Obesity is a major risk factor for insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes. In adipose tissue, obesity-mediated insulin resistance correlates with the accumulation of proinflammatory macrophages and inflammation. However, the causal relationship of these events is unclear. Here, we report that obesity-induced insulin resistance in mice precedes macrophage accumulation and inflammation in adipose tissue. Using a mouse model that combines genetically induced, adipose-specific insulin resistance (mTORC2-knockout) and diet-induced obesity, we found that insulin resistance causes local accumulation of proinflammatory macrophages. Mechanistically, insulin resistance in adipocytes results in production of the chemokine monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (MCP1), which recruits monocytes and activates proinflammatory macrophages. Finally, insulin resistance (high homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance [HOMA-IR]) correlated with reduced insulin/mTORC2 signaling and elevated MCP1 production in visceral adipose tissue from obese human subjects. Our findings suggest that insulin resistance in adipose tissue leads to inflammation rather than vice versa.
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Obesity is a major risk factor for insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes. In adipose tissue, obesity-mediated insulin resistance correlates with the accumulation of proinflammatory macrophages and inflammation. However, the causal relationship of these events is unclear. Here, we report that obesity-induced insulin resistance in mice precedes macrophage accumulation and inflammation in adipose tissue. Using a mouse model that combines genetically induced, adipose-specific insulin resistance (mTORC2-knockout) and diet-induced obesity, we found that insulin resistance causes local accumulation of proinflammatory macrophages. Mechanistically, insulin resistance in adipocytes results in production of the chemokine monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (MCP1), which recruits macrophages and activates proinflammatory macrophages. Finally, insulin resistance (high homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance [HOMA-IR]) correlated with reduced insulin/mTORC2 signaling and elevated MCP1 production in visceral adipose tissue from obese human subjects. Our findings suggest that insulin resistance in adipose tissue leads to inflammation rather than vice versa.

Introduction

Obesity-induced insulin resistance is a major risk factor for type 2 diabetes, hyperlipidemia, cardiovascular disease, and some types of cancer (1–3). Although the mechanism by which obesity causes insulin resistance is unclear, inflammation has been linked to the development of local and systemic insulin resistance, especially when the inflammation occurs in white adipose tissue (WAT) (4, 5).

WAT consists of adipocytes and stromal vascular cells (SVCs) including endothelial cells, preadipocytes, and immune cells (6). Among the immune cells, macrophages play a particularly important role in obesity-induced adipose tissue inflammation. Macrophages are classified into 2 types: proinflammatory M1 and antiinflammatory M2 macrophages. M1 macrophages express the surface marker CD11c (7, 8) and produce proinflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α (9, 10). M2 macrophages express the surface marker CD301 and produce antiinflammatory cytokines such as IL-10 (7). The relative and absolute number of M1 macrophages increases in WAT upon obesity, thereby promoting adipose tissue inflammation (7–11). Studies in rodents have suggested that adipose tissue inflammation causes local and systemic insulin resistance (9, 11–13). However, it has been demonstrated that immunocompromised mice are not protected from systemic insulin resistance induced by a short-term high-fat diet (HFD) (14). Furthermore, Tian et al. have shown that adipose tissue inflammation is dispensable for local and systemic insulin resistance (15). Another study has shown that inhibition of adipose tissue inflammation results in glucose intolerance, suggesting that inflammation may even be a mechanism to counter insulin resistance (16). In humans, expression of the macrophage markers CD68 and TNF-α in WAT correlates with BMI, suggesting that obesity may induce the accumulation of adipose tissue macrophages and inflammation in humans (9, 17). However, clinical trials targeting TNF-α have shown little or no beneficial effect on systemic insulin sensitivity (18–21). Thus, the causal relationship between adipose tissue inflammation and insulin resistance is unclear.

Two models have been proposed to explain the increase in the number of M1 macrophages in WAT upon obesity. The first is that circulating monocytes are recruited to WAT, where they differentiate into M1 macrophages (7, 22). The second is that obesity induces the proliferation of resident macrophages in WAT (23). The monocyte chemoattractant protein 1/C-C chemokine ligand 2 (MCP1/CCL2), presumably produced by adipocytes, is required to increase the number of macrophages in WAT (12, 23, 24). However, the mechanism(s) controlling MCP1 expression in adipocytes upon obesity are poorly understood.

The target of rapamycin complex 2 (TORC2) is an evolutionarily conserved serine/threonine protein kinase complex that controls growth and metabolism (reviewed in ref. 25). In mammals, mTORC2 consists of mTOR, rapamycin-insensitive companion of mTOR (RICTOR), mammalian stress-activated protein kinase-interacting protein 1 (mSIN1), and mammalian lethal with SEC thirteen 8 (mLST8) (26–31). Insulin stimulates mTORC2 to promote glucose uptake in adipose tissue (32–34), liver (35–37), and skeletal muscle (38, 39). Previously, we and others have shown that adipose-specific Rictor knockout (AdRiKO) exacerbates obesity-related complications in mice, such as systemic insulin resistance and hepatic steatosis (32–34).

Here, we used mTORC2-deficient and therefore insulin-resistant AdRiKO mice to investigate the causal relationship between...
insulin resistance and inflammation. We found that inhibition of the insulin/mTORC2 pathway resulted in enhanced Mcp1 transcription in mouse and human adipocytes and thereby promoted inflammation in visceral WAT. Furthermore, obesity-induced insulin resistance developed before the accumulation of proinflammatory M1 macrophages in visceral WAT of WT mice. Thus, insulin resistance precedes and causes inflammation in adipose tissue.

Results

**Insulin/mTORC2 signaling in WAT negatively controls inflammation.** AdRiKO exacerbates systemic insulin resistance upon obesity, as evidenced by impaired glucose clearance in response to insulin treatment (Figure 1A and refs. 32–34). Thus, the AdRiKO mouse is a good model to investigate the causal relationship between insulin resistance and inflammation upon obesity. To determine whether insulin resistance due to impaired insulin/mTORC2 signaling in WAT affects adipose inflammation, we performed quantitative proteomics on epididymal WAT (eWAT) from HFD-fed (for 10 weeks) AdRiKO (aP2-Cre, Rictorfl/fl) and control (Rictorfl/fl) mice. As previously reported (32–34), HFD-fed AdRiKO mice had an increased body size with no change in adiposity (Supplemental Figure 1, A–C; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI96139DS1). Furthermore, we confirmed that RICTOR expression, AKT (Ser473) phosphorylation, and PKC expression, readouts for mTORC2 signaling, were decreased, while S6K (Thr389) phosphorylation, a readout for mTORC1 signaling, was not affected in eWAT (Figure 1B; see complete unedited blots in the supplemental material).

Figure 1. Quantitative proteome analysis reveals insulin/mTORC2 signaling functions in adipose tissue inflammation. (A) ITT for AdRiKO and control mice fed a HFD for 10 weeks. Mice were fasted for 5 hours prior to the ITT. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM. ***P < 0.01, ****P < 0.001, and *****P < 0.0001, by 2-way ANOVA. n = 10 (control) and n = 5 (AdRiKO). (B) ImmunobLOTS of eWAT from HFD-fed AdRiKO and control mice. eWAT samples were collected from ad libitum-fed mice. The same lysate were used for proteome analysis. (C) Regulated proteome with 3 biological replicates. See also Supplemental Table 1. (D) GO term analysis of the regulated proteome. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM.
compared with controls (Figure 1C and Supplemental Table 1). Gene Ontology (GO) analysis of the regulated proteins revealed enrichment of immune response–related biological processes (Figure 1D), suggesting that insulin resistance due to loss of mTORC2 signaling may cause inflammation upon obesity.

To examine further whether mTORC2 in WAT controls inflammation, we quantified immune cells in eWAT of HFD-fed AdRiKO and control mice by flow cytometry. While the numbers of B and T cells did not differ (Supplemental Figure 1D), the number of macrophages (F4/80+CD11b+) increased in AdRiKO eWAT at 10 weeks of HFD feeding (Figure 2, A and B, and Supplemental Figure 2A). The increase in macrophages correlated with increased gene expression (Cd68 and F4/80) and F4/80 staining (Figure 2, C and D). Next, we determined whether the increase in the number of macrophages in AdRiKO eWAT was due to an increase in proinflammatory M1 (F4/80+CD11b+CD11c+) and/or antiinflammatory M2 (F4/80+CD11b+CD301+) macrophages. The numbers of both M1 and M2 macrophages increased in both AdRiKO and control mice during the HFD time course (Figure 2, E–G). AdRiKO eWAT showed a disproportionately large increase in M1 macrophages, starting at 6 weeks of HFD feeding (Figure 2, E and F). Quantification of proinflammatory cytokine TNF-α mRNA in SVCs and macrophages isolated from HFD-fed AdRiKO and control mice confirmed the disproportionate increase in M1 macrophages in AdRiKO eWAT (Figure 2, H and I, and Supplemental Figure 2, B and C). These observations indicate that AdRiKO leads to the accumulation of M1 macrophages, confirming that genetically induced insulin resistance due to loss of mTORC2 signaling in WAT promotes inflammation. We note that there was no difference in macrophage numbers between AdRiKO and control mice on a normal diet (ND) (Supplemental Figure 3, A–E), indicating that AdRiKO potentiates inflammation only in response to obesity.

Our AdRiKO model relies on the adipose-specific promoter aP2 to drive Cre expression and thereby knock out Rictor. However, aP2-Cre can be expressed in cell types other than adipocytes including macrophages (40, 41). Three lines of evidence suggest that our findings are not due to confounding effects of ectopic knockout of Rictor in macrophages. First, Rictor expression was unchanged in macrophages isolated from the HFD-fed AdRiKO mice compared with those from control mice (Supplemental Figure 4, A and B). Second, adipose-specific deletion of Rictor via expression of Cre from the Adipoq promoter (i-AdRiKO: Adipoq-CreERT2, Rictorfl/fl) (40, 42) also showed an increase in M1 macrophages in eWAT upon obesity, as observed in aP2-Cre AdRiKO mice (Figure 2, J–M, and Supplemental Figure 4, C and D). Third, macrophage-specific knockout of Rictor, due to expression of Cre from the LysM promoter (LysM-Cre Rictorfl/fl), had no effect on macrophages in WAT of mice fed a HFD (43).

HFD-induced insulin resistance precedes the accumulation of adipose tissue M1 macrophages. Our findings indicate that genetically induced local insulin resistance causes the accumulation of M1 macrophages and thus inflammation in WAT upon obesity. This predicts that HFD-induced insulin resistance precedes inflammation in WT mice. To test this prediction, we performed a longitudinal study with HFD-fed WT mice (Supplemental Figure 5A). WT mice developed eWAT and systemic insulin resistance by week 4 of HFD feeding, as measured by insulin-stimulated glucose uptake and an insulin tolerance test (ITT) (Figure 3, A and B, and Supplemental Figure 5B), respectively. Mice fed a HFD for 10 weeks remained insulin resistant compared with mice on a ND (Figure 3C and Supplemental Figure 5C). The M1 macrophage population in eWAT mildly increased in mice by week 10 of a HFD, but not by 4 or 8 weeks (Figure 3D). Tgfa expression did not increase in mice at 4 or 10 weeks of a HFD (Supplemental Figure 5D). These findings are consistent with previous reports showing that mice develop adipose and systemic insulin resistance within several days to 4 weeks of a HFD (44, 45), whereas the number of M1 macrophages in WAT increases only within 8 to 10 weeks of a HFD (10, 15, 45, 46). The finding that HFD-induced insulin resistance precedes the accumulation of M1 macrophages is consistent with our above conclusion that insulin resistance leads to inflammation.

Insulin resistance–induced inflammation is specific to visceral WAT. Adipose-specific loss of mTORC2 signaling directly causes insulin resistance in all WAT depots and indirectly leads to systemic insulin resistance (32–34). To test whether AdRiKO causes inflammation in liver or in fat depots other than eWAT (see above), we examined macrophage numbers in peri-renal WAT (prWAT), subcutaneous WAT (sWAT), and liver of HFD-fed AdRiKO and control mice. AdRiKO prWAT, but not sWAT or liver, had increased numbers of M1 macrophages, (Supplemental Figure 6D). These observations indicate that AdRiKO promotes inflammation specifically in visceral WAT (eWAT and prWAT).

To test whether local insulin resistance causes inflammation in the liver, we examined hepatic macrophages in liver-specific Rictor-knockout mice (LiRiKO: Alb-Cre, Rictorfl/fl), which have hepatic insulin resistance due to loss of insulin/mTORC2 signaling in liver (35–37). HFD-fed LiRiKO mice had a moderate but nonsignificant increase in the number of hepatic macrophages compared with HFD-fed control mice (Supplemental Figure 6D). Furthermore, the numbers of M1 and M2 macrophages in eWAT were identical in LiRiKO and control mice (Supplemental Figure 6E). Thus, the ability of local insulin resistance to promote inflammation is specific to visceral WAT.

Rictor knockout in adipocytes increases expression of the chemokine MCP1. How does insulin resistance in visceral WAT cause local accumulation of M1 macrophages and inflammation? Amano et al. have suggested that a HFD induces local proliferation of macrophages (23). Alternatively, others have proposed that WAT recruits circulating monocytes, which then differentiate into M1 macrophages (7, 22). To distinguish between these 2 models, we used flow cytometry to measure the proliferation marker Ki-67 in macrophages in eWAT of HFD-fed mice. The percentage of Ki-67+ M1 macrophages in AdRiKO mice was similar to that in control mice (Supplemental Figure 7A), suggesting that the increase in M1 macrophages in AdRiKO eWAT is not due to local proliferation. To investigate the possibility that WAT recruits monocytes, we examined the expression of WAT-derived chemokines in eWAT from HFD-fed AdRiKO and control mice. A chemokine array and ELISA revealed increased expression of monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (MCP1, also known as C-C motif ligand 2 [CCL2]) in AdRiKO eWAT (Figure 4, A and B). Increased levels of MCP1 were also detected in the plasma of HFD-fed AdRiKO mice (Figure 4C). Furthermore, in SVCs isolated from AdRiKO eWAT, we observed
Figure 2. AdRiKO eWAT accumulates M1 macrophages. (A and B) Numbers of macrophages (CD45+F4/80+CD11b+) in SVCs isolated from eWAT of HFD-fed AdRiKO and control mice. Representative FACS profiles are shown in A, and quantification is shown in B. **P < 0.01, by multiple Student’s t test. n = 6–15. (C) Gene expression of macrophage markers in eWAT from HFD-fed AdRiKO and control mice. **P < 0.01, by multiple Student’s t test. n = 7–8. (D) Representative F4/80 staining of eWAT from HFD-fed AdRiKO and control mice. n = 4. Scale bar: 100 μm. (E-G) Numbers of M1 macrophages (CD45+F4/80+CD11b+CD11c+) and M2 macrophages (CD45+F4/80+CD11b+CD301+) in SVCs from eWAT of HFD-fed AdRiKO and control mice. Representative FACS profiles are shown in E, and quantification is shown in F and G. ****P < 0.0001 and P = 0.053, by multiple Student’s t test. n = 6–15. (H and I) Tnfa gene expression in SVCs (H) (n = 9) and isolated macrophages (I) (n = 6–8) from eWAT of HFD-fed AdRiKO and control mice. *P < 0.05, by unpaired Student’s t test. (J) Immunoblots of eWAT from i-AdRiKO and control mice. Mice were treated with tamoxifen for 5 days. After 4 weeks, mice were fasted for 5 hours and then treated with PBS or insulin. (K) ITT for i-AdRiKO and control mice 4 weeks after induction of Rictor knockout. Mice were fasted for 5 hours prior to the ITT. **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, and ****P < 0.0001, by 2-way ANOVA. n = 5 (control) and n = 6 (i-AdRiKO). (L and M) Numbers of M1 macrophages (CD45+F4/80+CD11b+CD11c+) (L) and M2 macrophages (CD45+F4/80+CD11b+CD301+) (M) in SVCs from eWAT of HFD-fed i-AdRiKO and control mice. *P < 0.05, by unpaired Student’s t test. n = 7. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM.
significantly increased expression of C-C chemokine receptor type 2 (Ccr2), encoding an MCP1 receptor, as a result of increased numbers of Ccr2-expressing cells such as monocytes and macrophages (Figure 4D). These findings suggest that insulin-resistant visceral WAT, via MCP1 expression, recruits monocytes, which then differentiate into M1 macrophages.

We next tested whether the increase in MCP1 is responsible for M1 macrophage accumulation in AdRiKO eWAT. Mice were fed a HFD for 8 weeks and then treated with an MCP1-neutralizing or control antibody for 2 weeks along with ongoing HFD feeding. The antibody treatments had no impact on body weight (Supplemental Figure 7B). The MCP1-neutralizing antibody inhibited the accumulation of M1 macrophages in AdRiKO eWAT by 50%, with no impact on M2 macrophages (Figure 4E). The MCP1-neutralizing antibody also caused a 2-fold increase in monocytes (Ly-6C+CD11b+) in the blood of AdRiKO mice (Figure 4F). Thus, MCP1 appears to mediate the increase in M1 macrophages in AdRiKO eWAT. Altogether, our data suggest that mTORC2 inhibition in WAT results in Mcp1 expression, followed by infiltration of monocytes in an MCP1-CCR2–dependent manner.

Insulin/mTORC2 signaling inhibits Mcp1 transcription in adipocytes. Expression of the Mcp1 gene was increased in the eWAT of HFD-fed AdRiKO and i-AdRiKO mice compared with expression in control eWAT (Figure 5A) coinciding with an increase in the number of M1 macrophages in AdRiKO eWAT (Figure 2F). The number of macrophages and expression levels of Mcp1 were unchanged in AdRiKO and control eWAT in ND-fed mice (Supplemental Figure 3 and Supplemental Figure 8B). 3T3-L1 adipocytes treated with the mTORC2 inhibitor torin 1 (47). 3T3-L1 adipocytes treated with torin 1 had reduced Mcp1 expression (Figure 5E). We next generated 2 Rictor-knockout 3T3-L1 adipocyte cell lines (Figure 5F and Supplemental Figure 8B) using the genome-editing CRISPR-Cas9 system (48). Rictor-knockout 3T3-L1 adipocytes were able to differentiate, albeit at a slower rate compared with control cells (Supplemental Figure 8C). Consistent with our in vivo data, Mcp1 expression was increased in the Rictor-knockout 3T3-L1 adipocytes (Figure 5G and Supplemental Figure 8D). In WT mice, Mcp1 expression increased by 10 weeks, but not 4 weeks, of HFD feeding (Supplemental Figure 8E). These data support the notion that insulin resistance precedes and promotes Mcp1 transcription in adipocytes. We note that Rictor knockout in liver (LiRiKO) did not result in hepatic Mcp1 expression (Supplemental Figure 8F), consistent with our above finding that LiRiKO failed to stimulate inflammation in liver.

How does mTORC2 loss lead to Mcp1 transcription? It has been suggested that JNK is required for Mcp1 expression and secretion in cultured 3T3-L1 adipocytes (49). Consistent with that report, treatment with the JNK inhibitor SP600125 reduced Mcp1 expression in Rictor-knockout 3T3-L1 cells (Figure 5I). Inhibition of JNK was confirmed by loss of cJUN (Ser73) phosphorylation (Figure 5J). SP600125 did not restore AKT (Ser473) phosphorylation (Figure 5J) or insulin-stimulated glucose uptake (Figure 5F) in the Rictor-knockout 3T3-L1 cells, indicating that the effect of the drug was independent of mTORC2 and insulin resistance. Furthermore, JNK activity was unaffected by Rictor knockout (Figure 5, J and K). Thus, mTORC2 and JNK control Mcp1 expression independently.

Impaired insulin/mTORC2 signaling and increased Mcp1 expression in omental WAT of obese patients. MCP1 mRNA levels in omental WAT (oWAT) correlate with BMI in obese human subjects (9, 50). However, how MCP1 transcription is regulated in human adipose tissue is unknown. Our finding that insulin/mTORC2 sig-
naling negatively controls Mcp1 transcription and adipose tissue inflammation in mice prompted us to examine insulin/mTORC2 signaling, MCP1 expression, and macrophage accumulation in human visceral WAT, i.e., oWAT. To this end, oWAT samples were collected from 20 lean and 30 obese human patients who were under general anesthesia (Figure 6A and Supplemental Table 2). The obese patients were insulin resistant as determined by high homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) (Figure 6B and Supplemental Figure 9A). We note that oWAT was collected from patients who, because of standard procedure before undergoing general anesthesia, had fasted overnight, a condition that might not be optimal for the evaluation of insulin/mTORC2 signaling. Nevertheless, in oWAT, AKT2 (Ser474) phosphorylation, a readout for mTORC2 signaling, was lower in obese patients than in lean patients (Figure 6, C and D). AKT2 (Ser474) phosphorylation negatively correlated with BMI (Figure 6E). These data suggest that insulin/mTORC2 signaling is impaired in oWAT of obese and insulin-resistant patients and validate AdRiKO mice as a model for human insulin resistance.

MCP1 expression was higher in obese subjects and positively correlated with BMI (Figure 6, F and G, and Supplemental Figure 9B). CD68 expression was also higher in the obese subjects and positively correlated with BMI (Figure 6, H and I, and Supplemental Figure 9C). MCP1 and CD68 expression levels also correlated (Figure 6J).

Discussion
We provide 2 lines of evidence that insulin resistance promotes the accumulation of M1 macrophages and thereby fosters inflammation (Supplemental Figure 3). However, approximately one-third of the obese patients (9 of 30) had low AKT (Ser474) phosphorylation and high MCP1, and CD68 (Figure 6K), suggesting that AdRiKO mice may phenocopy this subgroup of patients. Finally, torin 1 treatment led to an increase in MCP1 expression in human primary adipocytes, suggesting that insulin/mTOR signaling inhibits MCP1 expression also in humans (Figure 6, L and M).
adipose-specific Akt1- and Akt2-knockout mice exhibit insulin resistance and increased macrophage infiltration in adipose tissue. The above studies altogether may disentangle the “chicken-and-egg” relationship (see Introduction) of insulin resistance and inflammation, at least in adipose tissue. Obesity induces insulin resistance, via a yet-to-be defined mechanism, which in turn promotes inflammation. As suggested previously (16), this inflammation may contribute to adipose tissue remodeling and expansion to maintain glucose hemostasis. It has been suggested that activated M1 macrophages undergo metabolic reprogramming from oxidative phosphorylation to glycolysis (54, 55). Thus, a physiological role of M1 macrophages could be to clear excess local glucose.

Our finding that insulin resistance precedes inflammation may account for the observation that inhibition of TNF-α is ineffective in the treatment of obesity-induced insulin resistance (18–21). HFD-fed AdRiKO mice showed reduced AKT (Ser473) phosphorylation (Figure 1B), decreased glucose uptake (Figure 1A), and extensive inflammation (Figure 2) in eWAT. HFD-fed WT mice also displayed decreased glucose uptake (Figure 3, A–C), which was followed by mild inflammation (Figure 3D). Unexpectedly, AKT (Ser473) phosphorylation was not reduced in WT mice fed a HFD for 4 or 10 weeks (Supplemental Figure 5, E and F) (56), although we still observed mild inflammation in eWAT by week 10 of HFD feeding. We note that the number of M1 macrophages

Figure 5. Insulin/mTORC2 signaling inhibits Mcp1 transcription in adipocytes. (A) Mcp1 mRNA levels in eWAT from AdRiKO and control mice during the HFD time course. *P < 0.05 and ***P < 0.001, by multiple Student’s t test. n = 5–10. (B) Mcp1 mRNA levels in eWAT from HFD-fed i-AdRiKO and control mice. **P < 0.01, by unpaired Student’s t test. n = 4–6. (C and D) Mcp1 mRNA levels in adipocytes (C) and SVCs (D) isolated from eWAT of HFD-fed AdRiKO and control mice. ***P < 0.001, by unpaired Student’s t test. n = 13–14. (E) Mcp1 mRNA levels in 3T3-L1 adipocytes treated with DMSO or 250 nM torin 1 for 6 hours. **P < 0.01, by unpaired Student’s t test. N >3. (F) 2DGP accumulation in insulin-stimulated Rictor-knockout or control 3T3-L1 adipocytes treated with DMSO or the JNK inhibitor SP600125 (20 μM). N = 3. (G) Mcp1 mRNA levels in Rictor-knockout and control 3T3-L1 adipocytes. *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01, by unpaired Student’s t test. N = 3. (H) Mcp1 mRNA levels in Rictor-knockout and control 3T3-L1 adipocytes treated with or without serum and insulin. *P < 0.05, by unpaired Student’s t test. N = 3. (I) Mcp1 mRNA levels in Rictor-knockout and control 3T3-L1 cells treated with DMSO or the JNK inhibitor SP600125 (20 μM) for 6 hours. *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01, by 1-way ANOVA. N = 3. (J) Immunoblots of Rictor-knockout and control 3T3-L1 adipocytes treated with DMSO or the JNK inhibitor SP600125 (20 μM) for 6 hours. N = 3. (K) In vitro JNK kinase assay. Active JNK was immunoprecipitated from Rictor-knockout or control 3T3-L1 adipocytes, and JNK activity was assessed toward its substrate cJUN. SP600125 treatment served as a negative control. N = 3. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM.
in AdRiKO eWAT was much higher than that in control eWAT by week 10 of the HFD (Figure 2F). These findings suggest that obesity-induced insulin resistance promotes mild inflammation downstream or independently of mTORC2, whereas chronic insulin resistance leads to mTORC2 inhibition and therefore extensive inflammation, as observed in AdRiKO mice and obese patients.

Our experiments reveal that loss of mTORC2 leads to increased Mcp1 expression in adipocytes (Figure 5). What is the downstream effector through which mTORC2 controls Mcp1 transcription? One candidate is the phosphatidic acid phosphatase LIPIN1, whose knockdown results in Mcp1 expression in 3T3-L1 adipocytes (57). LIPIN1, independently of its phosphatase activity, also functions as a transcriptional repressor (58). We found that Lipin1 expression was reduced in Rictor-knockout eWAT and adipocytes (Supplemental Figure 10, A and B), suggesting that mTORC2 may negatively control Mcp1 transcription via LIPIN1. An adipose-specific transcription factor is another candidate through which mTORC2 may control Mcp1 expression. Rictor knockout increased Mcp1 expression in adipocytes, but not in liver or fibroblasts (Supplemental Figure 8F and Supplemental Figure 10C), indicating that the regulation of Mcp1 transcription by mTORC2 is specific to adipocytes.

Why does AdRiKO eWAT accumulate a disproportionately high number of M1 macrophages only in response to obesity? The
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Methods

Mice. Adipose tissue–specific Rictor-knockout (AdRiKO) and liver-
specific Rictor-knockout (LiRiKO) mice were described previously (32, 35, 61). Since AdRiKO mice are infertile, we bred Rictorfl/Cre mice with 
Rictorfl/Cre mice to generate mice for our experiments. For experi-
ments with AdRiKO mice, age-matched Cre-negative males were used 
as controls. To generate LiRiKO mice for experiments, Rictorfl/Cre Alb-Cre mice were crossed with Rictorfl/Cre mice. To generate an i-AdRiKO mouse 
line, Rictorfl/Cre mice were bred with adipop-CreERt2 mice, provided by 
Stefan Offermanns (Max Planck Institute for Heart and Lung Research 
(MPI-HLR), Bad Nauheim, Germany) (42). Once the i-AdRiKO mouse 
line (Rictorfl/Cre adipop-CreERt2) was generated, these mice were bred with 
Rictorfl/Cre mice to produce mice for experiments. For experiments 
with LiRiKO and i-AdRiKO mice, Cre-negative littermate male mice 
were used as controls. All mice used in this study were on a C57BL/6 
background. For i-AdRiKO mice, Rictor knockout was induced by i.p. 
 injection of 1 mg/mouse tamoxifen (Sigma-Aldrich) resuspended in 
corn oil daily for 5 days. Mice were housed at 22°C in a conventional 
facility under a 12-hour light/12-hour dark cycle with unlimited access 
to water and were fed a ND or a HFD (60% kcal from fat NAFAG 2127, 
KLBA). The HFD-feeding experiment was conducted for 10 weeks 
unless otherwise specified. Cre-negative animals were used as a con-
trol. For i-AdRiKO mouse experiments, control mice were also treated 
with tamoxifen. Mice were randomly assigned for each experiment. Only male, 6- to 16-week-old mice were used for experiments.

Cell culture. 3T3-L1 cells were cultured and differentiated as 
described previously (62). In brief, 3T3-L1 preadipocyte cells were 
maintained in M1 medium (DMEM supplemented with 4 mM glucose, 
1 mM sodium pyruvate, 1x penicillin and streptomycin, and 10% 
FBS) at 37°C in an incubator with 5% CO2. For differentiation, cells 
were maintained in M1 medium for 2 days after reaching confluence. 
The medium was replaced with M2 medium (M1 medium supple-
mented with 1.5 μg/ml insulin, 0.5 mM IBMX, 1 μM dexamethasone, and 
2 μM rosiglitazone), defined as day 0 of differentiation. After 2 
days, the medium was replaced with M3 medium (M1 with 1.5 μg/ml 
insulin). The medium was replaced with M2 on day 4 of differen-
tiation. From day 6, cells were maintained in M3 with a medium change 
every 2 days. For all experiments, cells differentiated for 10 to 14 days 
were used. Torin 1 was purchased from Tocris Bioscience and dis-
solved in DMSO.

Human oWAT biopsies. oWAT biopsies were obtained from lean 
subjects with a BMI below 27 kg/m2 and from obese subjects with a 
BMI above 35 kg/m2 (Supplemental Table 2). Patients were fasted 
overnight and then underwent general anesthesia. All oWAT samples 
were obtained between 8:30 and 12:00, snap-frozen in liquid nitro-
gen, and stored at –80°C.

Human primary adipocytes. Human primary visceral preadipo-
cytes (from a 56-year-old woman with a BMI of 23) were obtained from 
Lonza. Cells were cultured and differentiated according to the 
manufacturer’s instructions. After 14 days of differentiation, cells were 
treated with DMSO or 250 nM torin1 for 6 hours.

Generation of Rictor-knockout cells by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated 
gene editing. Rictor-knockout 3T3-L1 cells (Hall laboratory 
stock) were generated using the CRISPR/Cas9 system. Oligonucle-
otides containing single-guide RNAs (sgRNAs) (Rictor1, forward: 
CACCGGAAGATACGTACCCGGTC, sgRNA Rictor1, reverse: 
AAACCAAGCGGGATCAGTATCTTCC; sgRNA Rictor2, forward: 
CACCGGGCTCTTCAGTTGTCG, sgRNA Rictor2, reverse: 
AAACCGACCAAGGCCCTAGGGAC). They were cloned into lentio-
CRISPRv2 (Addgene plasmid 52961) (48), a gift of Feng Zhang (MIT, 
Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA). Plasmids were amplified by bacte-
rial transformation and isolated by Miniprep (Zymo Research). Lentiv-
CRISPRv2 plasmids were cotransfected with pSPAX2 (Addgene plasmid 
12260; a gift of Didier Trono, EPFL, Lausanne, Switzerland) and 
pCMV-VSV-G (63) (Addgene plasmid 8454; a gift of Robert Weinberg, 
MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA) and kept in HEK293T cells (Hall 
laboratory stock). Supernatants containing lentiviruses were collected 1 
day after transfection and used to transduce undifferentiated 3T3-L1 
cells. Transduced cells were selected by puromycin.

2-Deoxyglucose uptake assay. Mice were fed a ND or HFD for 4 
weeks, fasted for 5 hours, and given Humalog insulin i.p. (Lilly; 0.75 
U/kg body weight). After 10 minutes, 2-deoxyglucose (2DG) (Sigma-
Aldrich) was given i.p. (32.8 μg/g body weight), and tissues (eWAT, 
muscle) were collected 20 minutes later. For in vitro 2DG uptake, 
differentiated adipocytes were cultured in serum-free M1 medium, 
ashed 3 times with HKRP buffer (1.2 mM KH2PO4, 1.2 mM MgSO4, 
1.3 mM CaCl2, 118 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, and 30 mM HEPES, pH7.5), 
and cultured in HKRP buffer with 1% BSA for 30 minutes. Cells were 
stimulated with 100 nM insulin for 20 minutes and subsequently
Table 1. qRT-PCR primers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Forward primer</th>
<th>Reverse primer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Cd68</td>
<td>TATAGCCCGAGAGAACCCATT</td>
<td>CCACTTCATACCTTGAGAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F4/80</td>
<td>TGGTGGAGTCCCTAATCTCTC</td>
<td>GCTGAGCTACCTAGGAGAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tfge</td>
<td>GTCTGCTGCTCTTCACTCTA</td>
<td>GCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rictc</td>
<td>ACGAAGAGAGAGGACGAGAGA</td>
<td>ACGAAGAGAGAGGACGAGAGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mgp1</td>
<td>TACATTTTTCACACACCTTC</td>
<td>TACATTTTTCACACACCTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lpl</td>
<td>TCACACACACCTTTGATTT</td>
<td>TCACACACACCTTTGATTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adipq</td>
<td>TGACACACACGAAAGGCTTC</td>
<td>ACGTACGCTCTGCAGACAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cd11c</td>
<td>GCTCTGGAAGGGGTAGGAGGC</td>
<td>GCTCTGGAAGGGGTAGGAGGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cd45</td>
<td>CCATTGTACAGAACCGTCGT</td>
<td>CGGTCTCTTGGTAAGTTTGGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cd3g</td>
<td>CTTCGAAGGACTGACGCTAG</td>
<td>CTTCGAAGGACTGACGCTAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pol2a</td>
<td>AACTGCGATGAAAGCTGCTG</td>
<td>AACTGCGATGAAAGCTGCTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tbp</td>
<td>TCTGTGTGGATGTTGCTGCT</td>
<td>TCTGTGTGGATGTTGCTGCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rpl7</td>
<td>CGGCTGTGTGGATGTTGCTGCT</td>
<td>TCTGTGTGGATGTTGCTGCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Mcp1</td>
<td>CGCAAGGGTTTACGGACAGCC</td>
<td>CCTTCCTTGTGACGCTAGGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cd68</td>
<td>AAGGCTTCTTCTGTCACAGGA</td>
<td>TATAGCCCAAGGAACCCATT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rna18s5</td>
<td>GTAAACCCGTGGAACCCATT</td>
<td>CTCAATAGGCTGCTGCTGAT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CD301-Alexa Fluor 647 (AbD Serotec; catalog MCA2392A647T); CD4-FITC (eBioscience; catalog 11-0041-81); CD8a-PE (eBioscience; catalog 12-0081-81); CD3-APC (eBioscience; catalog 17-0032-80); CD45R (B220) PerCP-Cyanine 5.5 (eBioscience; catalog 45-0452-80); CD25 APC-Fluor 780 (eBioscience; catalog 47-0251-80); and Ki-67-Alexa Fluor 488 (BD Pharmingen; catalog 561165), along with a FOXP3 Flow Kit (BioLegend, catalog 320021) and a Live/Dead Fixable Dead Cell Kit (Thermo Fisher; catalog L34955). Stained SVCs were analyzed using the FACSCanto II (BD Biosciences) or sorted with a FACSAria IIIu (BD Biosciences).

**Immunohistochemistry.** WATs were fixed overnight in 4% formalin at room temperature, dehydrated, embedded in paraffin, and cut into 5-µm-thick sections. Adipose tissue macrophages were stained with anti-F4/80 antibody (Abcam; catalog ab6640) and a secondary antibody conjugated with HRP (VECTOR Laboratories), followed by incubation in ImmPACT DAB peroxidase substrate solution (VECTOR Laboratories) and subsequent counterstaining with hematoxylin (VECTOR Laboratories). Images were obtained using DM6000B (Leica) and analyzed with Fiji software (ImageJ; NIH) (65).

**RNA isolation and quantitative real-time PCR.** Total RNA was isolated with TRIzol Reagent (Sigma-Aldrich) and an RNaseq Kit (QIAGEN). For RNA isolation from sorted macrophages, an RNaseq Kit (Qiagen) was used. RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using an iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad). Semiquantitative real-time PCR analysis was performed using Fast SYBR Green (Applied Biosystems). Relative expression levels were determined by normalizing each Ct value to either β-actin or SDHA and the method of ΔΔCt method. The primer sequences used in this study are shown in Table 1.

**Protein isolation and immunobots.** Tissues were homogenized in lysis buffer containing 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 2 mM EDTA, 2 mM EGTA, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, complete inhibitor cocktail (Roche), and PhosSTOP (Roche). The protein concentration was determined by the Bradford assay, and equal amounts of protein were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (GE Healthcare). The following antibodies were used in this study and were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology: AKT (catalog 4685); phosphorylated AKT (p-AKT) (Ser473) (catalog 4060), RICTOR (catalog 2140), PKC (catalog 2056), p-S6K (Thr389) (catalog 9234), S6K (catalog 2708), and JNK (catalog 2952), c-Jun (Ser73) (catalog 3270), and c-Jun (catalog 9165). Actin monoclonal antibody was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (catalog MAB1501).

**JNK kinase assay.** JNK activity was measured using a SAPI/JNK Kinase Assay Kit (Nonradioactive) (Cell Signaling Technology; catalog 8794).

**Proteome analysis.** eWAT was homogenized in urea lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.2, 8 M urea, 75 mM NaCl, complete inhibitor cocktail (Roche), and PhosSTOP (Roche). The extracts were incubated for 30 minutes at 4°C and centrifuged for 15 minutes at 14,000 × g. Protein concentration was measured with the Bradford assay. Proteins were reduced with 2.5 M DTT for 40 minutes at 56°C and alkylated with 7.5 mM iodoacetamide for 40 minutes at room temperature in the dark with gentle shaking. The urea concentration was lowered to 4 M with 25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8. The lysates were digested with 2 rounds of endoproteinase LysC (Wako) at an enzyme/protein ratio of 1:100 (w/w) at 37°C for 2 hours. After the LysC digestion, the
urea concentration was lowered to 1 M with 25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0. The lysates were digested with 2 rounds of trypsin (Worthington) at an enzyme/protein ratio of 1:100 (w/w) for 2 hours, followed by over-night digestion at 37°C. Digestion was stopped by adding trifluoro-acetic acid (TFA) to reach a final concentration of 0.4%. The digests were centrifuged for 5 minutes at 3,000 g and desalted on a C18 Sep-Pak cartridge (Waters) that had been equilibrated with 0.1% TFA. The peptides were desalted with 0.1% TFA, and subsequently eluted with 0.5% AcOH/80% AcCN. The peptide concentration was estimated by measuring the UV absorbance at 280 nm. The desalted peptides were dried in a SpeedVac and desalted on either micro or macro spin C18 columns (The Nest Group). The peptides were desalted with 0.1% TFA and subsequently eluted with 0.5% AcOH/80% AcCN. The dried peptides (20 μg) were dissolved in 20 μl of 0.1% formic acid and 0.005% TFA and analyzed on an Orbitrap Elite FT Hybrid Instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

The peptides from the SCX step-off fractions were analyzed by capillary liquid chromatography tandem MS (LC-MS/MS) using a home-packed separating column (0.075 mm × 15 cm) packed with Reprosil C18 reverse-phase material (2.4 μm particle size; provided by Albin Maisch, Ammerbuch-Entringen, Germany). The column was connected online to an Orbitrap Elite FT Hybrid Instrument. The solvents used for peptide separation were 0.1% formic acid in water/0.005% TFA (solvent A) and 0.1% formic acid/0.005% TFA and 80% acetonitrile in water (solvent B). Peptide digest (2 μl) was injected with a Proxeon nLC Capillary Pump (Thermo Fisher Scientific) set to 0.3 μl/min. A linear gradient from 0% to 40% of solvent B in solvent A in 95 minutes was delivered with the nano pump at a flow rate of 0.3 μl/min. After 95 minutes, the percentage of solvent B was increased to 75% in 10 minutes. The eluting peptides were ionized at 2.5 kV. The mass spectrometer was operated in data-dependent mode. The precursor scan was done in the Orbitrap, set to 60,000 resolution, while the fragment ions were mass analyzed in the LTQ Orbitrap Instrument. A top-10 method was run so that the 10 most intense precursors were selected for fragmentation. Each biological replicate was measured in 3 technical replicates.

The LC-MS/MS data were searched with Proteome Discoverer 1.4 (Thermo Fisher Scientific), set to Mascot and Sequest HT against a mouse UniProtKB databank (67). The precursor tolerance was set to 10 ppm, while the fragment ion tolerance was set to 0.5 Da. The following variable modifications were used during the search: carboxymethyl-cystein, oxidized methionine, and N-terminal protein acetylation. The peptide search matches were set at “high confidence” (1% FDR).

All LC-MS/MS runs were aligned with Progenesis software (Waters). For identification of the aligned features, the corresponding Proteome Discoverer 1.4 results files were imported into the aligned Progenesis data file. The data aligned in Progenesis were exported as .txt files to the R-based Perseus program (68). Volcano plots and ANOVA 2-sample t tests were performed with a FDR of 5%. Proteins were considered regulated when the ANOVA 2-sample t test was below 0.05 in each technical replicate and the Student’s t test P value was below 0.05 in at least 2 of 3 biological replicates. GO process analysis was performed according to the framework provided by the Gene Ontology Consortium (69, 70).

Statistics. Immunoblots for p-AKT2 (Ser474) and AKT2 on human oWAT were quantified in Fiji (65). Samples for which we failed to detect AKT2 were excluded from further analysis. Sample size was chosen according to our previous studies and published reports in which similar experimental procedures were described. The investigators were not blinded to the treatment groups. All data are shown as the mean ± SEM. Sample numbers are indicated in each figure legend. For mouse experiments, n represents the number of animals, and for cell culture experiments, N indicates the number of independent experiments. To determine the statistical significance between 2 groups, an unpaired 2-tailed Student’s t test was performed. For more than 3 groups, 1-way ANOVA was performed. For human samples, statistical outliers were excluded in Figure 6 according to the robust regression and outlier removal test (ROUT) (Q = 1%) but are included in Supplemental Figure 9. In all cases, a Mann-Whitney U test was performed to determine statistical significance. All statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 7 (GraphPad Software). Cluster analysis was performed using ClustVis (71). A P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Study approval. All animal experiments were performed in accordance with federal guidelines for animal experimentation and approved by the Kantonales Veterinäramt of the Kanton Basel-Stadt (Basel, Switzerland). For human biopsies, the study protocol was approved by the Ethikkommission Nordwest-und Zentralschweiz (EKNZ) (Basel, Switzerland). All patients provided informed consent to provide adipose tissue samples.
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