Obesity-related sub-acute chronic inflammation has been associated with incident type 2 diabetes and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. Inflammation is increasingly considered to be a pathologic mediator of these commonly co-occurring diseases. A growing number of preclinical and clinical studies support the inflammatory hypothesis, but clinical trials to confirm the therapeutic potential to target inflammation to treat or prevent cardiometabolic conditions are still ongoing. There are multiple inflammatory signaling pathways. Regulation is complex, with substantial crosstalk across these multiple pathways. The activity of select pathways may be differentially regulated in different tissues. Pharmacologic approaches to diabetes management may have direct or indirect antiinflammatory effects, the latter potentially attributable to an improved metabolic state. Conversely, some antiinflammatory approaches may affect glucose metabolism and cardiovascular health. To date, clinical trials suggest that targeting one portion of the inflammatory cascade may differentially affect dysglycemia and atherothrombosis. Understanding the underlying biological processes may contribute to the development of safe and effective therapies, although a single approach may not be sufficient for optimal management of both metabolic and atherothrombotic disease states.
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Introduction

The obesity epidemic foreshadowed subsequent increases in incident type 2 diabetes (T2D) and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD), supporting a role for obesity to promote or accelerate pathophysiologic processes underlying and potentially common to both conditions. Several features of obesity are implicated as potential pathologic mediators in cardiometabolic conditions, including tissue triglycerides, oxidative and endoplasmic reticulum stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, and inflammation. Preclinical studies supporting potential etiologic roles for inflammation increased almost exponentially over the last decade, such that inflammation is increasingly considered to be an established mediator. However, clinical studies, which appropriately lag behind the preclinical studies, have yet to confirm inflammation as a pathogenic mediator of insulin resistance, T2D, and ASCVD in humans.

Clinical relationships between T2D and ASCVD are well established. Risk for ASCVD is markedly elevated in patients with T2D compared with those without T2D (1, 2). ASCVD typically occurs one to two decades earlier in those with T2D (3), with greater severity and more diffuse distribution (4). Thus, identification of therapeutic approaches to simultaneously treat or prevent diabetes and atherosclerosis would be of high scientific merit and clinical benefit.

Historical perspectives linking obesity and inflammation

Epidemiologic associations relating inflammation with obesity and T2D can be traced to the 1950s and 1960s, when circulating concentrations of fibrinogen and other acute-phase reactants were shown to be elevated in these conditions (5–7). Numerous additional epidemiologic studies have extended these early associative findings (8–18). Increased circulating concentrations of markers and mediators of inflammation and acute-phase reactants including fibrinogen, C-reactive protein (CRP), IL-6, plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 (PAI-1), sialic acid, and white cells, among others, all correlate with incident T2D (8, 9), as well as other obesity-associated conditions including metabolic syndrome, hypertension, nonalcoholic steatosis, and ASCVD (17, 19–23). Obesity is positively associated with concentrations of inflammatory markers, which are predictive of incident T2D and ASCVD even after controlling for weight and other risk factors (17, 18). Furthermore, the magnitude of cardiovascular risk associated with CRP is similar in magnitude to that of traditional risk factors including systolic blood pressure and/or non-HDL cholesterol (8, 24). Weight gain and obesity are accompanied by activation of at least two major inflammatory pathways, stress-activated JNK (25) and the transcription factor NF-κB (26). Epidemiologic, cellular, and molecular data support obesity as a condition of sub-acute chronic inflammation (26), with participation of activated monocytes and tissue macrophages amplifying the inflammatory state via production of proinflammatory cytokines.
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This inflammatory state is thought to reduce insulin responsiveness in insulin-sensitive tissues to promote risk for T2D and ASCVD through actions on cells in the circulation and vasculature (26, 27).

The earliest experiments demonstrating that adipose tissue–derived proinflammatory cytokines can cause insulin resistance were performed in the 1990s and showed increased TNF-α production in adipose tissue and increased circulating TNF-α in obese rodents (28). Inhibition of TNF-α using neutralizing antibodies improved insulin sensitivity, thereby establishing for the first time in preclinical models a direct role of inflammation in obesity-related insulin resistance. Markers of inflammation and coagulation are reduced with intensive lifestyle intervention, as seen in the Diabetes Prevention Program (29). Together these findings motivate the investigation of whether inflammation per se can be targeted to reduce disease risk (Figure 1).

Therapeutic approaches with pleiotropic antiinflammatory properties

Several therapeutic approaches or pharmacologic agents used in current clinical practice are reported to have antiinflammatory properties in addition to their major mechanisms of action. Therapeutic approaches with pleiotropic effects to reduce inflammation include weight-reducing diets and/or lifestyle, pharmacologic or surgical approaches to weight management, statin therapy, and antidiabetic drugs including insulin itself, insulin sensitizers (metformin and thiazolidinediones [TZDs]), incretin modulators, and sodium glucose transport inhibitors, among others.

**Weight loss.** Lifestyle intervention for weight loss reduces inflammation, assessed as circulating CRP concentrations, in obese persons both with and without T2D (29). However, it is not known whether the reduced inflammation associated with weight loss is responsible for improved glycemic control in T2D and improved cardiovascular and all-cause mortality (30–32). Interestingly, the effects of statins to lower CRP are additive to lifestyle-mediated weight management (33). Weight loss through bariatric surgical approaches also reduces inflammatory markers such as CRP and IL-6 (34). Pharmacologic approaches to manage weight that improve inflammatory markers/mediators include orlistat (35) and naltrexone SR/bupropion (36), which lower CRP, and phentermine/topiramate (37), which raises adiponectin. The diverse molecular targets for these varied approaches suggest that weight loss rather than the molecular target itself contributes importantly to reduce the state of obesity-mediated chronic subacute inflammation. As specific inflammatory proteins altered by these multiple approaches differ, distinct portions of the inflammatory signaling pathways may be differentially affected by these multiple specific therapeutic tactics.

**3-Hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase inhibitors (statins).** Reductions in major cardiovascular events are first realized early after statin initiation, which suggests that relevant biologic effects might extend beyond LDL cholesterol lowering (38). While multiple studies demonstrate that statins reduce the inflammatory marker CRP by 13% to 50% (39), we don’t know whether CRP lowering directly affects the natural history of ASCVD. CRP lowering may be related to statins’ ability to downregulate activities of transcription factors associated with inflammation, including NF-κB and HIF-1α (40). Antiinflammatory properties of statins might also be secondary to reductions in cholesterol, as oxidized cholesterol and cholesterol crystals activate TLRs and the NOD-like receptor pyrin-3 (NLRP3) inflammasome, respectively (41, 42). However, CRP lowering with statins is not dose dependent, does not correlate with lipid lowering (39), and is not seen with dramatic cholesterol lowering by proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9) inhibitors (43), suggesting that additional or alternate putative antiinflammatory mechanisms extend beyond cholesterol lowering itself.

**The Justification for the Use of Statins in Primary Prevention: An Intervention Trial Evaluating Rosuvastatin (JUPITER) trial** (44) intended to test the inflammation hypothesis of ASCVD by comparing rosuvastatin to placebo in 17,802 individuals with elevated CRP 2.0 mg/l or higher and LDL cholesterol levels below 130 mg/dl (3.4 mmol/l) who would not have warranted statin administration according to guidelines at that time. The primary trial endpoint was the composite of myocardial infarction, stroke, arterial revascularization, hospitalization for unstable angina, or death from cardiovascular causes. The trial was stopped early, after a median 1.9 years of follow-up, with a 44% lower hazard ratio for rosuvastatin. This has been used to support the inflam-
matory hypothesis for atherosclerosis. However, while high-sensitivity CRP was reduced 37%, LDL cholesterol was also reduced by 50% with rosuvastatin compared with placebo, such that relative contributions of cholesterol and CRP lowering cannot be distinguished. Improvements in ASCVD risk resulting from directly targeting CRP, such as through antibody neutralization or RNA knockdown, have not yet been reported (45, 46).

To the extent that statins are antiinflammatory and atherosclerotic risk reduction by statins depends on their antiinflammatory properties, the effects of statins on glycemia are discordant. This was demonstrated in JUPITER, where recipients of rosuvastatin had a higher reported incidence of diabetes (44), a finding that has been born out in additional studies (47). This point is not meant to question the clinical utility or risk/benefit of statins. A meta-analysis of 170,000 persons enrolled in 26 clinical trials showed reductions of 1 mmol/l (39 mg/dl) in LDL-C during four years of statin use were associated with a 9% reduction in the risk of death from any cause among patients with diabetes and a 13% reduction among those without diabetes (48). New-onset diabetes differs in clinical importance compared with a major cardiovascular event (49); in general, statins should be used in patients with T2D (50). Mendelian randomization studies support the idea that diabetes risk may be attributable to inhibition of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A, as persons with genetic variants associated with reduced expression of this enzyme and lower LDL cholesterol levels are at increased risk for T2D (51). However, targeting inflammation with statins does not improve glycemia and therefore does not provide a unified antiinflammatory approach for diabetes and ASCVD. This underscores the potential differences in inflammatory pathways that may contribute to and be targeted in ASCVD versus T2D.

Antiinflammatory properties of diabetes drugs
Many antihyperglycemic drugs are associated with small reductions in inflammatory markers in both circulating cells and the circulation. This appears to be a general feature related to glucose lowering, as the effects can be recapitulated in vitro with changes in ambient glucose. Direct reductions in inflammation appear to be unnecessary for glucose lowering. However, some antihyperglycemic drugs have intrinsic antiinflammatory activities associated with their primary mechanisms of action, including AMPK and PPARγ agonists (52–55). The converse is often not true, as many antiinflammatory drugs do not lower (e.g., COX inhibitors) and may even raise (e.g., corticosteroids) glucose levels.

Insulin. Multiple diabetes therapies reduce markers and potential mediators of inflammation. Insulin itself decreases NF-κB activity in peripheral blood mononuclear cells in a manner that is both acute and reversible (56). Insulin administration during acute myocardial infarction will attenuate the rise in CRP, PAI-1, serum amyloid A, and mononuclear cell p47phox, the cytosolic subunit of the multiprotein complex known as NADPH oxidase (57). Hypoglycemia may limit the ability to safely achieve any antiinflammatory effects of insulin; sustained changes have not been documented in comparison to other diabetes therapies (58).

TZDs. TZDs improve insulin sensitivity and reduce hyperglycemia in T2D by binding and activating the nuclear receptor PPARγ. Activation of PPARγ induces multiple gene products involved in adipocyte differentiation, lipid and glucose uptake, and fatty acid storage (59). Increased fatty acid uptake into adipose tissue reduces deposition in muscle and liver, where it is deleterious and contributes to insulin resistance. While PPARγ expression is highest in adipocytes, PPARγ expression is also present and increases in cells exposed to adipose tissue lipids, including macrophages and other immune cells, hepatocytes, endothelial cells, and vascular smooth muscle cells (60, 61). The antiinflammatory actions of TZDs may be related to transrepression of NF-κB and reduced expression of NF-κB targets (55). Clinically, the ability of TZDs to lower circulating inflammatory proteins such as CRP, monocyte chemotactic protein 1 (MCP-1, also referred to as CCL2), and MMP-9 is greater than that seen with equivalent glucose lowering induced with the sulfonylurea glimepiride (62). TZDs are effective thera-
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of NLRP3 inflammasomes, TLR4, and IL-1β also known as CD26, a transmembrane glycoprotein expressed on incretins and prolongs their antihyperglycemic effects. DPP-4 is a protease that degrades the incretin hormones glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) and gastric inhibitory polypeptide, among many other peptides. DPP-4 inhibition thereby protects endogenous peptide 1 (GLP-1) and gastric inhibitory polypeptide, among multiple other peptides. DPP-4 inhibition thereby protects endogenous incretins and prolongs their antihyperglycemic effects. DPP-4 is also known as CD26, a transmembrane glycoprotein expressed on T lymphocytes, macrophages, and endothelial cells, that regulates the actions of cytokines and chemokines involved in chemotaxis and T cell activation (82–85). DPP-4 inhibitors suppress the actions of NLRP3 inflammasomes, TLR4, and IL-1β in human macrophages, in part through inhibition of PKC activity (86). Sitagliptin and other DPP-4 inhibitors reduce expression or activity of TNF-α, TLR4, TLR2, JNK1, iκB kinase subunit β (IKKβ), and the chemokine receptor CCR2 (87, 88). These antiinflammatory effects may be clinically relevant, as observational studies suggest that DPP-4 inhibitor use in patients with T2D is associated with reduced incidence of rheumatic disease (89). While these drugs can be safely administered in patients with T2D and established (or at high risk for) cardiovascular disease, clinical trials of three distinct DPP-4 inhibitors showed no improvements in cardiovascular outcomes in patients with T2D compared with diabetes regimens that lack incretin modulators (90–92). To the extent that antiinflammatory mechanisms are relevant in metabolic improvement, again there is a lack of established concordant effects of DPP-4 inhibition on glucose lowering and cardiovascular risk reduction.

GLP-1 receptor agonists. The GLP-1 receptor agonist proteins reduce body weight and abdominal adiposity in addition to improving glucose-regulated insulin secretion and glucagon suppression to improve dysglycemia (82). While they are not associated with known receptor-mediated effects, reductions in circulating markers of inflammation can occur with the GLP-1 agonist exenatide even in the absence of substantial weight loss. Markers of inflammation that are reduced include mononuclear cell ROS, NF-κB activity, expression of TNFA, IL1B, JNK1, TLR2, TLR4, and SOC3 mRNAs, and circulating concentrations of MCP-1, MMP-9, serum amyloid A, and IL-6 (93); these largely overlap with the effects of DPP-4 inhibitors, as discussed above (87, 88). Several changes reportedly occur within hours after a single drug dose (93). Similar antiinflammatory properties are reported for other GLP-1 receptor agonists, supporting the idea that these are class effects (82, 88). Interestingly, major adverse cardiovascular event rates are reduced with liraglutide or semaglutide compared to non-incretin-based diabetes therapies (94, 95), although incretin-treated patients also had lower glycated hemoglobin (HbA1C), blood pressure, and body weight than those receiving placebo plus standard care. Improvements in ASCVD outcomes were not seen with the alternative GLP-1 receptor agonist lixisenatide, although severity of patient illness, duration of study and other factors could underlie the apparent differences (96). The contribution of inflammation reduction to diabetes or cardiovascular improvements remains unknown.

Sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors. The sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 (SGLT2) inhibitors increase renal excretion of glucose, thereby accounting for their glucose-lowering properties. Non-glycemic benefits include decreases in weight, visceral obesity, blood pressure, arterial stiffness, uric acid concentrations, and albuminurea (97–99). Liver steatosis is reduced in obese rodents (100, 101). In humans, circulating biomarkers of inflammation may be improved (102), but data are limited. Activation of AMPK has been reported with canagliflozin, but not with other GLP-1 receptor agonists, supporting the idea that these are class effects (82, 88). Interestingly, major adverse cardiovascular outcome of cardiovascular death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or stroke, an effect that is potentially attributable to reductions in heart failure in people with T2D who are at high risk for cardiovascular events (104). Progression of renal disease is also slowed (105). Effects may be attributable to volume and hemodynamic changes or to improved fuel energetics (106, 107). What changes may occur in inflammatory processes in humans resulting from SGLT2 inhibition, and how these may relate to improved mortality, if at all, are unknown.

Pharmacologic approaches that directly target inflammation

Over recent years a large number of preclinical findings and tantalizing human studies have suggested that inflammation potentially participates in the pathogenesis of T2D and ASCVD (reviewed in refs. 26, 108, 109). Initial enthusiasm around targeting inflammation for these metabolic conditions was limited by concerns over potential immunosuppression. Additional concerns stemmed from the common observation that glucocorticoids, which have strong antiinflammatory properties, promote insulin resistance and diabetes and thus are unlikely agents for disease treatment or prevention, a finding that was thought likely to occur with other direct antiinflammatory approaches. However, many immunomodulation therapies are used safely in autoimmune and rheu-
matic diseases. Other than glucocorticoids, immunomodulatory therapies are not known to dramatically alter glucose control. In fact, multiple proof-of-concept studies support further evaluation of antiinflammatory approaches to treat cardiometabolic diseases (reviewed in refs. 110–115). Inflammatory signaling is complex and multifaceted. While there are numerous inflammatory inputs and pathways, we do not yet know which one, or which combination of inputs and pathways, leads to distinct cardiometabolic phenotypes. As a result, we also do not know which of the available antiinflammatory strategies, alone or in combination, will have the best therapeutical potential. Activity of select inflammatory pathways among different patients may affect therapeutic responsiveness to specific interventions (116). Additionally, the more recently introduced biological agents are narrowly focused on very specific targets, whereas older antiinflammatory agents such as salicylate or methotrexate may have broader effects (see below).

**Small-molecule antiinflammatory drugs**

**Salicylates.** The vast majority of NSAIDs including ibuprofen and naproxen target the cyclooxygenase enzymes COX1 and COX2. Aspirin and other acetylated salicylates inhibit COX1 and COX2 by covalent transacylation of active site serine residues of the COX enzymes, which irreversibly blocks the rate-limiting step in prostaglandin synthesis (117). Low-dose aspirin is widely used for anti-thrombosis in primary and secondary CV event prevention. The effects are mediated through COX inhibition in platelets, which is sustained well past the short half-life of aspirin due to the irreversibility of COX acetylation coupled with the longer half-life of platelets and their inability to resynthesize COXs. Low-dose aspirin does not provide sustained COX inhibition in nucleated cells. In contrast, non-acetylated salicylates including salsalate do not inhibit the COX enzymes (118, 119) but have nonetheless been used since ancient times to treat pain and inflammation (120). Antiinflammatory and metabolic effects of salicylates are distinct from those of other NSAIDs.

High-dose salicylates are used to treat joint pain, presumably by inhibiting IKKβ and NF-κB (121–125). Use of salicylate to lower glucose in the treatment of diabetes was first reported in 1876 (126). More recent proof-of-concept studies showed sal-salate, a prodrug dimer of salicylate, lowered fasting glucose and triglycerides, increased adiponectin, and improved glucose utilization during euglycemic-hyperinsulinemic clamps in T2D and obese nondiabetic persons (127). Initial observations have been confirmed in multiple cohorts with prediabetes or established disease (128–133). Glycemic efficacy was demonstrated in two multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled trials in subjects with prediabetes or established (133). Since salicylate activates AMPK, it is not necessary to invoke NF-κB inhibition to account for the metabolic improvements.

**Low-dose methotrexate.** Methotrexate is a disease-modifying antirheumatic drug (DMARD) widely used at low doses to treat rheumatic and psoriatic arthritis, among other conditions. Methotrexate is also in clinical trials for effects in ASCVD and T2D (144). Preclinical support for this approach stems from both basic cell biological and in vivo findings. Methotrexate inhibits the enzyme that converts cellular aminomimidazole carboxamidoboronic-acid (AICAR) into 5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamid-1-β-D-ribofuranosyl-5′-monophosphate (FAICAR), leading to an accumulation of AICAR. This has been interpreted to lead either to local adenosine release and activated adenosine signaling (145) or to cell-autonomous AMPK activation (146). Potential cardiometabolic improvements induced by adenosine receptor activation may be mediated through attenuated leukocyte recruitment and adhesion to the vascular endothelium by inhibiting selectin- and integrin-mediated adhesive events, through the reduced production of oxygen radicals and other potentially deleterious mediators from stimulated neutrophils, and through broad effects on macrophage activation (reviewed in ref. 147). Adenosine has also been reported to promote regeneration of pancreatic β cells in preclinical models. 

Although salicylate effectively lowers blood glucose, its effects on atherosclerosis are less clear. Salicylate reduces NF-κB activity in endothelial cells and improves endothelial function after four days of use in overweight individuals (134). However, the high dose of salsalate (4.5 g/d) used in this study would not be tolerated for extended administration (127, 134). In contrast, no effects on flow-mediated dilation were seen in persons with T2D who received salsalate at 3.5 g/d over six months (135). It remains unknown whether these differences are due to dosage or study population, or whether the effects of short-term are not sustained, as mixed effects have been reported in additional investigations (131, 136, 137). To address whether salsalate can reduce progression of non-calcified coronary plaque, a timely question given the inflammatory hypothesis of atherosclerosis, 190 overweight or obese persons with established coronary heart disease taking statin therapy were randomized to salsalate (3.5 g/d) or placebo and followed by multidetector computed tomographic angiography over 30 months (138). There was no difference in progression of non-calcified plaque volume between groups, but interpretations of these study results must consider the absence of non-calcified plaque progression in the placebo group, which itself is an important finding for benefits of current multifactorial cardiovascular care.

In terms of molecular mechanism, salicylate inhibits IKKβ and NF-κB but does not bind IKKβ or other components of the NF-κB axis directly (121–125). Salicylate is now known to activate AMPK by binding its β1 subunit (139), which likely accounts for the metabolic improvements (127, 128, 132, 133). Reduced insulin clearance may also contribute (127, 140, 141). AMPK activation is clearly accompanied by the inhibition of NF-κB, although the precise molecular details linking AMPK to IKK and NF-κB have not been established (52–54, 142, 143). Since salicylate activates AMPK, it is not necessary to invoke NF-κB inhibition to account for the metabolic improvements.
(148, 149). Alternatively, cellular accumulation of AICAR directly activates AMPK. Consistent with this mechanism, methotrexate promotes glucose uptake and lipid oxidation in skeletal muscle, which is AMPK dependent, and reduces hyperglycemia in rodent models of T2D (146, 150, 151). Methotrexate has also been shown to inhibit atherogenesis in cholesterol-fed rabbits (152). As noted above, AMPK activation is inherently antiinflammatory and is accompanied by NF-κB inhibition.

Multiple observational studies of patients with rheumatic diseases suggest lower hazard ratios, ranging from 10% to 90%, for cardiovascular events or mortality (153–157). Although intriguing, such non-randomized investigations must be interpreted with caution. Related data for glucose lowering are not yet available. Cohort studies are potentially confounded by effects of the comparator drugs used to treat the underlying rheumatic condition for which methotrexate is prescribed, including TNF-α inhibitors, or hydroxychloroquine or corticosteroids, which themselves may lower or raise glucose levels, respectively. One small cohort study suggests improved glycemia with methotrexate (158). Together these data provided the rationale for the Cardiovascular Inflammation Reduction Trial (CIRT), which evaluates the safety and effectiveness of targeting inflammation with low-dose methotrexate to reduce major adverse cardiovascular events in metabolic syndrome and established coronary heart disease patients and will also evaluate potential for glycemic improvement (144).

**Biologics as antiinflammatory drugs**

**TNF-α inhibitors.** Despite preclinical studies suggesting the participation of TNF-α in the pathophysiology of insulin resistance (28), clinical translation has not been confirmed (159–162). Consistent with a potential role in the pathogenesis of T2D, TNF-α antagonists used to treat conditions such as rheumatoid arthritis (163–167), psoriasis (168), and Crohn’s disease (169) have been associated with improved glycemia and decreased incident diabetes. However, since these studies were not randomized and most were not prospective, they must also be interpreted cautiously. Trials with TNF-α antagonists in persons with cardiometabolic risk have thus far involved small numbers of patients exposed for short durations (159–162). While antiinflammatory effects were observed, improved insulin sensitivity or glycemia were not detected, possibly due to insufficient trial duration or power to detect changes. Support for continued investigation in this area is provided by results from a six-month trial of TNF-α inhibition, which reported decreased fasting glucose and increased adiponectin concentrations in obese persons without diabetes (170).

**IL-1β antagonists.** Although there are 11 members of the IL-1 superfamily, for cardiometabolic indications the field has focused on IL-1β signaling through the type 1 IL-1 receptor (IL-1R1) and inhibition of IL-1 signaling by the endogenous antagonist, IL-1Ra, which competitively binds but does not activate IL-1R1. IL-1R1 acts through MyD88 to activate NF-κB (171) and other downstream mediators and processes, including iNOS, endothelin-1, endothelial smooth muscle proliferation, activation of macrophages and adhesion molecules, and production of chemokines and cytokines. Many of these are hypothesized to contribute to diabetes and atherothrombosis (reviewed in ref. 172). Similar to TNF-α, a feed-forward mechanism drives increased IL-1β production in response to NF-κB activation. However, the production of active IL-1β involves additional steps, as conversion of IL-1β precursor protein to IL-1β by caspase-1 requires an activated NLRP3 inflammasome (173–175). In chronic inflammatory conditions increased IL-1β secreted by the activated cells leads to an imbalance with the inhibitor IL-1Ra.

Preclinical (176, 177) and human studies (178–185) support a potential role for IL-1β in the pathogenesis of T2D. Improved glycemia and β cell secretory function and reduced markers of systemic inflammation were demonstrated in a proof-of-concept trial of 70 patients with T2D randomized to receive daily IL-1Ra (anakinra) or placebo for 13 weeks (180). After anakinra discontinuation participants continued to be followed in a blinded manner for 39 additional weeks, at which time insulin secretion remained improved and inflammation decreased, suggesting that these effects were durable (179). This may be attributable to interruption of the self-propagating cycle of IL-1 auto-induction (186). Anakinra has also been suggested to improve β cell secretory function in prediabetes (187), which may prevent or delay the onset of T2D. In addition to anakinra, humanized antibodies against IL-1β are being studied for potential benefits in T2D. In one study, a single dose of gevokizumab reduced HbA1C by 0.9% after three months (182). Likewise, 12 weekly injections of the anti–IL-1β antibody LY2189102 improved HbA1C by 0.4% and also improved fasting and postprandial glycemia and inflammatory biomarkers (185). Similar to the study with anakinra, effects of IL-1 antagonism were observed after the end of treatment, with a 0.6% decrease in HbA1C at week 24.

There is also a rationale for inhibiting IL-1β in ASCVD. In preclinical studies, IL-1β exposure promotes atherosclerotic plaque formation (188), whereas loss of IL-1 function reduces atherosclerotic lesions (189). Human atheroma contain IL-1β mRNA and protein (190), caspase-1, which converts pro–IL-1β to the active form and is overexpressed in human plaque (191), and cholesterol crystals, which activate the NLRP3 inflammasome (41, 42), thereby activating caspase-1 to trigger IL-1β production and the proinflammatory response. In one study, the IL-1β monoclonal antibody canakinumab reduced the inflammatory proteins CRP, IL-6, and fibrinogen in persons with T2D and high cardiovascular risk (192). However, HbA1C, glucose, and insulin were unchanged at four months. The much larger Canakinumab Anti-Inflammatory Thrombosis Outcome Study (CANTOS) will provide further insight into the effects of canakinumab on both cardiovascular event rates and glycemia (193).

**Other antiinflammatory approaches in cardiometabolic disease**

Other antiinflammatory approaches are under investigation. Increases in the numbers of macrophages and other immune cells in adipose tissue that accompany the development of obesity in rodents and humans may account for the local inflammation and systemic insulin resistance associated with the induction of obesity (111, 194). Reduced leukocyte recruitment may therefore diminish adipose tissue inflammation to potentially improve insulin resistance. Chemoattractants including leukotrienes (lipids) and chemokines (polypeptides) thus provide additional potential antiinflammatory targets in cardiometabolic conditions.
Leukotrienes are highly potent chemoattractants produced at sites of injury and inflammation for the recruitment of neutrophils and other myeloid cells (195, 196). Leukotriene biosynthesis occurs through the conversion of arachidonic acid, first by the actions of 5-lipooxygenase (5-LO) and 5-LO-activating protein (FLAP) to form leukotriene A4 (LTA4), at which point the synthetic pathway bifurcates. Depending on the setting, LTA4 is converted either by LTA4 hydroxase (LTA4H) into the highly active leukotriene LTB4, or by LTC4 synthase into the cysteinyl leukotrienes. LTB4 binds and signals through the B leukotriene receptor BLT1, whereas cysteiny1 leukotrienes LTC4 and LTD4 bind cysteiny1 leukotriene receptor subtypes 1 and 2. Studies to determine whether antagonists of the enzymes for leukotriene production (5-LO, FLAP and LTA4H) (197, 198) or receptor binding (BLT1) have cardiometabolic outcome benefits are ongoing and results have not yet been reported.

Similarly, disruption of cytokine/receptor interactions might be used to decrease obesity-induced inflammation and insulin resistance, although the abundance of chemokines and chemokine receptors makes this a potentially difficult approach. The most studied cytokine/receptor pair in terms of preclinical cardiometabolic improvements are CCL2 and its receptor, CCR2, which are involved in the recruitment of monocytes to sites of inflammation (199–201). However, the results of clinical studies with CCR2 antagonists have not been reported. Although COX2 inhibition may reduce adipose tissue inflammation and improve insulin resistance in fructose-fed rat models of disease (202, 203), the use of COX inhibitors has been associated with an increased cardiovascular risk (204–206), which may limit this approach. Novel approaches to evaluate antiinflammatory diets (207, 208) and modulate an individual’s microbiome (209, 210) are also under study. Some of these or other antiinflammatory approaches may provide future therapeutic options.

Summary

In summary, multiple diseases may be caused or exacerbated by pathologic activation of the immune system. Some of these inflammatory pathways impact glucose metabolism and cardiovascular health. Likewise, many antidiabetic agents have antiinflammatory properties, some of which are direct effects while others may be secondary to improved metabolic state. Novel therapeutic approaches targeting inflammation to treat or prevent diabetes and ASCVD may be developed. Indeed, a large body of tantalizing data supports ongoing investigations of multiple approaches to target inflammation to improve cardiometabolic health. However, strong clinical data supporting approaches to simultaneously target diabetes and ASCVD do not yet exist, which also raises the question of the validity of the yet unproven hypothesis that chronic subacute inflammation is the commonality between these frequently co-occurring conditions. Clinical trials employing multiple approaches to test the inflammatory hypothesis are ongoing; however, as specific molecular inflammatory pathways involved in diabetes and atherosclerosis may differ, the potential therapeutic approaches to these conditions may likewise be different even within the broader context of antiinflammatory therapy. A nuanced understanding of the underlying biological processes may contribute to the development of safe and effective therapies, although a single approach may not be sufficient for optimal management of both metabolic and atherothrombotic disease states.
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