isoform BRD4 (BRD4-SF) (44, 45). We first characterized BRD4 expression by IHC in colon tissues (normal, premalignant, and carcinoma) using Abs that recognized both BRD4 isoforms or specifically the BRD4-LF variant. We found that overall BRD4 levels remained unchanged at different stages of colonic tumorigenesis, while the BRD4-LF isoform was specifically upregulated during the premalignant-to-malignant transition (adenoma to carcinoma; Figure 2, A and B). Concomitantly, BRD4-LF was consistently expressed in colonic cancer cell lines compared with BRD4-SF expression (Figure 2C). While both BRD4 isoforms encode bromodomains, BRD4-LF has been more strongly implicated in transcriptional regulation due to its C-terminal positive transcription elongation factor b–binding (p-TEFb–binding) motif (46, 47).

To further dissect BRD4 function in colon cancer, we used CRISPR to induce N-terminal deletions of BRD4 in RKO and HCT 116 colon cancer cells (Figure 2D). Clonally derived cell lines nullizygous for BRD4 displayed substantial growth retardation in both cancer cell lines (Figure 2, E and F). Consistent with previous findings (48), BRD4-null cells were marked by cell-cycle defects consistent with a G1/S-phase delay (Figure 2G). Since our initial findings showed that isoform-specific BRD4 expression was dysregulated during colon tumorigenesis (Figure 2, A and B), we reconstituted isoform-specific BRD4 expression by delivery of BRD4-LF or BRD4-SF splice variants to BRD4-null colon cancer cells. While BRD4-SF was unable to restore growth, expression of BRD4-LF rescued the growth defect of BRD4-KO cells to WT levels (Figure 2, H and I, and Supplemental Figure 2A). BRD4 constructs containing bromodomain-inactivating mutations failed to rescue the growth defect. To directly test the importance of the p-TEFb–binding C-terminal motif (CTM) of BRD4-LF, we used CRISPR to ablate the BRD4-LF isoform in colon cancer cells. Analysis of 4 independent BRD4-LF–specific nullizygous HCT 116 cell lines showed reduced cell proliferation (Figure 2, J and K). These data underscore an important role for the BRD4-LF in colon cancer cell proliferation.

BRD4 loss reduces colon tumor growth and induces cellular differentiation in vivo. BRD4 and, in particular, the BRD4-LF variant have been implicated in maintaining embryonic pluripotency. Likewise, in cancer, BRD4 inhibition has been shown to induce differentiation effects in hematological malignancies (49, 50). To characterize the effect of acute BRD4 knockdown on colon tumor growth in vivo, we used a doxycycline-inducible shRNA system to reduce BRD4 in implanted tumors (51). Efficient BRD4 knockdown and the consequent in vitro growth defects were found with 2 independent BRD4 shRNAs in HT-29 and HCT 116 cell lines (Supplemental Figure 2, B and C). Colon cancer cell lines expressing the more potent shBRD4-2 shRNA were then used for in vivo tumor xenograft efficacy studies. To measure the effects on tumor growth rather than initiation, mice were administered doxycycline only after tumors reached 200 mm3 in size. shBRD4-2 tumors treated...
cycline-induced BRD4 knockdown (Figure 3, C and D). Consistent with a cell-cycle defect, tumors with reduced BRD4 levels showed decreased p-H3 ($P = 0.0065$), with no significant change in cleaved caspase 3 (Figure 3, C and D). We also observed a marked decrease in cMYC ($P < 0.0001$), a direct BRD4 transcriptional target in other cancers (Figure 3E). Histopathological analysis of HT-29 with doxycycline showed efficient BRD4 depletion in vivo and, importantly, displayed significant tumor regression in both colon tumor xenograft models (Figure 3, A and B, and Supplemental Figure 2D). To characterize the nature of the defect, we performed IHC for cell-cycle (phosphorylated histone H3 [p-H3]) and apoptosis (cleaved caspase 3) markers on tumor xenografts 7 days after doxycycline-induced BRD4 knockdown (Figure 3, C and D). Consistent with a cell-cycle defect, tumors with reduced BRD4 levels showed decreased p-H3 ($P = 0.0065$), with no significant change in cleaved caspase 3 (Figure 3, C and D). We also observed a marked decrease in cMYC ($P < 0.0001$), a direct BRD4 transcriptional target in other cancers (Figure 3E). Histopathological analysis of HT-29

Figure 1. An arrayed CRISPR screen identifies BRD4 as a regulator of colon cancer. (A) Schematic diagram of lentiviral expression vectors used to express Cas9 and gRNA. (B) Cell viability was measured in parental RKO or RKO-Cas9 stably expressing cells 7 days after transduction with gRNAs targeting luciferase or PLK1. Data represent the mean ± SD of 3 replicates. (C) Schematic of the CRISPR negative-selection screen conducted in RKO-Cas9 cells using an arrayed gRNA library designed and synthesized to target 211 genes involved in epigenetic regulation and cancer (Epi200). Distribution curve shows Z-scores for cell viability for all gRNAs in the Epi200 CRISPR library. Dashed lines (Z-scores, –1.5 and –2.0) indicate the cutoffs used for determining whether a gRNA scored as a hit. (D) Scattergram depicts Z-score values for each gRNA of the genes that scored as hits. Bars represent individual gRNAs. gRNA NTCs are shown for reference. (E) Bar graph shows cell viability effects after BRD4 KO using 5 independent gRNAs. Data represent the mean ± SD of 3 replicates. Accompanying immunoblots show the level of BRD4 depletion 4 days after transduction. (F) Validation of gRNA-mediated BRD4 KO using immunofluorescence. Two independent gRNAs are shown. Scale bar: 100 μm.
Figure 2. BRD4-LF is essential for colon cancer growth. (A and B) BRD4 IHC of normal colon (NL), colonic adenoma, and colonic carcinoma using either a pan-isoform BRD4 (A) or a BRD4-LF–specific (B) Ab. Photomicrographs illustrate target specificity using WT or BRD4-KO RKO cells. Data represent the mean ± 5D. Statistical comparisons in A and B were made using a 2-tailed Student’s t test. Scale bars: 10 μm (A and B). (C) Immunoblot analysis of isoform-specific BRD4 expression in colon cancer cell lines. (D) Generation of clonal BRD4-KO RKO and HCT 116 cells. Schematic illustrates the location of the gRNAs. Immunoblot analysis of multiple BRD4-KO clones is shown. (E and F) IncuCyte analysis was used to quantify cell proliferation of RKO (E) and HCT 116 (F) BRD4-KO cells. Blue line represents parental cells; gray lines represent an individual clone’s growth; and red line represents average clonal growth. Error bars represent the SEM. (G) FACS-based cell-cycle analysis of RKO and HCT 116 parental and BRD4-KO cells. (H and I) Expression of the BRD4-LF–rescued (LF WT) cell proliferation defects in HCT 116 BRD4-KO cells. Expression of LacZ or a BRD4-LF containing inactivating mutations in both bromodomains (LF mut) failed to rescue the phenotype. (H) Immunoblot of stably expressing cell lines in I. (J and K) Generation of clonal HCT 116 cells that lacked the C-terminal domain of BRD4. (J) Schematic illustrates the location of the gRNA. Immunoblot highlights 4 resulting BRD4 clones with deleted C-termini. BRD4-LF truncated products are highlighted by a double asterisk. (K) IncuCyte analysis was used to quantify cell proliferation of HCT 116 cells containing BRD4 C-terminal deletions. Blue line represents parental cells; gray lines represent an individual clone’s growth; and red line represents average clonal growth. Error bars represent the SEM.
and cMYC, with higher expression seen at the pseudo-crypt base). Together, these data show that BRD4 is required for tumor growth and maintenance of a dedifferentiated state in vivo.

BET inhibitors preferentially impair CIMP+ colon cancer growth. To explore the utility of BET inhibition more broadly in colon cancer, we tested the effects of JQ1, a BET small-molecule inhibitor, in a panel of 20 colon cancer cell lines with similar proliferation rates (20–40 hours) (32). We found that a subset of colon cell lines was xenograft tumors revealed striking morphological alterations upon BRD4 knockdown. These include the formation of crypt-like structures with goblet cell differentiation and loss of cancer-associated cytological features such as prominent nucleoli and pleomorphic nuclei (Figure 3F; H&E-stained inset images). Immunophenotypic analysis showed that these pseudo-crypts mimic the ordered structure of intestinal epithelium, expressing proliferative markers such as Ki-67 and cMYC in a graded manner (Figure 3F; Ki-67 and cMYC, with higher expression seen at the pseudo-crypt base). Together, these data show that BRD4 is required for tumor growth and maintenance of a dedifferentiated state in vivo.

**Figure 3. BRD4 knockdown in colon xenograft reduces tumor growth and induces differentiation.** (A) Quantification of BRD4 depletion in xenograft tumors 7 days after doxycycline administration. (B) Line graphs show tumor volumes from xenografted HCT 116 and HT-29 cells measured over time (n = 10 mice/group). shRNA expression was induced with doxycycline. **P < 0.05, by 2-tailed Student’s t test. (C) Quantification of apoptosis using cleaved caspase 3 IHC following BRD4 knockdown in HT-29 xenograft tumors. (D) Quantification of mitotic index using p-H3 IHC following BRD4 knockdown in HT-29 xenograft tumors. (E) Quantification of cMYC by IHC following BRD4 knockdown in HT-29 xenograft tumors. (F) Histological analysis of HT-29 tumors following shNTC or shBRD4 induction. H&E, Alcian blue, Ki-67, and cMYC staining are shown. Scale bars: 50 μm and 10 μm (insets). All data represent the mean ± SEM. DOX, doxycycline.
distinctly sensitive to JQ1 (Figure 4A and Supplemental Table 3). To investigate the molecular underpinnings of BET inhibitor sensitivity in these cells, we surveyed genomic features that are predictive of JQ1 sensitivity on the basis of the Lasso shrinkage and selection method for linear regression (Figure 4B, Supplemental Figure 3A, and ref. 52). Using copy number, mutation, DNA methylation, and expression data as well as other classifications such as MSI and CIMP status, we generated 88,723 features for each cell line. The Lasso approach selected CIMP status as the most predictive feature to classify lines as sensitive or resistant (Supplemental Figure 4). BET pharmacological inhibition preferentially affects the growth of CIMP+ colon cancers by downregulating cMYC expression. (A) Relative EC$_{50}$ values in colon cancer cells following JQ1 treatment for 3 days. (B) Schematic illustrating the genomic tools and features used for predicting colon cancer sensitivity to BET inhibition. (C) Relative JQ1 EC$_{50}$ values in colon cancer cells sorted by CIMP status. Bars represent the mean, error bars represent the SEM, and each dot represents a single cell line. (D) Schematic of the RNA-seq and ChIP-seq experiments and analysis pipeline. (E) GSEA analysis of JQ1-regulated genes revealed an enrichment of cMYC targets in CIMP+ cell lines. Heatmap of top-20 genes differentially regulated by JQ1 in CIMP+ versus CIMP- cell lines. log$_2$ fold-change values are represented as colors, where the range of colors shows the range of values (red, highest value; blue, lowest value). (F) Colon cancer cell lines were treated for 6 or 24 hours with DMSO or 1 μM JQ1, and cMYC levels were subsequently examined by immunoblotting. (G) Comparison of cMYC protein depletion in CIMP+ and CIMP- colon cell lines following treatment with 1 μM JQ1 for 24 hours. Bars represent the average, error bars represent the SD, and each dot represents an individual cell line. Statistical comparisons were made using a 2-tailed Student’s t test.
Supplementary Figure 3B and ref. 53). Strikingly, the 6 most sensitive cell lines were all CIMP⁺, while the 6 most resistant cell lines were all CIMP⁻ (Figure 4, A and C). DNA hypermethylation at promoter CpG islands of POU3F2, FAM65B, and GABBR2 was highly correlated with JQ1 sensitivity (Supplemental Figure 3C), and each gene was selected as a predictive feature. Consistent with this finding, repression of ZNF606, a known CpG hypermethylated gene (54), was also a predictive feature and exhibited promoter methylation (Supplemental Figure 3D). We confirmed these findings using I-BET-762, an additional BET inhibitor with clinical activity (55). Similar to JQ1, I-BET-762 showed greater activity in CIMP⁺ cell lines (Supplemental Figure 4, A and B). The JQ1 and I-BET-762 half-maximal effective concentration (EC₅₀) values correlated well with each other, implying that these effects are on target (Supplemental Figure 4C). These data suggest that CIMP⁺ colon cancers are more dependent on BET activity.

To identify direct BET-dependent target genes that may account for the increased sensitivity seen in CIMP⁺ colon cancer cells, we profiled 4 CIMP⁺ and 2 CIMP⁻ cells for both JQ1-dependent gene expression changes and BRD4 and histone H3 lysine 27 acetyl (H3K27ac) genomic enrichment using RNA-seq and ChIP-seq, respectively (Figure 4D, Supplemental Figure 5, and Supplemental Tables 4 and 5). Strikingly, gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) comparing JQ1 transcriptomic changes across all 6 cell lines revealed significant enrichment of cMYC pathway gene signatures in the CIMP⁺ cells (FDR < 0.0001) (Figure 4E and Supplemental Figure 6). A number of important colon cancer–associated oncogenic regulators, including EREG and CLDN2, showed CIMP status–specific JQ1 changes in expression levels (Figure 4E).

As cMYC plays a critical role in colon cancer initiation and progression (56, 57), we examined cMYC protein levels by immunoblot analysis after BET inhibition in colon cancer cell lines. We found that cMYC protein was dramatically reduced in CIMP⁺ cell lines 24 hours after JQ1 treatment compared with levels in CIMP⁻ colon cancer cells (Figure 4, F and G). Furthermore, we found that the kinetics of cMYC reduction also occurred earlier in the CIMP⁻ cell lines, with the majority of cMYC depleted as early as 6 hours after JQ1 treatment (Supplemental Figure 7, A-C). To determine whether cMYC is a key mediator of BET activity in these cells, we used lentivirus to deliver exogenous cMYC in HCT 116 BRD4-null cells. We found that restoration of cMYC expression in a BRD4-deficient setting led to partial rescue of cell growth (Supplemental Figure 7D). We conclude that CIMP⁺ cells are sensitive to loss of cMYC in a BET-dependent manner.

CCAT1 is a BET target gene and marks the colon cMYC superenhancer. Previous studies have shown that BRD4 preferentially binds at densely occupied enhancer elements termed superenhancers (58), which in some contexts can ramp up the expression of oncogenic factors in a tumor type–specific and lineage–dependent manner (58–60). To identify direct BRD4 targets, we integrated the transcriptomic (RNA-seq) and genomic (ChIP-seq) analyses to identify genes that were both downregulated after JQ1 treatment and marked by an adjacent superenhancer. This integrative approach was conducted for CIMP⁺ and CIMP⁻ cell lines independently. Three direct BET targets, PHF15, TRIB3, and CCAT1 (Figure 5A), were identified by this analysis in the CIMP⁺ cells. Of these 3 genes, only TRIB3 and CCAT1 were specific to the CIMP⁺ colon cells (Figure 5A). Notably, the CCAT1 transcript was one of the most highly downregulated genes in CIMP⁺ cells upon JQ1 treatment (Supplemental Table 4), and its genomic locus ranked as one of the most BRD4-enriched enhancers (i.e., “superenhancer”) in CIMP⁺ colon cancer cell lines (Figure 5B). The CCAT1 transcript is located within a demarcated superenhancer, 500 kb upstream of the cMYC promoter. Importantly, both BRD4 binding and H3K27ac levels were enriched at the CCAT1-associated superenhancer in CIMP⁺ colon cancer cell lines compared with CIMP⁻ cell lines, suggesting that its activation may be context specific (Figure 5C and Supplemental Figure 8).

CCAT1 is a lncRNA that is expressed in colon, gastric, and gallbladder cancers (61–63). While CCAT1 has been reported to regulate cMYC expression (63), its relationship to BET activity has not been previously reported. We found that basal CCAT1 RNA levels correlated with the amount of BRD4 binding and H3K27ac at the CCAT1-associated superenhancer (Figure 5, C and D, and Supplemental Figure 8, A–D). While CCAT1 expression was lowest in a subset of CIMP⁺ cells that lacked the CCAT1 superenhancer, CIMP status did not predict CCAT1 expression across a wider panel of colon cancer cell lines (P = 0.4; Supplemental Figure 11A, and Supplemental Table 3). In CCAT1-expressing cell lines, CCAT1 RNA levels were exquisitely sensitive to JQ1, suggesting that it is a direct BET transcriptional target (Figure 5E). Consistent with this superenhancer driving cMYC transcription, JQ1 treatment preferentially reduced cMYC expression in CCAT1-expressing cells (Figure 5F). Taken together, these results suggest that CCAT1 is a superenhancer template RNA that may serve as a beacon for identifying high BET activity near the cMYC locus.

BET inhibitors, including JQ1 and I-BET-762, bind and inhibit the bromodomains of BRD2, BRD3, BRD4, and BRDT. Moreover, genomic profiling suggests that these proteins may be partially redundant, as there is considerable overlap in their genomic binding sites in prostate cancer cells (64). To directly examine BRD4-specific effects as compared with BET-driven changes, we used whole-genome transcriptional profiling in HT-29 and HCT 116 cells after BRD4 knockdown or JQ1 inhibition (Supplemental Figure 9). Pharmacological inhibition with JQ1 induced a significantly greater number of differentially expressed genes compared with that seen with BRD4 knockdown using inducible shRNA constructs. Importantly, CCAT1 was downregulated upon JQ1 treatment, but not upon BRD4 knockdown (Supplemental Figure 9 and Supplemental Figure 10A). To validate that CCAT1 is a direct BET target gene, we examined CCAT1 levels following a dose titration of 2 chemically distinct BET inhibitors. CCAT1 levels exhibited a dose-dependent reduction in the presence of both JQ1 and I-BET-762 that closely mirrored the viability response to inhibitor (Supplemental Figure 10B and C). Taken together, these data suggest that CCAT1 is a direct BET target but is not exclusively regulated by BRD4.

CCAT1, PCAT1, and LOC728724 are BET transcriptional targets and markers for cells dependent on BET-mediated cMYC transcription. Growing evidence suggests that enhancers are actively transcribed by RNA polymerase II to produce enhancer–derived lncRNAs (eRNAs) (65, 66). In fact, BRD4 itself has been shown to regulate eRNA expression in murine cells (67). To explore the connection between eRNAs and BET activity at the cMYC locus, we asked whether other superenhancers adjacent to the cMYC locus express
To examine whether CCAT1, PCAT1, and LOC728724 are controlled by BRD4-associated superenhancers, we identified cell lines for each tumor type that expressed the CCAT1, PCAT1, or LOC728724 eRNAs (Supplemental Figure 11, A–D, and ref. 68). These cells were treated with JQ1 for 24 hours, and the level of each eRNA was assessed by quantitative PCR (qPCR). Strikingly, BET inhibition led to near-complete RNA reduction for each lineage-specific eRNA (Supplemental Figure 11, E–G). These data demonstrate that BET-dependent eRNAs are distinctly expressed in discrete tumor types and may serve as pharmacodynamic markers of BET inhibition.

While the mechanism by which cancers are dependent on BET for cMYC transcription is not well understood, the ability to detect this transcript is of great clinical importance. For example, in hematological malignancies, cMYC is an established BET...
Figure 6. IncRNAs are associated with tissue-specific cMYC superenhancers and predict sensitivity to BET inhibition. (A) Genome browser tracks showing H3K27ac signal for colon cancer (HCT 116, blue), prostate cancer (VCaP, red), and leukemia (Jurkat, black) overlapping IncRNA-encoding genes near the cMYC locus. The y axis represents reads per million. (B) Box and whisker plot of CCAT1, PCAT1, and LOC728724 RNA expression in a panel of cancers. Data were analyzed using RNA-seq data sets from TCGA. (C) Correlation analysis of CCAT1 expression and cMYC downregulation following JQ1 treatment. Cells were treated for 24 hours with DMSO or 1 µM JQ1, and cMYC expression was quantified by qPCR. Each dot represents a single cell line. (D) Correlation analysis of CCAT1 expression and cell line sensitivity to JQ1. Relative EC_{50} values were calculated on the basis of 3 days of JQ1 treatment. Cell lines with an RPKM of 0.1 or less were defined as CCAT1-Low, and cell lines with an RPKM of 1 or more were defined as CCAT1-High. Each dot represents a single cell line. Data represent the mean ± SEM. (E) Correlation analysis of cMYC expression and cell line sensitivity to JQ1. cMYCLow and cMYCHigh lines were defined as being above or below the median cMYC RPKM values within each cancer type, respectively. Each dot represents a single cell line. Data represent the mean ± SEM. Statistical comparisons were made using a 2-tailed Student’s t test.
target, while in solid tumor malignancies, BET-dependent cMYC transcription is often cell-line dependent (64, 69). Therefore, we asked whether eRNA expression could predict which cells utilize BET to modulate cMYC transcription. We measured cMYC RNA levels in CCAT1hi and CCAT1lo cell lines following a 24-hour JQ1 treatment and found that 14 of 16 CCAT1hi cell lines (CCAT1 reads per kilobase per million [RPKM] threshold >1.0) showed a 50% or greater reduction in cMYC levels. Conversely, JQ1 treatment only moderately affected cMYC levels in the 11 cell lines tested that expressed low (≤0.5 RPKM) CCAT1 eRNA levels (Figure 6C and Supplemental Figure 12A). A similar trend was observed in prostate and leukemia cell lines, in which PCAT1 and LOC287245 expression was associated with BET-mediated cMYC transcription, respectively (Supplemental Figure 12, B–E).

cMYC upregulation in cancer occurs via numerous independent molecular mechanisms, including superenhancer activation, locus amplification, posttranslational modification, and activation and mutation of oncogenic signaling pathways. If BET inhibitors preferentially influence superenhancer activity, we would predict that CCAT1 levels might be more accurate in predicting BET sensitivity than cMYC levels. To this end, we tested whether CCAT1 or cMYC RNA levels could predict cell-line sensitivity to JQ1. Colon, lung, gastric, and pancreatic cell lines were treated for 3 days with JQ1, and the relative EC50 values were calculated. With the exception of gastric cancer, all other tumor types (pancreatic, lung, and colon) exhibited a significant correlation between CCAT1 expression and JQ1 sensitivity (Figure 6D and Supplemental Figure 13, A and B). Similar analyses showed no significant correlation between cMYC expression and JQ1 sensitivity (Figure 6E and Supplemental Figure 13C). As these data predicted, there was no significant correlation between CCAT1 and cMYC expression in this cell-line panel (Supplemental Figure 15D). While BET inhibitors were more effective in CCAT1-expressing cells, this result was not because CCAT1+ cells are more sensitive to cMYC downregulation. We knocked down cMYC in a panel of CCAT1+ and CCAT1− cell lines with 2 independent shRNAs and found that both subsets were equally sensitive to cMYC reduction (Supplemental Figure 13, E and F). Taken together, we conclude that CCAT1 and other eRNAs may serve as predictive biomarkers to identify tumors that utilize BET-mediated cMYC transcription for tumor growth.

**Patients with colon tumors expressing high CCAT1 levels have a poor clinical outcome.** We hypothesize that CCAT1 expression may provide a clinical opportunity to identify tumors that rely on a superenhancer to drive cMYC expression. To address this concept, we developed a CCAT1 ISH assay (Figure 7A and Supplemental Figure 14, A and B) and examined CCAT1 expression directly in colon tumors (Figure 7B). CCAT1 expression was scored in a cohort of normal colon tissues (n = 555) and colon tumors (n = 705) with associated clinico-pathological variables. Consistent with previous findings (63) and TCGA data on normal colon cancer (Supplemental Figure 14C), normal colon showed weak to no CCAT1 expression compared with expression levels in colorectal tumors (Figure 7B and Supplemental Figure 14D). In Kaplan-Meier analyses of 638 colon cancers, we found that both overall and colon cancer–specific 5-year patient survival rates were significantly lower in CCAT1hi (ISH score >1) tumors compared with survival rates for patients with CCAT1lo (ISH score 0–1) tumors (Figure 7C and Supplemental Figure 15A). To better understand the implications of CCAT1 expression, we assessed its expression according to clinical, pathological, and molecular characteristics known to be important in colon tumorigenesis (Table 1). We found that CCAT1 expression correlated with tumor grade (poor differentiation), tumor stage (stages III and IV), and nonmucinous histology. Interestingly, CCAT1 was associated with cMYC expression but was inversely correlated with cMYC amplification. We also performed multivariate testing to assess which variables were independently associated with outcomes (Supplemental Table 6). We found that CCAT1 was an independent prognostic indicator (Figure 7C) and was able to predict poor survival, independent of cancer stage (Supplemental Figure 15B). These data suggest that CCAT1 may have utility as an independent prognostic biomarker of patient outcomes.

In summary, we propose that CCAT1 and other eRNAs may serve as clinical biomarkers to predict which cancers utilize BET activity to drive cMYC transcription and tumor growth (Figure 7D).

**Discussion**

The importance of epigenetics in neoplasia has been cemented by the recent discovery that many epigenetic regulators are mutated or dysregulated in human tumors (70) and furthermore can be targeted for therapeutic purposes (71, 72). Here, we used the CRISPR technology to systematically characterize the epigenetic landscape and identify new therapeutic targets in colon cancer. Unlike other CRISPR-based loss-of-function screens that are based on pooled libraries, we developed and utilized an array-based CRISPR library that yielded robust and reproducible target depletion and phenotypic response. While advantages exist for both arrayed and pooled screening platforms (73), our screen represents an important step in characterizing CRISPR technology in an arrayed platform.

We believe that our work identifies a number of novel candidate therapeutic targets. BRD4 was particularly appealing to pursue, as BRD4 inhibitors have been entered into clinical trials for several hematological malignancies (41). Consistent with the oncogenic effects of BRD4 in other malignancies, we found that genetic or pharmacological inhibition of BRD4 reduced cancer proliferation and abrogated tumor growth in colon cancer xenograft models. BRD4 knockdown led to tumor differentiation in vivo, with formation of a crypt-like structure observed in the HT-29 xenografted model. Colon cancer has long been postulated to be a stem cell–driven disease. Consistently, Wnt and Notch pathway inhibitors lead to similar differentiation changes but have been clinically challenging due to accompanying toxicity issues (74). Our findings raise hope that BET inhibitors can offer a clinically tractable path for differentiation therapy in colon cancer.

Colon tumors characterized by a high degree of CIMP are both biologically and clinically distinct (16, 75). Importantly, CIMP-ness has been correlated with poor patient outcomes and resistance to chemotherapy (76). We uncover a significant correlation between JQ1 sensitivity and CIMP positivity in colon cancer cells. Furthermore, we show that the effects of JQ1 are linked to its ability to inhibit cMYC expression specifically in CIMP+ cells. Biologically, CIMP+ tumors have low levels of CIN, and hence disomy, at the cMYC locus (77). The preferential effect of JQ1 on cMYC in this context suggests that cancers with cMYC disomy may be more dependent on superenhancers and other epigenetic mechanisms.
ated transcription. Indeed, we found that the p-TEFb interaction domain in BRD4-LF is necessary for BRD4 activity in colon cancer. Unfortunately, superenhancers are variably formed and require sophisticated methodology such as ChIP-seq to detect them. Thus, these elements can be challenging to consistently detect in preserved human tissue and are not amenable as biomarkers in the companion diagnostic setting. Our findings that distinct enhancer template RNAs such as CCAT1 and PCAT1 can be used to uncover superenhancer activity constitute to drive cMYC expression. Thus, it would be worth exploring the amplification status of cMYC or other relevant oncogenes as correlates of BET activity in clinical trials.

The advent of molecularly targeted cancer therapy has shed light on the critical role that biomarkers play in identifying responsive patients and on the fine-tuning drug-repurposing efforts. Growing evidence in preclinical models shows that BRD4 activity closely associates with the presence of discrete superenhancer elements that direct RNA polymerase II-mediated transcription. Indeed, we found that the p-TEFb interaction domain in BRD4-LF is necessary for BRD4 activity in colon cancer. Unfortunately, superenhancers are variably formed and require sophisticated methodology such as ChIP-seq to detect them. Thus, these elements can be challenging to consistently detect in preserved human tissue and are not amenable as biomarkers in the companion diagnostic setting. Our findings that distinct enhancer template RNAs such as CCAT1 and PCAT1 can be used to uncover superenhancer activity constitute
BET inhibitors and marks cancer cells using BRD4 to drive cMYC expression. Further work will be critical to the translation of these promising findings into a biomarker-driven approach for patient selection in BET inhibitor clinical trials.

**Methods**

**Cell culture and generation of stable cell lines expressing Cas9.** All colon, lung, pancreatic, gastric, and blood cell lines were cultured in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mmol/l L-glutamine (Invitrogen), and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Invitrogen). 293T cells were grown in DMEM (high-glucose), 10% FBS, 100 μmol/l nonessential amino acids (Invitrogen), 2 mmol/l L-glutamine (Invitrogen), and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. All cell lines were obtained from Genentech’s internal cell line repository.

Cas9 was cloned into pLenti7.3 (Invitrogen), and RKO cells were infected at an MOI of greater than 1. Stably expressing Cas9 cells were collected by FACS using the GFP-selectable marker.

**Immunoblot analysis, immunofluorescence, and Abs.** The following Abs were used for immunoblot and immunofluorescence expression...
analyses: HDAC1 (Cell Signaling Technology; 5356S); CHD1 (Cell Signaling Technology; 4351S); PYGO2 (Epitomics; 3273-1); BRD4 (Epitomics: 5716-1; Bethyl Laboratories: A301-98S5A00; Cell Signaling Technology: 12183); KAT8 (Bethyl Laboratories: A300-992A); aurora B (BD Biosciences; 611082); KDM3B (Sigma-Aldrich; HPA016610); β-catenin (Cell Signaling Technology: 8480S); NSD2 (Bethyl Laboratories; A303-093A); EZH2 (Cell Signaling Technology: 5246); cMYC (Epitomics; 1472-1); actin (MP Biomedicals; 691002); and tubulin (Sigma-Aldrich; T6074). Immunofluorescence was performed as previously described (79).

**Xenograft studies.** Xenograft tumor studies were performed essentially as described previously (80). Briefly, HT-29 and HCT 116 cells were infected with doxycycline-inducible pHUSH shRNAs targeting BRD4 or nontargeting control (NTC). Target sequences for shBRD4-1 (AGAAGGAGGACAAGTGCAA) and shBRD4-2 (AGAAGGGAGTAGAGAGGAGA) were used. For each cell line, 5 × 10^6 cells were injected s.c. into the right flank of female NCR nude mice (Taconic). Tumors reached 200 mm^3 in size, hairpin expression was induced with 0.5 mg/ml doxycycline (or sucrose as a control). shRNA efficiency was examined after 7 days of doxycycline treatment in a subset of mice. Tumor volume and body weight were measured in the remaining mice every 3 to 4 days until the end of the study.

**Calculation of relative EC_{50} values.** Cell lines were placed in 96-well plates 12 hours before the addition of drug. Compounds were diluted in DMSO, with final target concentrations ranging from 20 μM to 3 nM (using 3-fold serial dilutions). Cell numbers were quantified 3 days after drug addition with CellTiter-Glo (CTG) (Promega). EC_{50} values were calculated using GraphPad Prism, version 5 (GraphPad Software).

**Transcriptomic and ChIP-seq data sets.** RNA-seq data were deposited in the NCBI’s Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (GEO GSE73317 for RNAi experiments and GEO GSE73318 for JQ1 treatments). Also, ChIP-seq data were deposited in the GEO database (GEO GSE73319).

**Statistics.** All IncuCyte (Essen Bioscience) experiments are reported as the mean ± SEM. Results were analyzed using a 2-tailed Students t test when comparisons were made. The Pearson’s product-moment correlation coefficient (r) was used to measure linear correlations between 2 variables. Statistical significance was defined as P < 0.05.

**Study approval.** Approval for use of the Leeds tumor collection was provided by an internal Genentech review and ethics committee. Animal experiments were reviewed and approved by the IACUC of Genentech Inc.

**Additional details are provided in the Supplemental Methods.**
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