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Combination checkpoint blockade (CCB) targeting inhibitory CTLA4 and PD1 receptors holds promise for cancer therapy. Immune-related adverse events (IRAEs) remain a major obstacle for the optimal application of CCB in cancer. Here, we analyzed B cell changes in patients with melanoma following treatment with either anti-CTLA4 or anti-PD1, or in combination. CCB therapy led to changes in circulating B cells that were detectable after the first cycle of therapy and characterized by a decline in circulating B cells and an increase in CD21lo B cells and plasmablasts. PD1 expression was higher in the CD21lo B cells, and B cell receptor sequencing of these cells demonstrated greater clonality and a higher frequency of clones compared with CD21hi cells. CCB induced proliferation in the CD21lo compartment, and single-cell RNA sequencing identified B cell activation in cells with genomic profiles of CD21lo B cells in vivo. Increased clonality of circulating B cells following CCB occurred in some patients. Treatment-induced changes in B cells preceded and correlated with both the frequency and timing of IRAEs. Patients with early B cell changes experienced higher rates of grade 3 or higher IRAEs 6 months after CCB. Thus, early changes in B cells following CCB may identify patients who are at increased risk of IRAEs, and preemptive strategies targeting B cells may reduce toxicities in these patients.

Introduction
Combination checkpoint blockade (CCB) therapy with anti-CTLA4 and anti-PD1 for the treatment of patients with melanoma leads to higher response rates and progression-free survival compared with treatment with either drug alone (1, 2). Unfortunately, CCB therapy is associated with a significant increase in immune-related adverse events (IRAEs) including treatment-related grade 3 or higher IRAEs in over half the patients, leading to discontinuation of therapy in approximately 40% of patients (2, 3). Development of IRAEs is therefore a major obstacle to the optimal application and evaluation of CCB therapy (3).

CTLA4 and PD1 have been implicated in the regulation of both B and T cell tolerance (4). Humans with heterozygous CTLA4 germline mutations develop B cell alterations and have an increased risk of autoimmunity (5). In prior studies, we showed that administration of anti-PD1 and anti-CTLA4 leads to distinct genomic signatures in T cells and myeloid cells compared with treatment using either drug alone (6), suggesting that combination therapy may be viewed as a unique treatment rather than as a combination of the 2 monotherapies. While some of the IRAEs are thought to be T cell mediated, B cells have also been implicated (7–10). However, most studies monitoring immune responses following checkpoint blockade have focused on T cells, and systematic analyses of early changes in B cells and their correlation with autoimmunity are lacking. From a practical perspective, it would be highly desirable for patients at increased risk of developing autoimmunity to be identified before clinical toxicity and for their risk of autoimmunity to be reduced by preemptive intervention, without affecting clinical efficacy.

Results and Discussion
We analyzed changes in circulating B cells before and after the first cycle of therapy in 39 patients with advanced melanoma receiving immune checkpoint blockade (23 received combination therapy, 8 received anti-CTLA4, and 8 received anti-PD1; the patients’ characteristics are provided in Supplemental Table 1; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI96798DS1). Patients treated with CCB experienced a significant decrease in the number of circulating B cells (mean fold change, 0.7; P ≤ 0.0001) (Figure 1A), which we did not observe in patients treated with either anti-CTLA4 (mean fold change, 0.9; P = 0.6) or anti-PD1 (mean fold change, 1.1; P = 0.13) monotherapy. We also observed this difference when comparing absolute B cell counts before and after combination therapy (P = 0.01; Supplemental Figure I). Analysis of naive versus memory B cell subsets revealed no significant changes in any cohort (Supplemental Figure 2A). However, we observed a modest increase in the proportion of the class-switched memory cell subset after therapy.
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dominantly naive B cells (Supplemental Figure 4). CD21<sup>lo</sup> B cells showed a modest increase in memory B cell numbers following CCB therapy, whereas no changes were seen in CD21<sup>hi</sup> B cell numbers (Supplemental Figure 4). B cells in the CD21<sup>lo</sup> subset also expressed higher levels of CD95 and lower levels of CD40 and lacked expression of the marrow- and lymphoid tissue–homing receptors CXCR4 and CXCR5 (Figure 2A). B cell receptor sequencing on flow-sorted CD21<sup>hi</sup> and CD21<sup>lo</sup> B cells revealed that CD21<sup>lo</sup> B cells had greater clonality (as measured by the 1/normalized Shannon index), higher maximal clone frequency, and a higher frequency of somatic hypermutations (SHMs) (Figure 2, B–D). Taken together, these data show that CD21<sup>lo</sup> B cells are a distinct B cell subset in melanoma patients and are more abundant following CCB in vivo.

Checkpoint blockade may impact B cell function by directly acting on B cells expressing the specific checkpoint or indirectly via effects on T cells or myeloid cells. Analysis of circulating B cells revealed that expression of PD1 was largely restricted to the CD21<sup>lo</sup> subset (Figure 2E). CD21<sup>lo</sup> B cells are typically thought to be anergic or exhausted B cell subset. However, following Ki67 staining, analysis of the proliferating B cell compartment revealed that the proliferating cells were restricted to the CD21<sup>lo</sup> IgD<sup>−</sup>CD27<sup>−</sup> in the combination therapy cohort ($P = 0.0005$; Supplemental Figure 2B). Further analysis revealed an increase in the CD21<sup>lo</sup> B cell subset in patients treated with CCB (fold change, 1.6; $P = 0.01$) and with anti-CTLA4 alone (fold change, 1.8; $P = 0.02$), but not in the cohort treated with anti-PD1 alone (Figure 1B). CCB also led to a greater increase in plasmablasts compared with that seen in the monotherapy-treated cohorts (fold change, 2.9; $P < 0.0001$; Figure 1C). Plasma levels of CXCL13 were recently described as a marker of germinal center activation in humans (11). Given that the changes in B cells suggested germinal center activation, we examined CXCL13 levels in the plasma of patients before and after therapy. Combination therapy led to a greater increase in plasma CXCL13 levels compared with levels detected in the monotherapy cohorts ($P < 0.0001$; Supplemental Figure 3). Thus, CCB therapy leads to distinct changes characterized by a decline in circulating B cells and an increase in CD21<sup>lo</sup> B cell subsets and plasmablasts.

CD21<sup>lo</sup> B cells are a distinct B cell subset, however, their phenotype and functional properties differ in different settings (12, 13). Therefore, we evaluated these cells in detail in patients with melanoma. We found that equal numbers of naive and memory B cells were present at baseline in the CD21<sup>lo</sup> compartment compared with the CD21<sup>hi</sup> B cell subset, which contained pre-dominantly naive B cells (Supplemental Figure 4). CD21<sup>lo</sup> B cells showed a modest increase in memory B cell numbers following CCB therapy, whereas no changes were seen in CD21<sup>lo</sup> B cell numbers (Supplemental Figure 4). B cells in the CD21<sup>lo</sup> subset also expressed higher levels of CD95 and lower levels of CD40 and lacked expression of the marrow- and lymphoid tissue–homing receptors CXCR4 and CXCR5 (Figure 2A). B cell receptor sequencing on flow-sorted CD21<sup>lo</sup> and CD21<sup>hi</sup> B cells revealed that CD21<sup>lo</sup> B cells had greater clonality (as measured by the 1/normalized Shannon index), higher maximal clone frequency, and a higher frequency of somatic hypermutations (SHMs) (Figure 2, B–D). Taken together, these data show that CD21<sup>lo</sup> B cells are a distinct B cell subset in melanoma patients and are more abundant following CCB in vivo.

Checkpoint blockade may impact B cell function by directly acting on B cells expressing the specific checkpoint or indirectly via effects on T cells or myeloid cells. Analysis of circulating B cells revealed that expression of PD1 was largely restricted to the CD21<sup>hi</sup> subset (Figure 2E). CD21<sup>lo</sup> B cells are typically thought to be anergic or exhausted B cell subset. However, following Ki67 staining, analysis of the proliferating B cell compartment revealed that the proliferating cells were restricted to the CD21<sup>lo</sup> IgD<sup>−</sup>CD27<sup>−</sup>...
we observed no significant changes in the maximal clone frequency before or after therapy (Supplemental Figure 5A). There were also no significant changes in VH family gene usage in samples before or after therapy, irrespective of changes in B cell clonality (Supplemental Figure 5B). An increase in BCR clonality did not correlate with the development of autoimmunity, but analysis of bulk B cells may have washed out the effects on specific subpopulations such as CD21lo B cells. Taken together, these data indicate that increased clonality of circulating B cells in response to checkpoint blockade occurs in some patients, but is not due to major expansion of a single clone.

Overall, grade 3 or higher IRAEs developed in 10 of 23 patients following combination therapy, in 1 of 8 patients following anti-CTLA4 therapy, and in 1 of 8 patients following anti-PD1 therapy. The finding that CCB leads to higher rates of IRAEs as well as significant and distinct changes in B cells, including a decline in circu-
lating B cells as well as an increase in CD21lo B cells and plasmablasts, led us to evaluate the correlation between these changes and the development of autoimmunity. Interestingly, the severity of an early decline in B cell numbers after therapy directly correlated with the time to onset of toxicity (Figure 3B; \( P = 0.007 \)) as well as the grade of maximal toxicity (Figure 3C). The ability to identify patients at increased risk for developing autoimmunity is critical for the application of CCB. We developed a parameter for B cell changes following combination therapy by integrating the decline in B cell numbers (fold change after therapy, ≤70% of baseline) with a 2-fold or greater increase in either CD21lo B cells or plasmablasts. B cell changes preceded the clinical development of grade 3 or higher IRAEs by a median of 3 weeks (range, 0–10 weeks). Patients with treatment-induced B cell changes were more likely to develop multorgan IRAEs than those without such changes (Figure 3D). Overall, the cohort of patients with B cell changes had a greater risk of IRAEs, with rates of freedom from grade 3 or higher IRAEs at 6 months of 0% versus 87% (\( P < 0.001 \), Fisher’s exact test; Figure 3E).

To our knowledge, these data provide the first detailed analysis of early changes in B cells following CCB therapy. IRAEs have emerged as a major challenge to the optimal application of this effective immunotherapy. While the changes in T cells following CCB therapy are well documented, we show that combination therapy also leads to distinct early changes in B cells, including a...
decline in circulating B cells, with an increase in the CD21<sup>lo</sup> B cell subset and plasmablasts.

Our studies identify CD21<sup>lo</sup> B cells as a distinct target of combination therapy. While these changes may be due to direct effects or indirect effects on other cell types, our findings are consistent with prior studies in humans with germline CTLA4 deficiency. Like our findings, these studies noted an overall decline in circulating B cells and a specific increase in circulating CD21<sup>lo</sup> B cells (5). The finding that this subset of circulating B cells is specifically enriched for PD1 expression suggests that it may be essential to inhibit PD1 on these cells to fully unleash autoimmune manifestations. The mechanisms underlying the decline in circulating B cells following combination therapy is not known but may relate to enhanced tissue egress or plasma cell differentiation of CD21<sup>lo</sup> cells, as previously proposed to explain the low circulating B cell numbers in CTLA4-deficient humans (5).

The memory phenotype of CD21<sup>lo</sup> B cells in melanoma seems to differ from the naive phenotype of these cells in lupus (14). CD21<sup>lo</sup> B cells were recently described as new germinal center emigrants primed for plasma cell differentiation (15) and showed an overlap with tissue-homing, innate-like B cells (16). These properties position CD21<sup>lo</sup> B cells as being capable of rapid activation following checkpoint blockade and causing tissue injury. While CD21<sup>lo</sup> B cells are thought to include many unresponsive or anergic clones (12), our data show that this is the precise subset of cells that undergoes proliferation in vivo following combination therapy.

The finding that early changes in B cell subsets is a strong predictor of IRAEs suggests that B cells may be important contributors to autoimmunity following combination therapy. Earlier studies have indeed demonstrated the presence of plasmablasts and B cells in nonlymphoid tissue in the setting of CTLA4-deficient humans as well as in IRAEs following checkpoint blockade (5, 17). One limitation of our study is the small sample size, and further studies involving additional cohorts treated with combination therapy are needed.

Our data have several implications for the clinical optimization of immune checkpoint blockade. Major B cell contribution to IRAEs may provide the opportunity to separate mechanisms of autoimmunity from the well-established role of T cells in mediating tumor regression. B cell changes did not correlate with clinical response (partial response and complete response) to CCB in this cohort (Supplemental Figure 9). The strong correlation between early changes in B cells with the risk of subsequent IRAEs supports the need to monitor B cells as a simple tool to identify patients at risk for autoimmune toxicity. These findings provide the basis for the exploration of B cell-targeted therapies as a preemptive approach for at-risk individuals to improve their tolerance to CCB. Autoimmune complications of clinical checkpoint blockade therapies have proven difficult to replicate in preclinical models, and the underlying mechanisms may differ from mice to humans. Controlled clinical studies targeting B cells in patients undergoing CCB are needed to gain mechanistic insights into the contribution of B cells to CCB-induced IRAEs as well as antitumor effects.

**Methods**

Detailed methods are described in the Supplemental Methods. **Patients.** Peripheral blood samples were obtained from patients before and after the first cycle of checkpoint therapy. The analysis of samples is detailed in the Supplemental Methods. Gene expression data were deposited in the NCBI’s Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (GEO GSE104600).

**Statistics.** Statistical significance was determined using a 2-tailed, nonparametric test for paired (Wilcoxon signed-rank test) or unpaired (Mann-Whitney U test) samples. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered significant. The time to toxicity was compared between the cohort with B cells changes and the cohort without B cell changes using the log-rank test, and the risk for IRAEs was determined using Fisher’s exact test. Multiple comparisons correction was applied using the Benferonni method. All data represent the mean ± SEM.

**Study approval.** The study was approved by the IRB of Yale University. All subjects provided informed consent prior to their participation in the study.
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