Chronic inflammation is an underlying feature of many diseases, including chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, rheumatoid arthritis, asthma, and multiple sclerosis. There is an increasing appreciation of the dysregulation of adaptive immunity in chronic inflammatory and allergic diseases. The discovery of specialized pro-resolving lipid mediators (SPMs) that actively promote the resolution of inflammation has opened new avenues for the treatment of chronic inflammatory diseases. Much work has been done focusing on the impact of SPMs on innate immune cells. However, much less is known about the influence of SPMs on the development of antigen-specific adaptive immune responses. This Review highlights the important breakthroughs concerning the effects of SPMs on the key cell types involved in the development of adaptive immunity, namely dendritic cells, T cells, and B cells.
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Introduction
Inflammation is an inherently beneficial process that recruits immune cells to the site of tissue damage or infection and is sometimes followed by an eventual return to homeostasis. However, many diseases, including chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (1–3), rheumatoid arthritis (4, 5), asthma (2), and multiple sclerosis (6, 7), are characterized by persistent, nonproductive inflammation that fails to resolve. It is now recognized that a novel class of lipid mediators derived mainly from dietary polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) contribute to the resolution of inflammation. These mediators, collectively called specialized pro-resolving lipid mediators (SPMs), include families of compounds termed “resolvins,” “lipoxins,” “maresins,” and “protectins” (8). The resolution phase of a normal inflammatory response is characterized by lipid mediator “class switching,” in which cells downregulate enzymes responsible for the production of proinflammatory lipids, such as prostaglandins and leukotrienes, while upregulating enzymes responsible for the production of SPMs (9). SPMs are unique in that they exert pro-resolving and antiinflammatory effects without suppressing the immune response (reviewed in refs. 9 and 10). This is in contrast to classical antiinflammatory therapies, including corticosteroids and nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). SPMs have exciting therapeutic potential because they exert potent effects in the nanomolar to picomolar range and are made endogenously by many cells. Therefore, they are generally regarded as nontoxic and are likely to be well tolerated when supplied exogenously.

Many SPMs have been found to exert their actions in a receptor-dependent manner (11). To date, five G protein–coupled receptors have been identified that can bind SPMs: the lipoxin A4 (LXA4) receptor (ALX, also known as formyl peptide receptor 2 [FPR2]), GPR32 (also known as D resolvin receptor 1 [DRV1]), GPR18 (also known as the E resolvin receptor ERV1), ChemR23 (also known as D resolvin receptor 2 [DRV2]), and leukotriene B4 receptor 1 (BLT1). Despite this, the receptors for many SPMs remain to be uncovered. SPM receptors show stereoselective and SPM-specific affinities (reviewed in ref. 11). While knockout and overexpression studies have shown the necessity of receptor-mediated signaling for the pro-resolving effects of SPMs, the downstream signaling events remain poorly understood. SPMs can act as inhibitors of proinflammatory signals, as is the case with resolvins E1 (RvE1), which antagonizes BLT1 activation by leukotriene B4 (12). ALX mediates the pro-resolving actions of LXA4, resolvin D1 (RvD1), and resolvin D3 (RvD3), yet is also involved in proinflammatory signaling in response to serum amyloid A (11, 13). Cooray et al. demonstrated that the formation of ALX homodimers preferentially responds to pro-resolving signals (14). While the pathways activated by SPMs are still poorly characterized, RvE1 enhances the phosphorylation of AKT and phosphorylation of the downstream target r66 (15). The complexities of SPM signaling remain to be understood and likely involve many receptor-specific mechanisms.

SPMs are effective in resolving acute inflammation in many disease models, including peritonitis (16), asthma (17), periodontitis (18), and colitis (19). Some of the earliest work on SPMs involved the discovery that lipoxins, synthesized from the same arachidonic acid substrate as the (generally) proinflammatory prostaglandins, promote the resolution of inflammation (20). Subsequently, the role of SPMs in the maintenance of homeostasis, the regulation of inflammatory responses, and the regulation of innate and adaptive immune responses has been the focus of considerable study.

Adaptive immune responses are triggered following the activation of innate immune cells in the presence of a danger signal. Innate cells produce inflammatory cytokines, leukotrienes, and prostaglandins that recruit granulocytes and antigen-presenting cells (APCs) to the site of injury. These cells can process and display antigen to activate adaptive immune cells (i.e., T cells and B cells), stimulating antibody production and subsequent removal of...
The inflammatory stimuli. As the insult is addressed, cells undergo “lipid mediator class switching,” a process that is spatially and temporally regulated to suppress further inflammation and stimulate the removal of inflammatory cells and debris. The ideal outcome of these events is a return to tissue homeostasis. The effect of SPMs on innate inflammatory responses and innate immune cell function is well studied and is reviewed elsewhere (9). Here, we will review the mechanisms by which SPMs might be used to promote health and beneficial immune responses that may ultimately help to dampen chronic and pathologic immune responses.

### Endogenous sources of SPMs involved in adaptive immunity

Relatively little is known about the endogenous sources of SPMs in normal immune responses. SPMs are found in human peripheral blood (21, 22), bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (23), sputum (24), exhaled breath condensates (23, 25), tears (26), spleen (21), lymph nodes (21), brain (27, 28), cerebrospinal fluid (28, 29), adipose tissue (30), placenta (31), synovial fluid (4), breast milk (32, 33), and urine (34). However, within these fluids or tissues, the specific cell types responsible for the production of SPMs are unclear. For example, mouse spleen contains RvD1 and its precursor, 17-hydroxydocosahexaenoic acid (17-HDHA) (35), placing these mediators in close proximity to the inflammatory stimuli. As the insult is addressed, cells undergo “lipid mediator class switching,” a process that is spatially and temporally regulated to suppress further inflammation and stimulate the removal of inflammatory cells and debris. The ideal outcome of these events is a return to tissue homeostasis. The effect of SPMs on innate inflammatory responses and innate immune cell function is well studied and is reviewed elsewhere (9). Here, we will review the mechanisms by which SPMs might be used to promote health and beneficial immune responses that may ultimately help to dampen chronic and pathologic immune responses.

### SPMs downregulate DC maturation and function

The development of antigen-specific immune responses starts with the processing and display of immunogenic antigens to adaptive immune cells. DCs serve as professional APCs, surveying the physiologic environment to support the adaptive immune system. To gain functionality, DCs must undergo activation and maturation, characterized by a reduced phagocytic capacity and enhanced antigen processing via the expression of costimulatory molecules (40). Mature DCs carry antigen from the periphery to a draining lymph node, inducing the adaptive immune system. DC maturation is critical for T cell expansion and differentiation, allowing T cells to become activated by making contact at the immunological synapse. DCs can also activate naive and memory B cells through their ability to stimulate CD4+ T cells. This can further induce B cell growth and support B cell memory formation in germinal centers (40).

SPMs have demonstrated effects on DC maturation: they affect the ability of DCs to migrate and to produce cytokines, two key features that are required for proper antigen presentation and the priming of T cell responses (Figure 1). In addition, the application of SPMs targeting DCs may represent a novel mechanism by which cells reduce inflammation and antigen presentation after the injurious stimuli has been removed. This limits lymphocyte priming and promotes the return to homeostasis.

Mononuclear APCs, including DCs, highly express ALX/FPR2, the receptor for LXA4, RvD1, and RvD3, and agonists for this receptor reduce neutrophil infiltration, decrease the secretion of proinflammatory cytokines, and promote resolution (41, 42). Mouse splenic CD11c+ DCs treated with LXA4 have decreased IL-12 production in response to Toxoplasma gondii infection (43). Following LPS stimulation, immature bone marrow–derived dendritic cells (BMDCs) treated with an RvD1 analog expressed reduced levels of MHC class II, CD40, and IL-12, but not CD80/CD86. This suggests that SPMs, such as RvD1, may modulate DC–T cell interactions through the CD40/154 pathway, but not the CD80/86–CD28 pathway (44). The SPM precursors docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) (45), eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) (46), and arachidonic acid (46) also inhibit BMDC maturation and IL-12 production in vitro. Importantly, in an allogeneic corneal graft model, an RvD1 analog suppressed allosensitization, reduced the number of IFN-γ–secreting T cells, and increased graft survival time (44). This indicates that RvD1 is a strong candidate for therapeutic interventions targeted at preventing graft rejection.
RvE1 has demonstrated similar effects on DC maturation. BMDCs treated with RvE1 remain in an immature state, failing to upregulate costimulatory molecules following TLR stimulation and exhibiting decreased IL-12 secretion. After RvE1 exposure, BMDCs also fail to upregulate CCR7, thus preventing their migration to the draining lymph node (19). As a result, these DCs remain in the region of inflammation, resulting in reduced lymphocyte expansion. RvE1 also inhibits the cutaneous migration of DCs (47), resulting in reduced T cell responses. In addition, BMDCs generated in the presence of RvE1 inhibit T cell cytokine secretion and increase apoptosis in antigen-bearing T cells (48). From these studies, it is clear that RvE1 modulates the actions of DCs, encouraging resolution by decreasing the frequency of lymphocyte expansion and increasing apoptosis in local antigen-bearing T cells at the site of inflammation.

Prostaglandins and lipoxins are derived from the precursor arachidonic acid, which is liberated from plasma membranes by phospholipase A2 (PLA2) (49). Although several members of the secreted phospholipase A2 (sPLA2) family have been established as proinflammatory mediators (50), other members of the same family have pro-resolving functions (51, 52). For example, group IIA sPLA2, family members contribute to host defense by degrading bacterial membranes (53), while group V sPLA2, family members kill fungi by promoting phagocytic uptake by macrophages (52). PLA2G2D is a group IID phospholipase within the PLA2 family (54). Although several members of the secreted phospholipase A2 family have been established as proinflammatory mediators (50), other members of the same family have pro-resolving functions (51, 52). For example, group IIA sPLA2, family members contribute to host defense by degrading bacterial membranes (53), while group V sPLA2, family members kill fungi by promoting phagocytic uptake by macrophages (52).

PLA2G2D is a group IID phospholipase within the PLA2 family that is preferentially expressed by macrophages and CD11c+ DCs. Knockout mice lacking PLA2G2D experience delayed resolution of hapten-induced contact dermatitis, and this phenotype can be transferred by adoptive transfer of PLA2G2D-deficient BMDCs to wild-type mice. PLA2G2D-knockout mice also express lower levels of RvD1 and its precursor, 17-HDHA, and PLA2G2D-deficient DCs express higher levels of MHC class II and IL-12 (54). The inference is that PLA2G2D promotes synthesis of pro-resolving mediators, possibly via arachidonic acid, so that PLA2G2D deficiency leads to increased inflammation and delayed resolution. Taken together, these reports show that SPMs are primarily downregulators of DC function, producing reductions in T cell activation and inflammation. Interestingly, expression of ALX/FPR2 is reduced on mature DCs, suggesting that SPMs play a larger role in regulating DC maturation than in regulating mature DCs (44). While reduction of DC maturation could be interpreted as an immunosuppressive outcome, it is important to remember that the resolution of inflammation is temporally regulated. SPMs are produced to trigger the resolution of existing inflammation, and thus, downregulation of DC maturation represents the termination of the proinflammatory program and a step toward the restoration of homeostasis.

SPMs modulate T cell phenotype and cytokine production

T cells serve a wide array of roles in both the regulation and resolution of inflammation and adaptive immune responses. While CD8+ T cells are known for their ability to kill virally infected cells, CD4+ T cells are classically stratified into four classes: type 1 T helper (Th1), type 2 T helper (Th2), T helper 17 (Th17), and Tregs. CD4+ T cell subsets are determined by the expression of distinct surface receptors, transcription factors, and distinct cytokine responses, as a result of stimulation (55). T cells perform a wide array of functions in the development of long-term immune defense, including the production of inflammatory cytokines, regulation of B cell differentiation, and removal of damaged or infected cells (56). Proper activation and differentiation of T cell subsets is critical to proper resolution of inflammation. Indeed, many disease states, including asthma (57), rheumatoid arthritis (58), and multiple sclerosis (59), have been linked to dysregulation of proper T cell differentiation and function.

The ability of bioactive lipids to modulate T cell function is an active area of research. While work has shown that SPMs have beneficial effects in diseases linked to T cell dysfunction, the direct effects of SPMs on T cell function are only beginning to be understood. Early studies showed that long-chain omega-3 PUFAs, now known to be precursors for SPMs, can inhibit T cell proliferation and cytokine production (60). Supplementation of mouse diets with fish oil or DHA increased CD4+ T cell proliferation in response to a Th2 stimulus and decreased Th2 cytokine production in CD8+ T cells (61). T cells also express known SPM receptors, including ALX/FPR2 (62, 63), GPR32 (63), and BLT1 (64), which confirms that they can be targeted by SPMs. SPMs are present in the lymph node (21) and thus are likely to come in contact with T cells. Th2-skewed T cells can also produce PD1, thereby highlighting the potential role of SPMs in T cell regulation (37).

One key function of T cells is the production of helper cytokines that can stimulate further activation of T cells, B cells, and DCs, and there is evidence that SPMs act to suppress this activation. PD1 reduced the production of IFN-γ and TNF-α by Th1 and Th17 CD4+ T cells (62). Recent studies showed that RvD1, RvD2, and MaR1 could similarly block the production of IFN-γ and TNF-α by T cells (63). The effect was similar on both CD4+ and CD8+ cells, suggesting that SPMs can regulate multiple subsets of T cells. The suppression of IFN-γ production in T cells is shared among many SPMs; RvD3, LXA4, lipoxin B4 (LXB4), and even SPM derivatives were effective at blocking TNF-α production in CD4+ T cells (62, 63). Thus, it is clear that many SPMs can attenuate inflammatory cytokine production by T cells.

The differentiation of CD4+ T cells into various Th subtypes is critical to stimulate inflammatory responses. Chiurchiu et al. showed that RvD1, RvD2, and MaR1 suppressed the differentiation of naïve T cells into Th1 and Th17 subtypes while increasing the production of Tregs (63). Tregs are known for their ability to produce pro-resolution factors to control inflammation, such as TGF-β (55). Indeed, Tregs that were augmented by MaR1 treatment were able to suppress inflammatory cytokine production in ILC2s (36). This SPM skewing of cell lineage to favor Treg production has also been observed in animal models. RvD1 treatment increased Treg numbers, which corresponded to a decreased percentage of Th1 and Th17 cells in a mouse model of multiple sclerosis (6). Mice deficient in Elov12 (encoding the enzyme elongation of very-long-chain fatty acids protein 2, which can generate SPM precursor lipids de novo) have an increased percentage of Th1 and Th17 T cells and a reduced percentage of Tregs, a phenotype that can be reversed by dietary supplementation with DHA or intraperitoneal RvD1 injection (63). Interestingly, most SPMs show little effect on Th2 T cells, except for RvE1, which can reduce cytokine production by Th2 cells (65, 66). These studies demonstrate that SPMs promote a Treg phenotype while attenuating the differen-
with structural analogs of RvE1, LXA4, and PD1 increased the expression of CCR5 on late apoptotic T cells, suggesting that SPM-mediated upregulation of CCR5 could be a mechanism by which enhanced clearance of inflammatory cytokines occurs during the resolution of inflammation. PD1 also increases T cell apoptosis and could further contribute to enhanced CCR5-induced sequestration of inflammatory mediators (37).

Comprehensive comparison of the effects of different classes of SPMs on T cell functions is still needed. However, it is clear that SPMs can regulate T cell responses by directing maturation of naïve CD4⁺ T cells to a proregulatory phenotype and increasing the scavenging of inflammatory mediators, all while suppressing inflammatory mediator production in already mature Th1 and Th17 T cell subsets (Figure 2).

SPMs and PUFAs affect B cell function

Although the canonical role of B cells is antibody production, B cells play several important roles in both innate and adaptive immunity. B cells can function as APCs and directly respond to innate and adaptive stimuli via the production of cytokines (68). There are ample scientific data to show that these critical B cell functions are affected by SPMs and their precursor PUFAs (Figure 3). Supplementation with SPMs or PUFAs has been shown to augment B cell functions by (a) increasing antibody production (35, 69–72), (b) altering B cell cytokine production (69, 72–75), (c) skewing B cell differentiation/lineage (35, 70, 76–78), and (d) limiting spontaneous IgE class switching implicated in allergic asthma (76, 77). In addition, SPMs may represent promising and effective adjuvants for vaccination because of their potent effects on B cells (70).

A first clue that SPMs might affect B cell functions was the discovery that B cells express the ALX/FPR2 receptor that recognizes the D-series resolvins, RvD1 and RvD3 (79). 17-HDHA, RvD1, and PD1 are naturally produced within the spleen, a site where B cells commonly reside (35). PUFAs present in omega-3 fatty acid–rich fish oil (precursors for SPM production) were shown to affect B cell functions in mice by increasing antibody production and B cell activation (71, 72, 74). Similarly, mice fed a diet rich in DHA and EPA had a higher number of IgM-expressing splenic B cells following antigen stimulation compared with mice not receiving a PUFA-enriched diet (71). In a mouse model of diet-induced obesity, mice fed a high-fat (Western) diet containing primarily omega-6 fatty acids exhibited diminished antibody titers and increased mortality to influenza challenge relative to a normal diet. These effects could be rescued with dietary DHA (69). Dietary supplementation with PUFAs or PUFA-enriched fish oil also resulted in changes in B cell lipid composition (71, 72, 74), indicating that these PUFAs were incorporated into the cellular membrane and could also act as a reservoir for the generation of SPMs. More recently, it was determined that dietary supplementation with DHA enhances splenic levels of the SPM precursors 14-HDHA and 17-HDHA (69), and that increased levels of SPMs are seen in the circulation of those taking essential fatty acid supplements (21, 22). These data indicate that dietary DHA can be processed to enrich SPM levels. Indeed, DHA supplementation in mice did not directly target B cells but increased splenic levels of 17-HDHA, 14-HDHA, protectin DX (PDX), and RvD2, highlighting the importance of SPMs in reg-
ulating B cell function. These findings suggest that the beneficial effects of PUFA supplementation are mediated by the enhanced production of SPMs (69).

Several studies have investigated the direct effects of exogenous SPM treatment on B cell function. Addition of exogenous RvD1 and 17-HDHA to human B cells in vitro increased levels of IgM and IgG, while reducing production of the proinflammatory cytokine IL-6 (35). RvD1 and 17-HDHA enhanced B cell differentiation to antibody-secreting cells (ASCs) without increasing the amount of antibody synthesized per ASC or altering B cell proliferation. The end result was a net increase in the amount of IgG and IgM produced (35). Similarly, mice treated with 17-HDHA had increased levels of serum IgG and IgM after challenge with ovalbumin (OVA) (70). The implications of these findings are that enhanced antibody production should lead to more rapid/efficient antigen clearance, thus helping to clear pathogens and resolve inflammation. The use of SPMs to stimulate ASC differentiation can also be exploited to stimulate the generation of antigen-specific antibody responses to vaccination. In a mouse model of influenza vaccination, coinfection of the influenza viral hemagglutinin (HA) protein with 17-HDHA resulted in higher protective antibody titers compared with injection of HA alone. Increased antibody production corresponded to reduced mortality in response to subsequent influenza infection (70). Taken together, these data suggest the exciting concept that 17-HDHA might be a highly useful, nonimmunogenic vaccine adjuvant.

Interestingly, distinct B cell subsets are affected uniquely by different SPMs. LXA4, an SPM generated from arachidonic acid, enhances early antibody responses to novel antigens by increasing the number of GM-CSF+ innate response activator (IRA) B cells and accelerating the migration of C19+ B cells to the spleen (73). In addition, LXA4 inhibits regulatory B cell (Breg) production of the immunosuppressive cytokine IL-10 (80) and decreases the maturation of resting memory B cells to ASCs (78). These findings suggest that LXA4 promotes effective immune responses to new antigens by directing activation of IRA B cells, suppressing Bregs, and inhibiting the maturation of memory B cells, which otherwise would tend to target prior pathogens that may be currently irrelevant. Thus, SPMs may be able to contextually control the generation of new ASCs and the activation of existing memory B cells.

The differential effect of LXA4 and LXB4 on memory and nonmemory B cells could be due to different levels of receptor expression or to coexpression of alternate SPM receptors. Like RvD1, LXA4 binds to the ALX/FPR2 receptor to initiate downstream signaling. ALX/FPR2 is expressed on CD19+ B cells, and its expression can be induced upon activation (78), potentially leading to enhanced signaling in activated B cells. Additionally, some B cell populations also express the SPM receptors ChemR23 and BLT1 (69). The receptor for LXB4 is currently unknown. Further identification of SPM receptors and expression in various B cell subsets will enhance our understanding of the role of SPMs in regulating antibody responses.

Antibody class switching is an important regulatory event that controls effector cell function. For example, IgE is an essential element in allergic diseases. Patients with asthma have elevated circulating levels of B cell–derived IgE (76). 17-HDHA and RvD1, which enhance human B cell IgG production, also suppress the production of IgE. 17-HDHA and RvD1 inhibit B cell class switching to IgE by stabilizing the regulator protein BCL-6, which then prevents the transcription factor STAT6 from binding to the ε-germline transcript (εGLT) promoter (76). This ultimately results in a substantial decrease in εGLT expression, which is essential for IgE class switching (76, 77). This process occurs both in B cells stimulated with IL-4 and in unstimulated spontaneously IgE-producing B cells collected from donors with allergic asthma. This suggests that, unlike widely used corticosteroids, SPMs (e.g., 17-HDHA and RvD1) might be useful in treating asthma and other allergic diseases without suppressing IgG antibody responses to such challenges as seasonal flu vaccine or new infections.

Summary and future directions

The discovery that SPMs can stimulate the resolution of inflammation has made them very promising therapeutic candidates. Indeed, long-acting SPM derivatives have been well tolerated in clinical trials (discussed below). Resolution requires the cooperation of multiple cell types to link acute innate inflammation to the development of long-term, antigen-specific responses. Despite the widespread benefit seen in animal models of acute inflammation, the mechanisms by which SPMs exert their pro-resolving actions remain an active area of investigation. Given their importance in autoimmune and inflammatory diseases, characterizing the effects of SPMs on adaptive immune responses will prove beneficial in targeting adaptive immune defects.

Overall, SPMs show great promise in regulating adaptive immune responses. Receptors for SPMs are found on DCs, T cells, and B cells, and SPMs are produced naturally at sites where they readily interface with adaptive immune cells. Furthermore, exogenous SPM treatment has shown significant beneficial effects on cell functions, ultimately augmenting pathogen clearance, the resolution of inflammation, and the development of immune memory. Future studies should consider comparisons of different classes of SPMs’ immune cell functions, as individual SPMs may stimulate unique pro-resolution pathways. Complicating this is the emerging evidence that SPMs can differentially affect distinct subsets of immune cells (e.g., Th1 cells versus Tregs). Future studies need to address the role of SPMs in regulating heterogeneous populations of immune cells generated during inflammation. The role of SPMs in regulating immune cell maturation as well as the effects on already differentiated cell subsets will be critical to understanding the pro-resolution program. Advancements in techniques to detect SPMs and the identification of new SPM receptors will help clarify the role of specific SPMs in cell type–specific actions.

Temporal regulation of SPM production is key to timely and efficient resolution of inflammation, yet the majority of studies focus on single time points. While indices have been developed to characterize the resolution of inflammation (16), these depend on neutrophil recruitment and clearance from the inflamed site. The generation of indices to account for restoration of adaptive immune responses is still needed. More time-course analyses of SPM generation and subsequent pro-resolving effects will further the understanding of resolution programs. Indeed, understanding the cellular mechanisms involved in SPM-controlled resolution will serve to advance the field of SPM research in the clinical arena.

While exogenous SPM treatments are well tolerated in animal models, potentially unwanted side effects need to be considered.
Metabolism of DHA can contribute to endothelial barrier function in diabetic retinopathy (81). Similarly, EPA and DHA epoxide metabolites have been shown to promote degranulation of mast cells, which would be detrimental in treating allergic diseases (82). It is thus important to consider the potential effects of SPM metabolites on host responses, especially when translating the findings of animal models to a clinical setting, as well as during the development of novel, metabolically resistant SPM derivatives.

Even with this caveat, development of novel therapeutics that promote resolution is already under way. An RvE1 analog is currently in phase II clinical trial for dry eye (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT00799552). Similarly, the lipoxin analog BLXA4-Me is in a clinical trial to treat gingivitis (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT02342691). While no clinical trials have investigated the actions of SPMs on adaptive immune responses, identification of novel SPM derivatives that target adaptive immune cells holds increasing potential to treat many inflammatory and allergic conditions. Similarly, there is also promise in harnessing the potential of SPMs as adjuvants to increase antibody production to increase the efficacy of vaccination. This is of particular interest in the context of susceptible populations such as infants, the elderly, and immunosuppressed individuals who have reduced capacity to mount effective adaptive immune responses. There are currently few vaccine adjuvants in use, and most vaccines do not contain adjuvants. The development of new adjuvants that can increase antigen-specific antibody production remains direly needed. Activation of pro-resolving adaptive immune responses provides new therapeutic targets to combat inflammatory and allergic diseases. Future work to understand the mechanism of SPM-mediated control of adaptive immune responses will further the advancement of novel therapeutics in the clinical arena.
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