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While the transcription factor forkhead box M1 (FOXM1) is well known as a proto-oncogene, its potential role in lung fibroblast activation has never been explored. Here, we show that FOXM1 is more highly expressed in fibrotic than in normal lung fibroblasts in humans and mice. FOXM1 was required not only for cell proliferation in response to mitogens, but also for myofibroblast differentiation and apoptosis resistance elicited by TGF-β. The lipid mediator PGE2, acting via cAMP signaling, was identified as an endogenous negative regulator of FOXM1. Finally, genetic deletion of FOXM1 in fibroblasts or administration of the FOXM1 inhibitor Siomycin A in a therapeutic protocol attenuated bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis. Our results identify FOXM1 as a driver of lung fibroblast activation and underscore the therapeutic potential of targeting FOXM1 for pulmonary fibrosis.

Introduction
Substantial morbidity and mortality are attributable to fibroproliferative disorders that impair function of vital organs (1). Fibrotic remodeling of the lung parenchyma is observed in a variety of interstitial lung diseases, the most common of which is idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF). This is a chronic, irreversible, and progressive disease of unknown cause, which annually kills more people in the US than does breast cancer. Only recently has the FDA approved the first 2 drugs for the treatment of IPF, nintedanib and pirfenidone (2). Although these agents have been shown to slow progression of disease, neither of them reverses existing fibrosis. Thus, there remains a pressing unmet need to identify new molecular pathways driving fibrosis that can be targeted therapeutically. Because fibrosis is characterized by the accumulation of activated mesenchymal cells termed myofibroblasts, which elaborate extracellular matrix proteins, such as collagen (3), pathways responsible for the activation of these key effector cells are of particular interest.

Forkhead box M1 (FOXM1) is a transcription factor best recognized as a master regulator of cell cycle progression on the basis of studies in a variety of cell types, including epithelial cells, T cells, B cells, and dendritic cells (4, 5). Among its isoforms, FOXM1b (hereafter designated simply FOXM1) has been studied most extensively and is considered a proto-oncogene in a variety of human cancers (6, 7). FOXM1 regulates its own transcription as well as that of a number of cell cycle genes, including CCND1, involved in G1/S progression, and CCNB1 and PLKI, both of which are critical for G2/M transition. FOXM1 also upregulates BIRC5 (also known as survivin), a gene critical for mitotic spindle checkpoint integrity and for antiapoptotic activity (8–10).

However, the role of FOXM1 in driving activation of resident fibroblasts in the context of pulmonary fibrosis has never been explored. Additionally, little is known about the mechanisms by which FOXM1 is activated or the existence of negative endogenous regulators of its expression in any cell type. The data presented in this report provide new insights into the regulation of FOXM1 in fibrotic lung fibroblasts and support its potential as a target for therapeutic intervention in pulmonary fibrosis.

Results
Fibrotic fibroblasts exhibit increased FOXM1 expression. To evaluate the relevance of fibroblast FOXM1 in pulmonary fibrosis, we compared FOXM1 expression in fibrotic and control lung fibroblasts. Basal FOXM1 mRNA expression in lung fibroblasts isolated from patients with IPF was significantly (41%) higher than that in controls, and greater expression was also manifested at the protein level (Figure 1, A and B). As has been observed previously for a number of parameters (11, 12), IPF fibroblasts exhibited a greater degree of heterogeneity than did control cells with respect to mRNA and protein expression of FOXM1. Of note, a good correlation was seen between mRNA and protein levels for individual IPF patients, and the 3 patients with low FOXM1 mRNA levels also exhibited low protein levels. Parallel studies were performed in fibroblasts grown from mouse lung harvested on day 21 following intrapulmonary administration of either saline or the fibrogenic substance bleomycin. Again, fibroblasts from bleomycin-treated lungs expressed significantly (32%) higher Foxm1 mRNA and a corresponding higher level of FOXM1 protein than fibroblasts from control lungs (Figure 1, C and D). Collectively, these data indicate that increased expression of FOXM1 is a feature of the highly activated lung fibroblasts that are the key effector cells in pulmonary fibrosis in both humans and a standard mouse model. We also evaluated the presence of FOXM1-positive cells in lung sections from control and fibrotic human and mouse lung via IHC. Normal human lung tissue and lung tissue from saline-treated mice showed positive staining in...
starved fibroblasts with FGF2 elicited a time-dependent increase in FOXM1 expression (Figure 2A). This increase was completely blocked by pretreatment with the transcription inhibitor actinomycin D (Figure 2A). Figure 2B shows a corresponding increase in FOXM1 protein expression 48 hours after the addition of FGF2. In parallel with their respective effects on fibroblast proliferation, PDGF likewise increased FOXM1 expression, while TGF-β (2 ng/ml) did not (Supplemental Figure 2G). Treatment with FGF2 elicited an increment in FOXM1 expression in nonfibrotic fibroblasts isolated from lungs resected from patients at our institution (Figure 2C) that was similar to its effect on commercially available CCL210 cells (Figure 2A). Although IPF fibroblasts exhibited higher levels of FOXM1 mRNA at baseline than did nonfibrotic controls (Figure 1A), they demonstrated a further increase in response to FGF2 stimulation; however, this increment was significantly (59.4%) less than that seen in nonfibrotic controls (Figure 2C). As noted for basal FOXM1 mRNA expression in Figure 1A, the IPF cells exhibited a greater degree of variation in FGF2-stimulated levels of FOXM1 mRNA expression than did the controls. However, IPF lines with higher levels of FOXM1 demonstrated greater basal proliferation than did IPF lines with lower levels of FOXM1 (Figure 2A), whose proliferation rates were comparable to those of nonfibrotic controls (Figure 2D). A robust positive correlation between FOXM1 expression and proliferation rate for the entire group of patient-derived cell lines is shown in Supplemental Figure 3A.

Studies from various cancer cell lines of predominantly epithelial origin reported that growth factor–induced activation results in FOXM1 autoregulation through stimulation of its own alveolar epithelium and alveolar macrophages, consistent with prior reports (13). Both IPF and bleomycin lung demonstrated abundant FOXM1 staining in the fibrotic interstitial regions. In addition, fibrotic foci within IPF lung demonstrated clear FOXM1 staining in elongated spindle-shaped cells that were also positive for Col1, consistent with fibroblasts/myofibroblasts (Supplemental Figure 1, A and B; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI87631DS1).

FOXM1 is critical for mitogen-induced fibroblast proliferation and expression of proliferation-associated genes. Although FOXM1 is known to regulate cell cycle events in various cell types, such a role in lung fibroblasts has never been reported. Since FGF2 and PDGF are pertinent fibroblast mitogens implicated in the pathogenesis of lung fibrosis (14), we assessed the role of FOXM1 induction in fibroblasts stimulated to proliferate in response to these growth factors. Based on its near-maximal stimulatory effect on the proliferation of commercially obtained normal human adult lung CCL210 fibroblasts at 48 hours (Supplemental Figure 2A), we chose an FGF2 concentration of 25 ng/ml for most of our subsequent studies. We confirmed that, at this dose, FGF2 induced the mRNA and protein expression of cell cycle–regulated genes CCND1, CCNB1, PLK1, and BIRC5 at 24 to 48 hours (Supplemental Figure 2, B and C). We observed similar results with another mitogen, PDGF (Supplemental Figure 2, D and E). TGF-β has also been reported to promote fibroblast proliferation (15), but we observed only a modest increase that reached statistical significance only at 5 to 10 ng/ml (Supplemental Figure 2F), with little effect at the dose sufficient to elicit myofibroblast differentiation (2 ng/ml). Stimulation of serum-starved fibroblasts with FGF2 elicited a time-dependent increase in FOXM1 expression (Figure 2A). This increase was completely blocked by pretreatment with the transcription inhibitor actinomycin D (Figure 2A). Figure 2B shows a corresponding increase in FOXM1 protein expression 48 hours after the addition of FGF2. In parallel with their respective effects on fibroblast proliferation, PDGF likewise increased FOXM1 expression, while TGF-β (2 ng/ml) did not (Supplemental Figure 2G).

Figure 1. Fibrotic fibroblasts exhibit increased FOXM1 expression. (A and B) Basal FOXM1 expression in fibroblasts grown from lungs of patients with IPF and control nonfibrotic lungs by qPCR analysis (A) and Western blot analysis (B). (C and D) Basal FOXM1 expression in fibroblasts grown from lungs of bleomycin- and saline-treated mice by qPCR analysis (C) and Western blot analysis (D). Each numeral in A and B denotes a single patient–derived cell line. In C, each symbol represents an individual murine-derived line of fibroblasts. Bars represent mean ± SEM. In B and D, each lane represents an individual patient- or murine-derived line of fibroblasts. *P < 0.05.
compared with control scrambled siRNA, FOXM1 siRNA significantly attenuated the FGF2-induced increase in expression of cell cycle–regulated genes (Figure 2G).

We next tested the effects of Siomycin A (Sio A), an inhibitor of FOXM1 binding to DNA (18), on expression of FOXM1 and FOXM1 target genes as well as proliferation. Preliminary dose-finding experiments demonstrated substantial inhibitory effects of this agent at concentrations of 2.5 and 5 μM, and we employed the 2.5 μM dose in subsequent experiments. Sio A significantly attenuated basal FOXM1 expression in fibroblasts (Figure 2H) as well as the FGF2-induced upregulation of FOXM1 (Figure 2H) and of FOXM1 target genes (Figure 2I).

transcription (8). To determine whether FOXM1 is sufficient to drive expression of cell cycle–regulated genes in CCL210 cells, we employed both CMV T7 promoter–driven and adenoviral-driven FOXM1 overexpression (16, 17) (Supplemental Figure 4, A–C). Indeed, forced overexpression of FOXM1 resulted in transcriptional activation of cell cycle–regulated genes (Figure 2E and Supplemental Figure 4D) and was potentiated by FGF2 stimulation (Supplemental Figure 4D). To test specifically whether FOXM1 is necessary for FGF2-induced expression of cell cycle–regulated genes, fibroblasts were transfected with FOXM1 siRNA prior to stimulation with FGF2. The degree of knockdown of basal FOXM1 with 24 hours of siRNA was approximately 56 % (Figure 2F). As compared with control scrambled siRNA, FOXM1 siRNA significantly attenuated the FGF2-induced increase in expression of cell cycle–regulated genes (Figure 2G).
Together, these data demonstrate that lung fibroblasts are highly sensitive to the cell cycle–inhibitory effects of Sio A and that such effects reflect inhibition of FOXM1 rather than of the proteasome.

FGF2 upregulates FOXM1 expression via a PI3K/PDK1/AKT signaling pathway. To elucidate the molecular pathway involved in FGF2-induced FOXM1 expression/activation, we focused on ERK1/2- and AKT-signaling pathways, as both of these kinases were previously reported to activate FOXM1 (23). Indeed, both ERK1/2 and AKT exhibited phosphorylation upon FGF2 stimulation (Figure 3A). Inhibition of ERK1/2 did not prevent FGF2 actions on FOXM1 expression; however, inhibition of AKT or its upstream kinases PI3K and PDK1 markedly reduced FOXM1 expression (Figure 3B).

Of the 4 isoforms of the PI3K catalytic subunit p110 (p110α, -β, -γ, and -δ), fibroblasts predominantly expressed PIK3CA (encodes PI3Kα) (Figure 3C), and inhibition of PI3Kα likewise completely blocked FGF2-induced FOXM1 expression (Figure 3D). Forced overexpression of constitutively active AKT (Myr-AKT) (24) upregulated expression of FOXM1 and FOXM1 target genes in fibroblasts. A also markedly reduced proliferation in both unstimulated and FGF2-stimulated fibroblasts (Figure 2J). The 2.5 μM concentration at which Sio A inhibited proliferation as well as expression of FOXM1 and cell cycle–regulated genes in lung fibroblasts was unassociated with demonstrable cytotoxicity (data not shown). Because Sio A has also been reported to inhibit activity of the proteasome (19), we directly examined its effect on proteasome activity in fibroblasts. As a positive control for inhibition in this assay, we employed the known proteasome inhibitor MG132 (20, 21). As expected, MG132 dose dependently inhibited proteasome activity, achieving near-complete inhibition at a concentration of 25 μM (Figure 2K). Although Sio A elicited modest proteasome inhibition at doses of 10 and 25 μM, no such inhibitory activity was observed at 2.5 and 5 μM, the concentrations that were sufficient to inhibit FOXM1 expression and proliferation (22). In parallel experiments with BEAS-2B normal human bronchial epithelial cells, a Sio A dose of approximately 7.5 μM was necessary to inhibit epidermal growth factor–induced proliferation (Supplemental Figure 6H).
ed the upregulation of cell cycle–regulated genes induced by FGF2 (Figure 4B). We next examined the effects of PGE\(_2\) on FOXM1 expression and found that PGE\(_2\) significantly reduced basal as well as FGF2-induced FOXM1 expression (Figure 4, C and D). Similar actions were observed for butaprost (a PGE\(_2\) analog with selective EP2 receptor agonist activity) and the direct adenylyl cyclase activator forskolin (Figure 4E). Consistent with our observations with aspirin on fibroblast proliferation, aspirin treatment enhanced both basal and FGF2-induced FOXM1 expression as well as that of the cell cycle–regulated gene CCNB1 (Figure 4, F and G). From these findings, we conclude that endogenous and exogenous PGE\(_2\) via an EP2/cAMP pathway negatively regulates FOXM1 expression in parallel with its inhibition of lung fibroblast proliferation. We believe that these studies identify PGE\(_2\) as the first endogenous substance recognized as inhibiting FOXM1 activation/expression.

**Molecular mechanisms for the inhibitory actions of PGE\(_2\) on FOXM1.** PGE\(_2\) has been reported to inhibit FGF2-mediated

Figure 4. PGE\(_2\) acts as an endogenous brake for FOXM1 expression in lung fibroblasts. (A) Effect of pretreatment for 30 minutes with 0.1 mM aspirin or 0.5 \(\mu M\) PGE\(_2\) on FGF2-induced proliferation in CCL210 cells, as measured using the CyQUANT NF Cell Proliferation Assay at 72 hours. The control value represents the fluorescence value of cells initially seeded. (B and C) qPCR analysis of FGF2-induced expression of cell cycle–regulated genes (B) and FOXM1 (C) in cells pretreated for 30 minutes with and without 0.5 \(\mu M\) PGE\(_2\). (D) Representative Western blot showing basal and FGF2-induced FOXM1 expression in cells pretreated with and without 0.5 \(\mu M\) PGE\(_2\). (E) qPCR analysis of FGF2-induced FOXM1 expression in cells pretreated for 30 minutes with and without 10 \(\mu M\) forskolin or 10 \(\mu M\) butaprost. (F and G) Effect of pretreatment for 1 hour with 0.1 mM aspirin on FGF2-induced expression of FOXM1 and CCNB1 evaluated by qPCR (F) and by Western blot (G). Western blots in D and G are representative of 3 independent experiments. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01, 2-way ANOVA.
activation of AKT, and this action was implicated in its ability to inhibit FGF2-mediated migration of human fetal lung fibroblasts (27). We confirmed that PGE2 exerted a similar inhibitory effect on FGF2-induced AKT phosphorylation in adult CCL210 cells (Supplemental Figure 5A). In view of the critical role of AKT in the induction of FOXM1 in these cells (Figure 3), we anticipated that bypassing the PI3K-PDK1–mediated activation of AKT would overcome such upstream inhibitory effects of PGE2. Unexpectedly, forced expression of Myr-AKT failed to rescue the PGE2 inhibitory actions on expression of FOXM1 (Figure 5A) and FOXM1 target genes (Figure 5B). This suggests the possibility of additional PGE2 inhibitory mechanisms downstream of AKT phosphorylation/activation. The inhibitory effects of PGE2 on expression of FOXM1 target genes were even preserved in the face of forced overexpression of FOXM1 (Figure 5C). Therefore, we considered
the possibility that PGE₂ interfered with the binding of FOXM1 to promoter regions of DNA in its target genes. Consistent with our mRNA data (Figure 4B), ChIP–quantitative PCR (ChIP-qPCR) confirmed that FGF2 stimulation increased the binding of FOXM1 to promoters in its target genes CCNB1, PLK1, and BIRC5; such binding was, however, markedly disrupted by pre-treatment with PGE₂ (Figure 5D).

FOXO3A is another FOX family member known to antagonize FOXM1 activity. A recent study showed that IPF fibroblasts display low FOXO3A activity (28), and this is consistent with our
to account for the inhibitory actions of PGE\(_2\) that we observed to exist downstream of AKT. Indeed, despite significant knockdown of FOXO3A mRNA and protein (Supplemental Figure 5, C and D), only a modest partial abrogation of the inhibitory effect of PGE\(_2\) on Myr-AKT–induced \(CCNB1\) expression was observed (Figure 5F). This suggests some meaningful inhibitory action of PGE\(_2\) on FOXM1 DNA binding that is independent of FOXO3A. A schematic describing the diverse PGE\(_2\) inhibitory mechanisms on FOXM1 expression is presented in Figure 5G.

Additional antifibrotic actions of Sio A and FOXM1 siRNA in TGF-\(\beta\)–induced myofibroblasts. The mesenchymal cells capable of elaborating large quantities of extracellular matrix proteins, such as collagens, that are most implicated in tissue fibrosis are myofi-

Figure 7. Fibroblast-specific deletion of FOXM1 in a prevention protocol protects mice from bleomycin-induced fibrosis. (A) Schematic illustrating the timelines for in vivo administration of tamoxifen and bleomycin and determination of experimental end points in the bleomycin model of pulmonary fibrosis. (B) Digital images of Masson’s trichrome staining for collagen deposition (blue) at day 21. Original magnification, \(\times200\). Scale bars: 500 \(\mu\)m. (C–H) Effect of conditional fibroblast-specific FOXM1 deletion in mice treated with and without bleomycin, as reflected by changes in the Ashcroft histology score (C), lung hydroxyproline content (D), and the mRNA expression of fibrotic markers (\(Acta2\), \(Col1a1\), \(Ctgf\), and \(Tgfb1\)) (E–H). In C–H, each symbol represents an individual mouse. Values in each group represent results from 2 pooled independent experiments with a total of 5 to 8 mice per group. *\(P < 0.05\); **\(P < 0.01\), 2-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test.
fibroblasts. These cells are marked by their expression of contractile proteins such as α-SMA and can be differentiated from resident fibroblasts by treatment with the profibrotic substance TGF-β. Pretreatment of CCL210 cells with Sio A (2.5 μM) markedly prevented their TGF-β-induced differentiation into myofibroblasts (reflected by expression and organization into stress fibers of α-SMA) as well as expression of COL1A1 (encoding collagen type 1 α1) (Figure 6, A–C). Pretreatment of fibroblasts with FOXM1 siRNA similarly blunted the induction of ACTA2 (encoding α-SMA) in response to TGF-β stimulation (Supplemental Figure 6A). Not unexpectedly, IPF fibroblasts exhibited higher basal expression of ACTA2 mRNA than did nonfibrotic fibroblasts (Figure 6D). Of note, both

---

**Figure 8. Fibroblast-specific deletion of FOXM1 in a therapeutic protocol protects mice from bleomycin-induced fibrosis.** (A) Schematic illustrating the timelines for in vivo administration of bleomycin and delayed administration of tamoxifen and determination of experimental end points in the bleomycin model of pulmonary fibrosis. (B) Digital images of Masson's trichrome staining for collagen deposition (blue) at day 21. Original magnification, ×200. Scale bars: 500 μm. (C–F) Effect of delayed fibroblast-specific FOXM1 deletion in mice treated with and without bleomycin, as reflected by changes in the Ashcroft histology score (C), lung hydroxyproline content (D), and the expression of fibrotic markers Acta2 (E) and Tgfb1 (F) mRNA. In C–F, each symbol represents an individual mouse. Values in each group represent results from 2 pooled independent experiments with a total of 5 to 8 mice per group. *P < 0.05, 2-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test.
Figure 9. Sio A treatment in a therapeutic protocol ameliorates bleomycin-induced fibrosis in mice. (A) Schematic illustrating the timelines for in vivo administration of bleomycin and Sio A, for determination of experimental end points, and for the pertinent phases of the pulmonary response in the bleomycin model of pulmonary fibrosis. (B) Digital images of Masson’s trichrome staining for collagen deposition (blue) at days 14 (upper panels) and 21 (lower panels) in mice treated with and without bleomycin and Sio A. Original magnification, ×200. Scale bars: 500 μm. (C–F) Effect of Sio A treatment in mice treated with and without bleomycin, as reflected by values determined at days 14 and 21 for the Ashcroft histology score (C), lung hydroxyproline content (D), mRNA expression of the myofibroblast marker Acta2 (E), and levels of active TGF-β (F). (G) 205 proteasome activity in lung homogenates harvested at day 14 from mice treated with and without bleomycin and with and without Sio A. In C–G, each symbol represents an individual mouse. Values in each group represent results from 2 pooled independent experiments with a total of 5 to 8 mice per group. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01. 2-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test.

FOXM1 siRNA and Sio A were able to reduce basal as well as TGF-β-induced ACTA2 mRNA expression in normal and IFP lines (Figure 6E). Finally, Sio A treatment for 24 hours was also capable of significant reversal of α-SMA expression in myofibroblasts that had been differentiated from fibroblasts by prior treatment with TGF-β for 24 hours (Figure 6F and Supplemental Figure 6B). These findings suggest a requirement of FOXM1 for myofibroblast differentiation. Although expression levels of FOXM1 and ACTA2 were positively correlated in the entire group of patient-derived fibroblast lines, this relationship did not reach significance in our limited sample (Supplemental Figure 3B).

We next investigated the ability of inhibition of FOXM1 via FOXM1 siRNA or Sio A to promote apoptosis in myofibroblasts — which are notoriously apoptosis resistant (31, 32). Apoptosis was determined by Western blot analysis of active (cleaved) caspase 3 (CASP3) and cleaved poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP), flow cytometric detection of annexin V staining, and morphologic assessment. In parallel, we also measured protein (by Western blot) and mRNA (by qPCR) levels of expression of apoptosis-related genes. siRNA-mediated knockdown of FOXM1 sensitized the myofibroblasts to Fas ligand–induced (FasL–induced) apoptosis (Figure 6G); in parallel, it increased the expression of proapoptotic genes FAS, CASP3, CASP8, APAF1, BID, and BAD, while it suppressed the expression of antiapoptotic BIRC5 (Supplemental Figure 6, C–E, and Figure 6G). Likewise, Sio A also markedly sensitized the myofibroblasts to FasL–induced apoptosis (Figure 6H and I, and Supplemental Figure 6F). Moreover, Sio A by itself was sufficient to induce a modest but significant degree of apoptosis in myofibroblasts (Figure 6, H and I, and Supplemental Figure 6F). In parallel, and consistent with FOXM1 siRNA data, Sio A treatment also increased the expression of FAS and reduced expression of antiapoptotic BIRC5 (Supplemental Figure 6G and Figure 6J). We found a significant positive relationship between FOXM1 expression and BIRC5 expression in the entire group of patient-derived fibroblast lines (Supplemental Figure 3C). Taken together, the results shown in Figure 6 and Supplemental Figure 6 demonstrate a previously unrecognized role for FOXM1 in both differentiation and apoptosis resistance of myofibroblasts. Notably, however, this essential role of FOXM1 in myofibroblast maintenance is independent of any direct induction of FOXM1 by TGF-β (Supplemental Figure 2G).

Deletion of FOXM1 in activated fibroblasts protects from bleomycin-induced fibrosis. Since our in vitro findings provide evidence for upregulated expression of and pleiotropic phenotypic roles for FOXM1 in fibrotic fibroblasts, we next sought to determine the importance of fibroblast FOXM1 in fibrogenesis in vivo. To do so, we engineered mice harboring a fibroblast-specific conditional deletion of FOXM1. We verified that Cre was induced and FOXM1 was deleted in 4-hydroxy tamoxifen–treated lung fibroblasts isolated from these mice (Supplemental Figure 7, A and B). In addition, these fibroblasts exhibited a reduction in Acta2 expression in response to TGF-β (Supplemental Figure 7C), consistent with our prior observations with FOXM1 siRNA and Sio A treatment of normal fibroblasts (Figure 6A and Supplemental Figure 6A). We next evaluated these mice in a well-characterized model of bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis. As shown in Figure 7A, tamoxifen was administered i.p. 3 days prior to the single opharyngeal dose of bleomycin (on day 0) and every 3 days thereafter from day 0 to day 18 to both FOXM1fl/fl Col1a2-Cre-ER(T)+/0 (fibroblast-specific knockout) and Col1a2-Cre-ER(T)+/0 (control) mice. Tamoxifen-induced expression of Cre in the lungs of FOXM1fl/fl Col1a2 mice was confirmed by qPCR (Supplemental Figure 7D). As expected, bleomycin administration to control mice resulted in infiltration and obliteration of alveolar spaces, as evident from morphologic evaluation (Figure 7B) and reflected in the increased Ashcroft score (Figure 7C). It also elicited a significant increase in lung hydroxyproline content (Figure 7D), a measure of collagen deposition. Conditional fibroblast-specific FOXM1-knockout mice showed no changes in lung morphology and integrity when given saline. However, after bleomycin administration, these mice showed a significantly reduced degree of alveolar infiltration (Figure 7B) and obliteration, as measured by the Ashcroft score (Figure 7C). They also exhibited a significant reduction in collagen deposition, as reflected by hydroxyproline measurement (Figure 7D). Furthermore, lung tissue from the fibroblast-specific FOXM1-knockout mice demonstrated a significant reduction in expression of mRNA encoding the fibrotic markers Acta2, Colla1, Tgfb1, and connective tissue growth factor (Ctgf) (Figure 7, E–H).

The bleomycin model is well recognized as involving acute inflammation and lung injury within the first few days following bleomycin administration, which wanes by days 7 through 10 and is followed by a fibrotic phase evolving over days 14 through 28. In order for this model to have translational applicability to the treatment of patients who already manifest fibrotic lung disease on clinical presentation, it is necessary to test the efficacy of intervention applied exclusively during the fibrotic phase (33). Therefore, rather than tamoxifen administration beginning 3 days prior to bleomycin administration (Figure 7), we also tested the effect of delaying the initiation of tamoxifen administration to day 9 after bleomycin administration, with additional doses every 3 days from day 12 to day 18 to both FOXM1fl/fl Col1a2-Cre-ER(T)+/0 (fibroblast-specific knockout) and Col1a2-Cre-ER(T)+/0 (control) mice (Figure 8A). Delayed administration of tamoxifen to bleomycin-treated conditional fibroblast-specific FOXM1-knockout mice resulted in a significant reduction in alveolar infiltration (Figure 8B), alveolar obliteration as measured by the Ashcroft score (Figure 8C), and
collagen deposition as reflected by hydroxyproline measurement (Figure 8D). Indeed, the degree of abrogation of these indices of bleomycin-induced fibrosis was comparable to that afforded by initiation of tamoxifen in the pretreatment protocol (Figure 7). Lung tissue from these mice also demonstrated a significant reduction in expression of mRNA encoding the fibrotic markers Acta2 and Tgfb1 (Figure 8, E and F). Collectively, these data demonstrate that FOXM1 in fibroblasts is necessary for the development of lung fibrosis and that even delaying its deletion from fibroblasts to the fibrotic phase is sufficient to result in marked protection from fibrosis.

In vivo treatment with Sio A protects mice from bleomycin-induced fibrosis. To translate the above findings to a more tractable therapeutic context, we asked whether pharmacologic inhibition of FOXM1 with Sio A was able to ameliorate bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis in WT C57BL/6 mice. To preserve translational utility as discussed above, we limited administration of Sio A to the early fibrotic phase (days 9 and 12) (Figure 9A). The dose of Sio A utilized was based on unpublished murine toxicity data available at the NCI Developmental Therapeutics Program database (https://dtp.cancer.gov/dtpstandard/servlet/InvivoScreen?testhortna me=Tumor+B1+%28ip%29+in+02&searchtype=NSC&searchl id=285116) and on published antitumor effects of a related compound (34). As expected, and as previously demonstrated in Figures 7 and 8, bleomycin administration resulted in infiltration and obliteration of alveolar spaces (Figure 9B) reflected in the increased Ashcroft score (Figure 9C) as well as a significant increase in lung hydroxyproline content (Figure 9D), indicative of exaggerated collagen deposition. Treatment with Sio A alone had no effect on any of the measured end points. However, delayed treatment with Sio A significantly attenuated the alveolar infiltration/obliteration (Figure 9, B and C) and the increment in lung hydroxyproline (Figure 9D) and Acta2 mRNA (Figure 9E) in bleomycin-injured mice assessed at both day 14 and day 21. In addition, the increase in active TGF-β detected in bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid of bleomycin-injured mice on days 14 and 21 was significantly attenuated with Sio A treatment (Figure 9F). Since Sio A has been reported to cause apoptosis in malignant epithelial cells (22), we evaluated its effects at a concentration of 2.5 μM on apoptosis in murine lung epithelial cells (MLE-12). As shown in Supplemental Figure 8A, treatment with Sio A alone was not sufficient to elicit generation of cleaved PARP. Moreover, Sio A failed to potentiate the effect of FasL on PARP cleavage. Thus, Sio A at this concentration lacked the enhancing effects on apoptosis in epithelial cells that it exerted in fibroblasts (Figure 6 and Supplemental Figure 6). Next, we assessed the effects of Sio A on alveolar cell apoptosis in vivo using IHC staining for CASP3 on sections of lungs harvested on day 14 from control or Sio A-treated mice following saline or bleomycin challenge. As shown in Supplemental Figure 8B, Sio A administration did not increase the number of CASP3-positive cells. Because of the potential of Sio A to inhibit the proteasome (19), we also measured proteasome activity in lung homogenates harvested at day 14 from control or Sio A-treated mice following saline or bleomycin challenge. As shown in Figure 9G, bleomycin increased lung proteasome activity, as has been reported by others (35). However, Sio A administration had no effect on proteasome activity in the lungs of either saline-treated or bleomycin-injured mice. These results demonstrate that global pharmacologic inhibition of FOXM1 with Sio A during the fibrotic phase of response was able to attenuate bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis without causing widespread apoptosis of alveolar cells or detectable proteasome inhibition.

Discussion

Information about the possible role of FOXM1 in tissue fibrogenesis is limited and conflicting. Its postnatal deletion from cardiomyocytes led to cardiac fibrosis (36), while on the other hand, its deletion from alveolar epithelial cells protected against radiation-induced pulmonary fibrosis and epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) (37). Recent studies using lineage tracing to explore the origins of myofibroblasts—the ultimate effectors of tissue fibrosis—in models of lung fibrosis have concluded that an in vivo role for EMT is either absent or minimal (38–40) and instead emphasize the importance of resident lung fibroblasts as their major source (39, 40). Despite this, FOXM1 has never previously been interrogated in fibroblasts. However, since FOXM1 has been most extensively studied in cancer, it is particularly interesting that numerous parallels in phenotype between fibrotic fibroblasts and cancer cells have long been appreciated (41). We found that baseline FOXM1 expression was low in normal lung fibroblasts, but was increased in response to mitogens and was also constitutively higher in cells isolated from the fibrotic lungs of both humans with IPF and mice challenged with bleomycin. Parallel results using IHC staining of lung sections revealed increased FOXM1-positive cells within the interstitium of fibrotic regions of both human IPF patients and bleomycin-treated mice. The accumulation of mesenchymal cells in fibrotic tissue reflects a combination of proliferation and apoptosis resistance (42). The differentiation of fibroblasts to myofibroblasts is also critical, as both the expression of contractile proteins and the augmented elaboration of collagen by these cells contribute to the tissue stiffness that leads to physiologic organ impairment (43). Using both molecular and pharmacologic approaches to interrogate the functional roles of FOXM1, we found that it has the potential to contribute to each of these pivotal phenotypic features of fibrotic fibroblasts.

Both pharmacologic inhibition and knockdown of FOXM1 prevented proliferation as well as induction of cell cycle–regulated genes in response to various mitogens. While FOXM1 is well recognized as a driver of the cell cycle based on studies in other cell types, predominantly cancer cells, our data are the first, to our knowledge, to establish its importance in driving proliferation of human lung fibroblasts. Indeed, a strong positive correlation between FOXM1 expression and proliferative capacity was observed in patient-derived fibroblasts, with IPF cells expressing higher levels of both parameters than nonfibrotic control cells. Inhibition of FOXM1 by both molecular and pharmacologic approaches similarly inhibited TGF-β-induced myofibroblast differentiation. Sio A was also able to reverse (i.e., dedifferentiate) the phenotype of established myofibroblasts differentiated by TGF-β pretreatment. In cells isolated from the lungs of IPF patients—which at baseline (in the absence of exogenous TGF-β) exhibit the increased α-SMA expression typical of myofibroblasts—both FOXM1 knockdown and inhibition with Sio A likewise promoted dedifferentiation, as reflected by attenuated expression of this contractile protein. Our
data linking myofibroblast differentiation with active FOXM1 is consistent with another report linking such differentiation with low levels of FOXD3A (28), a known competitor of FOXM1. However, the mechanism by which FOXM1 promotes this differentiated phenotype remains to be determined.

Whereas we found FOXM1 to be both necessary and sufficient for proliferation, it was necessary but not sufficient for differentiation. Although fibroblast proliferation and differentiation have been suggested as representing mutually exclusive cellular programs, this may represent an overly simplistic conceptualization. For example, serum-response factor, a transcription factor that has been shown to be instrumental in myofibroblast differentiation (44, 45), has also been reported as regulating fibroblast proliferation (46, 47). It is thus possible that these phenotypic programs are in fact interdependent and that ultimate-fate decisions depend on contextual cues that remain to be fully elucidated. It is also possible that these distinct cell programs operate within individual clones or subsets within the mixed populations of fibroblasts that grow out of lung explants. The possible role of FOXM1 in such cell-fate decisions will be of interest in future investigations.

Increased expression of BIRC5 and decreased expression of FAS have been suggested as contributing to the apoptosis resistance of IPF fibroblasts (31, 48). It was therefore of interest that, in parallel with increasing susceptibility to FasL-induced apoptosis, FOXM1 knockdown caused downregulation of BIRC5 and upregulation of FAS as well as a number of other proapoptotic genes (CASP3, CASP8, APAF1, BID, and BAD). Our results thus suggest that the increased expression of FOXM1 in IPF fibroblasts may contribute to the well-recognized apoptosis resistance of these cells. As the lungs of patients with IPF manifest accumulation of pathogenic myofibroblasts at the time of clinical presentation, the potential of pharmacologic agents targeting FOXM1 to promote both their apoptosis and their dedifferentiation constitutes a highly desirable feature. Approximately one-third of the fibroblast lines obtained from individual IPF patients in our sample failed to manifest an upregulation of FOXM1 protein expression, consistent with the well-recognized phenomenon of molecular heterogeneity among patient-derived cell lines in this disease (11, 12) and the likely need to personalize therapeutic targeting of this transcription factor in individual patients.

No biological mediator serving as a brake on FOXM1 expression/activation has been recognized to date, but we showed that the lipid autocoid PGE₂ acts as an endogenous brake on FOXM1. Although the biological actions of PGE₂, are highly pleiotropic and context dependent, its effects on fibroblasts are largely suppressive and include inhibition of proliferation (49) and survival (50) as well as both inhibition and reversal of established myofibroblast differentiation (51). All of these actions are mediated by cAMP signaling downstream of the EP2 receptor, and the effects of a selective EP2 agonist (butaprost) as well as a substance that bypasses EP2 to directly activate cAMP synthesis (forskolin) implicate this same signaling pathway in the inhibition of FOXM1 activation by PGE₂. Since cAMP also mediates the actions of numerous other G protein-coupled receptor agonists and pharmacologic agents (including prostacyclin, adenosine, adrenergic agonists, and β-adrenergic agonists), such modulation of FOXM1 activation may extend to a variety of other biologically relevant situations. PGE₂ has previously been shown to dephosphorylate AKT (27) and abolish PI3K signaling in fibroblasts, and we confirmed that this results in the dephosphorylation and nuclear accumulation of the FOXM1 competitor FOXD3A. However, the fact that PGE₂ inhibition of FOXM1 persisted to a substantial degree in the presence of Myr-AKT as well as FOXD3A knockdown suggests an additional FOXD3A-independent mechanism by which PGE₂ interferes with FOXM1 binding to target gene-promoter elements. One possible mechanism that merits further exploration is induction of P21, originally described as a cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor, but now also recognized as interfering with FOXM1 binding (52), which has been reported to be induced by forskolin (53). PGE₂ inhibition of fibroblast expression/activation of FOXM1 thus represents yet another additional mechanism for the antifibrotic actions of this prostanoid. We and others have previously shown that interfering with PGE₂ synthesis (54, 55) or its action via EP2 (26) worsens bleomycin-induced fibrosis, and it is certainly possible that such potentiation of fibrosis reflects the loss of this brake on FOXM1. We did not attempt to verify PGE₂ regulation of FOXM1 in vivo, as the downregulation of the operative EP2 receptor in fibrotic lung fibroblasts (26, 56) would interfere with the ability of PGE₂ administration to suppress (owing to the cells’ PGE₂ resistance) FOXM1. As fibrosis is already a state of PGE₂ deprivation, further ablating PGE₂ synthesis or signaling would have little effect on potentiating FOXM1.

We sought to evaluate the contribution of FOXM1 to in vivo fibrogenesis by selectively deleting it from Coll1-expressing cells. Under baseline conditions, Coll1a2 expression in the lung is almost entirely limited to resident fibroblasts (57). It is well known that during fibrotic responses, other cells, including epithelial cells, can undergo mesenchymal transition (39, 58), thus expressing Col1 and being subject to Cre-mediated deletion under the control of the Col1 promoter. Although this is a limitation of the specificity of a Coll1a2-driven Cre system to delete FOXM1 from fibroblasts, no gene is currently recognized as being superior to Coll1 for its ability to specifically distinguish fibroblasts from other cell types in a fibrotic milieu. Furthermore, lineage-tracing studies strongly suggest that resident fibroblasts are the predominant source of Coll expression and of myofibroblasts in bleomycin-induced lung fibrosis (40). Therefore, we utilized inducible Coll1a2 promoter–driven expression of Cre recombinase in FOXM1fl/fl mice (conditional FOXM1-knockout mice) and suggest that use of the Coll1a2-driven Cre system represents the best available approach to understanding the contribution of a fibroblast-specific gene. In both prevention and treatment protocols for conditional deletion of FOXM1, we found no basal changes in lung morphology or extracellular matrix deposition. However, the architectural distortion and increase in extracellular matrix deposition elicited by bleomycin administration was markedly attenuated in conditional FOXM1-knockout mice using both protocols. Parallel decreases in gene expression of Coll1a1 and Acta2 accompanied the amelioration of fibrosis. These studies provide evidence of the importance of fibroblast FOXM1 in organ fibrosis in vivo and are entirely consistent with the ability of this transcription factor to control a variety of profibrotic functional properties of these cells in vitro. Although we have herein demonstrated substantive roles for FOXM1 in proliferation, survival, and differentiation of fibroblasts, the relative importance of inhibition of these processes in the pro-
tection against bleomycin-induced lung fibrosis observed in fibroblast-specific conditional FOXM1-knockout mice requires further investigation.

Sio A is a thiazole antibiotic shown to inhibit the transcriptional activity and expression of FOXM1 by interference with its DNA binding (59). Although its in vitro efficacy has been amply documented (60), there are no prior published reports of its systemic administration. We tested its antifibrotic potential in the bleomycin fibrosis model by dosing it exclusively during the early fibrotic phase of response. Such a “therapeutic” protocol is more clinically relevant to treatment of patients with established IPF, who by definition lack an antecedent history of symptomatic lung injury. Administering only 2 doses of Sio A was sufficient to provide statistically significant (P < 0.05) protection in all the fibrotic end points measured. We also excluded proteasome inhibition in the lungs of these treated mice. To our knowledge, these data represent the first demonstration of the in vivo efficacy of Sio A in any disease model. The degree of protection with Sio A, however, was less than that observed in the fibroblast-specific FOXM1-knockout mice. We speculate that greater in vivo efficacy of Sio A might have been realized with more intensive dosing regimens than that utilized here. Optimal dosing and route of administration remain to be defined. Furthermore, a number of classes of small molecule inhibitors of FOXM1 are currently under development (61, 62), and these may be more attractive therapeutic candidates for treatment of fibrotic lung disease than is Sio A.

Although the protection from fibrosis observed in mice harboring a Col1a2 promoter–driven deletion of FOXM1 argues strongly for a critical role for this transcription factor in fibroblasts, the protection provided by in vivo administration of Sio A could have reflected its actions in other cell types as well. The possibility that protection from EMT (37) may have contributed to the therapeutic effect of Sio A cannot, of course, be excluded. Another potential cellular target is macrophages. The critical profibrotic mediator TGF-β can derive from a variety of cell types, including fibroblasts themselves (63–66). Our data with fibroblast-specific FOXM1-knockout mice implicate a role for the fibroblast in the generation of this mediator, along with the TGF-β target gene product CTGF. However, these data do not distinguish between a direct and an indirect role (via macrophages) for the fibroblast in TGF-β production. In this regard, FOXM1 has been implicated in both recruitment and functional modulation of macrophages in a butylated hydroxytoluene-induced model of lung inflammation (13). Since M2 macrophages promote tissue fibrosis in part via elaboration of TGF-β (67), it is possible that the inhibitory effects of Sio A on lung TGF-β levels may also reflect FOXM1 targeting of macrophages.

Overall, our findings identify FOXM1 as a newly identified mediator of fibrotic lung injury. Administering only 2 doses of Sio A was sufficient to provide statistically significant (P < 0.05) protection in all the fibrotic end points measured. We also excluded proteasome inhibition in the lungs of these treated mice. To our knowledge, these data represent the first demonstration of the in vivo efficacy of Sio A in any disease model. The degree of protection with Sio A, however, was less than that observed in the fibroblast-specific FOXM1-knockout mice. We speculate that greater in vivo efficacy of Sio A might have been realized with more intensive dosing regimens than that utilized here. Optimal dosing and route of administration remain to be defined. Furthermore, a number of classes of small molecule inhibitors of FOXM1 are currently under development (61, 62), and these may be more attractive therapeutic candidates for treatment of fibrotic lung disease than is Sio A.

Although the protection from fibrosis observed in mice harboring a Col1a2 promoter–driven deletion of FOXM1 argues strongly for a critical role for this transcription factor in fibroblasts, the protection provided by in vivo administration of Sio A could have reflected its actions in other cell types as well. The possibility that protection from EMT (37) may have contributed to the therapeutic effect of Sio A cannot, of course, be excluded. Another potential cellular target is macrophages. The critical profibrotic mediator TGF-β can derive from a variety of cell types, including fibroblasts themselves (63–66). Our data with fibroblast-specific FOXM1-knockout mice implicate a role for the fibroblast in the generation of this mediator, along with the TGF-β target gene product CTGF. However, these data do not distinguish between a direct and an indirect role (via macrophages) for the fibroblast in TGF-β production. In this regard, FOXM1 has been implicated in both recruitment and functional modulation of macrophages in a butylated hydroxytoluene-induced model of lung inflammation (13). Since M2 macrophages promote tissue fibrosis in part via elaboration of TGF-β (67), it is possible that the inhibitory effects of Sio A on lung TGF-β levels may also reflect FOXM1 targeting of macrophages.

Overall, our findings identify FOXM1 as a newly identified driver of the activation state of fibrotic fibroblasts and a therapeutic target for the treatment of fibrotic diseases of the lung and, potentially, of other organs. The fact that FOXM1 integrates the actions of not only mitogens, but also of TGF-β, suggests that it may offer advantages as a therapeutic target over exclusively targeting mitogen receptors with an agent such as nintedanib.

Methods
Cells, tissue culture, and reagents. CCL210 fibroblasts (CCD-19Lu), a commercially available primary line of fibroblasts isolated from normal adult human lung, the immortalized normal human bronchial epithelial cell line, BEAS-2B, and MLE-12 cells, a murine lung epithelial cell line, were purchased from ATCC. Selected studies were also performed with lung fibroblasts isolated at our institution under an IRB-approved protocol described previously (25) from lung tissue determined histologically to be either nonfibrotic or diagnostic of IPF. Fibroblasts were also isolated from the lungs of saline- or bleomycin-treated mice as described previously (68). Fibroblast lines used in this study were all at passage numbers 8–10. Both fibroblasts and epithelial cells were cultured in DMEM (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Hyclone) in a CO₂ incubator at 37°C. Recombinant human TGF-β, FGF2, and PDGF were purchased from R&D Systems. Sio A was obtained from the Developmental Therapeutics Program of the National Cancer Institute (http://dtp.nci.nih.gov). Pharmacologic agents PGE₃, forskolin, butaprost, U0126, PD98059, LY294002, and triciribine were purchased from Cayman Chemicals. GSK 2334470 was purchased from Tocris Bioscience. Unless otherwise specified, Sio A was used at 2.5 μM, TGF-β was used at 2 ng/ml, FGF2 and PDGF were each used at 25 ng/ml, and PGE₂ was used at a final concentration of 0.5 μM.

Mice. WT female C57BL/6 mice were obtained from Charles River Laboratories and used at 8 weeks of age. Transgenic conditional FOXM1-knockout mice of both sexes were bred as follows on a C57BL/6 background. Transgenic Col1a2-Cre-ER(T)⁰/⁰ mice and FOXM1⁰/⁰ mice were obtained from Sem Phan (University of Michigan) (69) and Vladimir Kalinichenko (Cincinnati Children’s Hospital, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA), respectively (70). To generate fibroblast-specific FOXM1-deficient mice, FOXM1⁻/⁻ mice were bred with Col1a2-Cre-ER(T)⁰/⁰ (heterozygous allele) transgenic mice to generate mice heterozygous for both alleles. Pregnancy from the second cross between FOXM1⁻/⁰ mice and heterozygous FOXM1⁻/⁰ WT Col1a2-Cre-ER(T)⁰/⁰ mice (from the first cross) were used for further experiments. All mice were genotyped by PCR techniques as described previously (69, 70). For treatment of mice, a stock solution of tamoxifen (Sigma-Aldrich) in ethanol (100 mg/ml) was diluted in corn oil to 10 mg/ml (71). To selectively delete FOXM1 in activated fibroblasts, adult FOXM1⁻/⁰ Col1a2-Cre-ER(T)⁰/⁰ mice (8–10 weeks old) and the relevant control (Col1a2-Cre-ER(T)⁰/⁰ with WT FOXM1) mice were administered tamoxifen suspension (0.1 ml of diluted stock) via i.p. injection, beginning either at 3 days before or 9 days after administration of bleomycin and every 72 hours thereafter until sacrifice.

Plasmid transfection. The FOXM1 overexpression plasmid CMV T7-FOXM1 was provided by Pradip Raychaudhuri (University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, USA) and a Myr-AKT construct was a gift of Philip Tsichlis (Tufts University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA) (16, 24). Transient transfection studies were performed with overexpression or control plasmids using FuGENE HD (Promega). In brief, plasmid and transfection reagents were mixed at a 1:3 DNA/ FuGENE HD ratio, incubated for 15 minutes at room temperature, and added to the cells. Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were cultured in serum-free medium for another 24 hours before treating cells with and without PGE₂. Samples were harvested per the experimental protocol.

Western blot analysis. Cells were lysed in RIPA buffer supplemented with protease inhibitors (Roche Diagnostics) and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (EMD Biosciences). Where applicable, nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions were separated using the NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction Kit (Thermo Scientific). Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane. Membranes were
blocked with 5% nonfat dry milk and were probed with primary antibodies against FOXM1 (catalog ABN286, Millipore); CCND1 (catalog sc-8396) and and Sam 68 (catalog sc-514468) purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology; CCNB1 (catalog 4135), PLK1 (catalog 4513), AKT (catalog 9272), p-AKT (catalog 4051), ERK1/2 (catalog 9102), p-ERK1/2 (catalog 9106), FOXO3A (catalog 12829), FAS (catalog 4233), CASP3 (catalog 9665), cleaved PARP (catalog 5625), and GAPDH (catalog 3683) purchased from Cell Signaling Technologies; α-SMA (catalog ab8717), pFOXO3A (catalog ab154786), and BIRC5 (catalog ab76424) purchased from Abcam; collagen IA1 (catalog PA-25965) purchased from Thermo Scientific; and α-tubulin (catalog T9026; clone DM1A) purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. All antibodies were used at a dilution of 1:1,000 unless specified otherwise. Full, uncut gels for all the Western blots are shown in the supplemental material.

**Fibroblast proliferation.** Proliferation studies in lung fibroblasts were performed using the CyQUANT NF Cell Proliferation Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher). Briefly, fibroblasts in complete medium (DMEM with 10% FBS) were plated at 5 × 10^4 cells/well in a 96-well plate, and after 24 hours, cells were serum starved for 16 hours. Cells were then treated with and without FGF2, PDGF, or TGF-β in serum-free DMEM for 72 hours at 37°C. Cells were washed with PBS and frozen at −20°C. To study the effects of inhibitors on lung fibroblast proliferation, cells were pretreated with and without Sio A (2.5 μM), PGE_2_ (0.5 μM), forskolin (10 μM), or butaprost (10 μM) for 30 minutes prior to stimulation with FGF2. Cells were thawed, and 100 μl of lysis buffer containing the CyQUANT GR dye was added to each well and incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes. Fluorescence was measured using a fluorescence microplate reader with excitation of 485 nm and emission of 530 nm. All experiments were performed in triplicate and the results presented as mean ± SEM of at least 3 independent experiments.

**Fibroblast differentiation.** Myofibroblast differentiation was achieved by stimulating the fibroblasts with TGF-β for 48 hours. Myofibroblasts were identified by increased expression of ACTA2 and COL1A1, as measured by qPCR and Western blot, and by immunofluorescence microscopic visualization of α-SMA stress fibers.

**Fibroblast apoptosis.** Fibroblast apoptosis was evaluated at baseline and after treatment with FasL. Apoptosis was determined by measuring cell-surface expression of phosphatidylserine using annexin V–FITC staining and analyzed by flow cytometry (72, 73). Cleaved (active) CASP3 and cleaved PARP were analyzed by Western blot. Morphological alterations characteristic of apoptosis, such as cell rounding, cytoplasmic shrinking, and detachment (74), were documented by phase-contrast microscopy.

**Proteasome activity assay.** Cells were seeded at 1 × 10^4 cells/well in a 96-well plate in complete medium (DMEM with 10% FBS) and incubated for 24 hours. Cells were then treated with different doses of Sio A or MG132 or an equal volume of DMSO in triplicate. After 24 hours of incubation, proteasome activity was quantified in cell lysates using the 20S Proteasome Activity Assay Kit, purchased from Cayman Chemicals, with the substrate Suc-LLVY-AMC. Like- wise, proteasome activity was quantified using this same assay in whole-lung tissue homogenates from bleomycin-injured mice treated with and without Sio A or saline.

**Bleomycin model of pulmonary fibrosis.** Fibrosis was elicited in mice by administration of a single oropharyngeal dose of 1.5 units/kg body weight of bleomycin (MilliporeSigma); control mice received a volume of sterile saline equal to that described previously (75). Mice were sac-rificed at the indicated times. BAL fluids were collected to determine the levels of active TGF-β in lavage fluid by ELISA (described below), and the left lung was analyzed for hydroxyproline (76), while the right lung lobes were assessed for expression of fibrotic marker mRNAs (Acta2, Colla1, Tgfβ1, and Ctgf) and histopathology. Histopathologic analysis is described below.

**SiO A administration.** At designated time points (day 9 and day 12) after bleomycin administration, the FOXM1 inhibitor SiO A or saline was administered via the i.p. route at a dose of 25 mg/kg body weight. We utilized 6 to 8 mice per treatment group for each time point.

**Histopathology and Ashcroft score.** Lungs were fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin. Sections 5-μm thick were stained with Masson’s trichrome to detect collagen and visualize the extent of fibrosis. Whole slide images were generated from digitally scanned slides using commercial image analysis software (Leica Aperio Positive Pixel Count algorithm, v9). The validated semiquanti- tative Ashcroft score was used to score pulmonary fibrosis in the digi- tized sections (77, 78). In brief, using ×20 magnification, each of 10 successive fields was given a score ranging from 0 (normal lung) to 8 (total fibrous obliteration of the field). The mean score of all 10 fields was taken as the fibrosis score.

**Additional methods, including FOXM1 adenovirus transduction, quantitative reverse-transcriptase PCR, siRNA transfection, quantitative ChIP assay, immunofluorescence microscopy, IHC, and TGF-β ELISA are described in the Supplemental Methods.** The primer sequences used for quantitative reverse-transcriptase PCR and quanti- tative ChIP assay are listed in Supplemental Table 1. siRNA sequenc- es used for knockdown studies are listed in Supplemental Table 2.

**Statistics.** Unless specified otherwise, all in vitro data were from a minimum of 3 independent experiments. In vivo data reflect 6 to 8 mice per group. Data are reported as mean ± SEM and were compared using the unpaired 2-sided Student’s t test. When multiple compari- sons were necessary, 1-way or 2-way ANOVA with post hoc Bonferroni’s correction was used. Relationships between FOXM1 mRNA expression and select phenotypes or genes in nonfibrotic and IPF patient-derived fibroblasts were evaluated using correlation analysis. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Study approval.** All animal experiments were conducted with the approval of the University of Michigan’s IACUC. Because all of the patient samples were deidentified, the University of Michigan IRB deemed these studies exempt from IRB approval.
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