Platelet-RBC interaction mediated by FasL/FasR induces procoagulant activity important for thrombosis
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Red blood cells (RBCs) influence rheology, and release ADP, ATP, and nitric oxide, suggesting a role for RBCs in hemostasis and thrombosis. Here, we provide evidence for a significant contribution of RBCs to thrombus formation. Anemic mice showed enhanced occlusion times upon injury of the carotid artery. A small population of RBCs was located to platelet thrombi and enhanced platelet activation by a direct cell contact via the FasL/FasR (CD95) pathway known to induce apoptosis. Activation of platelets in the presence of RBCs led to platelet FasL exposure that activated FasR on RBCs responsible for externalization of phosphatidylserine (PS) on the RBC membrane. Inhibition or genetic deletion of either FasL or FasR resulted in reduced PS exposure of RBCs and platelets, decreased thrombin generation, and reduced thrombus formation in vitro and protection against arterial thrombosis in vivo. Direct cell contacts between platelets and RBCs via FasL/FasR were shown after ligation of the inferior vena cava (IVC) and in surgical specimens of patients after thrombectomy. In a flow restriction model of the IVC, reduced thrombus formation was observed in FasL−/− mice. Taken together, our data reveal a significant contribution of RBCs to thrombosis by the FasL/FasR pathway.
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Red blood cells (RBCs) influence rheology, and release ADP, ATP, and nitric oxide, suggesting a role for RBCs in hemostasis and thrombosis. Here, we provide evidence for a significant contribution of RBCs to thrombus formation. Anemic mice showed enhanced occlusion times upon injury of the carotid artery. A small population of RBCs was located to platelet thrombi and enhanced platelet activation by a direct cell contact via the FasL/FasR (CD95) pathway known to induce apoptosis. Activation of platelets in the presence of RBCs led to platelet FasL exposure that activated FasR on RBCs responsible for externalization of phosphatidylserine (PS) on the RBC membrane. Inhibition or genetic deletion of either FasL or FasR resulted in reduced PS exposure of RBCs and platelets, decreased thrombin generation, and reduced thrombus formation in vitro and protection against arterial thrombosis in vivo. Direct cell contacts between platelets and RBCs via FasL/FasR were shown after ligation of the inferior vena cava (IVC) and in surgical specimens of patients after thrombectomy. In a flow restriction model of the IVC, reduced thrombus formation was observed in FasL−/− mice. Taken together, our data reveal a significant contribution of RBCs to thrombosis by the FasL/FasR pathway.

Introduction
Platelets play an essential role in hemostasis by the formation of a primary hemostatic plug to avoid excessive blood loss upon vessel injury (1, 2). In contrast, uncontrolled platelet activation upon rupture of an atherosclerotic plaque is responsible for acute vessel occlusion, leading to myocardial infarction or stroke (3, 4).

Injury of the endothelium leads to exposure of extracellular matrix proteins that serve as substrates to initiate the adhesion and activation of circulating platelets by the engagement of specific receptors (2). vWF binds the platelet-specific GPIb-V-IX complex, collagens bind the glycoprotein receptor GPVI and integrin α2β1, and fibronectin engages both integrin α5β1 and laminin α6β1 (5). vWF binding to GPIb is essential for platelet recruitment to the vessel wall and initial adhesion in arterioles and stenotic arteries (6). Engagement of GPVI by collagen leads to platelet activation important for stable platelet adhesion mediated by integrin αIIβ3 binding to vWF and fibrinogen (7, 8) and release of platelet granules. Central for irreversible platelet activation is the release of soluble agonists such as thromboxane and ADP because they increase platelet activation by binding to G protein-coupled receptors (9, 10).

Primary hemostasis is essential for a first coverage of injured vessels but not sufficient for thrombus growth and stability (5). Platelet activation followed by blood coagulation and thrombin generation is crucial for the formation of stable arterial thrombi. Platelets play an important role in these processes because they provide a procoagulant surface by exposing phosphatidylserine (PS) to allow assembly of coagulation complexes on their plasma membrane. So far, it is known that PS is exposed by the protein scramblase, which does only require an increased Ca2+ concentration but no energy, and simultaneous Ca2+-induced inactivation of translocase and flippase. This happens, for example, during early apoptosis (11). Platelets support fibrin formation and regulate the retraction of fibrin clots (12).

Red blood cells (RBCs) have been described as participating in hemostasis by influencing rheology. In flowing blood, platelets are pressed against the vessel wall leading to a higher concentration of platelets. Thus, an increase in the hematocrit is accompanied by improved hemostasis, especially upon anemia (13–16). In 1961, Hellem and colleagues cited platelet adhesiveness as the most important platelet function in hemostasis (13). The authors cite a study from Duke from 1910 in which direct transfusions were administered to patients with anemia.
and thrombocytopenia. They claimed that RBCs influence the hemostatic mechanism because bleeding time in patients with severe anemia was prolonged. Livio and colleagues investigated uremic patients who are often anemic (15). They observed that bleeding times in uremic patients are profoundly influenced by anemia. Blajchman et al. were able to confirm the clinical data in experimental animals (17). They found that RBC transfusions shortened bleeding time in thrombocytopenic rabbits. Saniabadi proposed in 1985 that manipulating the hematocrit is a useful therapeutic option in the control of thrombotic and bleeding tendencies (18). Today, the German guidelines for polytrauma and treatment of seriously injured persons (Kurzversion der S3 Leitlinie Polytrauma/Schwerverletzten-Behandlung) dictate that the hematocrit be increased to a minimum 7–9 g/dl (Chap-
Figure 2. A small population of RBCs in platelet-rich thrombi is sufficient to support thrombus formation. (A–D) Cellular composition of whole blood and thrombi. (A) Cell types were determined by morphology and cell-specific antibodies in flow cytometry. The diagram presents the percentage of RBCs (white), platelets (black), WBCs (light gray), and cells that could not be precisely identified (other, dark gray) (n = 3). (B) Semithin section through a middle layer of a thrombus. RBCs, some indicated by black arrowheads, are spread throughout the thrombus. Scale bar: 10 μm. (C) Scanning electron microscopy of thrombi. Collagen fibers indicated by white arrowheads, platelets indicated by black arrows, and RBCs indicated by black arrowheads (n = 3). Scale bars as indicated. (D) Thrombi from mice after injury of the carotid artery were isolated and stained with hematoxylin and eosin to visualize RBCs in arterial thrombi. Representative images are shown. Overview in upper panel, details in lower panel. Scale bars: 100 μm (upper panel) and 20 μm (lower panel). (E and F) Effects of hemoglobin on thrombus formation ex vivo. (E) Representative images. Scale bar: 50 μm. (F) Mean surface coverage for PRP (2 × 10^6 platelets/μl), PRP + RBCs (4 × 10^6 RBCs/μl), and PRP + hemoglobin (13 mg/ml) at a shear rate of 1,000 s^{-1} (n = 3). (G) ATP release from RBCs, platelets, and ghosts was induced by L-arginine and detected by HPLC. A total of 4 × 10^6 RBCs, an equivalent amount of ghosts, and 2 × 10^8 platelets were used (n = 3). (H) Ghost preparation was visualized by microscopy for quality inspection. Scale bar: 50 μm. (I and J) Thrombus formation in the presence of RBCs or ghosts and PRP at a shear rate of 1,000 s^{-1}. (I) Representative images. Scale bar: 50 μm. (J) Mean surface coverage per visual field (n = 3). Bar graphs depict mean values ± SEM. Plts, platelets; WBCs, white blood cells. Student’s t test (I).
Figure 3. RBCs support occlusive thrombus formation ex vivo and in vivo. (A) Flow chamber system T-TAS. Whole blood and PRP were loaded into the flow chamber at a shear rate of 600 s⁻¹ at 37°C. Bar graphs show full occlusion of the capillary (n = 6). (B) Representative images of whole blood and PRP are shown. Blood flow direction was from left to right. (C) Whole blood and PRP were measured until full occlusion of the capillary. When flow pressure increased to 80 kPa, blood perfusion stopped and the capillary was almost completely occluded by thrombi. (D) Human PRP and RBCs (4 x 10⁶) were perfused through the flow chamber. Where indicated, RBCs were substituted with fixed RBCs and occlusion of the capillary was monitored. (E) Bleeding times of control and anemic mice after amputating the tail tip. The time from the incision to the cessation of bleeding was recorded (no blood flow for 1 minute). (F) Time to initiation of thrombus formation (left) and time to occlusion (right) were measured with an ultrasonic flow probe in mice where the carotid artery was injured by topical application of FeCl₃ (n = 5–6). (G) After injury of the carotid artery, thrombi were isolated and stained with hematoxylin and eosin to visualize RBCs within the thrombus. Representative images of control mice (upper panel), same control sample but different detail as shown in Figure 2D and anemic mice (middle and lower panel) are shown. Scale bars: 50 μm (upper and middle panel) and 20 μm (lower panel). (H) Bleeding times of mice that received RBC injections to increase the hematocrit were analyzed. (I) Time to occlusion in mice with increased hematocrit was determined after injury of the carotid artery with FeCl₃ (n = 9–10). HCT+, mice with increased hematocrit. Data are the mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 by Student’s t test.

RBCs facilitate thrombin generation and PS exposure of RBCs is important for arterial thrombus formation on collagen. RBCs are known to expose PS on their membrane and contribute to the generation of thrombin (23–25). To elucidate whether RBCs provide a procoagulant surface we performed flow chamber experiments with PRP and 4 x 10⁶/μL RBCs or ghosts and incubated ghosts with annexin V before perfusion to neutralize exposed PS on the surface of cells (26). As a result, thrombus formation was strongly reduced when annexin V was added to ghosts before PRP and ghosts were mixed and perfused through the flow chamber (Figure 4, A and B). To examine the impact of PS on intact cells, we incubated RBCs with ionomycin to induce PS exposure. Thrombus formation with PRP and ionomycin-treated RBCs was not different from PRP supplemented with fresh RBCs. In contrast, ionomycin-treated RBCs showed significantly reduced thrombus formation under flow when pretreated with annexin V (Figure 4C). In a flow cytometric analysis we confirmed binding of annexin V to ghosts, RBCs treated with ionomycin, and old RBCs (>40 days after blood withdrawal), but not to fresh RBCs (Figure 4D). A detailed characterization of the different RBC/CD235-positive cells used in this study is provided in Supplemental Figure 4. Moreover, we measured PS exposure of RBCs and ghosts after isolation of cells by Accutase treatment of thrombi at the end of the flow chamber experiment. As expected, increasing the concentra-
Figure 4. PS exposure of RBCs is responsible for RBC-mediated 3-dimensional thrombus formation on collagen. (A and B) Thrombus formation on collagen under flow using PRP supplemented with freshly isolated RBCs, ghosts, and ghosts incubated with annexin V to neutralize PS at a shear rate of 1,000 s⁻¹. Representative images (A) with blood components as indicated above. (B) Thrombus formation was quantified by surface coverage per visual field (n = 3). Scale bar: 50 μm. (C) PS exposure of RBCs was induced by treatment with ionomycin. Defective aggregate formation under flow using PRP and RBCs treated with ionomycin and annexin V. Mean surface coverage per visual field (left panel) (n = 3). Representative image of PRP supplemented with RBCs treated with ionomycin and annexin V (right panel). Scale bar: 50 μm. (D) Annexin V binding to fresh RBCs, RBCs treated with ionomycin, RBCs after storage for more than 40 days (old RBCs), and ghosts was measured by flow cytometry (n = 3). (E) Determination of annexin V binding to RBCs and ghosts isolated from thrombi developed in the flow chamber system (n = 3). Where indicated, ghosts were uncultivated with annexin V. (F) Number of CD235-positive cells in thrombi formed under flow was determined via flow cytometry. (G) Annexin V binding to RBCs before and after the flow through as determined by change in mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) compared with PS exposure of ghosts (positive control). (H-J) Thrombin- (H) and ADP-induced (I) thrombin generation in PRP and PRP supplemented with RBCs was measured by the fluorigenic calibrated automated thrombogram assay. (J) Lag time (minutes, left panel) and peak height (nM thrombin, right panel) are shown. Data are expressed as arithmetic means ± SEM. Student’s t test (A and J) and 1-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple-comparisons test (B-F). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001. Cy5, cyanine 5; NS, not significant; PS, phosphatidylserine.

Next, we determined thrombin generation in PRP and PRP supplemented with RBCs after stimulation of platelets with either thrombin (Figure 4, H and J) or ADP (Figure 4, I and J). Thrombin was generated faster, as demonstrated by shorter lag time, and the thrombin peak was increased when PRP was supplemented with RBCs compared with PRP alone (Figure 4, H-J).

Cell-cell contact between platelets and RBCs is crucial for RBC-platelet interaction. To determine which signal or mechanism is responsible for the induction of PS externalization of RBCs, we perfused whole blood through the flow chamber and allowed thrombus formation on a collagen matrix. Thrombi were then analyzed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). As shown in Figure 5A, RBCs are located within the thrombus, as already shown in Figure 2. Furthermore, we found RBCs close to platelets and with direct contact to platelets. Flow cytometric analysis of static experiments using PRP incubated with fresh RBCs demonstrated that a small subpopulation of RBCs and platelets interact with each other because these cells were positively labeled for the platelet marker GPIbα and the RBC marker CD235a (Figure 5B). Comparable results were obtained with ADP-stimulated platelets (data not shown). To disclose the underlying mechanisms, we incubated RBCs with the supernatant of collagen-related peptide-stimulated (CRP-stimulated) platelets and measured annexin V binding of RBCs. As expected, no increase in annexin V binding was observed (Figure 5C). However, experiments using PRP and thus intact platelets revealed a significant increase in PS exposure of RBCs, providing further evidence that a direct cell contact between platelets and RBCs is necessary to induce PS exposure of RBCs. Further experiments using aspirin and clopidogrel to inhibit thromboxane formation or ADP signaling via the ADP receptor P2Y₁₅, respectively, demonstrated that neither thromboxane nor P2Y₁₅ plays a major role in platelet-mediated induction of PS exposure of RBCs (Supplemental Figure 6A). All these data prompted us to look for a receptor-mediated interaction between platelets and RBCs. Recently, the platelet receptors CD36 and CXCL16 have been shown to bind to PS exposed at the RBC membrane (27). To analyze if these receptors influence the induction of PS exposure of RBCs, we performed flow chamber experiments. Supplemental Figure 6 demonstrates that neither blood from Cdad6-knockout mice nor treatment of Cdad6-WT blood with inhibitory antibodies against CD36 and CXCL16 influences PS exposure of RBCs (Supplemental Figure 6, B–D).

Fas receptor-mediated cell-cell contact between platelets and RBCs is essential for PS exposure of RBCs upon thrombus formation. Fas receptor (FasR, CD95) signaling in RBCs is known to induce an apoptotic signal via activation of caspase 3 leading to PS externalization in human RBCs (28). To investigate if this apoptotic mechanism plays a role in initiating PS exposure of RBCs, we initially confirmed FasR expression of RBCs (Supplemental Figure 6E). Unexpectedly, FasR expression on RBCs was increased in the presence of resting and activated platelets (Supplemental Figure 6F). The incubation of PRP with RBCs under static conditions showed significantly reduced annexin V binding to RBCs when we blocked the FasR of RBCs by antibody treatment upon platelet stimulation with ADP (100% [PRP + RBCs] vs. 151.6% ± 16.1% [PRP + RBCs + ADP] vs. 107.9% ± 6.0% [PRP + RBCs + ADP + FasR antibody]; Figure 6A). To analyze the effects of FasR inhibition and reduced PS exposure of RBCs on platelet activation, we examined annexin V binding to platelets. In fact, PS exposure of ADP- and CRP-stimulated platelets was strongly reduced in the presence of RBCs treated with FasR-blocking antibody (Figure 6B). Moreover, annexin V binding to activated platelets was significantly enhanced in the presence of RBCs compared with activated platelets alone (Figure 6B), suggesting that RBCs amplify PS exposure of activated platelets. In the presence of RBCs, inhibition of FasR significantly reduced the endogenous thrombin potential (ETP) after stimulation of platelets with thrombin (Figure 6, C and D), while the FasR-inhibiting antibody had no effect in PRP alone (data not shown). To investigate the
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platelet activation in the presence of RBCs. The same results were obtained in the presence of WBCs (Supplemental Figure 7, C–E).

To analyze whether FasR on RBCs has any impact on arterial thrombosis in vivo, we analyzed WT mice treated with FasR-blocking antibody (20 μg/mouse) in a thrombosis model where injury of mesenteric arterioles was induced by FeCl₃. Platelet adhesion and thrombus formation at sites of vessel injury were induced by topical application of 20% FeCl₃ on exposed mesenteric arterioles. Complete vessel occlusion occurred in control mice within 1,420 ± 142.6 seconds, with an occlusion rate of 100%. In contrast, we never observed occlusion of mesenteric arterioles in mice treated with the inhibitory FasR antibody, suggesting that these mice are protected against arterial thrombosis (Figure 6, I and J, and Supplemental Videos 5 and 6). In contrast, hemostasis, as analyzed by tail bleeding experiments, was not altered in mice that received FasR-blocking antibody (Figure 6K). Taken together, these results clearly show that interfering with platelet-RBC interactions by blocking FasR might be a promising new therapeutic strategy.

Platelet-membrane-bound Fas ligand serves as ligand for erythrocyte FasR to mediate platelet-induced PS exposure of RBCs

impact of FasR on RBCs under more physiological conditions, we performed flow chamber experiments and found significantly reduced thrombus formation under flow when we inhibited FasR only on RBCs (Figure 6, E and F). Accordingly, annexin V binding to RBCs and platelets was significantly reduced, as measured by flow cytometry (Figure 6, G and H). However, thrombus formation and PS exposure of RBCs was shown to be not dependent on caspases because experiments performed in the presence of a pan-caspase inhibitor did not alter surface coverage of thrombi, thrombus volume, or annexin V binding to RBCs (Supplemental Figure 6, G and H).

To analyze if platelets have an activated phenotype in the presence of RBCs, we determined P-selectin exposure (degranulation marker) and activated integrin αIIbβ3 (PAC-1 binding) by flow cytometry (Supplemental Figure 7, A and B). We did not detect any

Figure 5. Cell-cell contact between platelets and RBCs is crucial for RBC-platelet interaction. (A) Transmission electron microscopy of thrombi. Overview (left images) and image details (on the right) are shown. RBCs (black arrowheads) and regions of cell-cell contact (white arrowhead) with platelets are highlighted. Scale bars as indicated (n = 3). (B) Representative dot blots from PRP incubated with RBCs using cell-specific antibodies. Gate 1 (G1) shows platelets. Gate 2 (G2) shows aggregates of RBCs and platelets. (C) Annexin V binding to RBCs as determined by flow cytometry (n = 7). s/n, supernatant. CRP (agonist) concentration = 5 μg/ml. Data are the mean ± SEM. ***P < 0.001 by Student’s t test.
average, we found significantly reduced thrombus volume when we perfused fluorescently labeled platelets through the chamber and measured integrated fluorescence intensity (IFI) (Figure 7H). Consequently, the number of RBCs in thrombi was reduced and annexin V binding to RBCs showed a significant reduction of PS externalization in samples from thrombi derived from hDcR3-treated blood (Figure 7, I and J).

FasR-FasL interactions by competitively binding to membrane-bound FasL (30). Accordingly, annexin V binding to RBCs was significantly reduced when ADP-stimulated platelets were incubated with RBCs in the presence of hDcR3 (Figure 7E). Under flow conditions, platelet adhesion and 3-dimensional thrombus formation were significantly reduced in hDcR3-treated whole blood (Figure 7, F and G). In addition to a reduced surface coverage, we found significantly reduced thrombus volume when we perfused fluorescently labeled platelets through the chamber and measured integrated fluorescence intensity (IFI) (Figure 7H). Consequently, the number of RBCs in thrombi was reduced and annexin V binding to RBCs showed a significant reduction of PS externalization in samples from thrombi derived from hDcR3-treated blood (Figure 7, I and J).
Figure 7. FasL serves as ligand for FasR to mediate platelet-induced activation of RBCs. (A) Exposure of FasL at the platelet membrane after incubation of platelets with ADP (10 μM) and CRP (5 μg/ml) in the absence and presence of RBCs was determined by flow cytometry (n = 4–10). MFI, mean fluorescence intensity. (B and C) Platelets stimulated with ADP (10 μM) were allowed to adhere to immobilized FasR (50 μg/ml). Representative images (B) and quantification (C) of adherent platelets in the presence of hDcR3 or control IgG-Fc (10 μg/ml). hDcR3, human decay receptor 3. Scale bar: 50 μm. (D) No effect of hDcR3 on platelet adhesion on collagen (200 μg/ml) was observed. (n = 5). (E) Platelets were activated with ADP (10 μM), pretreated with hDcR3 (10 μg/ml), and incubated with RBCs. Effect of hDcR3 treatment on PS exposure of RBCs was determined (n = 10). (F–I) Aggregate formation of hDcR3-treated (10 μg/ml) platelets on collagen under flow using a shear rate of 1,000 s⁻¹. Controls received IgG-Fc. (F) Representative phase-contrast (left panel) and fluorescence images with mepacrine-labeled platelets (middle panel, overlay right panel) at the end of the perfusion period. Scale bar: 50 μm. (G and H) Mean surface coverage and relative platelet deposition after treatment with hDcR3, as measured by integrated fluorescence intensity (IFI) per visual field is shown (n = 6). (I) Cells of thrombi were isolated by Accutase treatment and number of RBCs was quantified by flow cytometry (n = 6). (J) PS exposure of RBCs treated with hDcR3 or control IgG-Fc was determined by flow cytometry (n = 6). Controls were treated with IgG-Fc protein (n = 8). Data are the mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001 by Student’s t test (D and G–J) or 1-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple-comparisons test (A, C, and E). Plts, platelets.
Genetic deletion of FasR or FasL is responsible for altered PS exposure and thrombus formation in vitro and in vivo. To confirm the inhibitory experiments with FasR antibody and hDcR3, we analyzed FasL- and FasR-knockout mice. First, we measured platelet and RBC counts, hematocrit, and basal levels of PS exposure at the RBC membrane in knockout mice and found no significant alterations between the different mouse lines (Supplemental Figure 8, A–C).

Given that we analyzed human platelets with FasR antibody and hDcR3, we now analyzed thrombus formation under flow with murine blood and FasR-inhibiting antibody to confirm the human data (Figure 8A). Significantly decreased formation of 3-dimensional thrombi was found when we perfused whole blood supplemented with FasR antibody through the chamber using a shear rate of 1,700 s⁻¹ (Figure 8, A and B). In addition, thrombus formation and thrombus volume were significantly reduced when we perfused fluorescently labeled FasL-knockout (FasL⁻/⁻) platelets and WT RBCs, and WT platelets with FasR⁻/⁻ RBCs were used. Representative images (C) and thrombus volume (D) are shown (n = 4–6). (E–G) Detection of RBCs and platelets in thrombi of WT, FasR⁻/⁻, or FasL⁻/⁻ mice (n = 3). (E) Number of RBCs in thrombi under flow conditions. (F) Staining of isolated RBCs (TER-119 antibody, red; upper panel) and of RBCs and platelets in thrombi (RBCs: TER-119 antibody, red; platelets: GP9 antibody, green; lower panel). (G) Representative images of RBCs in thrombi from WT, FasR⁻/⁻, or FasL⁻/⁻ mice. Arrows indicate RBCs. Data are the mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001 by Student’s t test (B) or 2-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple-comparisons test (D and E). Scale bars: 50 μm (A and C) and 10 μm (F and G).
platelets and FasR−/− RBCs led to a significant decrease in PS exposure of RBCs (Figure 9A) and platelets (Figure 9B). Moreover, resting FasL−/− platelets displayed decreased PS exposure in PRP alone and in the presence of WT RBCs.

Accordingly, annexin V binding to RBCs (Figure 9C) and platelets (Figure 9D) isolated from thrombi in the flow chamber system was significantly reduced when either FasR−/− RBCs and WT platelets or FasL−/− platelets and WT RBCs were perfused through the chamber. Capillary occlusion in the Total Thrombus-formation Analysis System (T-TAS, Fujimori Kogyo Co., Ltd.; see supplemental methods) flow chamber confirmed significantly enhanced occlusion times when either FasR−/− RBCs and WT platelets or FasL−/− platelets and WT RBCs were analyzed (Figure 9, E–H).

We next investigated the impact of FasR and FasL in shear-dependent arterial thrombus formation in vivo. Topical application of 20% FeCl₃ on exposed mesenteric arterioles induced vessel injury that resulted in prompt initiation of thrombus formation (Figure 10A) and complete vessel occlusion in WT mice, while most of FasR−/− mice showed a delay in the initiation of thrombus formation and no occlusion at the end of the observation period of 40 minutes (Figure 10B). An even more pronounced phenotype was observed with FasL−/− mice that showed no aggregate or thrombus formation at all; thus, no occlusion of the injured vessel was observed in these mice (occlusion time > 40 minutes, Figure 10, A–D). Furthermore, thrombus size at different time points was significantly reduced in FasR−/− mice, while no thrombi were observed in FasL−/− mice compared with WT controls (Figure 10E).

To confirm the importance of platelet FasL in thrombus formation in vivo, we analyzed mice with a cell-specific knockout of FasL in platelets using PF4-Cre+ FasLfl/fl mice. As shown in Figure 10F, initiation of thrombus formation in PF4-Cre+ FasLfl/fl mice was significantly delayed compared with PF4-Cre− FasLfl/fl mice that served

---

**Figure 9. Genetic deletion of FasR and FasL reduces PS exposure in vitro.** (A and B) Effects of FasL and FasR deficiency on PS exposure of (A) RBCs and (B) platelets in vitro. Platelets from either WT or FasL−/− mice were incubated with WT RBCs; WT platelets were incubated with FasR−/− RBCs (n = 4). (C and D) Flow cytometry of annexin V binding to RBCs (C) and platelets (D) isolated from thrombi (n = 5). (E–G) Thrombus formation and capillary occlusion in the flow chamber system T-TAS using PRP and RBCs. Time until full occlusion of the capillary was monitored. PRP from WT mice was incubated with either WT (E) or FasR−/− RBCs (F) and compared to PRP from FasL−/− mice and WT RBCs (G). (H) Occlusion times (n = 4–6). A shear rate of 1,700 s⁻¹ was used. Data are the mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001 by 2-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple-comparisons test (A and B) or 1-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s multiple-comparisons test (C–H). Plts, platelets.
as controls. Furthermore, 5 of 8 PF4-Cre FasL<sup>−/−</sup> mice showed no occlusion of the injured vessel at the end of the observation period of 40 minutes, while 2 PF4-Cre FasL<sup>−/−</sup> mice showed a prolonged time to occlusion compared with PF4-Cre FasL<sup>−/−</sup> controls (Figure 10, G and H), confirming the results obtained from FasL<sup>−/−</sup> mice.

To investigate the interaction between platelets and RBCs in another mouse model, we analyzed C57BL/6 mice after ligation of the inferior vena cava (IVC). C57BL/6 mice received murine platelets isolated from C57BL/6 or FasL<sup>−/−</sup> mice and labeled with rhodamine B, and RBCs isolated from C57BL/6 or FasL<sup>−/−</sup> mice labeled with dichlorofluorescein (DCF). After ligation of the IVC, interactions between RBCs and platelets were defined as colocalization for at least 3 consecutive images (204 ms, Figure 10, I–K). Cell interactions were quantified per animal 30, 60, and 90 minutes after ligation (Figure 10J). C57BL/6 mice receiving FasR<sup>−/−</sup> RBCs or FasL<sup>−/−</sup> platelets showed significantly reduced platelet-RBC interaction actions 90 minutes after ligation compared with WT mice with RBCs and platelets from C57BL/6 (WT vs. FasR<sup>−/−</sup> RBCs: 10.75 ± 1.548 vs. 4.25 ± 0.25, P = 0.003; WT vs. FasL<sup>−/−</sup>: 10.75 ± 1.548 vs. 5.25 ± 1.25, P = 0.0163; Figure 10J). In a flow restriction model of the IVC, venous thrombus formation, as measured by thrombus weight, was not altered in mice treated with FasR-blocking antibody, although the incidence of thrombus formation was reduced: 30% incidence compared with 60% in IgG control mice (Figure 10L). Therefore, the incidence of thrombus formation (50% of IgG-treated mice) rather than the thrombus weight represents differences between FasR antibody–and IgG-treated mice. In contrast, venous thrombus formation was significantly reduced in FasL<sup>−/−</sup> compared with control mice, while the incidence of thrombus formation was slightly enhanced in these mice (WT vs. FasL<sup>−/−</sup>: 15.06 mg ± 3.91 vs. 5.683 ± 1.277, P = 0.0446; Figure 10M).

Normal hemostasis upon FasR or FasL deficiency was observed when tail bleeding times of WT, FasR<sup>−/−</sup>, and FasL<sup>−/−</sup> mice were measured (Figure 10N). In line with these results, platelet-specific FasL-knockout mice were described to show no differences in bleeding times compared with controls as shown by Schleicher and colleagues (31).

FasL-FasR-mediated PS externalization of RBCs is relevant under pathological conditions. To investigate whether platelet-mediated PS externalization on the RBC membrane via FasL-FasR interaction influences arterial thrombosis in humans, we analyzed thrombi that were isolated from patients who underwent surgical thrombectomy (Table 1). Histological sections from these thrombi were stained with hematoxylin and eosin and characterized for thrombus morphology (Figure 11A). We found RBCs in small islands within the thrombus, as observed in mice after injury of the carotid artery (Figure 2D). TEM analysis of thrombi provided strong evidence for a direct contact between RBCs and platelets under pathological conditions (Figure 11B), as observed in thrombi that resulted from platelet adhesion and thrombus formation under flow (Figure 5A). Flow cytometric analysis of cell types within the thrombi revealed that 56.74% ± 4.64% RBCs and 42.37% ± 4.62% platelets were localized to the thrombi (Figure 11C). Next, we measured platelet activation and annexin V binding to platelets and RBCs isolated from these thrombi and compared the data with freshly isolated resting and activated platelets and RBCs from healthy volunteers. P-selectin exposure of platelets in the thrombi was significantly enhanced compared with resting platelets, but only moderately increased compared with CRP-activated platelets (Figure 11D). However, FasL externalization at the platelet membrane as well as PS exposure of RBCs isolated from patients’ thrombi were strongly enhanced compared with CRP-activated platelets in the presence of fresh RBCs: 21.34 ± 2.69 PRP + fresh RBCs + CRP vs. 44.43 ± 6.13 platelets from patients (FasL), 6.95 ± 1.79 PRP + fresh RBCs + CRP vs. 23.36 ± 2.91 platelets from patient (annexin V), respectively (Figure 11, E and F). Interestingly, no differences were observed in annexin V binding to activated platelets (Figure 11G). Flow cytometric analysis of whole blood from patients revealed that P-selectin and PS exposure of platelets was significantly enhanced compared with healthy volunteers (Supplemental Figure 9), while no difference in FasL exposure was observed. We next compared RBC-rich thrombi and platelet-rich thrombi and found no alterations in FasL externalization (Figure 11H). However, annexin V binding to RBCs in platelet-rich thrombi was significantly enhanced compared with PS exposure of RBCs in RBC-rich thrombi (Figure 11I). PS externalization of platelets was significantly higher in RBC-rich thrombi (Figure 11J). Taken together, these data provide the first evidence to our knowledge that cell–cell contact between platelets and RBCs via FasL-FasR interaction is also relevant under pathological conditions.

Discussion

In this study, we have shown that RBCs are essential for effective thrombus formation in vitro and in vivo, because a reduced number of RBCs results in attenuated hemostasis and prolonged occlusion times in anemic mice. Besides effects of RBCs on rheology conditions, a small population of RBCs is sufficient to support platelet-mediated thrombus formation by providing a procoagulant surface. Mechanistically, in a direct cell–cell contact between platelets and RBCs PS exposure of RBCs occurs, mediated through FasL-induced activation of FasR, followed by thrombin generation and platelet activation (Figure 11K). Inhibition or genetic deletion of FasR prevents 3-dimensional thrombus formation on collagen under flow ex vivo, arterial and venous thrombosis, and RBC-platelet interaction after IVC ligation in vivo, suggesting that FasL-FasR interaction is essential for thrombus formation. Mice with global FasL deficiency as well as platelet-specific loss of FasL confirmed the importance of platelet FasL for the induction of PS exposure at the RBC membrane and thrombus formation in vivo. High FasL and PS exposure of platelets and RBCs in arterial thrombi of patients provide evidence that FasL-FasR-mediated cell contact between platelets and RBCs represents a pathophysiological mechanism as well.

RBCs have been linked to thrombus formation for decades. They have been described to play a passive role in hemostasis by physical and chemical effects on the interaction between platelets and the vessel wall (16, 32). In flowing blood, RBCs displace platelets to the vessel wall, to induce contact of platelets with prothrombotic extracellular matrix proteins exposed upon vessel injury. In the FeCl<sub>3</sub> mouse model of arterial thrombosis, RBCs were shown to participate in thrombosis by supporting platelet adhesion to the intact endothelium (33). A reduced hematocrit is accompanied by prolonged bleeding times in humans and experimental animals, providing a pathophysiologically reasoned rationale to reduce the
risk of bleeding by normalizing the hematocrit with RBC transfusions (15, 17, 18, 34). In this study, the analysis of thrombus formation with PRP supplemented with fixed RBCs (Figure 3D and Supplemental Figure 1) suggests that RBCs not only influence rheology but play an active role in supporting thrombus formation. Different mechanisms for RBCs and their role in hemostasis have been described. RBCs release ADP, ATP, and NO and thus might influence hemostasis by platelet activation (19–22). In 1961, Gaarder and colleagues found ADP released by RBCs to be the height of the thrombin peak and shortened the time when thrombin generation was initiated (Figure 4, H–J). However, less is known so far about the mechanisms of PS exposure of RBCs. Red cell ICAM-4 was suggested to be a novel ligand for integrin αIbβ3 on platelets (37, 38). This was strengthened by inhibitory experiments with decreased thrombin generation (39). Moreover, adhesion of RBCs to immobilized platelets depends on PS exposure of RBCs and the PS receptors CD36 and CXCL16 (27). To date, it is still not clear how RBCs come into first contact with platelets to induce FasL/FasR signaling. However, decreased incorporation of RBCs into a thrombus was observed when the interaction between ICAM-4 and integrin αIbβ3 was inhibited (39).

PS exposure of RBCs led to increased annexin V binding to platelets (Figure 6, B and H). The activation of platelets by RBC-platelet interactions has already been shown by different groups. In contrast to this study, the authors provided evidence for enhanced integrin activation, P-selectin exposure, thromboxane production, and ADP release when RBCs were present in platelet suspensions (22, 40, 41). Here, we did not detect increased P-selectin exposure or integrin activation (Supplemental Figure 7). However, a direct mechanism for the induction of PS exposure on RBCs in a growing thrombus is currently unknown; nor has a direct contribution of RBCs to arterial thrombus formation by PS exposure, thrombin generation, or platelet activation been shown to date. Thus, we believe that the role of the FasL-FasR interaction in mediating platelet-RBC contacts upon adherence to the injured vessel and arterial and venous thrombus formation is a completely novel element in platelet activation and aggregate formation. Here, we show that the death receptor FasR (CD95) on RBCs is essential for thrombus formation, while inhibition or genetic deletion of FasR protects mice from arterial thrombosis. FasL is a type II transmembrane protein that belongs to the tumor necrosis factor (TNF) family and binds to FasR to induce apoptosis (29, 42, 43). Furthermore, FasL-FasR interactions play an important role in the regulation of the immune system and the progression of cancer (44–47). FasL is expressed by activated T cells and natural killer cells and induces apoptosis of target cells via FasR (48). Activated platelets expose FasL on their surface and induce apoptosis in Fas-positive tumor cells in vitro (49) and in a mouse model of stroke in vivo (31). This study reveals that platelet FasL is a ligand for FasR on the RBC membrane critical for the cell-cell interaction between RBCs and platelets. Interestingly, the expression of FasL on the platelet membrane (Figure 7A) as well as FasR expression on RBCs (Supplemental Figure 6) had already increased under resting conditions when platelets and RBCs were coinoculated, suggesting that the cells influence the expression of receptors of the other cell. hDcR3 is a TNF-R1– and FasR-related death receptor and does not transduce apoptotic signals but competes with the death receptors for ligand binding to inhibit apoptosis (30, 50). It is noteworthy that hDcR3-mediated reduction of thrombus formation and PS exposure of RBCs within the thrombus is significantly reduced, but to a lesser extent than that with FasR inhibition. The same observation was made with FasL−/− platelets (Figure 8, C and D), suggesting that another ligand on the platelet membrane might be able to activate FasR on RBCs. Interestingly, PS exposure of RBCs was shown to be not dependent on caspases (Supplemental Figure 6, G and H), although caspase activation following FasL binding to FasR has been shown to reflect a general mechanism to induce apoptosis in target cells, especially in the immune system (47) and in cancer (46). However, in RBCs there might be another mechanism that translates FasR activation to PS exposure. In acute leukemia, an alternative pathway through TRADD and TRAF initiates a phosphorylation cascade leading to the activation of JNK and phosphorylation of p53 and c-Jun, which induces apoptosis through multiple mechanisms including Bcl-2 family proteins (51). An acid sphingomyelinase is also activated in response to FasR activation, leading to ceramide production and cell stress that also might account for PS exposure at the RBC membrane (52).
arterial thrombosis, while anemia leads to increased occlusion times and impaired hemostasis after vessel injury (Figure 3, E and F). This suggests that the rheology-dependent impact of RBCs in thrombus formation is different from the FasL-FasR interaction between platelets and RBCs.

The analysis of thrombi isolated from patients by thrombectomy suggests that FasL-FasR-mediated interaction between platelets and RBCs might be relevant under pathological conditions. Polycythemia patients with high RBC counts as well as patients with inherited RBC abnormalities suffer from thrombotic complications and exhibit cardiac and cerebral thrombosis with abnormal PS exposure on RBCs (55–60). Moreover, a correlation between infarct size and hematocrit was shown in patients with carotid occlusion and completed strokes (61). Our studies did not confirm a relationship between increased numbers of RBCs and thrombus formation because perfusion of PRP and $8 \times 10^6$ RBCs/$\mu$l did not result in accelerated thrombus formation under flow (Supplemental Table 1, D and E). Moreover, mice with increased hematocrit did not show altered hemostasis or differences in arterial thrombus formation in vivo (Figure 3, H and I). However, we performed analysis under conditions of intermediate shear (flow chamber: 1,000 s$^{-1}$; carotid artery: 295–645 s$^{-1}$). It is tempting to speculate that pathological high shear rates might affect RBC-platelet interaction, as has been shown by Turitto and Weiss (16). Under pathological conditions, increased procoagulant activity of RBCs was measured in patients with sickle-cell disease and with nephrotic syndrome (56, 62). Interestingly, RBC-rich thrombi contain more inflammatory cells in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction with impaired myocardial reperfusion (63). So far, the consequences of hypoxia or atherosclerotic vessels with decreased vessel lumen and high shear rates for platelet-RBC interactions are not known but might also be relevant, e.g., upon myocardial infarction or stroke.

However, high levels of PS externalization on platelets and RBCs in arterial thrombi of patients might also be due to the apoptotic character of these cells because some patients had already suffered from arterial thrombosis for several hours or days (for details see Table 1).

Table 1. Characteristics of patients who underwent surgical thrombectomy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Patient (year of birth)</th>
<th>Date of sampling</th>
<th>Place of removal</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Body height (cm)</th>
<th>Smoker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>female (1941)</td>
<td>May 25, 2014</td>
<td>forearm arteries</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>ex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>female (1939)</td>
<td>May 30, 2014</td>
<td>femoro-distal bypass</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>ex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>male (1945)</td>
<td>June 16, 2014</td>
<td>common femoral artery</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>male (1954)</td>
<td>July 9, 2014</td>
<td>femoro-popliteal bypass</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>ex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>male (1952)</td>
<td>July 11, 2014</td>
<td>femoro-popliteal bypass</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>ex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>female (1929)</td>
<td>July 18, 2014</td>
<td>femoro-popliteal bypass</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>male (1954)</td>
<td>July 21, 2014</td>
<td>forearm arteries</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>male (1961)</td>
<td>July 23, 2014</td>
<td>femoral and popliteal artery</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>female (1939)</td>
<td>August 13, 2014</td>
<td>femoro-popliteal bypass</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>female (1935)</td>
<td>August 29, 2014</td>
<td>A. rad., A. uln. sin.</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>male (1946)</td>
<td>October 4, 2014</td>
<td>femoro-popliteal bypass</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>male (1960)</td>
<td>October 11, 2014</td>
<td>common femoral artery</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>female (1934)</td>
<td>October 22, 2014</td>
<td>forearm arteries</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>male (1936)</td>
<td>November 1, 2014</td>
<td>poplitea dex.</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>male (1946)</td>
<td>October 1, 2014</td>
<td>femoro-popliteal bypass</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>male (1953)</td>
<td>January 4, 2015</td>
<td>iliac arteries</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>male (1951)</td>
<td>January 4, 2015</td>
<td>iliac arteries</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>female (1943)</td>
<td>January 21, 2015</td>
<td>fem-fem crossover bypass</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>female (1939)</td>
<td>January 28, 2015</td>
<td>forearm arteries</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>female (1959)</td>
<td>February 8, 2015</td>
<td>femoro-popliteal bypass</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>male (1952)</td>
<td>April 26, 2015</td>
<td>femoro-popliteal bypass</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>female (1939)</td>
<td>June 5, 2015</td>
<td>femoral artery</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>female (1940)</td>
<td>June 8, 2015</td>
<td>radial artery</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>male (1948)</td>
<td>June 10, 2015</td>
<td>femoro-popliteal bypass</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>male (1939)</td>
<td>June 19, 2015</td>
<td>femoro-popliteal bypass</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>male (1951)</td>
<td>July 14, 2015</td>
<td>brachial artery</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>male (1944)</td>
<td>July 29, 2015</td>
<td>femoral artery</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>female (1944)</td>
<td>September 25, 2015</td>
<td>femoral artery</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>female (1958)</td>
<td>October 7, 2015</td>
<td>femoral artery</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. rad, arteria radialis; A. uln. sin., arteria ulnaris sinistra; poplitea dex., poplitea dextra.
Figure 11. FasL/FasR-mediated PS exposure is relevant under pathological conditions. Human arterial thrombi from patients with thrombectomy were analyzed for cell content and cell markers. (A) Representative images from hematoxylin and eosin staining of human thrombi. Overview (top, scale bar 500 μm) and details (middle panel, scale bar 100 μm) are shown. Black arrows mark RBCs. Representative images from toluidine blue staining of human thrombi (lower panel, ×200). (B) Representative transmission electron microscopic images of a human thrombus. Overview (left panel), with RBCs (red) and platelets (green), and details (right panel). Scale bars: 0.5 μm. (C) Quantification of RBCs and platelets and (D) determination of P-selectin exposure in human thrombi (patient) (n = 29). Platelets from healthy donors were activated with CRP and incubated with RBCs (controls, n = 9–11). (E) Expression of FasL on platelets from human thrombi (patients) and healthy donors (n = 24). Determination of PS exposure of RBCs (F) and platelets (G) by flow cytometry. Isolated platelets and RBCs (fresh or older than 40 days after withdrawal) from healthy donors served as controls (n = 27). (H–J) Patients’ samples were divided into platelet-rich (platelets > RBCs) and RBC-rich (RBCs > platelets) thrombi and analyzed for FasL and PS exposure by flow cytometry. (H) Determination of FasL exposure in platelet-rich and RBC-rich human arterial thrombi (n = 9–13). (I) PS exposure of RBCs was analyzed using annexin V (n = 11–14). (J) Annexin V binding to platelets in platelet-rich and RBC-rich human thrombi (n = 4–6). (K) Tentative schematic illustration of RBCs and their impact on thrombus formation. CRP was used at 5 μg/ml. Data are the mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001 by Student’s t test (C and H–J) or 1-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple-comparisons test (D–G). MFI, mean fluorescence intensity; NS, not significant; PAR, protease-activated receptor; PE, phycoerythrin; PLCβ, phospholipase Cβ; PLSCR, phospholipid scramblase; Plts, platelets.
Taken together, our results indicate that RBCs are essential for hemostasis and arterial as well as venous thrombosis. FasL-FasR-mediated cell-cell contact between platelets and RBCs mediates PS externalization on the RBC membrane critical for platelet activation and adhesion upon thrombus formation. The potential mechanism underlying the RBC-platelet interaction identified here is summarized in Figure 11K. Thus, interfering with the FasL-FasR interaction might be an innovative and promising approach for a completely novel antithrombotic strategy.

Methods

Animals. Specific pathogen-free C57BL/6J mice were obtained from Janvier Labs. B6Smn.C3-FasL<sup>fl/fl</sup>/J mice were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory. Gene-targeted mice lacking CD36 and FasR (C57BL/6J.MRL-FAS<sup>lpr</sup>), respectively, and the corresponding WT littermates were bred from breeder pairs and genotyped by PCR. FasL<sup>+/+</sup> mice were provided by Ana Martin-Villalba (University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany) and crossed to PF4-Cre mice, which were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory (C57BL/6-Tg [PF4-cre] Q3Rsko/J). PF4-Cre<sup>+</sup> FasL<sup>+/+</sup> mice or PF4-Cre<sup>+</sup> FasL<sup>+/−</sup> littermate controls were analyzed for thrombus formation. Experiments were performed with male and female mice aged 2–4 months. 

Murine platelet preparation. Platelets were prepared as previously described (64, 65). Blood was collected into trisodium citrate and centrifuged at 250 g for 5 minutes at room temperature. To obtain PRP, the supernatant was centrifuged at 50 g for 6 minutes. PRP was washed twice at 650 g for 5 minutes and the pellet was resuspended in Tyrode’s buffer (136 mM NaCl, 0.4 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 2.7 mM KCl, 12 mM NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 0.1% glucose, 0.35% bovine serum albumin, pH 7.4) supplemented with prostacyclin (0.5 μM) and apyrase (0.02 U/ml). Before use, platelets were resuspended in the same buffer and incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes.

Study approval. All animal experiments were conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki and German law for the welfare of animals. The protocol was reviewed and approved by the local government authority (Heinrich-Heine-University Animal Care Committee and by the State Agency for Nature, Environment and Consumer Protection of North Rhine-Westphalia LANUV, Recklinghausen, NRW, Germany and by the local legislation on protection of animals Regierung von Oberbayern, Munich, Germany; Permit Numbers 84–02.05.20.12.A487, O 86/12, 84–02.05.40.16.073, and 84–02.04.2013.A210.

Experiments with human tissues and blood were reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Heinrich-Heine-University, who approved the collection and analysis of the tissue. Subjects provided informed consent prior to their participation in the study (patients’ consent): Permit/Study Number 4669, ID No. 2014042327.
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