A major subset of human cancers shows evidence for spontaneous adaptive immunity, which is reflected by the presence of infiltrating CD8+ T cells specific for tumor antigens within the tumor microenvironment. This observation has raised the question of which innate immune sensing pathway might detect the presence of cancer and lead to a natural adaptive antitumor immune response in the absence of exogenous infectious pathogens. Evidence for a critical functional role for type I IFNs led to interrogation of candidate innate immune sensing pathways that might be triggered by tumor presence and induce type I IFN production. Such analyses have revealed a major role for the stimulator of IFN genes pathway (STING pathway), which senses cytosolic tumor–derived DNA within the cytosol of tumor-infiltrating DCs. Activation of this pathway is correlated with IFN-β production and induction of antitumor T cells. Based on the biology of this natural immune response, pharmacologic agonists of the STING pathway are being developed to augment and optimize STING activation as a cancer therapy. Intratumoral administration of STING agonists results in remarkable therapeutic activity in mouse models, and STING agonists are being carried forward into phase I clinical testing.
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A major subset of human cancers shows evidence for spontaneous adaptive immunity, which is reflected by the presence of infiltrating CD8+ T cells specific for tumor antigens within the tumor microenvironment. This observation has raised the question of which innate immune sensing pathway might detect the presence of cancer and lead to a natural adaptive antitumor immune response in the absence of exogenous infectious pathogens. Evidence for a critical functional role for type I IFNs led to interrogation of candidate innate immune sensing pathways that might be triggered by tumor presence and induce type I IFN production. Such analyses have revealed a major role for the stimulator of IFN genes pathway (STING pathway), which senses cytosolic tumor–derived DNA within the cytosol of tumor-infiltrating DCs. Activation of this pathway is correlated with IFN-β production and induction of antitumor T cells. Based on the biology of this natural immune response, pharmacologic agonists of the STING pathway are being developed to augment and optimize STING activation as a cancer therapy. Intratumoral administration of STING agonists results in remarkable therapeutic activity in mouse models, and STING agonists are being carried forward into phase I clinical testing.

Introduction

The observation of spontaneous T cell responses against tumors suggests that endogenous adjuvants might provide signals for activation of antigen presenting cells (APCs). Pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) expressed by APCs typically recognize molecular entities derived from infectious agents, which trigger innate immune responses but also lead to APC activation and induction of adaptive T cell responses. Multiple families of PRRs have been identified that reside in the plasma membrane, within intracellular vesicles, and in the cytosol of APCs. These include TLRs (1), C-type lectin receptors (CLRs) (2), NOD-like receptors (NLRs) (3), retinoic-inducible gene-1-like (RIG-I-like) receptors (RLRs) (4), and cytosolic DNA sensors (5). Binding of ligands to PRRs activates adaptor molecules and downstream signaling events, leading to the secretion of type I IFNs, inflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and antimicrobial peptides. These factors orchestrate innate immune responses that initiate pathogen clearance but also result in maturation of APCs (in particular DCs), which in turn prime and activate antigen-specific T cells.

In the tumor setting, recent evidence has indicated that the major innate immune pathway involved in the generation of a spontaneous antitumor T cell response is the stimulator of IFN genes (STING) pathway of cytosolic DNA sensing (6, 7). Based on this finding, deliberate activation of the STING pathway has been explored as a cancer therapy, and STING agonists have been found to induce profound tumor control via host immune cell activation (8). In this review, we will summarize the most recent literature on the molecular basis of the activation of the endogenous STING pathway in the recognition of tumors, as well as the rationale and progress in the development of STING agonists as tumor immunotherapies.

Type I IFNs in the generation of antitumor immune responses

Evaluation of gene expression profiles of melanoma metastases revealed two major tumor microenvironment phenotypes: a T cell–inflamed phenotype characterized by the presence of T cell markers and chemokines related to T cell recruitment (in particular CXCL9 and CXCL10) (9–11) and a non–T cell–inflamed phenotype that lacks expression of these immune-related genes. The T cell–inflamed phenotype is characterized by high levels of immune inhibitory factors, including programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1), the tryptophan-catabolizing enzyme indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO), and FOXP3+ Tregs (10, 12), which likely are indicative of tumor escape from a successfully activated antitumor immune response. Importantly, clinical data have revealed that patients with this T cell–inflamed tumor phenotype are the most likely to respond to several immunotherapies, including therapeutic cancer vaccines (9), high-dose IL-2 (13), and antibodies against the inhibitory receptors cytotoxic T-lymphocyte associated-4 (CTLA-4) (14, 15) and PD-1 (16). Preclinical studies and translational biomarker analyses have suggested that the therapeutic activity of these immunotherapies is associated with reactivation of T cells already within the tumor microenvironment (17, 18). Similarly, the presence of activated CD8+ T cells in solid tumors correlates with better prognosis in patients with several different malignancies (19–23).

While it is conceivable that tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) might be a nonspecific reflection of inflammation, several lines of evidence suggest that at least a subset of these T cells can...
recognize tumor antigens. CD8+ TILs that are specific for differentiation antigens such as MelanA (MART-1) have been identified in melanoma (24). Moreover, TIL-based adoptive T cell therapy as developed by the Rosenberg group, which generates clinical responses in excess of 50% in metastatic melanoma patients, uses T cells from the tumor microenvironment as a starting point, indicating that tumor antigen–specific T cells must reside there, even if they are maintained in a dysfunctional state in situ. Recent work to molecularly identify tumor antigens associated with clinical response in such patients has revealed that many TILs are specific for mutated antigens generated as a consequence of somatic genomic instability (25). With checkpoint blockade therapy, expansion of circulating T cells against specific antigens has been observed following treatment (26), and oligoclonality of TILs following anti–PD-1 mAb treatment also has been reported (18). As such, there is substantial evidence that an antigen-specific T cell response becomes generated in a major subset of cancer patients. However, until recently, the mechanism by which this adaptive immune response becomes primed in the absence of the innate immune-activating molecular patterns typically provided by components of exogenous infectious pathogens had remained elusive.

The first clue that innate immune pathways might be activated in the tumor context came from the analysis of gene expression profiling of melanoma metastases. It was observed that the presence of T cell–associated transcripts correlated with expression of genes known to be induced by type I IFNs (10). The type I IFN signature, similarly to T cell infiltration itself, has been reported to predict favorable clinical outcome to therapeutic cancer vaccines (11), as well as to anthracycline-based chemotherapy in patients with breast carcinoma (27). Type I IFNs can act at several levels within the generation of an adaptive T cell response, promoting cross-priming of antigens by APCs and their migration to lymph nodes, thereby enhancing the effector functions of CTLs and supporting the survival of memory CTLs (28). Importantly, in the tumor setting, signaling through the type I IFN receptor on host hematopoietic cells has been shown to be critical for the generation of an immunologic response against chemically induced sarcomas (29). In mouse transplantable tumor models, host type I IFN signaling within APCs was required for spontaneous regression of immunogenic tumors (6, 30). In fact, type I IFN signaling was required specifically within a minor subset of DCs identified by the transcription factor BATF3. Murine BATF3–lineage DCs express the markers CD8α or CD103 and are the most potent type of APC for presentation of antigens to CD8+ T cells (31). In addition, signaling through host type I IFN receptor (IFNAR) was shown to regulate bone metastasis of breast cancer cells (32). Spine metastases showed diminished expression of more than 500 IFN-regulated genes (IRGs) compared with the primary tumors, suggesting that expression of IRGs protects against metastases (32). Thus, similarly to most viral infections, host type I IFN signaling appears to be crucial for inducing an adaptive immune response against tumors.

Apart from boosting antitumor immune responses, type I IFNs have been implicated in tumor suppression through the induction of apoptosis and antiproliferative responses acting directly on tumor cells (33). Therefore, it is reasonable to consider that tumor cells might attenuate production of type I IFNs in order to escape from these tumor-regulatory consequences. Indeed, most immortalized and tumor cell lines, but not primary cells, fail to generate type I IFNs in response to intracellular DNA (34). One of the molecular mechanisms that may explain this observation is that certain oncoproteins antagonize innate immune signaling that leads to the transcription of type I IFNs and other immune cytokines (35, 36). Another mechanism that has been observed in both leukemia and glioma cells is the homozygous deletion of type I IFN genes (37, 38). Consistent with these data, it has been demonstrated that the main cell types that produce IFN-β within the tumor microenvironment are innate immune cells (predominantly DCs) and endothelial cells (6, 39).

A critical question was the identity of the major innate immune pathway that detects the presence of tumor cells and/or tumor-derived factors in order to trigger the production of type I IFNs by host APCs. Mechanistic studies using mouse transplantable tumor models showed that signaling through TLRs, the cytosolic RNA sensing pathway mediated by mitochondrial antiviral-signaling protein (MAVS), or the purinergic receptor P2X ligand-gated ion channel 7 (PX72R) were dispensable in the generation of spontaneous T cell priming against tumor antigens. However, deficiency in STING (also known as transmembrane protein 173 [TMEM173], MITA, ERIS, and MPYS) or the transcription factor IFN regulatory factor 3 (IRF3) resulted in markedly diminished host IFN-β production and T cell priming, leading to defective growth control.

Figure 1. Scheme showing the activation of the STING pathway by cytosolic DNA. Cytosolic DNA is recognized by cGAS, which catalyzes the generation of cGAMP. cGAMP binds to STING and leads to its activation, which involves translocation from the ER to perinuclear sites. This translocation results in the recruitment and activation of TBK1 by autophosphorylation. Active TBK1, in turn, phosphorylates the transcription factor IRF3, which translocates to the nucleus to induce transcription of type I IFN genes.
of immunogenic tumors (7). A large fraction of tumor-infiltrating DCs showed the presence of tumor-derived DNA in the cytosol that correlated with IRF3 translocation to the nucleus and expression of IFN-β. Together, these data suggest that the main innate immune sensing pathway activated within APCs in the context of a growing tumor is the STING pathway.

Regulation of the STING pathway in APCs and its role in cancer

STING is an adaptor protein anchored in the ER. In its basal state, STING exists as a dimer, with its C-terminal domain residing in the cytosol; however, in the presence of cytosolic DNA — typically due to viral, bacterial, or parasitic infections (5), but also under conditions in which mammalian DNA itself can gain access to the cytosol (40, 41) — STING undergoes conformational changes and transits from the ER through the Golgi to perinuclear endosomes by a mechanism that seems to require components of the autophagosome (42). Consequently, STING recruits TANK-binding kinase 1 (TBK1), which phosphorylates STING, rendering it more accessible for the binding of IRF3 (43). TBK1 then phosphorylates IRF3, which translocates to the nucleus to drive transcription of IFN-β and other innate immune genes (refs. 44–46 and Figure 1).

Since 2009, several putative cytosolic DNA receptors have been explored for the potential to drive STING activation (47); however, none of these receptors was universally accepted to be the major DNA receptor for activation of this pathway. The discovery of the bacterial second messengers cyclic-di-nucleotides (CDNs) (48) as natural ligands of STING (44, 49) provided clues regarding the endogenous mechanism that links the presence of cytosolic DNA to the activation of STING. Importantly, it was found that, not only bacteria, but also mammalian cells generate CDNs (50–53) via the DNA sensor cyclic GMP-AMP synthase (cGAS/MB21D1), which catalyzes the synthesis of cyclic GMP-AMP (cGAMP) from GTP and ATP upon DNA binding (54). Cells from cGAS-deficient mice were unable to produce type I IFNs in response to cytosolic DNA (55). Overall, it is now generally accepted that upon DNA exposure within the cytosol, cGAS is the major receptor that directly binds DNA, leading to cGAMP production, which in turn engages STING to trigger the remaining signaling events that drive IFN-β expression.

STING pathway activation within APCs in the tumor microenvironment leads to production of IFN-β and the spontaneous generation of antitumor CD8+ T cell responses, allowing for control of the growth of several transplantable tumor cell models (7). Although the STING pathway is critical for T cell priming and T cell–based rejection in the tumor context, it is not required for general rejection of all types of tissues. Using a skin graft rejection model across minor histocompatibility antigen differences (56), it was shown that DNA released into the cytosol of carcinogen-damaged cells stimulated the STING pathway, driving the recruitment of phagocytes that in turn drove a process of inflammation that promoted tumor development (65, 66). DNA sensing by the STING pathway can also mediate immune regulatory responses by induction of IFN-stimulated genes (ISG) with immunoregulatory functions like IDO (67). It has been shown that stimulation of the STING pathway in myeloid DCs using DNA nanoparticles induces IDO, which activate Tregs to promote dominant inhibitory T cell regulation (68, 69). These data suggest that activation of the STING pathway can induce immune suppressive factors in the tumor microenvironment; thus, an appropriate level of STING pathway activation may be required for optimal antitumor effects.

STING pathway activation in other cell types

While activation of the STING pathway has been well characterized in APCs, its role in other cell types has been less studied. Within the tumor microenvironment, T cells, endothelial cells, and fibroblasts all have been observed to produce type I IFNs upon stimulation with STING agonists ex vivo; however, the magnitude of type I IFN production was substantially lower compared with macrophages and DCs (8). Treatment of T cells with STING agonists has been shown to upregulate expression of genes associated with cytotoxic T cell function, suggesting a potential T cell–intrinsic role of the STING pathway (70).

It appears that some tumor cells have evolved mechanisms to shut down activation of the STING pathway or generation of STING-dependent cytokines. A recent study using human colon cancer cell lines showed a defect in STING-mediated innate immune signaling, which in some cases was mediated by epigenetic silencing of STING or cGAS gene expression (71), a feature
that is shared by other tumor cell types (54). E7 and E1A oncoproteins expressed by the human papillomavirus (HPV) and adenoviruses, respectively, potently inhibit the cGAS-STING pathway by binding STING and blocking its activation (35). Thus, inhibition of STING pathway activation may represent a mechanism of immune evasion during carcinogenesis.

The STING pathway can become activated under some circumstances in which there is DNA damage. Exposure to γ-radiation or chemotherapeutic drugs such as etoposide leads to the release of DNA into the cytosol and stimulation of the STING pathway in APCs (72). The therapeutic effect of stereotactic radiation in vivo appears to depend on host STING, as well as type I IFNs and the resulting downstream T cell response (73). Together, these data indicate that the therapeutic effect of radiation is partially mediated by STING pathway activation. Further investigation will be needed to clarify whether chemotherapeutic agents that cause DNA damage also exert their therapeutic effect by stimulating the STING pathway in vivo, consequently promoting adaptive immune responses against tumors.

Pharmacological use of STING agonists

Based on the collective evidence indicating a role for endogenous STING pathway signaling in the generation of spontaneous immune responses against tumors, it was of interest to evaluate whether direct pharmacologic stimulation of the STING pathway might induce potent antitumor immunity and support rejection of poorly immunogenic tumors in vivo. It became possible to test the effects of STING activation when it was discovered that the well-characterized vascular disrupting agent 5,6-dimethylxanthone-4-acetic acid (DMXAA) (74) was in fact a direct agonist of mouse STING (75–78). DMXAA was previously known for its antivascular properties derived from a direct effect on tumor endothelial cells (79) or an indirect effect on macrophages as result of the induction TNF-α and NO generation by tumor-associated macrophages (TAM) (80, 81). DMXAA also induced repolarization of M2-like macrophages to an M1-like phenotype in a mouse model of non–small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) (82); a similar activity conferred by treatment with a synthetic CDN was recently shown (83). Armed with the knowledge that DMXAA interacted with mouse STING, in vivo experiments were reapproached from the perspective of DMXAA being a STING agonist. A single intratumoral injection of DMXAA was sufficient to promote rejection of B16 melanoma in most of the treated mice and was associated with a marked increase in the frequency of tumor-specific CD8+ T cells (8).

Figure 2. The STING pathway is activated within intratumoral DCs and boosts an adaptive immune response against tumors. (A) Activation of STING in DCs by endogenous sources, most probably DNA released by dying tumor cells. DC activation via STING primes a low-level, endogenous T cell response that is insufficient to overcome the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment. Thus, tumor growth continues in spite of activation of STING in DCs. (B) Intratumoral injection of synthetic STING agonists directly stimulates the STING pathway. Synchronized activation of DCs in the tumor leads to markedly augmented priming of tumor-specific T cells in tumor-draining lymph nodes (LNs). Downstream, recruitment of effector T cells into the tumor microenvironment is facilitated by the release of the chemokines CXCL9/10 from DCs and other cells at the tumor site. Successfully recruited antigen-specific effector T cells promote tumor cell killing, leading to measurable tumor shrinkage (8).
the negative results of the phase III clinical trial of DMXAA in patients with NSCLC (84). These recent insights have motivated a renewed search for effective agonists of human STING as a potential new approach for cancer immunotherapy.

### Development of human STING agonists as cancer immunotherapeutics

Human STING agonists based on CDN ligands are being developed for clinical translation. STING-activating CDNs may have high translational potential as oncology therapeutics due to their potent antitumor activity as a single agent in aggressive mouse syngeneic tumor models (8, 63, 83–86). Therapeutic antitumor efficacy with CDN-based STING agonists was initially demonstrated with daily i.p. injection of cyclic diguanylate (c-di-GMP) in the 4T1 mammary carcinoma model (85). However, c-di-GMP and other bacterial CDNs that have a canonical structure defined by a phosphate bridge with two 3′-5′ linkages to join the two purine nucleotides into a cyclic molecule (3′,3′ CDNs) likely have limited translational value due to an inability to activate all human STING alleles D (51, 87). An analysis of the 1000 Genome Project database (http://www.1000genomes.org/) identified five human STING alleles including the WT allele, the reference (REF) allele (G230A, R293Q), the Q allele (R293Q) (87). A ratio-

| Table 1. Clinical trials utilizing intratumoral delivery of innate immune system agonists |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Innate Pathway Target** | **Molecule** | **Clinical Trial** | **Clinical trials.gov identifier** |
| STING | Disodium dithio-(R,R,R)-[cylic [A(2′,5′)pA(3′,5′)p]] [Rp, Rp]-Cyclic(adenosine–2′,5′-monophosphorothioate–adenosine–3′,5′-monophosphorothioate), disodium (ML RR-S2 CDA, ADU-S100, MIW815) | Study of the Safety and Efficacy of MIW815 (ADU-S100) in Patients With Advanced/Metastatic Solid Tumors or Lymphomas | NCT02675439 |
| TLR3/RIG-I | Poly-ICLC (Hiltonol) | In Situ, Autologous Therapeutic Vaccination Against Solid Cancers With Intratumoral Hiltonol (Poly-ICLC) | NCT02423863 |
| TLR4 | GLA | Study of Intratumoral G100 Therapy in Patients With Or Without Pembrolizumab With Follicular Non-Hodgkin’s Lymphoma | NCT02501473 |
| TLR7/8 | MEDI9197 | A Proof-of-Concept Trial of GLA-SE in Patients With Merkel Cell Carcinoma | NCT02035657 |
| TLR8 | VTX-2337 (Motolimid) | TLR8 Agonist VTX-2337 and Cyclophosphamide in Treating Patients With Metastatic, Persistent, Recurrent, or Progressive Solid Tumors | NCT02650635 |
| | | Study of SD-101 in Combination With Localized Low-dose Radiation in Patients With Untreated Low-grade B-cell Lymphoma | NCT02266147 |
| | | A Trial of Intratumoral Injections of SD-101 in Combination With Pembrolizumab in Patients With Metastatic Melanoma | NCT02521870 |
(formulated with Lipofectamine) induced antitumor responses in several melanoma models and in the MC38 colon carcinoma model lends further support to an approach using intratumoral-administered CDN-based STING agonists in the clinic (39).

Strategies to further enhance STING immunotherapy by combining with other immunomodulatory agents are being explored in mouse models. PD-L1 expression is increased in the tumor microenvironment of tumor-bearing mice treated with CDN formulated with the cancer vaccine GVAX (STINGVAX), and the combination STINGVAX and anti-PD1 was more efficacious than either treatment alone (86). These data support the notion that strategies to counteract adaptive immune resistance may enhance the potency of STING agonists in the clinic. In another study, the antitumor efficacy of cGAMP administered by intratumoral injection into B16.F10 tumors was enhanced when combined with anti-PD1 and anti-CTLA4 antibodies (39). Similarly, antitumor efficacy mediated by intratumoral injection of ML RR-S2 CDA was improved when combined with immune checkpoint inhibitors, suggesting a possible combination for future clinical development (our unpublished data). An enhancement of antitumor efficacy using STING agonists combined with a chemotherapeutic agent was reported using daily i.v. injections of mammalian cGAMP and 5-fluorouracil in the mouse CT26 colon carcinoma model (88). Combined radiotherapy and intratumorally administered CDN also worked synergistically to induce antitumor immune responses in the MC38 colon carcinoma model and PancO2 pancreatic cancer model via a mechanism involving enhancement of tumor-specific CD8+ T cell immunity (73, 83). It was also demonstrated that treatment with anti-TNF-α blocked CDN/radiotherapy-mediated hemorrhagic necrosis and early tumor regression, highlighting the importance of both local inflammation for the initial tumor regression and systemic immunity to induce antitumor responses (83). In addition to combination strategies to enhance the therapeutic efficacy of a STING agonist, it will be worthwhile to explore strategies for utilizing formulations of CDNs for systemic delivery to broaden the range of treatable cancer types beyond those that are easily accessible for intratumoral injection.

Clinical trials evaluating the safety and efficacy of intratumoral injection of TLR agonists into the tumor mass with innate immune modulators are completed or ongoing (89–91). Intratumoral injection of CpG-rich oligodeoxynucleotides (CpG ODN, PF-3512676) in combination with low-dose radiotherapy was administered to 15 subjects with advanced non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma in a phase I/II clinical study (89). This treatment was generally well tolerated and resulted in significant reductions of both treated and distal lesions in several subjects, with 13 of 15 subjects showing clinical responses. Several other TLR ligands are currently being evaluated clinically, including the TRL3/ RIG-1 agonist polyinosinic-polycytidylic acid–LC (poly(I:C)-LC) (Hiltonol), the TLR4 agonist glucopyranosyl lipid adjuvant (GLA), and the TLR8 agonist, VTX-2337. A list of these active clinical trials is provided in Table 1.

Multiple clinical trials have also been conducted with oncolytic viruses, which may function in part through innate immune activation. Talimogene laherparepvec (T-Vec) is a recombinant engineered herpes simplex virus-1 (HSV-1) — a double-stranded DNA virus encoding granulocyte-macrophage CSF (GM-CSF) that, when given by intratumoral injection, has been shown to reduce the size of both injected and noninjected tumors. These reductions correlated with increased survival of patients with advanced melanoma (92). Interestingly, STING was found to be the requisite sensor for the induction of IFN-β in response to HSV-1 infection (42), raising the possibility that the efficacy of T-Vec may be partially STING dependent. Clinical evaluation of these diverse innate immune modulators and encouraging preclinical efficacy with STING agonists alone and in combination with other immunomodulatory agents demonstrate the high translational potential for STING pathway engagement. Toward this objective, a Phase 1 clinical study to evaluate the safety, tolerability, and possible antitumor effects of repeated intratumorally administered doses of ML RR-S2 CDA (ADU-S100) in subjects with cutaneously accessible solid tumors and lymphomas is underway (clinicaltrials.gov, NCT02675439).

Conclusions and future perspectives

Activation of the STING pathway is a central innate immune sensing mechanism that leads to type I IFN production in the tumor microenvironment. As tumors with a type I IFN signature correlate with infiltration of CD8+ T cells, the use of intratumoral STING agonists holds promise as a cancer therapeutic. However, there are some questions that remain unanswered, and a deeper biologic understanding of the pathway is still needed. Mechanistically, it is still unknown how DNA is transferred to APCs from dying tumor cells in order to activate the STING pathway. In addition, the full spectrum of cell types within the tumor microenvironment that could activate the STING pathway is incompletely understood. Identification of the cell subsets that lead to type I IFN production and the functional contribution of these cells should be explored. This question is also relevant for pharmaceutical activation of the pathway, as it is possible that additional cell types activate the STING pathway and contribute to therapeutic effects. Completion of early phase clinical trials with human STING agonists will identify biologic and therapeutic effects in patients, which could also lead to combination clinical trials with checkpoint inhibitors.

Acknowledgments

L. Corrales is supported by a Cancer Research Institute Irvington postdoctoral fellowship. Some of the research discussed in this review was supported by K01 CA181160 from the National Cancer Institute.

Address correspondence to: Thomas F. Gajewski, University of Chicago, 5841 S. Maryland Ave., MC2115, Chicago, Illinois 60617, USA. Phone: 773.702.4601; E-mail: tgajewski@medicinebsd.uchicago.edu.

5. Barber GN. STING-dependent cytosolic

5. Barber GN. STING-dependent cytosolic