PD-L1 serves as a double agent in separating GVL from GVHD

Todd V. Brennan, Yiping Yang


Commentary

Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) represents a potentially curative treatment for a variety of hematologic malignancies due to the well-recognized graft-versus-leukemia/lymphoma (GVL) effect that is mediated by donor-derived alloreactive T cells. However, graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) is mediated by the same T cells and remains a significant clinical problem associated with substantial morbidity and mortality. In this issue of the *JCI*, Ni and colleagues used several murine models of GVHD to evaluate the effect of CD4⁺ T cell depletion on GVL versus GVHD and revealed that depletion of CD4⁺ T cells leads to the upregulation of PD-L1 by recipient tissues and donor CD8⁺ T cells. Interaction of PD-L1 with PD-1 in GVHD-targeted tissues resulted in CD8⁺ T cell exhaustion and apoptosis, thereby preventing GVHD, whereas PD-L1 interactions with CD80 in lymphoid tissue promoted CD8⁺ T cell survival and expansion, thereby enhancing the GVL response. By separating these seemingly similar alloreactive T cell responses based on the context of interaction, the results of this study may lay the groundwork for the development of effective clinical strategies to enhance GVL while minimizing GVHD following allogeneic HCT.
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GVL versus GVHD

For a variety of hematologic malignancies, including acute and chronic leukemias and lymphomas (1), allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) can be a potentially curative therapeutic strategy. Allogeneic HCT can benefit these malignancies due to the well-recognized graft-versus-leukemia/lymphoma (GVL) effect that is mediated by donor-derived alloreactive T cells. Unfortunately, these same T cells are also responsible for graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) (2), which results in significant morbidity and mortality. While depletion of T cells from donor allografts prevents GVHD, removal of these cells results in increased graft failure and increased rates of tumor relapse. Similarly, immunosuppressive agents used to prevent or treat the pathologic GVHD response also reduce the beneficial GVL response.

The difficulty in separating GVL from GVHD rests in the underlying similarity of the alloreactive T responses behind both processes. Over the past decades, tremendous efforts have been made to identify specific immune mechanisms underlying GVL versus GVHD in order to separate them. Several promising clinically applicable approaches have been identified for promoting GVL and reducing GVHD, including depletion of subpopulations of GVHD-associated donor naive T cells, selection of GVL-maintaining memory T cells (3, 4), administration of donor NK cells that provide GVL without GVHD (5, 6), and, more recently, the use of allogeneic chimeric antigen receptor T cells that target tumor cells with limited capacity for GVHD induction (7). Furthermore, GVHD occurs primarily in parenchymal tissues, such as liver, skin, and intestine, whereas GVL targets hematologic tumors in the lymphoid tissue, such as lymph nodes and spleen, and the bone marrow; therefore, strategies to separate GVL from GVHD based on their differences in tissue microenvironment that may affect alloreactive T cell responses are desirable to explore.

Role of PD-L1 in separating GVL from GVHD

Programmed death ligand-1 (PD-L1) was originally discovered as a B7 homolog that costimulated T cell proliferation and cytokine production (8). However, PD-L1 is best characterized by its immune inhibitory function as a result of PD-L1 programmed death-1 (PD-L1/PD-1) interactions between antigen-presenting cells and T cells that result in T cell exhaustion and apoptosis (9, 10). As PD-1 is highly expressed by tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes and PD-L1 is expressed by many tumors (11), engagement of PD-L1/PD-1-mediated inhibition offers an immune checkpoint by which tumors can evade the host immune response (12, 13). Multiple clinical trials have evaluated the ability of mAbs that target the PD-L1/PD-1 interactions that are inhibitory to T cells to increase the tumoricidal properties of tumor-infiltrating T cells (14, 15).

While many studies have focused on the inhibitory functions of PD-L1, other reports have demonstrated that PD-L1 is important for T cell proliferation and cytokine production (8, 16), leading to the hypothesis that, in addition to PD-1, another PD-L1-binding partner existed (17). Shortly thereafter, CD80 was identified as a binding partner for PD-L1 by expression cloning using a cDNA library prepared from Cd28⁻ Cela-4⁻ T cells (18). For CD4⁺ T cells, PD-L1/CD80 interactions inhibit proliferation and cytokine production (18), but the opposite appears to be the case for CD8⁺ T cells. For example, PD-L1 has been shown to play a critical costimulatory role for antigen-specific CD8⁺ T cells during microbial infection as well as for protective...
that in vivo depletion of CD4+ T cells follows PD-L1/PD-1 interactions between recipient T cells and donor CD8+ T cells while maintaining an effective GVL response (22). Ni et al. rigorously demonstrate this phenomenon using multiple GVHD models (two murine allogeneic HCT models and a human → mouse xenogeneic HCT model) and two tumor models, including one that was previously shown to be partially resistant to the GVL effect (23). In these models, CD4+ T cell depletion increased serum IFN-γ levels, leading to upregulation of PD-L1 in recipient tissues and donor CD8+ T cells (Figure 1). In GVHD target tissues, Ni and colleagues found that increased PD-L1/PD-1 interactions between recipient tissues and donor CD8+ T cells led to T cell exhaustion and apoptosis, thereby preventing GVHD. In lymphoid tissues, enhanced PD-L1/CD80 interactions between CD8+ T cells augmented T cell survival and expansion and preserved the GVL response. Ni and colleagues concluded that the outcome of the PD-L1-mediated effect on CD8+ T cells depends on whether CD4+ T cells are present, the nature of the interacting partner expressed by CD8+ T cells, and the tissue microenvironment.

Figure 1. The effect of CD4+ T cell depletion on GVL versus GVHD. Depletion of CD4+ T cells following allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) increases systemic levels IFN-γ and decreases IL-2. The changes in these cytokines in turn promote expansion of CD8+ T cells in lymphoid tissue with the capacity to target malignant host cells via PD-L1/CD80 interactions. Conversely, in GVHD-targeted tissues, CD4+ T cell depletion enhances the interaction of PD-L1/PD-1 interactions between CD8+ T cells and cells of GVHD-targeted tissues, thereby increasing exhaustion and apoptosis of host-attacking CD8+ T cells. The data presented by Ni and colleagues demonstrate the importance of context-dependent function of PD-L1 in influencing donor CD8+ T cell responses during the process of GVL versus GVHD and reveal a critical role for CD4+ T cells in regulating these processes. A context-dependent function of PD-L1 was also recently described by Saha et al. (24), who found that GVHD was reduced in mice receiving Pdl1−/− donor cells but that the GVL response was maintained. Ni and colleagues added to this finding by demonstrating that PD-L1/CD80 interactions are critical to the GVL effect. More importantly, Ni et al. have provided an exciting and translatable strategy that involves CD4+ T cell depletion in an effort to prevent GVHD but maintain an effective GVL response, thereby improving the outcome of allogeneic HCT. However, there are several unanswered questions from the study by Ni and colleagues that require further investigation. First, it is not clear how deletion of CD4+ T cells initially leads to increased IFN-γ production in vivo. Ni et al. attributed the elevation of IFN-γ production to increased CD8+ T cell expansion in the absence of CD4+ T cells. However, as the IFN-γ–dependent enhancement of PD-L1/CD80 interactions between CD8+ T cells is important for survival and expansion of this population, it is possible that the initial increase in IFN-γ production in CD4+ T cell–depleted mice could be from other IFN-γ-producing cells, such as NK and/or NKT cells. Second, in addition to increased IFN-γ, Ni and colleagues also observed a reduction in IL-2 production in the absence of CD4+ T cells. Because CD8+ T cells require IL-2 for their activation and proliferation, a potential role for reduced IL-2 production in the observed decrease in GVHD cannot be ruled out. Third, studies have shown that upregulation of PD-L1 by IFN-γ is a local effect (15). As Ni and colleagues only showed an increase in systemic IFN-γ levels in the absence of CD4+ T cells, it would be important to delineate whether IFN-γ expression is also increased within the local environment. Similarly, in addition to IFN-γ, other cytokines, such as IL-27 and TGF-β, can upregulate expression of PD-L1 (25, 26). Although Ni et al. found no notable differences in serum IL-27 concentrations in recipients treated with anti-CD4 mAb compared with a
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control IgG, it remains unknown whether the local levels of these cytokines can be affected by CD4+ T cell depletion. Last, studies have shown that PD-L1 expression on hematopoietic cells can inhibit CD8+ T cell numbers and function through interacting with PD-1 in response to lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus infection (27). Thus, it remains to be determined why cytolic choriomeningitis virus infection (27) cell numbers and function through inter microenvironments regulate PD-L1/CD80 in promoting GVL while inhibiting epithe γ that IFN-γ Interestingly, a previous report has shown to prevent GVHD while preserving GVL. T cell depletion following allogeneic HCT, depletion of CD4+ T cells in patients follow trials to evaluate the effectiveness of in vivo depletion of CD4+ T cells following allo geneic HCT. As depletion of donor CD4 + T cells ex vivo did not effectively prevent GVHD in a previous human trial (29), clinical translation of this finding will require trials to evaluate the effectiveness of in vivo depletion of CD4+ T cells in patients following allo geneic HCT. In this regard, zanolim mab, a fully human anti-CD4-depleting mAb, has been developed and two-phase II clinical trials have been completed to evaluate this mAb for treating refractory cuta neous T-cell lymphoma (30). Alternatively, if additional studies as discussed above confirm that systemic elevation of IFN-γ is responsible for the observed effect of CD4+ T cell depletion following allogeneic HCT, a clinical trial involving the administration of recombinant IFN-γ could be considered to prevent GVHD while preserving GVL. Interestingly, a previous report has shown that IFN-γ has similar paradoxical effects in promoting GVL while inhibiting epithe lial GVHD (31).

The observation that different tissue microenvironments regulate PD-L1/CD80 versus PD-L1/PD-1 interactions could also have potential therapeutic implications in modulating other forms of CD8-mediated pathophysiology. For example, PD-L1 has been shown to play an important role in the activation of pathologic CD8+ T cells in a murine model of colitis (32), and blocking PD-L1 was shown to be beneficial in this model. Would selective blocking of PD-L1/CD80 interactions while preserving PD-L1/PD-1 interactions (e.g., with anti-PD-L1 mAb clone 43H12 that specifically blocks PD-L1/CD80 interaction and preserves PD-L1/PD-1 interactions) be even more beneficial? Reciprocally, PD-L1 provides activating signals to CD8+ T cells to provide protective immunity to combat infections with L. monocytogenes (19) and Salmonella (20), and PD-L1 inhibition during these infections has a detrimental effect on protective immunity. Would selective blocking of PD-L1/PD-1 interactions or augmentation of PD-L1/CD80 interactions provide therapeutic benefit to the treatment of certain microbial infections?

In summary, PD-L1/CD80 and PD-L1/ PD-1 signaling reciprocally regulate CD8+ T cell expansion and function. Balancing the two signaling pathways allows donor CD8+ T cells to mediate the GVL effect without causing GVHD. Future efforts aimed at leveraging differences in microenvironment-regulated signaling pathways may allow overlapping, yet clinically divergent, immune functions to be separated.
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