Polycomb subunit BMI1 determines uterine progesterone responsiveness essential for normal embryo implantation
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Natural and synthetic progestogens have been commonly used to prevent recurrent pregnancy loss in women with inadequate progesterone secretion or reduced progesterone sensitivity. However, the clinical efficacy of progesterone and its analogs for maintaining pregnancy is variable. Additionally, the underlying cause of impaired endometrial progesterone responsiveness during early pregnancy remains unknown. Here, we demonstrated that uterine-selective depletion of BMI1, a key component of the polycomb repressive complex-1 (PRC1), hampers uterine progesterone responsiveness and derails normal uterine receptivity, resulting in implantation failure in mice. We further uncovered genetic and biochemical evidence that BMI1 interacts with the progesterone receptor (PR) and the E3 ligase E6AP in a polycomb complex–independent manner and regulates the PR ubiquitination that is essential for normal progesterone responsiveness. A close association of aberrantly low endometrial BMI1 expression with restrained PR responsiveness in women who had previously had a miscarriage indicated that the role of BMI1 in endometrial PR function is conserved in mice and in humans. In addition to uncovering a potential regulatory mechanism of BMI1 that ensures normal endometrial progesterone responsiveness during early pregnancy, our findings have the potential to help clarify the underlying causes of spontaneous pregnancy loss in women.
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Introduction
The implantation of the blastocyst into the maternal uterus is a crucial step in mammalian reproduction (1). Even in natural conception, the maximum chance of successful pregnancy occurring in a given menstrual cycle is limited to about 30% in humans (2) and only 50%-60% of all conceptions advance beyond 20 weeks of gestation (3). Among the pregnancies that are lost, implantation defects contribute to approximately 75% of these pregnancy failures (4). Blastocysts implant only when the uterus achieves a short window of receptivity (1, 5). These early pregnancy events are synchronized with the proliferation and differentiation of specific uterine cell types, primarily under the direction of ovarian estrogen and progesterone (P4). In mice, P4 priming of the uterus is obligatory for estrogen to trigger the uterus to enter into the receptive status conducive to blastocyst implantation (5). Previous molecular and genetic evidence indicates that ovarian steroid hormones via their respective nuclear receptors together with locally produced signaling molecules govern the complicated embryo-uterine crosstalk at periimplantation (1). However, the pathophysiological significance of epigenetic regulatory machinery such as histone modification during early pregnancy has remained largely unexplored. Since the polycomb repressive complex-1-mediated (PRC1-mediated) histone H2A modification (6–8) can spatially and temporally silence the expression of homeotic transcription factors such as homeobox A10 (HOXA10) and HOXA11 (8), and given that these homeobox genes are crucial for uterine receptivity and embryo implantation in both mice and women (9, 10), it was conceivable that PRC1 could be a potentially important player during embryo implantation.

The mammalian PRC1 consists of several polycomb-group proteins, including 3 RING domain–containing proteins termed RING1A, RING1B, and mouse B cell–specific Mo-MLV integration site 1 (BMI1), and contains an intrinsic E3 ubiquitin ligase activity that mediates monoubiquitination of histone H2A at lysine 119, thereby resulting in PRC1-mediated gene silencing (6–8, 11). Biochemical analysis of these 3 RING domain–containing proteins indicates that RING1A/B is the catalytic subunit and the E3 ligase activity is formed and enhanced only when BMI1 binds to the catalytic subunit RING1A/B (7). A recent study employing pharmacological approaches demonstrated that inhibition of PRC1 activity hampers uterine decidual development in mice (12), further suggesting that PRC1-mediated histone modification is essential for early pregnancy success. However, it remained largely unknown how PRC1-mediated histone modification dynamically regulates the on and off of the timing of expression of key implantation-relevant genes.

As a key component of PRC1, BMI1 was originally identified as a collaborating oncogene in the induction of lymphoma (13), and had been shown to promote tumorigenesis in numerous malig-
nancies (14, 15) and stem cell self-renewal (16, 17). Owing to the characteristic similarity of extensive cellular proliferation and differentiation between tumorigenesis and embryo implantation, BMI1 would most likely be involved in regulating uterine function at periimplantation.

In the present investigation, combining multiple approaches, we showed herein that uterine-selective depletion of BMI1 hampers uterine P4 responsiveness and thus derails normal uterine receptivity, resulting in embryo implantation failure. Mechanistically, we demonstrated that BMI1 interacts with the P4 receptor (PR) and the E3 ligase E6-associated protein (E6AP) in a polycomb complex-independent manner, regulating PR ubiquitination essential for normal P4 responsiveness. Moreover, this essentiality of BMI1 for endometrial PR function is conserved from mouse to human, since we observed an association of aberrant BMI1 expression and low PR responsiveness in the endometrium of women who had experienced miscarriage.

Results

Uterine-selective depletion of Bmi1 results in embryo implantation failure. To address the pathophysiological significance of BMI1 during early pregnancy, we first analyzed the Bmi1 expression pattern in the periimplantation mouse uterus by in situ hybridization. As illustrated in Figure 1A, while Bmi1 was mainly expressed in the luminal epithelial cells on day 1 of pregnancy, its expression expanded to the uterine luminal and glandular epithelial cells and stromal cells on day 4 when the uteri enter into the receptive status. With the onset of implantation on day 5, Bmi1 was detected in both epithelial and stromal cells surrounding the implanting blastocyst, and became more visible in the decidualizing cells on days 6–8 (Figure 1A). This dynamic uterine expression pattern of BMI1 motivated us to study its potential roles in the periimplantation events.

Bmi1fl/fl (Bmi1+/-) mice were crossed with PR-Cre (PRCre+) transgenic mice to achieve conditional deletion of Bmi1 (Bmi1-/-) in uteri. As shown in Figure 1, B–D, Bmi1 expression can be effectively deleted in Bmi1-/- mice both at mRNA and protein levels. To verify the role of BMI1 in female fertility, Bmi1+/- and Bmi1-/- females were mated with fertile wild-type (WT) males, and the normal number of ovulated eggs was noted in both Bmi1+/- and Bmi1-/- mice (Figure 1E). However, the litter size was markedly lower in the Bmi1-/- females compared with the Bmi1+/- females (Figure 1F), suggesting that uterine BMI1 is crucial for normal female fertility. To identify the stage-specific failure of pregnancy in Bmi1-/- females, we subsequently analyzed the implantation status in Bmi1-mutant females, and noticed that a large portion (> 80%) of Bmi1-/- females exhibited implantation failure on days 5–6 of pregnancy (Figure 1, G and H). Morphologically normal blastocysts can be recovered by flushing the Bmi1-/- uteri without signs of attachment reaction. These results clearly indicated that uterine BMI1 is indispensable for normal embryo implantation.

BMI1 deficiency hampers uterine P4 responsiveness and thus derails normal uterine receptivity. To reveal the underlying causes accounting for implantation failure upon BMI1 deficiency, we first analyzed the uterine cell proliferation versus differentiation status by BrdU incorporation assay and Ki67 immunostaining. As shown in Figure 2A, Bmi1-/- uterine epithelium exhibited robust aberrant proliferation accompanied with a decreased stromal proliferation on day 4 of pregnancy. We further observed an impaired epithelial membrane transformation exhibiting sustained long microvilli in Bmi1-/- mice (Figure 2B). This aberrant epithelial versus stromal proliferation clearly pointed to a defective uterine receptivity in the absence of BMI1.

We then analyzed the expression of uterine receptivity marker genes including those responding to estrogen (leukemia inhibitory factor [Lif], mucin 1 [Muc1], and lactotransferrin [Ltf]) and P4 (amphiregulin [Areg], Hoxa10, and heart- and neural crest derivatives-expressed 2 [Hand2]). As illustrated in Figure 2, C–F, while Lif was normally expressed in the receptive Bmi1+/- uterine epithelium on day 4, its expression was completely abolished in the absence of BMI1. In contrast, Muc1 and Ltf were abnormally induced in the uterine epithelial layer of Bmi1-/- females. Moreover, the expression of P4-target molecules such as Areg in the epithelium and Hoxa10 and Hand2 in the stroma was obviously downregulated in Bmi1-/- mice.

Since Lif was not expressed in Bmi1-/- uterine glands on day 4, we wondered whether the other glandularly expressed genes would be affected. The normal expression of Foxa2 indicated that gland identity is not disrupted in the absence of BMI1 in mice (Supplemental Figure 1; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI92862DS1). However, similar to glandular Lif expression, the expression of Prrs28, Prrs29, and Spink3 was obviously downregulated in day 4 Bmi1-/- mice, indicating an aberrant uterine receptive status in the absence of BMI1 (Supplemental Figure 1). Observations of comparable Lif expression in day 1 uteri in both Bmi1+/- and Bmi1-/- females, and absence of glandular Lif expression in nonreceptive WT uteri induced by RU486 treatment (Supplemental Figure 2, A and B) further suggested that limited Lif expression in day 4 Bmi1-/- uterine glands is a consequence of a derailed uterine receptivity in the absence of BMI1. Moreover, supplementation of recombinant LIF protein failed to restore normal embryo implantation in Bmi1-/- females (Supplemental Figure 2, C and D), suggesting that absence of glandular LIF production is not the main cause of implantation failure in the null mutant mice, which reinforced the notion that Lif may not be a direct target of BMI1 signaling.

These observations collectively indicated an exaggerated estrogen response and/or hampered P4 responsiveness in the Bmi1-/- uterus at periimplantation. Beyond that, these defects were not due to any alterations of circulating levels of ovarian steroid hormones, nor uterine expression status of estrogen receptor α (ERα) and PR, since we detected comparable serum levels of estradiol-17β (E2) and P4 (Supplemental Figure 3), as well as normal uterine expression profiles of ERα and PR (Supplemental Figure 4) in day 4 pregnant Bmi1+/- and Bmi1-/- females.

To further ascertain the participation of BMI1 in mediating estrogen versus P4 function in the uterus, we employed an ovariectomized mouse model. It was obvious that the estrogen activity in Bmi1-/- mice was comparable with that in Bmi1+/- females. The expression of estrogen-regulated epithelial genes like Muc1 and Lif as well as stromal genes including insulin-like growth factor 1 (Igf1) and 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 2 (Hsd11b2) was normally induced in both Bmi1+/- and Bmi1-/- uteri (Figure 2G). In contrast, the expression levels of all tested P4-target genes includ-
protein level in these 2 PR-Cre models and the immunoblot data clearly showed a similar PR expression level in these 2 Cre models compared with the WT uterus on day 4 of pregnancy (Supplementary Figure 5A). Most importantly, $Bmi1^{fl/fl}$ PRIRES-Cre/+ females exhibit an implantation failure phenotype similar to that in the $Bmi1^{fl/fl}$ PRCre/+ (Bmi1d/d) mice (Supplemental Figure 5, B and C). Therefore, we used the $Bmi1^{d/d}$ mouse model for all the following studies.

Since BMI1 was expressed in both the uterine epithelial and stromal cells, to ascertain the respective contribution of epithelial versus stromal BMI1 to P4 responsiveness, we next utilized the lac-toferin-Cre (LtfCre/+) mouse line to establish a uterine epithelium-
Figure 2. Bmi1 deficiency derails uterine receptivity and exhibits progesterone resistance. (A) Immunostaining analysis of BrdU and Ki67 reveals an aberrant epithelial proliferation accompanied by a decreased stromal proliferation in Bmi1fl/fl mouse uteri on day 4 of pregnancy. Black scale bar: 100 μm. (B) Electron microscopy (EM) analysis of uterine epithelial surface exhibits an impaired epithelial membrane transformation. (C–F) In situ hybridization (C and D), immunohistochemistry (D, bottom panels), and quantitative real-time PCR analysis (E and F) of receptivity marker genes reveal an impaired uterine receptivity in Bmi1fl/fl females on day 4 (D4) of pregnancy. White scale bars: 100 μm. Black scale bar: 100 μm. The values are shown as the mean ± SEM (n = 3). (G) Uterine mRNA expression of estrogen-target epithelial (Muc1, Ltf) and stromal genes (Igf1, Hsd11b2) is normally induced by E2 treatment in both Bmi1fl/fl and Bmi1d/d ovariectomized mice. Data shown represent the mean ± SEM. (H) Uterine mRNA expression of progesterone-target epithelial (Areg, Ihh) and stromal genes (Hoxa10, Hand2) is largely reduced in Bmi1d/d ovariectomized mice in response to progesterone treatment. Data represent the mean ± SEM (n = 3). *P < 0.05, independent-samples Student’s t test. Ge, glandular epithelium; Le, luminal epithelium; S, stroma.
Epithelium-selective Bmi1-knockout mice exhibit normal embryo implantation and uterine expression of receptivity marker genes. (A) Immunohistochemical analysis shows the specific deletion of epithelial BMI1 in Bmi1fl/fl LtfCre/+ mouse uteri. Black scale bar: 100 μm. (B) Number of implantation sites and representative uteri in Bmi1fl/fl and Bmi1fl/fl LtfCre/+ mice. Number within the bar indicates the number of mice with implantation sites per total tested mice. (C) The comparable expression level of COX2 indicates normal attachment reaction in Bmi1fl/fl and Bmi1fl/fl LtfCre/+ mice. Black scale bar: 100 μm. (D and E) In situ hybridization analysis of receptivity marker genes reveal normal uterine receptivity in Bmi1fl/fl LtfCre/+ females on day 4 (D4) of pregnancy. Black scale bar: 100 μm. Bl, blastocyst; Ge, glandular epithelium; Le, luminal epithelium; S, stroma.
Figure 4. BMI1 facilitates PR binding to the PRE and coactivator recruitment for transcriptional activation. (A) Immunofluorescence staining of HA-PRB and Flag-BMI1 proteins in WT and BMI1-KO Ishikawa cells. Scale bars: 100 μm. (B) mRNA expression of progesterone-target genes TGFβ1 and NPAS2 is significantly reduced in BMI1-mutant Ishikawa cells. The values are shown as the mean ± SEM (n = 3). (C) The progesterone response element (PRE) luciferase reporter assay reveals a hampered PR transcriptional activity in BMI1-KO Ishikawa cells. pSV40-Renilla served as an internal control. The values are shown as the mean ± SEM (n = 3). (D and E) Coimmunoprecipitation analysis demonstrates that BMI1 can physically interact with PR in human Ishikawa cells (D) as well as in mouse receptive day 4 (D4) uteri (E). (F) Mammalian 2-hybrid assays further confirm the functional interaction of BMI1 with the PR. Vectors expressing either PRB (VP16-PRB), BMI1 (GAL4-BMI1), or GAL4-DBD only transfected into the WT Ishikawa cells. The values are shown as the mean ± SEM (n = 3). (G) ChIP-qPCR analysis shows a largely reduced binding of PR to the PRE site in BMI1-KO Ishikawa cells. The values are shown as the mean ± SEM (n = 3). (H and I) Mammalian 2-hybrid and coimmunoprecipitation analysis reveals a significantly reduced physical association of SRC1/2 with PRB in BMI1-KO Ishikawa cells. Data show represent the mean ± SEM (n = 3). (J) Cotransfection of SRC1 or SRC2 can largely improve PR transcriptional activation in BMI1-KO Ishikawa cells. Data represent the mean ± SEM (n = 3). *P < 0.05, independent-samples Student’s t test. P4, progesterone.
ure 7, prepriming with P4 for 3 consecutive days starting on day 3 could partially improve the implantation rate of Bmi1-deficient females. Taken together, these findings suggested that BMI1 is necessary for optimal PR activity; its deficiency significantly hampers, but not completely abolishes PR responsiveness.

BMI1 facilitates PR binding to the PRE of the target gene promoter and coactivator recruitment for transcriptional activation. To address how BMI1 modulates PR responsiveness, we employed a human endometrial Ishikawa cell line for further mechanistic studies, since these cells can respond well to P4 treatment and show nuclear colocalization of BMI1 and PR (Figure 4A). As shown in Supplemental Figure 8, we first generated a BMI1-null mutant Ishikawa cell line utilizing the CRISPR/Cas9 knockout strategy. It is interesting to note that null mutation of BMI1 in Ishikawa cells significantly reduced the expression levels of PR target genes, such as TGFB1 and NPAS2 (18, 19) (Figure 4B) and hampered PR transcriptional activity as well when assessed by a P4 response element (PRE)-luciferase reporter assay upon P4 challenge (Figure 4C). In line with the in vivo observation that supplementation of exogenous P4 can partially correct implantation defects in Bmi1d/d mice, P4 exerted a dose-dependent effect triggering a subdued PR-PRE responsiveness in mutant cells (Figure 4C).

Since both BMI1 and PR exhibited nuclear colocalization in Ishikawa cells, we surmised that BMI1 may physically interact with the PR and thus ensure normal PR transcription activity. Indeed, we noted that BMI1 can physically interact with the PR in human Ishikawa cells and mouse receptive uteri (Figure 4D and E) when analyzed by coimmunoprecipitation (co-IP). We further conducted mammalian 2-hybrid assays to confirm the interaction of BMI1 and PR. As shown in Figure 4F, coexpression of herpes virus protein VP16/PR isoform B (VP16-PRB), but not GAL4-BMI1, can stimulate the GAL4-BMI1-driven reporter gene expression, reinforcing the hypothesis that BMI1 is indeed able to functionally interact with the PR.

To reveal the underlying causes accounting for this obvious P4 insensitivity upon Bmi1 deficiency, we first asked whether Bmi1 deficiency would adversely affect the P4-PR binding affinity. As illustrated in Supplemental Figure 9, via ligand-receptor binding assays using radiolabeled [3H]P4, we noted a normal P4-PR binding affinity in both Bmi1d/d uteri and null mutant Ishikawa cells. Moreover, although the expression of FKBP52, a coactivator essential for P4-PR binding and nuclear PR signaling was significantly downregulated in the absence of BMI1, overexpression of FKBP52 failed to restore PR sensitivity upon loss of BMI1 (Supplemental Figure 10), suggesting that phenotypic defects of PR insensitivity in the absence of Bmi1 are irrelevant to PR coactivator function. By contrast, we observed a significant reduction of PR binding on the PRE of target genes in the absence of BMI1 revealed by ChIP-qPCR analysis (Figure 4G). Moreover, as shown in Figure 4, H and I, the physical association of coactivators SRC1/2 with the PR was significantly reduced in BMI1-null cells. The results achieved via mammalian 2-hybrid assay further supported the notion that BMI1 plays a crucial role in facilitating the interaction between the PR and its coactivators SRC1/2 for normal transcriptional activation (Figure 4H). In this respect, it is noteworthy that cotransfection of SRCs can partially improve PR transcriptional activity in BMI1-null cells (Figure 4J). However, the underlying mechanisms by which BMI1 regulates PR activation remain unknown.

BMI1 ensures normal PR activation via modulating E6AP-mediated PR ubiquitination in a polycomb complex–independent manner. Given that BMI1 is a core component of PRC1, to ascertain whether
BMI1 regulates PR transcription activation via a PRC1-dependent manner; we first analyzed the status of PRC1-mediated H2AK119 modification in Bmi1<sup>−/−</sup> uteri and knockout Ishikawa cells. To our surprise, we observed a comparable level of H2AK119 monoubiquitination in Bmi1-deficient mouse uteri and BMI1-deficient human Ishikawa cells versus those in WT mice and human endometrial cells (Figure 5A), indicating that the regulatory function of BMI1 in P4-PR nuclear signaling might be independent of PRC1 E3 ligase activity on histone H2A. To confirm this hypothesis, we generated RING1A and RING1B single-knockout, and RING1A/B BMI1 regulates PR transcription activation via a PRC1-dependent manner, we first analyzed the status of PRC1-mediated H2AK119 modification in E6AP-KO Ishikawa cells. pSV40-Renilla served as an internal control. The values are shown as the mean ± SEM (n = 3). Data shown represent the mean ± SEM (n = 3). (G and H) Ubiquitination of PRB is greatly hampered in BMI1-mutant Ishikawa cells (G), as well as in day 4 Bmi1<sup>−/−</sup> mouse uteri (H). (I) PRE-luciferase reporter assay reveals a compromised PR transcriptional activity in WT Ishikawa cells transfected with a lysine-less Ub mutant (Ub-K0). Data represent the mean ± SEM (n = 3). *P < 0.05, independent-samples Student’s t test. P4, progesterone.
double-knockout Ishikawa cell lines utilizing the CRISPR/Cas9 strategy (Supplemental Figure 11), and further observed an intact P4-PR nuclear signaling irrelevant to PRC1 functional deficiency. For example, the expression of P4-target genes TGFBI and NPAS2 along with PR transcriptional activity assessed by PRE-luciferase reporter assay were unaltered even in RING1A/B double-mutant Ishikawa cells (Figure 5, B–E). This genetic and biochemical evidence points toward a potentially novel function of BMI1 independent of PRC1 activity. For example, the expression of P4-target genes TGFBI and NPAS2 along with PR transcriptional activity assessed by PRE-luciferase reporter assay were unaltered even in RING1A/B double-mutant Ishikawa cells (Figure 5, B–E). This genetic and biochemical evidence points toward a potentially novel function of BMI1 independent of PRC1 activity.
These findings pointed toward the same path for BMI1 and E6AP in conquering normal PR activation. It is worth highlighting here that BMI1 deficiency largely compromised the physical association of E6AP with PR under P4 challenge (Figure 6F). Since E6AP is an E3 ligase essential for PR function, it would therefore be interesting to ascertain the effect of BMI1 deficiency on PR ubiquitination. As shown in Figure 6G, while the ubiquitination level of PRB was markedly induced upon P4 treatment in WT cells, the induction of PR ubiquitination was greatly hampered in BMI1-mutant cells. More excitingly, a similar reduction of PR ubiquitination was also detected in day 4 Bmi1<sup>−/−</sup> mouse uteri, whereas an exogenous P4 supplementation that was shown to improve the implantation rate in null females can substantially restore ubiquitination of the PR in Bmi1<sup>−/−</sup> mice during early pregnancy (Figure 6H). These results indicated that PR undergoing ubiquitination is conducive for its full transcription activation. This conclusion was further confirmed by our subsequent observations showing that a lysine-less Ub mutant (Ub-K0) that lacks all potential sites for polyubiquitination can significantly compromise PR transcriptional activity in WT Ishikawa cells (Figure 6I). It is conceivable that BMI1 via E6AP modulates PR polyubiquitination governing normal PR transcriptional activation. These exciting findings provoked us to explore the pathophysiological significance of BMI1 in human endometrial functions during early pregnancy.

Aberrantly decreased endometrial BMI1 expression is often detected in spontaneous miscarriage and in recurrent-implantation-failure women undergoing IVF treatments. To address whether BMI1 is involved in regulating PR responsiveness in human endometrium at periimplantation, we first analyzed endometrial expression levels of progesterone-target genes, FOSL2, JUN, TGFB1, and IRS, which are significantly reduced in women with recurrent implantation failure who exhibit lower BMI1 expression. Number within the bar indicates the number of samples tested. Data represent the mean ± SEM (n = 3). *P < 0.05, independent-samples Student’s t test.
levels of Bmi1 in normal women with unwanted pregnancy (7–9 weeks; n = 12) versus spontaneously miscarrying women undergoing IVF treatment (8–9 weeks; n = 16). As shown in Figure 7, A–C, a large portion (7 of 16) of endometrial samples from women with spontaneous miscarriage showed an obviously decreased Bmi1 expression in comparison with that in normal unwanted pregnancy. Immunostaining analysis further detected a significantly reduced ratio of BMI1-positive endometrial cells in women with spontaneous miscarriage (Figure 7, D and E). By contrast, we noted comparable endometrial expression levels of PR and E6AP in both groups (Figure 7, A, D, and E). These aberrantly lower expression levels of BMI1, but not PR and E6AP, in failed pregnancy were well associated with reduced expression levels of PR-target genes including FOSL2, JUN, TGFB1, and IRS (18, 19, 23) (Figure 7F), indicating a close correlation between BMI1 and P4-PR nuclear signaling in human endometrium during early pregnancy.

Since these patients received P4 supplementation for pregnancy maintenance during clinical therapy, which may influence overall P4-responsive genes, we further collected endometrial samples in the secretory phase (7 days after ovulation) of the normal menstrual cycle of both control participants with successful pregnancy after in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer (IVF-ET) and recurrent-implantation-failure patients. Both groups had comparable, normal P4 and E2 levels (Supplemental Table 5). It was exciting to notice that in a portion of recurrent-implantation-failure samples, the BMI1 protein levels were also obviously decreased (Figure 8A). Moreover, while BMI1 mRNA levels were significantly downregulated in these samples, PR showed a comparable expression level (Figure 8B). Consistent with these data, the comprised P4-PR signaling reflected by reduced target gene mRNA levels is closely associated with decreased BMI1 expression in these endometrial samples (Figure 8C). These potentially novel findings collectively suggested that BMI1 is operative for normal PR activity at periimplantation in both mice and humans. They also suggest that abnormal endometrial BMI1 expression with compromised PR responsiveness is at least one of the causes of spontaneous miscarriage in women.

Discussion

P4 is well known as a pregnancy hormone essential for preparing the uterus for embryo implantation and pregnancy maintenance (24). However, the complexity of P4-triggered PR transcriptional activation has remained largely unexplored. Employing multiple approaches, we provided herein genetic, biochemical, and pathophysiological evidence that BMI1 determines uterine P4 responsiveness via modulating PR ubiquitination in a polycomb-independent manner essential for normal embryo implantation. We demonstrated that uterine-selective depletion of Bmi1 hampers uterine progesterone responsiveness and thus derails normal uterine receptivity, resulting in implantation failure. CoAs, coactivators.
complexes then translocate into the nucleus, where they bind to PREs located within the upstream promoter/enhancer sequences of target genes followed by recruitment of transcriptional coactivating factors, and eventually modulate the expression of PR-target genes (25, 26). Increasing evidence suggests that posttranslational modifications including phosphorylation (27) and acetylation (28) play a significant part, ultimately making the PR complex an ideal machine to regulate target gene expression. These covalent changes may affect PR stability, subcellular localization, as well as the interactions with other PR complex activators (29). In this respect, we demonstrated in the present study that BMI1 is a potentially novel regulator governing normal PR transcriptional activation. BMI1 as a critical component of the PRC1 can stimulate the complex’s E3 ligase activity, which participates in the ubiquitination of lysine 119 of histone H2A to inhibit gene expression (6, 8). However, we surprisingly found that BMI1 deficiency exerted apparently no effects on the overall levels of H2AK119 monoubiquitination in both mouse uteri and human endometrial cells. Increasing evidence suggests that BMI1 can function in a polycomb complex-independent manner in various processes (30, 31). Therefore, it is conceivable that BMI1 may function in a noncanonical manner independent of PRC1 during early pregnancy. This notion is consistent with the observation that a functional silencing of PRC1 upon genetic ablation of both RING1A and RING1B did not interfere with normal PR transcriptional activity. By contrast, independent of polycomb function, BMI1 regulates PR ubiquitination for normal transcriptional activation. This posttranslational modification of the PR is not ascribed to a direct enzymatic activity of BMI1, since BMI1 displays no detectable ubiquitin ligase activity alone (6). A more likely scenario is that BMI1 mediates the physical association of E6AP with PR, further regulating PR ubiquitination for transcriptional activation. In fact, BMI1 is essential for normal functional interaction of the PR with E6AP. A previous study has shown that E6AP is involved in human PRB ubiquitination for its timely turnover via the proteasome pathway during mammary gland development (32). In this regard, we observed a comparable expression level of PR proteins upon the loss of Bmi1, pointing toward a disassociation of PR ubiquitination by the BMI1-PR-E6AP complex from the process of PR protein turnover. This is consistent with previous reports showing that posttranslational protein modifications by ubiquitin proteins are vital for functional activation of modified proteins, independent of proteasome-mediated degradation mechanisms (33). Collectively, our findings with respect to BMI1 add a potentially new regulatory layer contributing to the complexity and preciseness of PR transcriptional activation.

Although natural and synthetic progestogens have been extensively used in pregnant patients or patients undergoing infertility treatments for various indications such as prevention of unexplained recurrent pregnancy loss and threatened abortion (34, 35), it remained controversial whether P4 supplementation would increase the chance of successful implantation and ongoing pregnancy among women with a history of unexplained recurrent miscarriages (35, 36). Debate is still ongoing with regard to the best administration timing (luteal phase or first trimester of pregnancy) and method of P4 supplementation for better implantation rate and term pregnancy outcomes in these patients (36). It is conceivable that aberrant expression and/or function of PR transcriptional cofactors such as BMI1 could be a potential cause for implantation failure and unexplained spontaneous miscarriage even under regular P4 supplementation during IVF. Therefore, our current findings raise the important notion that any disturbance of the PR transcriptional activation machinery would hamper P4 responsiveness regardless of normal levels of circulating P4 and endometrial PR proteins, eventually inducing early pregnancy loss.

Nonetheless, here we provided genetic, biochemical, and pathophysiological evidence that BMI1 is an essential player in optimizing PR sensitivity during early pregnancy. Besides uncovering a potentially novel regulatory mechanism ascribed to BMI1 in governing endometrial P4 responsiveness at periimplantation, our findings have high clinical relevance, since aberrantly low BMI1 expression is well associated with largely reduced PR responsiveness in the endometrium of women who experience miscarriage. A better understanding of the regulatory network of the BMI1-PR-E6AP complex may help to develop related targeted therapy for clinical treatment of miscarriage in women.

Methods

Animals and treatments. Bmi1−/− mice, provided by Rongwen Xi (National Institute of Biological Sciences, Beijing, China), were generated by introducing 2 loxP sites into introns flanking exons 2-8. A detailed description of this mouse line will be published elsewhere. PRC1−/− (37), Ltf−/− (38), and PR−/−/Cre− (39) mouse models were utilized to delete Bmi1 in the uteri. The PR−/−/Cre−/− mouse model was purchased from The Jackson Laboratory (stock number 017915). Uterine-specific knockout mice were generated by crossing Bmi1−/− with different Cre mouse models in the C57/129 background. All mice were housed in the Animal Care Facility of Xiamen University, in accordance with the guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals. Female mice at least 8 weeks old were mated with fertile WT males to induce pregnancy (vaginal plug = day 1 of pregnancy). The number of implantation sites, demarcated by distinct blue bands, was recorded. Mice that failed to recover any embryos were excluded from the statistical analysis. Mouse blood samples were collected on day 4 in the morning and serum P4 as well as E2 levels were measured by radioimmunoassay (40). For P4 treatment, each mouse was subcutaneously injected with 2 mg in 100 μl of sesame oil at 9:00 am for 3 consecutive days starting on day 3 until the pregnant mice were sacrificed for analysis (41). The mice were treated with RU486 (50 μg/mouse) on day 3, and sacrificed on day 4 for detecting LIF expression. To determine potential alterations of estrogen- and P4-target gene expression, mice were ovarioctomized irrespective of the estrous cycle. After resting for 10 days, mice were given a subcutaneous injection of E2 (100 ng/mouse) or P4 (2 mg/mouse) dissolved in sesame oil. LIF protein was provided by Ziqiang Li (Beijing VDJBio Co. LTD). To determine the efficiency of recombinant LIF protein in inducing implantation, delayed-implanting mice were treated with a subdose of estrogen (1.5 ng/mouse) combined with the LIF protein, and compared with the lowest single dose of estrogen (3 ng/mouse) to induce implantation (42). Bmi1−/− PR−/− mice received intraperitoneal injection of LIF protein (50 μg/mouse) once per day from day 4 until sacrifice on day 6 to check the implantation status.

In situ hybridization. In situ hybridization was performed as previously described (43). Mouse-specific cRNA probes labeled with isotope or digoxin for Bmi1, Areg, Muc1, Ltf, Lif, Spink3, Foxa2, Prss28,
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**PRss29**, and **Hoxa10** were used for hybridization. Cryosections hybridized with sense probes served as negative controls.

**Immunostaining.** Immunohistochemistry was performed in 5-μm-thick, 10% neutral buffered formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded sections using antibodies against BMI1, P450Scc, β-HSD, ERα, PR, HAND2, Ki67, BrdU, and E6AP. A Histostain-SP Kit (Zhongshan Golden Bridge Biotechnology) was applied to visualize the antigen. For immunofluorescence staining, 4% formaldehyde-fixed Ishikawa cells were incubated with anti-BMI1, -HA, and -flag antibodies. Specific secondary antibodies were utilized to detect the antigen and DAPI was applied to identify cell nuclei (44). The images were captured by using a Leica DM2500 light microscope. Antibodies used are listed in Supplemental Table 1.

**Western blot analysis.** Protein extraction and Western blot analyses were performed as described previously (44). Antibodies against BMI1, RING1A, RING1B, E6AP, H2AK119Ub, ERα, PR, flag, HA, FKBP52, β-actin, Myc, ubiquitin, and His were used. β-Actin served as a loading control. See complete unedited blots in the supplemental material. Antibodies used are listed in Supplemental Table 1.

**Cell culture.** The Ishikawa cells were maintained at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂/95% air in DMEM-F12 medium supplemented with 10% (v/v) FBS. Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen) was used for plasmid transfection experiments in Ishikawa cells based on the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Quantitative real-time PCR.** Quantitative real-time PCR was performed as described previously (40). Total RNA was extracted from uterine tissues or cells using TRizol reagent (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s protocol. A total of 3-5 μg RNA was used to synthesize cDNA. Quantitative real-time PCR was performed with SYBR Green (Takara) on an ABI PRISM 7500 system. All expression values were normalized against Gapdh. All PCR primers are listed in Supplemental Table 2.

**Co-IP binding assays.** Co-IP assays were performed as previously described (40). Anti-HA mouse mAb (agarose conjugated) (20 μl, Abmart, m20013), anti-flag M2 Affinity Gel (20 μl, MilliporeSigma, A2220), anti-BMI1, anti-PR, anti-Myc, and His-Select Nickel Affinity Gel (25 μl, MilliporeSigma, P6611) were used. Whole-cell protein (0.5 to 2 mg) was used for each co-IP. After overnight incubation at 4°C with 3-5 μg antibody, Protein A/Gagarose beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 20422) were incubated 2-3 hours at 4°C and then were washed with IP washing buffer 3-5 times. Immunoprecipitated proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and analyzed by Western blot, using antibodies against Flag, HA, PR, c-Myc, and BMI1. The control immunoprecipitation was performed by incubating the lysates with respective IgG (Zhongshan Golden Bridge Biotechnology).

**Vector construction, transfection, and luciferase assay.** The human full-length cDNA for BMI1 was inserted into P-CMV-3XFlag vector for the production of Flag fusion protein. Full-length cDNAs for SRC1/2, FKBP52, and E6AP were inserted into P-CMV-HA or P-CMV-Myc-His vector for the production of HA and Myc-His fusion proteins, respectively. PR expression vectors as well as ubiquitin-related expression vectors were provided by Xuemin Zhang (National Center of Biomedical Analysis, Beijing, China). The reporter plasmid PRE-Tk-Luc was provided by Weinian Shou (Indiana University, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA).

**PR ubiquitination assay.** In vitro and in vivo ubiquitination assays were performed as described previously (48). In vitro ubiquitination assay, HA-PRB and Myc-Ub were cotransfected into WT or knockout Ishikawa cells with Lipofectamine 3000. Then, the cells were treated with P4 (100 nM) for 0–4 hours, and subsequently harvested using IP RIPA lysis buffer. The MG-132 (Sigma M8699, 20 μM) was added into the cultured cells 1 hour before the collection. PRB proteins were immunoprecipitated with an anti-HA antibody, the proteins were released from the beads by boiling in SDS-PAGE sample buffer, and PRB ubiquitination was analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-Myc antibody. For in vivo ubiquitination assay, PR proteins from mouse uteri were immunoprecipitated with an anti-PR antibody (Cell Signalling Technology), the proteins were released from the beads by boiling in SDS-PAGE sample buffer, and PR ubiquitination was analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-Ub antibody.
**Endometrial sample collection.** Female patients, who were diagnosed as infertile and sought IVF treatment at the Center for Reproductive Medicine, Shandong Provincial Hospital Affiliated with Shandong University, were recruited and gave their written informed consent. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee Review Board of Reproductive Medicine, Shandong University. For collection of human endometrial tissues in the women who had experienced miscarriage, the tissues were collected when the participants were under surgery to term the pregnancy. Normal control endometrial biopsies were collected from the decidua of 12 women, which had fetal heart activity on ultrasonic scan (US) at 7–9 weeks. Sixteen decidual samples were collected from spontaneously miscarrying women without fetal heart activity on US 8–9 weeks after IVF. Embryonic villi chromosome analysis (EVCA) was performed to ensure a normal embryonic genetic background. A detailed description of patient clinical parameters is listed in Supplemental Table 4. For the collection of human endometrial tissue during the menstrual cycle, the control group included participants who had achieved successful pregnancy after IVF-ET practices, and only patients who had undergone at least 3 IVF-ET failures, in which no less than 10 high-quality 8-cell embryos or 5 blastocysts were transferred in total, were included for recurrent-implantation-failure group. All patients in these 2 groups had a good basal hormonal level and a good response to hormonal stimulation (more than 8 oocytes/oocyte retrieval). Participants were instructed not to use hormonal therapy for at least for 3 months. Endometrial biopsies were collected using a Pipelle de Cornier device on day 7 after ovulation (follicle development was evaluated by B-mode ultrasound to determine the ovulation day). A detailed description of patient clinical parameters is provided in Supplemental Table 5. Each tissue sample was divided into 2 pieces and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen or fixed in 4% formaldehyde for immunohistochemical analyses. All tissues were separated from trophoblasts without prior pharmacological induction.

**Statistics.** Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS 11.5. Comparison of means is presented by making use of the independent-samples Student’s t test. The data are shown as the mean ± SEM. P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Study approval.** All animal studies were performed with the approval of the Xiamen University Animal Care and Use Program. Ethics approval for the collection and use of human endometrial samples was given by the Ethics Committee Review Board of Reproductive Medicine, Shandong University.
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