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Introduction

Combination checkpoint blockade (CCB) therapy with anti-CTLA4 and anti-PD1 for the treatment of patients with melanoma leads to higher response rates and progression-free survival compared with treatment with either drug alone (1, 2). Unfortunately, CCB therapy is associated with a significant increase in immune-related adverse events (IRAEs) including treatment-related grade 3 or higher IRAEs in over half the patients (2, 3). Development of IRAEs is therefore a major obstacle to the optimal application and evaluation of CCB therapy (3).

CTLA4 and PD1 have been implicated in the regulation of both B and T cell tolerance (4). Humans with heterozygous CTLA4 germline mutations develop B cell alterations and have an increased risk of autoimmunity (5). In prior studies, we showed that administration of anti-PD1 and anti-CTLA4 leads to distinct genomic signatures in T cells and myeloid cells compared with treatment using either drug alone (6), suggesting that combination therapy may be viewed as a unique treatment rather than as a combination of the 2 monotherapies. While some of the IRAEs are thought to be T cell mediated, B cells have also been implicated (7–10). However, most studies monitoring immune responses following checkpoint blockade have focused on T cells, and systematic analyses of early changes in B cells and their correlation with autoimmunity are lacking. From a practical perspective, it would be highly desirable for patients at increased risk of developing autoimmunity to be identified before clinical toxicity and for their risk of autoimmunity to be reduced by preemptive intervention, without affecting clinical efficacy.

Results and Discussion

We analyzed changes in circulating B cells before and after the first cycle of therapy in 39 patients with advanced melanoma receiving immune checkpoint blockade (23 received combination therapy, 8 received anti-CTLA4, and 8 received anti-PD1; the patients’ characteristics are provided in Supplemental Table 1; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI96798DS1). Patients treated with CCB experienced a significant decrease in the number of circulating B cells (mean fold change, 0.7; P ≤ 0.0001) (Figure 1A), which we did not observe in patients treated with either anti-CTLA4 (mean fold change, 0.9; P = 0.6) or anti-PD1 (mean fold change, 1.1; P = 0.13) monotherapy. We also observed this difference when comparing absolute B cell counts before and after combination therapy (P = 0.01; Supplemental Figure 1). Analysis of naive versus memory B cell subsets revealed no significant changes in any cohort (Supplemental Figure 2A). However, we observed a modest increase in the proportion of the class-switched memory cell subset after therapy.
dominantly naive B cells (Supplemental Figure 4). CD21lo B cells showed a modest increase in memory B cell numbers following CCB therapy, whereas no changes were seen in CD21hi B cell numbers (Supplemental Figure 4). B cells in the CD21lo subset also expressed higher levels of CD95 and lower levels of CD40 and lacked expression of the marrow- and lymphoid tissue-homing receptors CXCR4 and CXCR5 (Figure 2A). B cell receptor sequencing on flow-sorted CD21hi and CD21lo B cells revealed that CD21lo B cells had greater clonality (as measured by the 1/normalized Shannon index), higher maximal clone frequency, and a higher frequency of somatic hypermutations (SHMs) (Figure 2, B–D). Taken together, these data show that CD21lo B cells are a distinct B cell subset in melanoma patients and are more abundant following CCB in vivo.

Checkpoint blockade may impact B cell function by directly acting on B cells expressing the specific checkpoint or indirectly via effects on T cells or myeloid cells. Analysis of circulating B cells revealed that expression of PD1 was largely restricted to the CD21lo subset (Figure 2E). CD21lo B cells are typically thought to be anergic or exhausted B cell subset. However, following Ki67 staining, analysis of the proliferating B cell compartment revealed that the proliferating cells were restricted to the CD21lo IgD-CD27-
subset (Figure 2F). Since human CD21<sup>+</sup> B cells have a distinct gene expression profile (12), we performed single-cell RNA sequencing on sort-purified CD19<sup>+</sup> B cells from a patient before and after CCB and confirmed a post-therapy increase in B cells with a CD21<sup>+</sup> B cell genomic profile (Figure 2G). Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) revealed a post-therapy increase in B cell activation as well as IFN-γ signaling in the CD21<sup>+</sup> B cells (Figure 2G). Together, these results identify CD21<sup>+</sup> memory B cells as a specific target of CCB.

We sequenced the B cell receptor of bulk B cells (anti-PD1, n = 2; anti-CTLA4, n = 5; combination therapy, n = 10) in order to gain further insights into checkpoint-related changes. Paired B cell receptor sequencing (BCR sequencing) analyses of bulk B cells before and after the first cycle of therapy revealed increased (>1.5-fold) B cell clonality in 2 of 10 patients given combination therapy, in 2 of 5 patients given anti-CTLA4 monotherapy, and in 1 of 2 patients given anti-PD1 monotherapy (Figure 3A). However, we observed no significant changes in the maximal clone frequency before or after therapy (Supplemental Figure 5A). There were also no significant changes in VH family gene usage in samples before or after therapy, irrespective of changes in B cell clonality (Supplemental Figure 5B). An increase in BCR clonality did not correlate with the development of autoimmunity, but analysis of bulk B cells may have washed out the effects on specific subpopulations such as CD21<sup>+</sup> B cells. Taken together, these data indicate that increased clonality of circulating B cells in response to checkpoint blockade occurs in some patients, but is not due to major expansion of a single clone.

Overall, grade 3 or higher IRAEs developed in 10 of 23 patients following combination therapy, in 1 of 8 patients following anti-CTLA4 therapy, and in 1 of 8 patients following anti-PD1 therapy. The finding that CCB leads to higher rates of IRAEs as well as significant and distinct changes in B cells, including a decline in circu-
at 6 months of 0% versus 87% (P < 0.001, Fisher’s exact test; Figure 3E). Given the limitations of our sample size, we used bootstrap analyses to test the statistical robustness of our findings. Analysis of 100 bootstrap data sets revealed that the findings in the discovery data set could be replicated in all bootstrap data sets (Supplemental Figure 6). Changes in circulating T, NK, and myeloid cell numbers after therapy did not correlate with a risk of IRAEs (Supplemental Figure 7). Consistent with previous studies, CCB led to an increase in Ki67+ proliferating CD4+ and CD8+ T cells. However, the proportion of Ki67+ T cells did not correlate with the development of IRAEs (Supplemental Figures 7 and 8).

To our knowledge, these data provide the first detailed analysis of early changes in B cells following CCB therapy. IRAEs have emerged as a major challenge to the optimal application of this effective immunotherapy. While the changes in T cells following CCB therapy are well documented (6), we show that combination therapy also leads to distinct early changes in B cells, including a
decline in circulating B cells, with an increase in the CD21\textsuperscript{lo} B cell subset and plasmablasts.

Our studies identify CD21\textsuperscript{lo} B cells as a distinct target of combination therapy. While these changes may be due to direct effects or indirect effects on other cell types, our findings are consistent with prior studies in humans with germline CTLA4 deficiency. Like our findings, these studies noted an overall decline in circulating B cells and a specific increase in circulating CD21\textsuperscript{lo} B cells (5). The finding that this subset of circulating B cells is specifically enriched for PD1 expression suggests that it may be essential to inhibit PD1 on these cells to fully unleash autoimmune manifestations. The mechanisms underlying the decline in circulating B cells following combination therapy is not known but may relate to enhanced tissue egress or plasma cell differentiation of CD21\textsuperscript{lo} cells, as previously proposed to explain the low circulating B cell numbers in CTLA4-deficient humans (5).

The memory phenotype of CD21\textsuperscript{lo} B cells in melanoma seems to differ from the naïve phenotype of these cells in lupus (14). CD21\textsuperscript{lo} B cells were recently described as new germinal center emigrants primed for plasma cell differentiation (15) and showed an overlap with tissue-homing, innate-like B cells (16). These properties position CD21\textsuperscript{lo} B cells as being capable of rapid activation following checkpoint blockade and causing tissue injury. While CD21\textsuperscript{lo} B cells are thought to include many unresponsive or anergic clones (12), our data show that this is the precise subset of cells that undergoes proliferation in vivo following combination therapy.

The finding that early changes in B cell subsets is a strong predictor of IRAEs suggests that B cells may be important contributors to autoimmunity following combination therapy. Earlier studies have indeed demonstrated the presence of plasmablasts and B cells in nonlymphoid tissue in the setting of CTLA4-deficient humans as well as in IRAEs following checkpoint blockade (5, 17). One limitation of our study is the small sample size, and further studies involving additional cohorts treated with combination therapy are needed.

Our data have several implications for the clinical optimization of immune checkpoint blockade. Major B cell contribution to IRAEs may provide the opportunity to separate mechanisms of autoimmunity from the well-established role of T cells in mediating tumor regression. B cell changes did not correlate with clinical response (partial response and complete response) to CCB in this cohort (Supplemental Figure 9). The strong correlation between early changes in B cells with the risk of subsequent IRAEs supports the need to monitor B cells as a simple tool to identify patients at risk for autoimmune toxicity. These findings provide the basis for the exploration of B cell–targeted therapies as a preemptive approach for at-risk individuals to improve their tolerance to CCB.

Autoimmune complications of clinical checkpoint blockade therapies have proven difficult to replicate in preclinical models, and the underlying mechanisms may differ from mice to humans. Controlled clinical studies targeting B cells in patients undergoing CCB are needed to gain mechanistic insights into the contribution of B cells to CCB-induced IRAEs as well as antitumor effects.

**Methods**

Detailed methods are described in the Supplemental Methods. **Patients.** Peripheral blood samples were obtained from patients before and after the first cycle of checkpoint therapy. The analysis of samples is detailed in the Supplemental Methods. Gene expression data were deposited in the NCBI’s Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (GEO GSE104600).

**Statistics.** Statistical significance was determined using a 2-tailed, nonparametric test for paired (Wilcoxon signed-rank test) or unpaired (Mann-Whitney U test) samples. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered significant. The time to toxicity was compared between the cohort with B cell changes and the cohort without B cell changes using the log-rank test, and the risk for IRAEs was determined using Fisher’s exact test. Multiple comparisons correction was applied using the Bonferroni method. All data represent the mean ± SEM.

**Study approval.** The study was approved by the IRB of Yale University. All subjects provided informed consent prior to their participation in the study.
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