The chronic inflammatory state that accompanies obesity is a major contributor to insulin resistance and other dysfunctional adaptations in adipose tissue. Cellular and secreted factors promote the inflammatory milieu of obesity, but the transcriptional pathways that drive these processes are not well described. Although the canonical inflammatory transcription factor NF-κB is considered to be the major driver of adipocyte inflammation, members of the interferon regulatory factor (IRF) family may also play a role in this process. Here, we determined that IRF3 expression is upregulated in the adipocytes of obese mice and humans. Signaling through TLR3 and TLR4, which lie upstream of IRF3, induced insulin resistance in murine adipocytes, while IRF3 knockdown prevented insulin resistance. Furthermore, improved insulin sensitivity in IRF3-deficient mice was associated with reductions in intra-adipose and systemic inflammation in the high fat–fed state, enhanced browning of subcutaneous fat, and increased adipose expression of GLUT4. Taken together, the data indicate that IRF3 is a major transcriptional regulator of adipose inflammation and is involved in maintaining systemic glucose and energy homeostasis.
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The chronic inflammatory state that accompanies obesity is a major contributor to insulin resistance and other dysfunctional adaptations in adipose tissue. Cellular and secreted factors promote the inflammatory milieu of obesity, but the transcriptional pathways that drive these processes are not well described. Although the canonical inflammatory transcription factor NF-κB is considered to be the major driver of adipocyte inflammation, members of the interferon regulatory factor (IRF) family may also play a role in this process. Here, we determined that IRF3 expression is upregulated in the adipocytes of obese mice and humans. Signaling through TLR3 and TLR4, which lie upstream of IRF3, induced insulin resistance in murine adipocytes, while IRF3 knockdown prevented insulin resistance. Furthermore, improved insulin sensitivity in IRF3-deficient mice was associated with reductions in intra-adipose and systemic inflammation in the high-fat–fed state, enhanced browning of subcutaneous fat, and increased adipose expression of GLUT4. Taken together, the data indicate that IRF3 is a major transcriptional regulator of adipose inflammation and is involved in maintaining systemic glucose and energy homeostasis.

Introduction

One of the major concepts emerging from the last 2 decades of metabolism research is the notion of “metainflammation,” the state of chronic low-grade inflammation that develops during periods of overnutrition (1, 2). This manifests itself most notably through significant alterations in the number and composition of the immune cell populations that reside in adipose tissue, including a dramatic increase in the ratio of classically activated M1 macrophages versus alternatively activated M2 macrophages (3, 4). The result is a proinflammatory cytokine milieu that is believed to promote insulin resistance in surrounding adipocytes. While it is still unclear how overnutrition is sensed by the adipocyte, a role for pattern recognition receptors has been proposed, including members of the Toll-like receptor (TLR) family (5). TLRs respond to various pathogen-associated molecules that include fatty acids, endotoxin, unmethylated DNA, and double-stranded RNA; ligation by such agents triggers a signal transduction cascade that differs for different TLRs but which inevitably results in the transcription of various chemokines and cytokines. While TLR signaling has been classically described in cells of the innate immune system, adipocytes themselves express several TLRs and the relevant downstream adaptors and signal transduction elements (5, 6). Furthermore, activation of adipocyte TLR4 in particular has been shown to promote insulin resistance, such that mice lacking TLR4 are protected from many of the adverse metabolic consequences of overfeeding, including insulin resistance (7–9).

Signaling by TLRs, including TLR4, converges on a small set of transcription factors that are believed to mediate the transcriptional response promoting inflammation. Most prominent among these factors is NF-κB, which is normally sequestered in the cytosol bound to the inhibitor IκB protein (10). Upon phosphorylation by IKK-β, IκB is degraded, allowing NF-κB to translocate to the nucleus and bind to its target genes. On the basis of inference from studies in immune cells, NF-κB has been supposed to be the major transcriptional driver of inflammation in the adipocyte, although this has never been directly proven, and is in fact controverted by several studies (11–15). We thus raise the question: how are proinflammatory signals transduced into altered gene expression of inflammatory markers, and which transcription factors mediate the enhanced inflammatory state of adipose tissue in the setting of obesity?

Another class of transcription factors that is intimately involved in both innate and adaptive immunity is the interferon regulatory factor (IRF) family, comprising 9 members (IRF1–IRF9). IRFs have been implicated in virtually every aspect of immune function, ranging from macrophage development and function to T and B cell lymphopoiesis and antiviral defense, among others (16). We have previously identified a role for several IRFs in adipogenesis, and have further implicated IRF4 as a key determinant of adipocyte lipid handling (in the context of fasting and feeding) and of thermogenesis (in the context of cold exposure) (17–19). Several other IRFs are expressed in adipocytes, and may be activated by TLR signaling in a manner consistent with a role in insulin resistance, based on analogous studies in immune cells. Among them, IRF3 is activated by the MyD88-independent arm of TLR4 signaling via phosphorylation by the upstream
Results

IRF3 expression is elevated in adipocytes from obese mice and humans. To determine whether IRF3 plays a role in obesity-associated dysfunction of adipose tissue, we began by asking whether IRF3 expression is elevated in the fat cells of obese mice. In order to avoid confounding by infiltrating macrophages, we separated mature adipocytes from the stromal-vascular fraction (SVF) of inguinal and epididymal adipose tissue of high-fat-fed mice.

IRF3 protein levels were also elevated 5.6-fold in the adipocyte fraction of the epididymal fat pad and kinases TBK1 and IKK-ε, resulting in IRF3 dimerization, nuclear translocation, and DNA binding (16, 20). Interestingly, levels of TBK1 and IKK-ε are both elevated in the adipose tissue of obese mice, and inhibition of these kinases by genetic or pharmacological means improves systemic insulin sensitivity (21, 22).

Here, we show that IRF3 expression is elevated in the adipose tissue of obese rodents and humans. We also demonstrate that IRF3 is a requisite downstream effector of insulin resistance in response to activated TLR signaling, and that this effect is cell autonomous. Consistent with this, IRF3−/− mice are protected from insulin resistance in the setting of high-fat feeding. Knockout mice show reduced inflammation within the adipose tissue and systemically, and enhanced glucose uptake specifically in adipose tissue. Furthermore, IRF3−/− mice have enhanced thermogenesis due solely to browning of subcutaneous fat, with no alterations seen in interscapular brown adipose tissue. These studies identify IRF3 as a major transcriptional effector of insulin resistance and the inflammatory response associated with overnutrition.

Figure 1. Elevated IRF3 levels in adipose tissue of obese mice and humans. (A) Irf3 mRNA levels were measured in male mice fed chow versus high-fat diet (HFD) by qPCR in isolated stromal-vascular and adipocyte fractions from eWAT and iWAT. *P < 0.05. (B) Western blot showing IRF3 protein levels in isolated adipocytes from eWAT and iWAT of high-fat- and chow-fed mice. (C) Quantification of the blots in B. **P < 0.01. (D and E) Expression of known IRF3 target genes in isolated adipocytes from eWAT (D) and iWAT (E) of chow- and HFD-fed mice by qPCR. *P < 0.05. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. n = 8 per group. (F) IRF3 mRNA expression correlates with BMI in human subjects. n = 93, P = 0.011. (G) IRF3 mRNA expression in nondiabetic (n = 48) and diabetic (n = 45) humans. P = 0.015.
3.3-fold in the inguinal depot (Figure 1, B and C). Consistent with these findings, the expression of several known IRF3 target genes was upregulated in isolated adipocytes from the epididymal and inguinal white adipose tissue of mice with diet-induced obesity (Figure 1D and E). We next sought to determine whether IRF3 expression is elevated in the adipose tissue of obese humans. In subcutaneous fat, IRF3 mRNA levels were significantly correlated with increased BMI (Figure 1F). Furthermore, IRF3 mRNA levels were increased in diabetic patients (Figure 1G and Supplemental Table 1).

IRF3 mediates insulin resistance in response to LPS and polyinosinic-polycytidylic acid in cultured adipocytes. Despite a large literature showing associations between endotoxin, TLR4 signaling, and insulin resistance, there is a paucity of data demonstrating direct effects of LPS and TLR4 on insulin action in adipose tissue. To address this, we determined the effect of TLR4 activation on insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in mature 3T3-L1 adipocytes. Chronic treatment with LPS led to a dose-dependent decrease in insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in these cells, indicating reduced insulin sensitivity (Figure 2A). LPS stimulation increased phosphorylation of murine IRF3 at serine 388 (Figure 2B), known to promote nuclear translocation, dimerization, and binding to DNA (23). To determine whether IRF3 is required for LPS-stimulated insulin resistance, we knocked down IRF3 in mature adipocytes using lentiviral delivery of a specific shRNA (Supplemental Figure 2A). This had the effect of restoring full insulin sensitivity to the LPS-treated cells (Figure 2C). Our regimen of chronic LPS administration is fully able to induce phosphorylation and nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Supplemental Figure 2B), but does not increase the amount of NF-κB bound to DNA (Supplemental Figure 2C). Another TLR4 ligand, palmitate, was also able to cause insulin resistance in cultured adipocytes in a dose-dependent manner (Supplemental Figure 2D).

As expected, knockdown of IRF3 also restored insulin sensitivity lost after palmitate exposure (Supplemental Figure 2E). TLR3 signaling is another upstream activator of IRF3 (24), suggesting that TLR3 agonists (e.g., polyinosinic-polycytidylic acid [poly I:C]) might also promote insulin resistance in adipocytes. This was in fact the case (Figure 2D). Poly I:C treatment also robustly increased phosphorylation of IRF3 (Figure 2E). Although TLR4 ligand, palmitate, was also able to cause insulin resistance in cultured adipocytes in a dose-dependent manner (Supplemental Figure 2D). As expected, knockdown of IRF3 also restored insulin sensitivity lost after palmitate exposure (Supplemental Figure 2E).

TLR3 signaling is another upstream activator of IRF3 (24), suggesting that TLR3 agonists (e.g., polyinosinic-polycytidylic acid [poly I:C]) might also promote insulin resistance in adipocytes. This was in fact the case (Figure 2D). Poly I:C treatment also robustly increased phosphorylation of IRF3 (Figure 2E). Although TLR3 activation was able to translocate NF-κB (Supplemental Figure 2F), RNAi-mediated reduction of IRF3 fully abrogated poly I:C-mediated insulin resistance (Figure 2F).

Finally, we speculated that IRF3 might be sufficient to cause insulin resistance, even in the absence of upstream TLR signaling. Mutation of Ser396 and Ser398 to Asp in human IRF3 results in a constitutively active allele without the need for external stimuli (25). We therefore generated the analogous double-mutant murine IRF3 allele (S388D/S390D; hereafter designated as IRF3-2D). Overexpression of the IRF3-2D mutant in 3T3-L1 adipocytes was
confirmed using quantitative PCR (qPCR) and Western blotting (Supplemental Figure 3, A and B). In mature 3T3-L1 adipocytes IRF3-2D expression led to a robust increase in the expression of IRF3 target inflammatory genes, including Ifit1, Ccl2, and Ccl5 (Supplemental Figure 3C), showing that murine IRF3-2D mutant acts as a potent constitutively active IRF3 in adipocytes. Consistent with a role for IRF3 as a driver of insulin resistance, overexpression of IRF3-2D in mature adipocytes led to a significant decrease in insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in the absence of upstream activators (Figure 2G). This was associated with diminished insulin-mediated phosphorylation of p70S6K at T389, without a concomitant effect on Akt phosphorylation (Supplemental Figure 3D).

IRF3 is a transcriptional regulator of immune response genes in adipocytes. To further investigate the role of IRF3 in adipocytes, we performed transcriptional profiling in mature 3T3-L1 cells following lentiviral transduction of IRF3-2D (vs. an EGFP control) using RNA sequencing (RNA-seq). We then compared the resulting changes in gene expression to those seen following introduction of a shRNA against murine IRF3 (vs. an irrelevant hairpin control), in both the absence and the presence of LPS (Figure 3, A and B, and Supplemental Figure 4A). In general, the effect of IRF3-2D overexpression was stronger (557 genes upregulated, 505 genes downregulated at ≥1.4-fold change, 25% FDR) than the effect of IRF3 knockdown (271 genes upregulated, 376 genes downregulated) in the absence of LPS; this was expected given the fact that WT IRF3 needs to be activated by upstream TLR agonism (Supplemental Figure 4, B–F). Overall, 68 genes were coordinately regulated in the overexpression and knockdown models (45 genes up with IRF3-2D and down with shIRF3, 23 genes down with IRF3-2D and up with shIRF3) (Supplemental Table 2). These genes include IRF3 itself, of course, but also a large number of class I MHC genes (H2-Q1, H2-Q2, H2-Q6, H2-Q8, H2-K1, H2-K2, H2-Bl, H2-D1, H2Gs10, and H2-T23), all induced by IRF3-2D and repressed by shIRF3. This striking finding is consistent with the proposed role for adipocytes as antigen-presenting cells playing an early role in the inflammatory reaction to overnutrition (6, 26).
Nevertheless, we were able to identify several genes that were strongly induced by LPS in an IRF3-dependent manner. These include inflammatory genes (e.g., Ccl6, Nos2), in addition to genes that we have previously shown to cause insulin resistance in adipocytes (e.g., Tmem176b, Lcn2) (Figure 3E) (27).

Irf3–/– mice are modestly protected from diet-induced obesity and exhibit reduced adipose inflammation. Despite the well-established role of IRF3 in immunity, Irf3–/– mice show a relatively mild immune phenotype (28). Consistent with this, we found modest reductions in inflammatory gene expression in the adipose tissue of chow-fed Irf3–/– mice (Supplemental Figure 5A), which did not translate into significantly altered chemokine levels in the plasma of these animals (Supplemental Figure 5B). Irf3–/– mice showed no significant difference in body weight or adiposity on chow diet (Supplemental Figure 5, C and D). This is consistent with the fact that IRF3 is inactive until stimulated by TLR signaling, which we would expect to be minimal in the lean state. We therefore exposed the mice to a high-fat diet to induce intra-adipose inflammation. Notably, Irf3–/– mice displayed reduced fat mass and increased lean mass that became apparent after prolonged high-fat feeding (Figure 4, A–D). Specifically, the weight of iWAT and liver was significantly reduced in Irf3–/– mice on high-fat diet (Figure 4E).

As predicted from the in vitro data, the adipose tissues of Irf3–/– mice have dramatically reduced inflammatory gene expression after high-fat feeding than do WT littermates, associated with reduced circulating cytokine levels (Figure 5, A–C). Furthermore, both eWAT and iWAT of Irf3–/– mice showed markedly reduced infiltration of F4/80+ cells on high-fat diet (Figure 6A). The total number of F4/80+CD11b+ macrophages was reduced in the SVF isolated from the eWAT of Irf3–/– mice, with a trend toward reduction in iWAT (Figure 6B). This was associated with a pronounced alteration in macrophage polarization state, with reduced numbers of M1 macrophages (CD11c+) and increased M2 macrophages (CD301+) in Irf3–/– mice (Figure 6, C and D). These data suggest that IRF3 deficiency attenuates chronic diet-induced inflammation, both locally within adipose tissue and systemically.

IRF3 deficiency improves glucose homeostasis and insulin action. Our in vitro data indicate that IRF3 mediates the effect of inflammatory stimuli on insulin action in a cell-autonomous fashion, but we wished to determine whether this could be demonstrated in living animals. On chow diet, Irf3–/– mice show no difference in plasma glucose, insulin, nonesterified free fatty acid (NEFA), or triglycerides (Supplemental Table 5). Glucose tolerance and insulin tolerance were also indistinguishable between WT and knockout mice on chow diet (Supplemental Figure 6, A and B). However, Irf3–/– mice showed significantly reduced plasma insulin levels after high-fat feeding (Figure 7A) with unaltered plasma glucose levels (Supplemental Figure 6C). Irf3–/– mice on high-fat diet
exhibited no change in plasma triglyceride or NEFA levels (Supplemental Table 5). Interestingly, *Irf3*−/− mice showed improved glucose and insulin tolerance after 8 and 10 weeks of high-fat feeding, time points at which body weight was not significantly different between the 2 genotypes (Figure 7, B and C).

We next asked whether improved glucose homeostasis in *Irf3*−/− mice is reflected in improved insulin signaling. Indeed, phosphorylation of Akt (S473) and p70S6K (T389) was significantly increased in iWAT of *Irf3*−/− mice on high-fat diet (Figure 7, D and E). In addition, eWAT and liver of *Irf3*−/− mice also showed modest increases in insulin-stimulated phosphorylation of Akt (S473) (Supplemental Figure 6, D–G).

In order to confirm that the differences in glucose and insulin tolerance seen at 8–10 weeks of high-fat feeding did not reflect very small changes in adiposity between WT and *Irf3*−/− mice, we repeated these studies on a new cohort of mice after only 6 weeks of high-fat feeding, at which point adiposity was clearly equivalent between WT and *Irf3*−/− mice. Again, glucose and insulin tolerance testing revealed improved insulin sensitivity in *Irf3*−/− mice (Figure 7, F and G).

**Increased glucose uptake in inguinal fat of *Irf3*−/− mice.** We also subjected *Irf3*−/− mice to hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamping to assess tissue-specific insulin sensitivity. Again, to dissociate effects on insulin action from changes in adiposity, we studied animals before body weights diverged (Figure 8A). There was a pronounced increase in glucose infusion rate at steady state in *Irf3*−/− mice, indicating improved insulin sensitivity (Figure 8B). Plasma glucose and insulin levels were not altered between these groups (Supplemental Figure 7, A and B). Unaltered NEFA levels indicated that insulin-mediated suppression of lipolysis was not affected by the absence of IRF3 (Supplemental Figure 7C). Although *Irf3*−/− mice show slightly decreased basal glucose production, under clamp conditions hepatic glucose production was not different (Figure 8C). Similarly, glucose turnover was reduced in *Irf3*−/− mice under basal, but not under clamp, conditions (Figure 8D). In vivo glucose
uptake was significantly increased specifically in iWAT, but not in eWAT, brown adipose tissue (BAT), or muscle (Figure 8, E and F).

*Increased GLUT4 levels in adipose tissue of Irf3–/– mice.* We first hypothesized that IRF3 modulates glucose homeostasis via the transcriptional regulation of genes involved in insulin action. One such target suggested by our RNA-seq data was adiponectin (encoded by the *Adipoq* gene). Consistent with this, *Adipoq* expression was repressed in adipocytes exposed to IRF3-2D, and increased in cells with IRF3 knockdown (Supplemental Figure 8A). This pattern was recapitulated in vivo, with increased *Adipoq* expression in the WAT of *Irf3–/–* mice (Supplemental Figure 8B). Surprisingly, however, plasma adiponectin levels did not differ between WT and knockout mice (Supplemental Figure 8C), suggesting that this change in gene expression does not account for the insulin sensitization observed in *Irf3–/–* mice. Another IRF3 target gene that we have previously identified (19) is *Slc2a4*, encoding GLUT4. Obese WT mice show reduced adipose GLUT4 protein levels in eWAT and iWAT (29) (Supplemental Figure 8D). Overexpression of IRF3 in 3T3-L1 adipocytes led to decreased *Slc2a4* mRNA, and IRF3 knockdown resulted in the opposite effect (Supplemental Figure 8E). Concordant with this, *Slc2a4* mRNA and GLUT4 protein levels were increased in the eWAT and iWAT (but not muscle) of *Irf3–/–* mice on high-fat diet (Figure 9, A–C).

*Irf3–/– mice exhibit increased beige fat recruitment in iWAT.* TLR4 stimulation by LPS has been implicated as a cause of the reduced adaptive thermogenesis that accompanies high-fat feeding and obesity (30). We wondered whether the resistance to diet-induced obesity and increased subcutaneous adipose glucose uptake could be due to enhanced browning. This possibility gained support when we noted that *Irf3–/–* mice on high-fat diet ate approximately 0.4 g/d more than WT mice (Supplemental Figure 9A), indicating that reduced food intake is not the cause of the reduced adiposity. Similarly, *Irf3–/–* and WT mice evinced no difference in physical activity (Supplemental Figure 9B). However, *Irf3–/–* mice did exhibit increased oxygen consumption, carbon dioxide production, and heat output and a reduced respiratory exchange ratio (Figure 10, A and B, and Supplemental Figure 9, C and D). Taken together, these results suggest that IRF3 deficiency promotes enhanced energy expenditure through a non–activity-based mechanism. Inguinal adipose tissue has the potential to develop increased numbers of UCP1+...
mogenic gene expression (Figure 11B). Furthermore, overexpression of IRF3 or IRF3-2D by adenoviral transduction in mature 3T3-F442A cells led to significant downregulation of thermogenic markers in a cell-autonomous manner (Figure 11C).

Notably, repeating the energy expenditure experiments in \textit{Irf3}–/– mice on high-fat diet prior to weight divergence (Supplemental Figure 10, A–C) yielded the same effect on O$_2$ consumption and CO$_2$ production (Figure 12, A and B), again without effect on food intake, activity, respiratory exchange ratio, or heat production (Supplemental Figure 10, D–G). Similarly, these mice showed increased UCP1+ immunostaining and increased expression of thermogenic marker genes in the iWAT (Figure 12, C and D), but no change in histology or gene expression of thermogenic genes in interscapular BAT, although we noted a small but significant increase in genes associated with fatty acid oxidation (Supplemental Figure 10, H and I).

beige adipocytes, which dissipate energy via enhanced thermogenesis (31). This was further suggested by the selective reduction in inguinal fat pad weight noted earlier (Figure 4E). In fact, histological analysis revealed increased UCP1+ cells and increased expression of thermogenic marker genes in iWAT of \textit{Irf3}–/– mice on high-fat diet (Figure 10, C and D). There was no significant effect on histology or gene expression of thermogenic genes in interscapular BAT (Supplemental Figure 9, E and F). Consistent with this, oxygen consumption was increased in the iWAT, but not the BAT, of \textit{Irf3}–/– mice (Figure 10E). Interestingly, \textit{Irf3}–/– mice still displayed increased expression of thermogenic markers in iWAT even after 1 month at thermoneutrality, suggesting that IRF3 acts a temperature-independent “brake” on browning (Figure 11A). In order to determine whether the antithermogenic actions of IRF3 are cell autonomous, we differentiated SVF from iWAT of \textit{Irf3}–/– mice ex vivo, and demonstrated enhanced thermogenic gene expression (Figure 11B). Furthermore, overexpression of IRF3 or IRF3-2D by adenoviral transduction in mature 3T3-F442A cells led to significant downregulation of thermogenic markers in a cell-autonomous manner (Figure 11C).

Notably, repeating the energy expenditure experiments in \textit{Irf3}–/– mice on high-fat diet prior to weight divergence (Supplemental Figure 10, A–C) yielded the same effect on O$_2$ consumption and CO$_2$ production (Figure 12, A and B), again without effect on food intake, activity, respiratory exchange ratio, or heat production (Supplemental Figure 10, D–G). Similarly, these mice showed increased UCP1+ immunostaining and increased expression of thermogenic marker genes in the iWAT (Figure 12, C and D), but no change in histology or gene expression of thermogenic genes in interscapular BAT, although we noted a small but significant increase in genes associated with fatty acid oxidation (Supplemental Figure 10, H and I).
stress. TLR4 in particular is activated by free fatty acids (FFAs) and by LPS, both of which circulate in higher than normal quantities in the obese state (41–43). Thus, mice lacking TLR4 become obese on a high-fat diet, but are protected from insulin resistance, and TLR4 expression and activity are elevated in the adipose tissue of both obese rodents and humans (5, 9). It thus seems reasonable to propose that TLR signaling mediates at least some of the effect of overnutrition in adipocytes.

In immune cells, TLR4 signaling proceeds through 2 parallel pathways, 1 of which is dependent on the actions of the adaptor protein MyD88, culminating in the activation of IKK-β and NF-κB. There is also a MyD88-independent pathway, which involves TRIF/TRAM and other adaptors, and leads to activation of the kinases TBK1 and IKK-ε. These kinases act on substrates including the transcription factor IRF3, which then dimerizes, translocates to the nucleus, and binds to DNA. Importantly, IRF3 and the MyD88-dependent arm of signaling NF-κB activate distinct but overlapping sets of target genes, and in some cases may cooperate physically and functionally to induce inflammatory gene expression (44, 45).

Despite the well-known involvement of IRF3 as a mediator of TLR-induced (in particular, TLR3 and TLR4) gene expression changes, the focus of many in the community has centered on NF-κB as the primary transcriptional driver of inflammatory gene expression in the adipocyte (33). It is true that activation of NF-κB can be detected in adipocytes from obese, insulin-resistant...
animals (21), but this does not prove that NF-κB is causal. Studies that manipulate IKK-β activity in liver have indirectly suggested a role for NF-κB, such that IKK-β overexpression promotes insulin resistance, while animals expressing an IκB “super-repressor” are more insulin sensitive (12). Interestingly, these same manipulations in skeletal muscle promoted (or inhibited, in the case of the super-repressor) atrophy, without necessarily affecting insulin action. Furthermore, activation of IKK-β in the mediobasal hypothalamus or in AGRP-containing neurons promotes obesity and metabolic dysfunction (46). Thus, the role of IKK-β in metabolic dysfunction varies in a tissue-specific manner; unfortunately, analogous studies have not been described for adipose tissue. Notably, Scherer’s group has shown that, in distinction to the situation in preadipocytes, NF-κB becomes unresponsive to LPS in mature adipocytes (11). IKK-β manipulation presents an additional problem, in that we now understand that NF-κB is not the only target of this kinase. In fact, such targets include proteins like IRS-1, which could easily explain the effects of IKK-β on insulin action (14). Direct targeting of NF-κB in adipose tissue has been achieved, and the results do not support a major role for this factor in mediating insulin resistance due to inflammation. Ablation of p65, the major active subunit of NF-κB, using an aP2-driven Cre transgene causes reduced adipose inflammation in the lean state, but greater inflammatory markers upon high-fat feeding. No change in insulin sensitivity was noted between these knockout mice and controls on high-fat diet (13). Similarly, p65 overexpression in adipocytes induces browning of white fat, which promotes insulin sensitivity, not insulin resistance (15).

IRFs play a variety of roles as downstream effectors of inflammation and immunity. We previously identified IRF1, IRF3, and IRF4 as regulators of adipogenesis after they emerged from an unbiased search using chromatin state mapping as a tool to identify novel transcription factors (19, 47). IRF3 acts as a key downstream transcriptional effector of TLR3 and TLR4 signaling in macrophages; both of these signaling pathways are present in adipocytes (5). Furthermore, the Saltiel group has shown using both genetic and pharmacological approaches that TBK1 and IKK-ε, the upstream activators of IRF3, are activated in the adipose tissue of obese mice and exert negative effects on insulin sensitivity (21, 22). These data led us to speculate that IRF3 may act as a transcription factor at the nexus of inflammation and insulin resistance in adipocytes.

In fact, we show that IRF3 levels are elevated in the adipocytes of obese mice and humans, along with known IRF3 target genes. Upstream activation of IRF3 via TLR4 and TLR3 ligation causes insulin resistance in a cell-autonomous manner, and IRF3 is both necessary and sufficient for this effect of TLR signaling. Finally, loss of IRF3 in vivo reduces obesity-induced inflammation and improves insulin sensitivity. Some of this effect could be construed to result from reduced adiposity of Irf3−/− mice, but we were able to document improved insulin sensitivity before alterations in body weight developed.

Some of the metabolic effects seen in Irf3−/− mice are likely to accrue because of enhanced browning of white fat, specifically in the inguinal depot. This likely accounts for the increased insulin-stimulated glucose uptake seen in subcutaneous fat, as well as the lower body weight of Irf3−/− mice on high-fat diet. Several aspects of the relationship between IRF3 and browning bear special mention. First, the effect of IRF3 on browning is entirely consistent with its role as an innate immune mediator in adipocytes, as TLR4 signaling has recently been shown to suppress adaptive thermogenesis (30). Our data suggest that IRF3 activation may be a mediator of this action of the TLR4 pathway. Second, although several transcription factors have been identified that promote browning, including by our study on IRF4 (17), IRF3 has the opposite effect, acting as a repressor of this activity. The opposing actions of IRF3 and IRF4 on thermogenic genes raise the obvious possibility that they compete for an essential cofactor (such as PGC-1α), and/or that they bind competitively to the same genomic loci with different outcomes. To date, we have not identified a clear scenario in which IRF3 and IRF4 exert competing actions, though this is an area of active investigation in the laboratory. Third, the effect may be cell autonomous, as suggested by the fact that isolated SVF from iWAT of Irf3−/− mice demonstrates enhanced thermogenic gene expression ex vivo. The ability of IRF3 overexpression to reduce thermogenic genes in 3T3-F442A cells also suggests a cell-autonomous effect. Nonetheless, the dramatic induction of M2 polarization in IRF3-deficient animals is at least consistent with the possibility that alternatively activated macrophages may be contributing to the recruitment of beige adipocytes (48). Fourth, while loss of IRF3 has dramatic effects on beige adipocytes, there is no corresponding effect on classic interscapular BAT. This represents an unusual pattern of discrim-
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in mice, given that the infiltrating M1 adipose tissue macrophages that emerge in obesity do not express high levels of IRF3 (Figure 1A and Supplemental Figure 1, A–D). Additionally, the cell-autonomous actions of IRF3 in cultured adipocytes suggest that one does not need to invoke a macrophage-based etiology for the phenotype of the knockout mouse. Conditional knockout mice will help us to deconvolute this issue further.

The best-characterized targets of IRF3 in immune cells are inflammatory genes like \textit{Ifnb1}, \textit{Ifna}, \textit{Ifit1}, \textit{Ifit2}, and \textit{Ifit3}, but there has never been a comprehensive analysis of IRF3-mediated gene expression in any cell type. Our transcriptional profiling results show that genes from immune-related pathways are among the strongest coordinately regulated genes with overexpression and knockdown of IRF3. These results indicate that IRF3 is a potent driver of the inflammatory response within the adipocyte. One striking finding was the identification of MHC class I genes as targets of IRF3 in adipocytes. It has been reported that during obesity changes in T cells precede changes in adipose tissue macrophages (50). It was recently proposed that adipocytes can act as antigen-presenting cells via the expression of MHC components, and that this acts as an early trigger in the activation of T cells (6, 26). Our results suggest that IRF3 is an early driver of this process, and may thus serve as a critical link between overnutrition and the rest of the well-studied inflammatory cascade in obese adipose tissue.

We also identified other nonimmunological IRF3 targets in adipocytes, including \textit{Slc2a4} and \textit{Adipoq}. ChIP sequencing analysis of IRF3 in adipocytes will be required to sort out whether these are direct or indirect targets.

Figure 12. \textit{Irf3}–/– mice on HFD exhibit increased browning before body weight divergence. Male WT and \textit{Irf3}–/– mice were fed HFD and used for CLAMS study, \textit{n} = 6 per genotype. (A and B) Oxygen consumption (VO2) (A) and carbon dioxide production (VCO2) (B) at day and night cycle in WT and \textit{Irf3}–/– mice on 8 weeks of HFD. (C) Histology showing H&E and UCP1 immunostaining in iWAT of WT and \textit{Irf3}–/– mice after 10 weeks of HFD (original magnification, ×10). (D) Expression of thermogenic and β-oxidation genes in iWAT of WT and \textit{Irf3}–/– mice on 10 weeks of HFD. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. For all data, *\textit{P} < 0.05.
Interestingly, the Irf3–/– mouse has been reported to exhibit a relatively modest immunological phenotype, with moderately enhanced susceptibility to certain viral infections (28). This is consistent with our data demonstrating a relatively modest metabolic phenotype on a chow diet. Once the animal is exposed to high-fat diet, however, the effects of IRF3 ablation become much more apparent. The absence of IRF3 or IRF3-2D adenovirus (1 × 1011 infectious units/ml) was used.

In summary, we have identified a role of IRF3 as a major transcription factor at the nexus of inflammation and insulin resistance in adipocytes in the state of obesity. Future studies will be required to further elaborate the role of IRF3 and to fully elucidate its tissue-specific target genes and metabolic effects.

Methods

Reagents. 2-Deoxy-d-[2,6-3H]-glucose was purchased from Perkin-Elmer NEN radiochemicals. Insulin, dexamethasone, isobutylmethylyxanthine, LPS, polynosinic-polycytidylic acid (poly I:C), sodium palmitate, cytochalasin B, glucose, and 2-deoxyglucose were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Antibodies. Antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling (IRF3, 3420; pIRF3 [S396], 29047; Akt, 9272; pAkt [S473], 9271; p70S6K, 2708; p-p70S6K [T389], 9205; p-p65, 3033; GAPDH, 2118; β-actin, 4970)), Abcam (F4/80, ab 6640), Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc. (p65, sc-372; Ikba, sc-371), BioLegend (CD45 [APC/Cy7], 103115; F4/80 [PE/Cy7], 123113; CD11b [Pacific blue], 101223; CD301 [APC], 145707), eBioscience (CD11c [PE], 12-0114-81), and BD Biosciences (caveolin-1, 610059). GLUT4 antibody was a gift from B. Kahn (Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, MA).

Plasmids. The coding sequence of murine IRF3 was amplified by PCR using Phusion DNA polymerase and ligated into the pCDH-CMV-MCS-EF1-puro lentivector (System Biosciences) at EcoRI and NotI sites. IRF3-2D mutant was generated by site-directed mutagenesis. shIRF3 hairpin designed by the Broad Institute RNAi Consortium (TRCN0000085242) was subcloned into pShH-I1I1-copGFP lentivector (System Biosciences). pShH construct with the Luciferase shRNA template (shLuc, System Biosciences) was used as control. For adenoviral expression, the PCR product encoding WT murine IRF3 or IRF3-2D mutant was recombined into the pDonR221 plasmid (Invitrogen) using the Gateway technology and was subsequently shuttled into the pAd/CMV/V5-DEST (Invitrogen) vector to generate adenoviruses as described below. A β-galactosidase-V5-encoding adenovirus (Ad-LacZ, Life Technologies) served as a control.

Adenovirus production and purification. Pac1 restriction-digested adenovirus vector pAd/CMV/V5-DEST encoding cDNA for WT murine IRF3 or IRF3-2D mutant was transfected in HEK 293T cells following the manufacturer’s instructions, and crude adenovirus was amplified twice. Large-scale production of high-titer adenovirus was performed using Vivapure AdenoPACK 100 purification kit (Sartorius Stedim Biotech). Purified adenovirus titer was determined using Adeno-X-Rapid Titer kit (Clontech). For differentiated F4/42A adipocytes, LacZ, IRF3, or IRF3-2D adenovirus (1 × 10⁸ infectious units/ml) was used.

Cell culture. 3T3-L1 preadipocytes (American Type Culture Collection) were cultured in DMEM (Invitrogen) with 10% bovine calf serum (HyClone) at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Two-days-postconfluent cells were treated with DMEM containing 10% FBS (Invitrogen), 5 μg/ml insulin, 0.5 mM isobutylmethylxanthine, and 1 μM dexamethasone for 48 hours. Cells were maintained in culture medium containing FBS and insulin for another 2 days. Thereafter, cells were maintained in culture medium containing FBS only. Lentivirus particles were generated by cotransfection of lentiviral constructs with pM2DG and PsPAX2 plasmids in HEK 293T cells. Lentivirus-containing supernatant was collected after 48 hours of transduction, filtered through 0.45 μM filter, and added onto mature 3T3-L1 adipocytes with 0.8 μg/ml Polybrene (Sigma-Aldrich) for 24 hours. 3T3-L1 adipocytes were used for experiments after 6 days of lentivirus transduction. Transduction efficiency was determined by comparison with cells transduced in parallel with EGFP lentivirus. 3T3-L1 adipocytes were differentiated fully for 8 days, treated with lentiviral supernatant for another 6 days, and then treated with various doses of LPS for 6 days prior to glucose uptake assays, or harvested for RNA or protein. Similarly, mature 3T3-L1 adipocytes were treated with various doses of palmitate conjugated to BSA (3:1 ratio) for 48 hours or poly I:C for 24 hours before glucose uptake assays or harvested for protein.

3T3-F4/42A preadipocytes (gift from P. Puigserver, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA) were differentiated in DMEM containing 10% FBS, 5 μg/ml insulin, and 1 μM rosiglitazone for 48 hours. Cells were maintained in DMEM containing 5 μg/ml insulin for another 2 days. Thereafter, cells were maintained in medium containing FBS only and used for experiments on day 6.

Glucose uptake in 3T3-L1 adipocytes. Glucose uptake assays were performed as described earlier (39). Briefly, mature 3T3-L1 adipocytes were incubated in serum-free DMEM for 4–6 hours. Cells were then washed 3 times with KRH buffer (12 mM Hepes, pH 7.4, 121 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 0.33 mM CaCl₂, and 1.2 mM MgSO₄) and incubated for 20 minutes in KRH buffer in the absence or presence of 100 nM insulin. Cells were treated with 2-deoxy-d-[2,6-3H]-glucose (0.33 μci/ml) for another 10 minutes. Glucose uptake was stopped quickly by 3 rapid washes with KRH buffer containing 200 mM glucose and 10 μM cytochalasin B on ice. Cells were solubilized in 0.1% SDS for 30 minutes, and radioactivity was measured by liquid scintillation counting. Total protein was determined by BCA method (Pierce), and results were normalized to protein amount.

NF-κB activity. Nuclear fractions from 3T3-L1 adipocytes were isolated using Nuclear Extraction Kit (Active Motif) per the manufacturer’s protocol. Ten micrograms of isolated nuclear extract protein was used to determine NF-κB DNA binding capacity using the NF-κB TransAm Kit (Active Motif).

RNA isolation and gene expression analysis by qPCR. Total RNA was extracted from cells or tissues using TRizol reagent (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Fat pads were fractionated into adipocytes and stromal-vascular cells as described in ref. 19. One microgram of total RNA was reverse-transcribed to first-strand cDNA using RETRORscript First Strand Synthesis Kit (Ambion) with oligo-dT primers. Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed using synthesized cDNA with SYBR Green qPCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) and specific primers using a 7900HT Fast Real Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). Primer sequences are listed in Supplemental Table 6. The relative abundance of mRNA was normalized to 36B4 mRNA as the invariant control using the ΔΔCt method (where Ct is the threshold cycle) (51).
RNA-seq. mRNA was purified from 70 ng of total RNA using the Ribo-Zero Magnetic Gold Kit (catalog MRZG126, Illumina). Libraries were prepared using the TruSeq RNA Library Preparation Kit v2 (catalog RS-122-2001, Illumina) according to the manufacturer’s protocol starting with the EFP step. Sequencing was performed on the Illumina HiSeq2500. RNA-seq data are aligned using TopHat2 (52). Reads are assigned to transcripts using featureCounts and an mm9 genome modified to minimize overlapping transcripts (53). Differential expression analysis of the data is performed using EdgeR (54). Gene set enrichment analysis is carried out with RDAVIDWebService (55) and GOstats (56) against the GO and KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) databases.

Accession number. The RNA-seq data set generated for this paper can be accessed at Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) (accession number GSE81193).

Animals. Irf3+/– mice were obtained from RIKEN BRC Experimental Animal Division (28). Experimental cohorts were generated by breeding of Irf3+/– males to Irf3+/– females. Mice were maintained on a standard chow diet (8664 Harlan Teklad, 6.4% wt/wt fat) under a regular 12 hours light/12 hours dark cycle at constant temperature (23°C). High-fat-diet experiments in male Irf3–/– mice were performed by feeding of high-fat diet (12331i, Research Diets Inc.) to 6-week-old mice for 10–16 weeks. Body weight was measured weekly. At the end of experiments, tissues were collected, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at –80°C until use. Mouse body mass composition was examined by MRI (EcoMed Systems).

Energy expenditure measurement. Metabolic rate was measured by indirect calorimetry in open-circuit Oxymax chambers that are a component of the Comprehensive Lab Animal Monitoring System (CLAMS, Columbus Instruments). All mice were acclimatized to monitoring cages for 48 hours prior to the beginning of an additional 72 hours of hourly automated recordings of physiological parameters. Mice were housed singly and maintained under a 12 hours light/12 hours dark cycle at 23°C. Food and water were available ad libitum. Data were analyzed using 2-way ANOVA.

Glucose and insulin tolerance tests. To determine glucose tolerance, mice were fasted for 12 hours and glucose (1 g/kg body weight) was administered i.p. Blood samples were collected at 0, 15, 30, 60, 90, and 120 minutes. Blood glucose levels were measured with a portable glucometer. To determine insulin tolerance, mice were fasted for 6 hours and insulin (0.8 U/kg body weight) was administered i.p. Blood glucose levels were determined at 0, 15, 30, 60, 90, and 120 minutes.

Analysis of plasma parameters. Blood samples were collected from fed (ad libitum) or fasted (14-hour food withdrawal) animals in EDTA-coated blood collection tubes, and plasma insulin levels were quantified using an ELISA kit from Crystal Chem following the manufacturer’s instructions. Plasma levels of triglycerides and FFAs were determined using commercially available kits (Thermo Scientific and Abcam, respectively).

Insulin signaling. Mice were fasted overnight for insulin signaling studies, and insulin (10 U/kg body weight) was administered i.p. After 10 minutes various tissues were harvested and stored at –80°C until use. Tissue samples were homogenized in cell signaling lysis buffer containing protease inhibitors (Roche) and phosphatase inhibitors (Sigma-Aldrich) and subjected to Western blotting. Measurement of cardiac metabolism. Cardiac respiration was determined using a Clark electrode (Strathkelvin Instruments). Freshly dissected iWAT and eWAT were rinsed in sterile saline, weighed, minced, and placed into the respiration buffer (PBS, 2% BSA, 25 mM glucose, and 1 mM pyruvate). For each tissue, readings were taken in triplicate using 3 separate pieces of tissue of equivalent size. Oxygen consumption rate was normalized to tissue weight.

Isolation and differentiation of cells from SVF. Dissected iWAT from 6- to 8-week-old WT and Irf3–/– mice was digested in buffer (collagenase D [2.5 U/ml], dispase II [2.4 U/ml], CaCl2 [10 mM] in PBS) for 30 minutes at 37°C in a prewarmed water bath. Digestion was neutralized with culture media (complete DMEM/F12 [1:1] containing GlutaMAX [Thermo Fisher], 10% FBS, and Penicillin Streptomycin [Thermo Fisher]) and filtered through a 100-μm cell strainer. The suspension was centrifuged at 500 g for 5 minutes at 4°C. Floating adipocytes and lipid layer were aspirated; the cell pellet was resuspended in culture media, filtered through a 40-μm cell strainer, and centrifuged as described above. The pellet containing cells from the stromal-vascular fraction (SVF) was seeded in a 10-cm dish until 50% confluence. Two-days-postconfluent SVF cells seeded in a 12-well plate were differentiated with 5 μg/ml insulin, 0.5 mM isobutylmethylxanthine, 1 μM dexamethasone, and 1 μM rosiglitazone for 48 hours. Cells were maintained in culture media containing 5 μg/ml insulin until day 6 and harvested for RNA at day 10.

FACS and analysis. Epididymal and inguinal fat pads from chow- or high-fat-diet mice were digested in collagenase (1 mg/ml) for 30 minutes at 37°C. Digested cells were filtered through a 100-μm cell strainer and centrifuged at 500 g for 10 minutes at 4°C. Isolated SVFs were erythrocyte depleted with rbc lysis buffer and filtered through a 40-μm cell strainer. SVFs were resuspended in FACS buffer (PBS containing 2% FBS) and stained with the indicated fluorescence-labeled antibodies or isotype control for 30 minutes at 4°C followed by washing with FACS buffer. To analyze M1 and M2 macrophages, SVFs were stained with CD11c (also known as integrin alpha X, Itgax) and CD301 (also known as macrophage galactose-type calcium-type lectin, Mgl) antibody, respectively (3). For macrophage analysis, cells were stained with Zombie Aqua Fixable Viability dye (Biolegend), and live-gated cells were sorted on a BD FACSAria II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) at the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Flow Cytometry Core. Cells were stained with DAPI for gating live cells to sort macrophages. Data were analyzed using Kaluza software (Beckman Coulter).

Tissue histology, IHC, and confocal staining. Fat tissues collected from mice were immediately fixed in 4% formaldehyde, incubated overnight at 4°C, and washed with 70% ethanol. Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissues were stained with H&E or processed for IHC or confocal imaging. For IHC, sections of formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded fat tissues were incubated with anti-F4/80 antibody (ab 6640, 1:500) overnight at room temperature. After washing, the bound antibody was detected with a labeled polymer–HRP (Envision Plus kit) using DAB chromogen. High-resolution digital images were obtained using the 3DHiStech Panoramic Scanner. For confocal imaging, eWAT and iWAT tissue sections were incubated with anti-F4/80 antibody (1:200) overnight at 4°C, washed, and incubated with appropriate secondary antibody conjugated with Alexa Fluor 647 (ab 150115). Samples were counterstained with DAPI (Vectorshied, Vector Laboratories). Fluorescent images were taken on a laser-scanning Zeiss LSM 710 inverted confocal microscope.

Quantification of plasma cytokines and chemokines. Plasma cytokines and chemokines were measured using MILLIPLEX MAP Mouse Cytokine/Chemokine Magnetic Bead Panel kit (catalog MCYT-
MAG-70K-PX32, EMD Millipore Corp.) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Data from the reactions were acquired using the Luminex MILLIPLEX analyzer, while a digital processor managed data output, and the MILLIPLEX analyst software was used to determine fluorescence intensity (MFI) and concentration (pg/ml).

Western blotting. Cell lysates (10 μg protein), cell fractions (10–50 μg protein), or tissue lysates (20–50 μg protein) were separated by 4%–15% gradient SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad). Blots were incubated with appropriate primary and HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies. Detection was performed with ECL (Western Lightning Plus-ECL, PerkinElmer Inc.) and exposed to X-ray film (Phoenix Research Products). All blots were quantified using ImageJ software (NIH, Bethesda, MD). To see unedited gels and blots, please see Supplemental Material.

Human studies. A total of 48 nondiabetic and 45 type 2 diabetic individuals were recruited in the study. The clinicodemographic data of the study participants are summarized in Supplemental Table 1. Anthropometric and clinical measurements were taken by measuring of height and weight using calibrated portable electronic weighing scales and portable inflexible height measuring bars. BMI was calculated using the standard BMI formula, body weight (kg)/height^2 (m^2).

Peripheral blood was collected from overnight-fasted individuals and analyzed for fasting glucose, glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), fasting insulin, and lipid profile using standard clinical laboratory procedures.

Collection of human subcutaneous adipose tissue samples and real-time qRT-PCR. Human adipose tissue samples (~0.5 g) were collected via abdominal subcutaneous fat pad biopsy lateral to the umbilicus using standard surgical method. Freshly collected adipose tissue samples (~50–100 mg) were preserved in RNAlater stored at –80°C until use. Total cellular RNA was purified using RNeasy kit (Qiagen) per the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA samples (1 μg each) were reverse transcribed to yield cDNA using random hexamer primers and TaqMan reverse transcription reagents (High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcript kit, Applied Biosystems). For real-time qRT-PCR, cDNA (50 ng) was amplified using TaqMan Gene Expression MasterMix (Applied Biosystems) and gene-specific 20× TaqMan Gene Expression Assays for IRF3 (Hs01547283_m1) and GAPDH (Hs03929097_g1) (Applied Biosystems) containing forward and reverse primers and a target-specific TaqMan minor groove binder (MGB) probe labeled with 6-fluorescein amidite dye at the 5’ end and nonfluorescent quencher-MGB at the 3’ end of the probe, for 40 cycles of PCR reaction using a 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). Each cycle consisted of denaturation for 15 seconds at 95°C and annealing/extension for 1 minute at 60°C, which started after uracil DNA glycosylase activation (50°C for 2 minutes) and AmpliTaq Gold enzyme activation (95°C for 10 minutes). The amplified GAPDH expression was used as internal control to normalize the differences in individual samples, and gene expression level of IRF3 relative to controls (lean adipose tissue) was calculated using the −ΔΔCt method. Relative mRNA expression was measured as fold expression over average of control gene expression. The expression level in control samples was assumed as 1, and data were presented as mean ± SEM values.

Hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp. All procedures required for the hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp were approved by the Vanderbilt University Animal Care and Use Committee. Catheters were implanted into a carotid artery and a jugular vein of mice for sampling and infusions, respectively, 5 days before the study, as described by Berglund et al. (57). Insulin clamps were performed on mice fasted for 5 hours using a modification of the method described by Ayala et al. (58). [3-3H]-glucose was primed (1.5 μCi) and continuously infused for a 90-minute equilibration and basal sampling periods (0.075 μCi/min). [3-3H]-glucose was mixed with the nonradioactive glucose infusate (infuse-specific activity of 0.5 μCi/mg) during the 2-hour clamp period. Arterial glucose was clamped using a variable rate of glucose (plus trace [3-3H]-glucose) infusion, which was adjusted on the basis of the measurement of blood glucose at 10-minute intervals. By mixing of radioactive glucose with the nonradioactive glucose infused during a clamp, deviations in arterial glucose-specific activity are minimized and steady-state conditions are achieved. The calculation of glucose kinetics is therefore more robust (59). Baseline blood or plasma variables were calculated as the mean of values obtained in blood samples collected at ~15 and ~5 minutes. At time zero, insulin infusion (2.5 mU/kg of body weight per minute) was started and continued for 120 minutes. Mice received heparinized saline-washed erythrocytes from donors at 5 μl/min to prevent a fall in hematocrit. Blood was taken from 80 to 120 minutes for the determination of [3-H]-glucose. Clamp insulin was determined for t = 100 and 120 minutes. At 120 minutes, 13 μCi of 2-[14C]-deoxyglucose ([14C]-2DG) was administered as an i.v. bolus. Blood was taken from 2 to 25 minutes for determination of [14C]-2DG. After the last sample, mice were anesthetized and tissues were freeze-clamped for biochemical analysis. Plasma insulin was determined by RIA. Radioactivity of [3-H]-glucose and [14C]-2DG in plasma samples, and [14C]-2DG-6-phosphate in tissue samples, was determined by liquid scintillation counting. Glucose appearance (Ra) and disappearance (Rd) rates were determined using steady-state equations (60). Endogenous glucose appearance (endoRa) was determined by subtraction of the glucose infusion rate from total Ra. The glucose metabolic index (Rg) was calculated as previously described (61).

Statistics. Biochemical and physiological data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was measured by Student’s unpaired t tests (2-tailed). Energy expenditure statistical analysis was performed using 2-way ANOVA for repeated measures followed by post hoc Bonferroni analysis. RNA-seq studies were analyzed as described above. A P value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Study approval. All animal studies were approved by the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center IACUC and were in accordance with NIH guidelines. The human studies were approved by the ethics committee of Dasman Diabetes Institute, Kuwait. All participants gave written informed consent.

Supplemental data. Supplemental information (online) includes 10 figures and 6 tables.
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