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Introduction

Asthma is a chronic inflammatory disease of the bronchial tree that is defined by airway wall remodeling, including damage to the airway epithelium, eosinophil infiltration, metaplasia of mucous-producing goblet cells (1, 2), and angiogenesis (3–5).

Pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), which recognize structures conserved among infectious agents, also participate in recognition of inhaled allergens and the genesis of atopic asthma (6, 7). PRRs are expressed on professional innate immune cells from the myeloid lineage, including macrophages and dendritic cells, and on nonimmune cells such as epithelial cells, endothelial cells, and fibroblasts. Activation of PRRs on airway epithelial cells and dendritic cells induces signaling cascades, which results in secretion of Th2 cytokines that lead to IgE production, airway hyperresponsiveness, and goblet cell metaplasia (6, 7). House dust mite (HDM) allergen protease induces signal transduction by binding to a specific group of G protein–coupled PRRs—the protease-activated receptors (PARs) (8–10). PAR-2 is expressed by airway epithelial cells, endothelial and vascular smooth muscle cells, and immune cells in the lungs (8–14). PAR-2 has been implicated in mediating the Th2 response to mucosally administrated allergens (15, 16), but it is also important in the angiogenic response (6, 17, 18). In this context, angiogenic remodeling is a central pathologic process in asthmatic airways (3, 4, 19–22).

The number of blood vessels in the bronchial wall is highly correlated to severity of asthma (23–25). Accumulating evidence suggests that angiogenesis may be an early step in the genesis of asthma (21, 26–31). In the OVA murine model of allergic airway inflammation, mobilization of proangiogenic hematopoietic progenitor cells (PACs) and angiogenesis occurs as an early response to allergen (19, 20, 22, 27, 28). Similar mobilization of PACs are seen in patients with asthma after inhalation of allergen (22, 27).

Angiogenic capacity is critically dependent on the VEGFR tyrosine kinase receptors, which have 3 family members: VEGFR1, 2, and 3. Unlike VEGFR1 and 2, VEGFR3 and its ligand VEGF-C are important in new vessel formation (32, 33). VEGFR3 is expressed by all endothelia during development but is confined to the lymphatic endothelium after birth (34). However, VEGFR3 is expressed in sprouting new blood vessels in tumors (35–39). Its ligand VEGF-C, derived from proangiogenic macrophages, can induce new vessel branching by stimulating endothelial cell migration and proliferation (40–43). Genetic or pharmacological targeting of VEGFR3 blocks vessel sprouting, reduces vascular density and branching, and decreases endothelial cell proliferation (33).

Based on the importance of angiogenic responses in innate immunity, we hypothesized that inhalational allergens activate PAR-2 on mucosal endothelial cells and PACs, and form an early innate response that promotes the Th2 inflammatory environment of asthma. To test this, we evaluated penetration of HDM extract (HDME) allergens into the airway mucosa, new vessel formation, and release of Th2-promoting cytokines by endothelial cells and PACs in response to HDME. Effects of angiogenesis inhibition on inflammation were studied using molecular and pharmacological approaches in murine models of asthma. Proangiogenic cells conditioned with HDME to activate PAR-2 were adoptively transferred to also evaluate effects on angiogenesis and Th2 inflammation.

Results

Disruption of the airway epithelial barrier and penetration of allergen into the mucosa following HDME instillation to the airways. Ex vivo experiments with epithelial cell cultures showed that HDM aller-
gen proteases break down the barrier function of airways by cleaving the airway epithelial tight junctions (44, 45). We tested whether the impairment of the airway barrier function by HDME in vivo permits penetration of allergens deep into the airway mucosa. HDME was instilled into the airways of naive mice once daily for 4 days. Disrupted tight junctions were visualized using HRP, which was instilled into the airways of living mice 24 hours after the final HDME instillation. The electron-dense DAB-positive staining of HRP was seen within the mucosa beneath the epithelium (Figure 1, A and B). Animals treated with saline instead of HDME did not show mucosal DAB staining (Figure 1C). Disruption was also confirmed by intranasal delivery of low-molecular-weight dextran to mice at 19 hours after the last HDME instillation. Dextran was found in the blood circulation at levels 5 times higher in HDME mice compared with naive mice (70 μg dextran/ml serum ± 8 μg dextran/ml serum in mice receiving HDME plus dextran; 6 μg dextran/ml serum ± 0.1 μg dextran/ml serum in mice receiving HDME plus saline; 12 μg dextran/ml serum ± 6 μg dextran/ml serum in naive mice receiving dextran; P < 0.0001 by ANOVA). There was no significant difference in blood dextran concentration between nasal and pharyngeal HDME administration, indicating that disruption of the nasal epithelial barrier did not significantly contribute to the higher levels in the circulation (nasal: 68 μg dextran/ml serum ± 10 μg dextran/ml serum in mice receiving HDME plus dextran; pharyngeal: 80 μg dextran/ml serum ± 11 μg dextran/ml serum in mice receiving HDME plus dextran; P < 0.32 by Student’s t test). HDM allergen Der p 1 quantification by ELISA in plasma obtained from the left atrium demonstrated the presence of the allergen in the lung circulation (164 ng/ml plasma ± 0.65 ng/ml plasma in mice receiving HDME; 0 ng/ml plasma ± 0 ng/ml plasma in mice receiving saline; P < 0.0001 by Student’s t test). These data are consistent with a prior report in which epithelial cell permeability of cell cultures exposed to HDM protease was measured using mannitol isotope and disrupted tight junctions visualized using fluorescence microscopy (45). Based on these data, endothelial activation was evaluated in response to allergen.

Figure 1. Disruption of lung endothelial barrier by HDME. Naive mice were intranasally challenged with HDME for 4 days followed by a single intranasal dose of HRP (A and B). Animals were euthanized after 24 hours and lungs were harvested and stained with DAB for transmission electron microscopy (TEM). (A) DAB deposits (white arrows, dark dots) were found on the surface of airway epithelium in mice receiving both HDME and HRP. (B) DAB deposits (white arrows) were also observed in the intercellular space of cells forming blood vessel capillaries in the airway mucosa. The presence of Weibel Palade bodies (inset) in these cells confirmed that the cells were endothelial cells. (C) DAB staining was not observed in animals treated with saline.

Figure 2. Expression of PAR-2 by lung endothelial cells and production of Th2-promoting cytokines. (A) Lungs were harvested from naive mice and digested into a single-cell suspension for flow cytometric analysis. Gating strategy for endothelial cells is shown. Endothelial cells were further subgrouped into lymphatic endothelial cells and blood vessel endothelial cells and analyzed for PAR-2 expression. Gray histograms represent samples stained for the full panel without PAR-2 primary antibody. (B and C) Lung endothelial cells isolated from naive mice were incubated with or without HDME for 5 days, and GM-CSF and IL-1α were analyzed in the supernatant. Mean ± SE values of 5 mice are shown. Two-tailed Student’s t test was used.
PAR-2 activation of endothelial cells and PACs by HDME lead to Th2 cytokines and angiogenesis. First, expression of PAR-2 was evaluated on lung endothelial cells. Lungs from naive mice were harvested, enzymatically digested into single-cell suspension, and analyzed for number of endothelial cells by flow cytometry (19). Blood vessel and lymphatic vessel endothelial cells were discriminated based on the expression of CD90 (46). Blood and lymphatic vessel endothelial cells expressed PAR-2 (Figure 2A). Next, the lung endothelial cells were isolated (19, 20) and exposed to HDME ex vivo. Lung endothelial cells produced and secreted the Th2-promoting cytokines GM-CSF and IL-1α at baseline, and production was markedly increased after HDME exposure (Figure 2, B and C). VEGF-C secretion by lung endothelial cells was below the detection limit (<5 pg/ml) (data not shown). To assess whether PACs expressed PAR-2, PACs were isolated from the bone marrow as previously described (19), and identification was confirmed by staining for nuclear expression of the transcription factor Krüppel-like factor 10 (KLF10) (47, 48) (Figure 3A). In the bone marrow, KLF10 is specifically expressed by myeloid progenitors differentiating into PACs (48). The cells were also positive for the traditional PAC markers c-Kit, SCA-1, and VEGFR2, in addition to CD45 (49) (Figure 3A). Naïve PACs expressed PAR-2 (Figure 2B). HDME exposure of PACs caused cell proliferation as indicated by higher cell numbers (Figure 3C) and increased staining for proliferation marker Ki-67 (3.8% ± 0.04% in saline-exposed PACs; 5.5% ± 0.6% in HDME-exposed PACs; P = 0.048 by Student’s t test). Secretion of angiogenic factor VEGF-C was also increased (21 pg/ml ± 3 pg/ml in saline-exposed PACs; 34 pg/ml ± 3 pg/ml in HDME-exposed PACs; P < 0.03) (Figure 3D). PACs expressed IL-1α and GM-CSF, and the secretion was not increased by HDME exposure (Figure 3, E and F). HDME exposure also resulted in mobilization of PAR-2-expressing PACs in vivo (PAR-2 VEGFR2 SCA-1′ c-Kit′; 98 cells/μl blood ± 5.3 cells/μl blood in HDME-exposed mice; 44 cells/μl blood ± 6.5 cells/μl blood in saline-exposed mice; P < 0.002 by Student’s t test). To determine if an allergen induced a proangiogenic endothelial phenotype, tube formation was measured using lung endothelial cells seeded on an angiogenesis gel. In angiogenesis assays using the gel matrix, cells expressed VEGFR3 (Figure 3G) and made tubes. Angiogenic capacity, as measured by tube length, total endothelial tube area, and number of nodes, was the highest in endothelial cells exposed to VEGF-C (Figure 3H). Exposure of the endothelial cells to HDME, which activates PAR-2, induced some sprouting as indicated by increased endothelial vessel area, consistent with the literature (17, 18). However, HDME induced organization of the cells to form continued skeletal-length tubes, and tube branching (number of nodes) was less compared with VEGF-C (skeletal length: 58 mm ± 0.3 mm HDME, 64 mm ± 1.5 mm VEGF-C, P < 0.02 by Student’s t test; number of nodes: 220 ± 5 HDME, 253 ± 12 VEGF-C, P < 0.01 by Student’s t test). Overall, these experiments show that HDME can activate Th2-promoting angiogenic microenvironment by directly activating PACs and endothelial cells.

Blocking angiogenesis by pharmacological inhibition of VEGFR3 kinase attenuates airway inflammation and remodeling. VEGFR3 is a newly identified target for angiogenesis in pathological conditions such as tumor growth and macular degeneration (33, 50). Based on the observation of VEGFR3 expression by lung endothelial cells after HDME exposure and secretion of its ligand VEGF-C by HDME-exposed PACs, we investigated whether blocking VEGFR3 might blunt angiogenesis and airway inflammation in the HDM murine asthma model, which is typified by all the characteristics of asthma, including angiogenesis (51-53). Deletion of the VEGFR3 gene is lethal, so VEGFR3 was inhibited by intraperitoneal VEGFR3 kinase inhibitor MAZ51 (33, 50, 54). Control groups included HDME-exposed animals treated with vehicle in place of MAZ51 and mice exposed to saline in place of HDME. Flow cytometric analysis of whole-lung, single-cell suspensions obtained from animals exposed to HDME showed that the expression of VEGFR3 on hematopoietic cells was rare (VEGFR3+ cells among CD45+ cells: 0.08% ± 0.02%). The vast majority of VEGFR3-expressing cells was located in the nonhematopoietic (CD45−) fraction (Figure 4A), and immunohistochemical analyses confirmed that VEGFR3 was expressed by blood vessel endothelial cells (Figure 4A). VEGFR3 expression on blood vessel endothelial cells in vivo was increased in HDME-exposed mice (Figure 4A). Flow cytometric analysis showed increased endothelial cell proliferation in HDME-exposed lungs (Ki-67 endothelial cells: 0.50% ± 0.05% in saline-exposed lungs; 2.0% ± 0.3% in HDME-exposed lungs; P < 0.01 by Student’s t test). HDME exposure increased angiogenesis but not lymphangiogenesis (Figure 4, B and C), in line with a previous report (46). The number of blood vessel endothelial cells expressing PAR-2 was significantly reduced in mice treated with MAZ51 (Figure 4D). The recruitment of PACs into the lungs was suppressed by blocking VEGFR3 (Figure 4E). The reduction of angiogenic responses was associated with decreased mucus cell metaplasia and airway inflammation (Figure 4F). The Th2 cytokines IL-4, IL-13, and IL-5 in the bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BAL) of animals treated with MAZ51 were also decreased, as was airway hyperreactivity (Figure 4G). The findings show that VEGFR3 contributes to HDME-induced angiogenesis and airway inflammation, remodeling, and hyperreactivity.

Bone marrow PAR-2 and KLF10 expression contributes to HDME-induced airway inflammation and remodeling. In the experiment described above, endothelial cells were blocked, and in this experi-
Intravenous adoptive transfer of HDME-exposed PACs is sufficient to induce pathological angiogenesis and airway inflammation, remodeling, and hyperreactivity. To test whether PACs are sufficient for HDME-induced asthma, ex vivo HDME-exposed PACs were adoptively transferred into mice. Mice were intranasally sensitized with HDME and the daily challenge with HDME 1 week after sensitization was replaced by daily intravenous adoptive transfer of PACs (Figure 6A). For this purpose, PACs were isolated from naive mice and exposed to HDME or saline ex vivo, followed by intravenous injection into mice that were previously intranasally sensitized with HDME. Recipients of HDME-exposed PACs exhibited increased angiogenesis compared with mice injected with saline-exposed PACs (Figure 6B). Lymphangiogenesis was similar between the groups (Figure 6B). Adoptive transfer of HDME-exposed PACs, but not naive PACs, increased the number of PAR-2+ and VEGFR3+ endothelial cells in the lungs (Figure 6C). Adoptive transfer of HDME-exposed bone marrow cells depleted of PACs (SCA-1− cells) did not cause an increase in PAR-2+ or VEGFR3+ expression in lung endothelial cells (PAR-2+ endothelial cells: 18.3% ± 1.1% in saline-exposed SCA-1− cells, 14.4% ± 1.1% in saline-exposed SCA-1− cells, P < 0.15; VEGFR3+ cells: 33.6% ± 2.3% in HDME-exposed SCA-1− cells, 33.7% ± 1.8% in saline-exposed SCA-1− cells, P = 0.98). The increase of innate receptors in recipients of HDME-exposed PACs was accompanied by increased mucus cell metaplasia and airway inflammation (Figure 6D). Analysis of BAL showed that adoptive transfer of PACs exposed to HDME but not saline increased the Th2 cytokines IL-4, IL-13, and IL-5 in the lungs. Moreover, animals receiving HDME-exposed PACs developed airway hyperreactivity (Figure 6E).

Overall, the findings clearly show that HDME-exposed PACs in animals sensitized to HDME is sufficient to induce airway inflammation, remodeling, and hyperreactivity, without allergen challenge.

Discussion

The endothelium has been likened to an inner epithelium, serving as a second line of physical boundary against external pathogens (57–59). Aberrant endothelium in asthma has been recognized for more than a century (3). Historical landmark studies of resected lungs and bronchial biopsies reported increased airway vascularity in asthmatic lungs (3, 4) as one of the most consistent characteristics of asthma remodeling, occurring in mildly, moderately, and severely asthmatic lungs (23–25, 60). In humans, where there is clear compartmentalization of bronchial and pulmonary circulations (31), asthma-associated angiogenesis is limited to the bronchial wall; in mice, which have a less-well-defined bronchial circulation, angiogenesis occurs in the whole lung with asthma models (26).

PAR-2 may serve as a common mechanism for the pathogenesis of inflammation and angiogenesis in asthma. The role of airway epithelial PAR-2 in asthma is well-established (6, 7). In the HDM model, PAR-2 is required for airway inflammation via induction of airway epithelial IL-1α and GM-CSF (8, 61, 62). Overexpression of PAR-2 in mice results in greater eosinophilic airway inflammation, IgE levels, and airway hyperreactivity in mice sensitized and challenged with OVA, a model of atopic asthma. In contrast, PAR-2 knockdown in mice reduces eosinophil infiltration, IgE levels, and airway hyperreactivity in the OVA model (63). In addition to its role in inflammatory responses, activation of PAR-2 promotes endothelial cell proliferation, and recruitment of myeloid proangiogenic cells leads to angiogenesis (6). For example, tumor angiogenesis, angiogenesis in wound healing, and hypoxia-induced angiogenesis are PAR-2 dependent, evidenced by diminished angiogenesis in PAR-2-deficient mice (17, 18). Likewise, in hind limb ischemia and retinal angiogenesis models, PAR-2 agonists induce endothelial cell proliferation and enhance the angiogenic response (55, 56).

Here, HDM protease allergens are shown to penetrate the airway mucosa and activate PAR-2 on endothelial cells and PACs, which triggers an innate Th2-promoting angiogenic response in asthma (Figure 7). HDM allergens breach epithelium and endo-
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thelium barriers, consistent with a human study reporting the presence of HDM allergens in amniotic fluid, maternal blood, and umbilical cord blood (64). PACs have established roles in asthma (19, 20, 22, 27, 28) and are derived from hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells predominantly committed to the myeloid lineage (49, 65). Historically, these cells have been classified as “endothelial progenitor cells.” However, recent evidence has identified their origin from hematopoietic stem cells (49). PACs express both hematopoietic progenitor and endothelial cell antigens and lack dendritic cell or innate type 2 lymphoid markers (22), and their angiogenic activity is mainly attributable to the release of potent paracrine angiogenic factors (49). The exact differentiation pathway of hematopoietic stem/progenitors to PACs is unclear, but several lines of evidence suggest KLF10 is involved in the activation of their angiogenic differentiation (47, 65). For example, mice deficient in KLF10 have severe impairment of hematopoietic stem cell differentiation to PACs and fail to recover from hind leg ischemia due to impaired vascularization capacity (47).

Disruption of the endothelial barrier in the airway mucosa likely also contributes to the thickening of the airway wall. Leaky blood vessels are a major cause of airway edema (66, 67). Allergen proteases may directly contribute to airway edema by locally modifying vascular permeability, allowing fluid and small molecules to move freely between plasma and interstitial fluid. VEGFR3 is important in tracheal lymphangiogenesis as shown in a mouse model of chronic inflammation caused by infection with mycoplasma pulmonis, a microorganism that can exaggerate asthma exacerbations (68). In this model, VEGF-C induced leaky lymphatic vessels but did not affect tracheal angiogenesis, suggesting that VEGFR3 expression may be restricted to specific areas of the airways. In another report, lymphangiogenesis was observed in a rat model of chronic (3 weeks) high-dose (100 μg/challenge) HDM exposure (69). In line with a previous report (46), we did not observe lymphangiogenesis in the standard mouse model of HDM-induced asthma. The findings from the mouse mycoplasma pulmonis and rat model suggest that lymphangiogenesis may occur in chronic allergic asthma or asthma exaggerated by an infectious insult. Another explanation is that there may be differences in lymphangiogenesis between mouse and rat models. VEGFR3 was not expressed by PACs (not shown) and VEGFR3 expression by immune cells in the lungs was rare, consistent with a previous report that bone marrow hematopoietic cells, including stem/progenitor cells, are negative for VEGFR3 (70). In the eye however, expression of VEGFR3 by retinal macrophages and corneal dendritic cells has been demonstrated.

![Figure 5. Pathological angiogenesis and airway inflammation blocked by KLF10-deficient or PAR-2-deficient bone marrow transplantation. (A) KLF10−/− or PAR-2−/− bone marrow transplantation in WT mice inhibited pathological angiogenesis in the HDM model. (B) Blood vessel density, but not lymphatic vessel density, was decreased in KLF10−/− or PAR-2−/− recipients. The number of vessels per 2,500 μm² area is shown. Scale bar, 400 μm. (C) The number of mucus-producing cells (black arrows), (eosinophilic) inflammation (brown arrow), and IgE levels were significantly lower in KLF10−/− or PAR-2−/− bone marrow chimera. Scale bar, 100 μm. Lowercase a indicates airway. Mean ± SE values of 4 mice in each group are shown. ANOVA test was used in all panels.](image-url)
(43, 71). Other studies have shown rapid release of PAC chemoattractants, such as CXCR2 and CXCR4 agonists, within 24 hours of lung allergen exposure and attenuated chemoattractant release and recruitment of PACs by blocking angiogenesis (27, 28). HDME exposure increased PAC proliferation and also the mobilization of PACs from the bone marrow. It is possible that these 2 pools of PACs may have different functions. Further studies are needed to assess their potential differences.

Our findings indicate that the lung vascular endothelium has an innate immune function just like the airway epithelium (6). The airway epithelium has a well-established role in sensing the environment via the expression of various PRRs and connecting the innate and adaptive immunities (6, 7). Allergic airway inflammation is triggered by exposure of the airway epithelial cell surface to allergens and is an important source for IL-1α and GM-CSF in the HDM model of asthma (52). IL-1α plays a critical role in HDM-induced asthma by activation of dendritic cells (52). Mice deficient for the IL-1 receptor failed to develop a Th2 response or asthma in the HDM model (52). IL-1α acts in an autocrine way in airway epithelial cells by inducing the release of dendritic cell-activating chemokines GM-CSF, IL-33 (52), and thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP). In airway epithelial cells, IL-1α controls airway inflammation via epithelial secretion of IL-33 and GM-CSF (52). GM-CSF in the lungs has long been associated with Th2 asthma in the HDME model (52). IL-1α deficient for the IL-1 receptor failed to develop a Th2 response or asthma in the HDME model (52). IL-1α plays a critical role in HDME-induced asthma by activation of dendritic cells (52).

In the present study suggest a similar mechanism of effect in the endothelium. The concept of an innate vascular response in asthma is supported by a prior report of endothelial activation, as evidenced by increased plasma nitric oxide levels within 4 hours after whole-lung allergen challenge in patients with asthma (22). The endothelium in many tissues expresses several members of the PRR family (78), suggesting that endothelial cells are endowed by nature to sense pathogens. The contribution of endothelial innate immune receptors to asthma has been previously unexplored. Here, we showed that the HDM sensing pattern recognition receptor PAR-2 is expressed by naive lung endothelial cells and PACs, and that these angiogenic cells respond by releasing Th2-promoting cytokines and forming new blood vessels.

Overall, our findings reveal that the lung endothelium and PACs have innate capabilities to sense and respond to allergens. This innate Th2-promoting angiogenic response to allergens may have a critical role in allergic asthma origins and it identifies new cellular targets for therapies.

**Methods**

**Animals.** Six- to eight-week-old female WT C57BL/6J or BALB/C mice and PAR-2−/− mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory. Female Immortomouse animals were purchased from Charles River Laboratories. KLF10−/− mouse bone marrow was provided by Mark Feinberg (Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Harvard Medical School).

**Imaging and quantification of airway endothelial barrier permeability.** Naive C57BL/6 mice were intranasally exposed to HDME (Greer Labs; 20 μg/50 μl saline) (152 ng Der p 1, Greer Labs) for 4 days followed by intranasal administration of HRP (0.25 mg/50 μl saline) or low-molecular-weight dextran-FITC (4,000 Da; 12 mg/50 μl). In some experiments evaluating the barrier function, animals received HDME by pharyngeal aspiration. Twenty-four hours after HRP administration, animals were euthanized with an overdose of pentobarbital, and lung tissue was stained with DAB and processed by transmission electron microscopy. Samples were fixed by immersion in 2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), and HRP was visualized by treating the sample for 15 minutes with DAB. After a rinse with PBS, tissues were incubated in 1% osmium tetroxide (in water) for 60 minutes at 4°C. Samples were washed 2 times with sodium cacodylate for 5 minutes each and rinsed with maleate buffer (pH 5.1) once for 5 minutes. Tissues were then stained in 1% uranyl acetate in maleate buffer for 60 minutes, followed by 3 washes with maleate buffer. Dehydration was performed by incubating tissues in a series of increasing concentrations of ethanol (50%–95%, cold and for 5 minutes each), then incubating tissues 3 times in 100% ethanol (10 minutes each). Tissues were incubated in propylene oxide (3 times, 15 minutes each). Infiltration was performed by removing propylene oxide and adding 1:1 propylene oxide/eponate 12 medium at room temperature overnight, followed by a change to pure eponate 12 medium for 4–6 hours at room temperature. After embedding and polymerization for 24 hours, 85-nm ultra-thin sections were cut with a diamond knife, stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate, and then observed with a Tecnai G2 SpiritBT electron microscope operated at 60 kV. The integrity of tight junctions was analyzed using electron microscopy as described (79). Animals receiving dextran-FITC were euthanized after 5 hours and blood was drawn by cardiac puncture. Serum samples were quantified for the presence of dextran-FITC using an ELISA reader. Serial dilutions of the stock dextran-FITC solution were used as standard.

In some experiments animals received 20 μg/50 μl saline, 152 ng Der p 1 (molecular weight of Der p 1 is 25,000 Da) for 5 days. One hour after the final dose, mice were anesthetized using pentobarbital and placed on mouse ventilators (Harvard Apparatus; 150 μl/stroke at 200 strokes/min). The chest was opened and blood was drawn by cardiac puncture in the left atrium. Plasma was used to quantify Der p 1 levels by ELISA (Der p 1 ELISA kit, INDOOR Biotechnologies).

**Flow cytometry.** Animals were euthanized, and lungs were perfused with warm PBS via the right ventricle to remove all blood cells in the vessels. Identical lung lobes were used within each experiment. Lung tissue was minced and dissociated in 0.1% collagenase A (Roche Applied Science), 0.04% DNase I (Sigma-Aldrich), and 0.5 mM CaCl₂ (Sigma-Aldrich) in 1 ml Dispase II (Roche Applied Science). The number of cells was counted using the TC Automated Cell Counter (Bio-Rad). Single-cell suspension preincubated with Fc block (eBiosciences, catalog 14-0161-81) was stained with the following antibodies: rat anti-mouse CD45-APC (1:100; eBiosciences, catalog 17-0451-82); rat anti-mouse CD31-PE-Cy7 (1:100; eBiosciences, catalog 25-0311-81); rat anti-mouse CD90.2-V500 (1:200; BD Biosciences, catalog 561616), and rabbit anti-mouse PAR-2 (1:100; Abbiotec Antibodies, catalog 251547). Donkey anti-rabbit FITC (1:100; Jackson ImmunoResearch, catalog 711-096-i52) was used a secondary antibody to detect PAR-2 positivity. CD45-PE-Cy5.5 (1:300; eBiosciences, cata-
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log 35-0451-82) and VEGFR3-biotin (1:200; ebioscience, catalog 13-5988-82) were used to analyze VEGFR3 expression among lung cells. For the latter application, streptavidin-BV711 (1:800; BD Biosciences, catalog 563262) was used as secondary reagent. Mobilization of PAR-2-expressing PACs was analyzed in the standard HDM model. Blood samples were collected by cardiac puncture and red blood cells were lysed using ammonium chloride. Cell count was performed, followed by staining of FC-blocked aliquots for SCA-1-APC (1:200; ebioscience, catalog 17-5981-81); c-Ki-PE (1:200; ebioscience, catalog 17-5981-82); VEGFR2-APC-Cy7 (1:50; BD Biosciences, catalog 561252), and PAR-2, as described above. Cell proliferation was quantified by staining with anti-mouse Ki-67-PE-eFluor610 (1:200; ebioscience, catalog 61-5698-82) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All antibodies were titrated to determine optimal staining concentrations. Stainings were performed in a 100-μl labeling volume on ice with 30 minutes of incubation time. LIVE/DEAD Fixable Blue Dead Cell Stain Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific) was used according to the manufacturer’s instructions to exclude dead cells. Samples were run on an LSRFortessa (BD Biosciences) flow cytometer with standard configuration, and at least 100,000 events were acquired. Data were analyzed using FlowJo 9 software (TreeStar). Fluorescence minus 1 controls were used to set gate boundaries. Cell counts after lung digestion and percentages of subsets obtained by flow cytometry were used to quantify the absolute number of endothelial cells per lung. Cultured PACs were phenotyped for CD45, c-Ki, SCA-1, and VEGFR2 as described (19, 20, 22, 27, 28). Gating of PACs in peripheral blood circulation was performed as reported (22).

Isolation of PACs and lung endothelial cells, and in vitro HDM stimulation. PACs were isolated from the bone marrow as described (19, 20). Briefly, mononuclear cells obtained by Ficoll separation were plated on fibronectin-coated plates in EGM-2 medium (Lonza), supplemented with 20% FBS and 20 ng/ml VEGF. After 7 days, floating cells were washed away and the colonies of proliferating PACs were harvested and counted using the TC Automated Cell Counter (Bio-Rad).

Lungs were perfused and digested into single-cell suspension as described above. Dead cells were removed using MACS Dead Cell Removal Kit (Miltenyi Biotec). Viable cells were stained with anti-mouse CD31-biotin (ebioscience, catalog 13-0311-81) followed by anti-biotin microbead labeling (Miltenyi Biotec, catalog 130-090-485), and sorted by magnetic activated cell sorting. Obtained endothelial cells were seeded on rat-tail collagen I–coated plates in MCDB-131 complete medium (VEC Technologies). Purity of CD31+ fraction was assessed by flow cytometry analysis and 1,1’-dioctadecyl-3,3,3’,3’-tetramethylindocarbocyanine-acetylated LDL (Dil-AcLDL; Molecular Probes) uptake. VEGFR3 expression was analyzed by immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescence.

A quantity of 100 × 10^4 PACs or lung endothelial cells was incubated with and without HDM (20 μg/ml, 152 ng Der p 1/ml) in 0.5 ml medium/24-well for 5 days. Supernatant overlaying the cells was collected and the number of cells was quantified using the TC Automated Cell Counter (Bio-Rad). Levels of IL-1α and GM-CSF in lung endothelial cell–conditioned medium and VEGF-C in PAC-conditioned medium were quantified using ELISA.

**HDME mouse model of asthma.** The HDME model was generated as previously described (51, 52). Briefly, mice were anesthetized by isoflurane inhalation and intranasally sensitized with 100 μg HDM (*D. pteronyssinus*; Greer Labs) in 50 μl saline. Seven days later, animals underwent daily intranasal challenges of 10 μg HDM in 50 μl saline for 5 days. Four days after the final challenge animals were euthanized for analysis. VEGFR3 was inhibited by daily intraperitoneal treatment with VEGFR3 kinase inhibitor MAZ51 (20 mg/kg body weight; Millipore Sigma) (33). Control groups included HDM-exposed animals treated with vehicle only and naive untreated mice. BAL was collected and processed for ELISA and quantification of eosinophils as previously described (19, 22).

**ELISA.** Mouse GM-CSF, IL-1α, IL-4, IL-13, IL-5, and IgE ELISA kits were purchased from R&D Systems or Abcam. Mouse VEGF-C ELISA was purchased from MyBioSource.

**Immunohistochemistry, immunofluorescence, and histology.** Lung endothelial cells were cultured on fibronectin or incubated on angiogenesis gel (in vitro angiogenesis kit, EMD Millipore) in chamber slides for 8 hours, followed by fixation for 10 minutes with 10% neutral buffered formalin. After 3 washes with PBS, cells were permeabilized and nonspecific binding blocked for 20 minutes with 3.0% normal goat serum/PBS (Vector Laboratories) containing 0.2% Triton 100. Cells were washed 3 times with PBS and incubated for 15 minutes with avdin block (Vector Laboratories), followed by 1 PBS wash and 15 minutes of biotin block (Vector Laboratories). Cells were washed with PBS and incubated with primary polyclonal rabbit anti-VEGFR3 (Abcam, catalog ab272278) diluted 1:100 in 1.5% normal goat serum/PBS for 90 minutes at room temperature. Cells were washed 3 times with PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 and incubated with Vector Red substrate (Vector Laboratories) diluted as per the manufacturer’s instructions for 20 minutes in the dark. Cells were washed 3 times with PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 and incubated with FITC-labeled lectin (Griffonia; Sigma) diluted 1:100 in PBS for 45 minutes in the dark. After 3 washes with PBS, slides were mounted with Vectashield Hard Set (Vector Laboratories) with DAPI. All of the above staining with the exception of the
of VEGFR3+ blood vessels, the number of blood vessels per field was counted in 5 random fields in each sample at a final magnification of ×200 using an Olympus BX40 light microscope.

Mucous staining was performed using periodic acid–Schiff (PAS) staining on paraffin-embedded lung tissue sections. The number of goblet cells per bronchiole was quantified as previously described (19). LYVE-1 immunohistochemistry staining was performed using the Discovery ULTRA automated stainer from Ventana Medical Systems. Antigen retrieval was performed using a citrate-based buffer (Discovery RiboCC, Ventana), pH 6.0, for 8 minutes at 91°C. Slides were incubated with polyclonal anti–LYVE-1 antibodies (Abcam, catalog ab14917) at a 1:75 dilution for 1 hour at room temperature. The antibody was visualized using the OmniMap anti-rabbit HRP secondary and the ChromoMap DAB detection kit (both from Ventana Medical Systems). Slides were counterstained with hematoxylin and bluing. von Willebrand factor (vWF) staining was performed as described (21, 22). There were no significant differences in lung tissue area among groups.
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Angiogenesis assays. In vitro, an Angiogenesis Assay Kit (EMD Millipore) was used to study angiogenic tube formation. A quantity of 300 × 10³ lung endothelial cells isolated from Immortomouse (19) in 500 μl MCDB-131 complete medium was seeded in a 12-well angiogenesis gel. HDM or VEGF-C was added at a final concentration of 10 μg/ml or 10 ng/ml, respectively. Cells were incubated at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ humidified incubator for 4 hours. Random images of 3 wells were captured at ×5 magnification using an inverted microscope (Leica DM IRB). Network analysis of tube-forming endothelial cells was performed in an automated fashion using customized virtual basic macros developed within Image-Pro Plus (v7.0, Media Cybernetics) (22).

Bone marrow transplantation. Animals were sublethally irradiated (total irradiation dose of 1,000 cGy) and injected intravenously with 5 × 10⁶ bone marrow mononuclear cells obtained from PAR-2+/− or KLF10−/− mice. Animals with reconstituted bone marrow were used for experiments 4 weeks after the bone marrow transplantation.

Adoptive transfer of PACs. PACs were isolated from the bone marrow of BALB/c mice as described (19, 20) and exposed to HDME (Greer Labs; 20 μg/ml) or saline as described above. After HDME exposure, cells were washed 3 times with warm PBS to remove all HDME. Two-month-old BALB/c recipient mice were intranasally sensitized with HDME (100 μg/50 μl) and after 1 week animals received a daily intravenous injection of naive or HDME-exposed PACs (800 × 10³ PACs/mouse) for 5 subsequent days. In some experiments, mice were injected with HDME-exposed bone marrow mononuclear cells depleted of SCA-1+ cells using an anti–Sca-1 Microbead Kit (Miltenyi Biotec). Seventy-two hours after the final PAC transfer, airway hyperreactivity was measured using FlexiVent (SCIREQ) as described (19).

Statistics. JMP statistical software (SAS Institute) version 13.1.0 was used for statistical analysis. The 2-tailed Student’s t test was used for comparison of 2 independent groups. The ANOVA test was used for comparison of 3 independent groups. For airway hyperreactivity, a linear mixed effects regression model for log₂-transformed resistance (Rrs), with methacholine dose and an interaction between group and methacholine dose as independent variables, and allowing for an estimated intercept for each mouse, was used to assess whether the slope of a relationship between methacholine dose and log₂ Rrs was different between groups. The log₂ transformation was used to yield random errors around the model fit that have a distribution better approximated by a normal distribution. Modeling was performed using R version 3.3.3 (www.R-project.org). P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The specific test for each individual comparison is included in the text or figure legends.

Study approval. All animal experiments were approved by the Cleveland Clinic IACUC in Cleveland, Ohio.
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